

On Inner ρ -Derivations

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on the occasion of
her 60th birthday

By Kazuo KISHIMOTO and Hisao TOMINAGA

Throughout the present paper, A will represent a ring with 1, C the center of A , and B a subring of A containing 1. We consider a (ring) endomorphism ρ of A sending 1 to 1, and set $J(\rho) = \{x \in A \mid \rho(x) = x\}$. Further, we use the following convention: a, a' will mean arbitrary elements of A , and b, b' elements of B .

Given $x \in A$, the map $\delta_{\rho, x}: A \rightarrow A$ defined by $\delta_{\rho, x}(a) = ax - x\rho(a)$ is called the *inner ρ -derivation* effected by x . In fact, $\delta_{\rho, x}$ is a $(\rho, 1)$ -derivation of A : $\delta_{\rho, x}(aa') = \delta_{\rho, x}(a)\rho(a') + a\delta_{\rho, x}(a')$. Recently, in his paper [3], P. V. Praag proved the following proposition: *If A is a division ring with $[A : C] > 4$ and B is a division subring properly contained in A which is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A , then B is a field contained in $J(\rho)$.*

In what follows, we shall prove a proposition which essentially contains Praag's, and extend [3; Corollaire] to two-sided simple rings and (right) primitive rings with non-zero socles.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that B is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A .*

- (a) *The restriction $\rho|_B$ of ρ to B is an endomorphism of B .*
- (b) *If B is commutative then the additive group $[B, A]$ generated by $\{[b, a] = ab - ba \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a B - B -submodule of A and $bd = d\rho(b)$ for every $d \in [B, A]$.*

PROOF. (a) is evident by $b - \rho(b) = b \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot \rho(b) \in B$. If B is commutative, it is easy to see that $[B, A]$ is B - B -admissible. Further, $[b, b'a - a\rho(b')] = 0$ implies $b'[b, a] = [b, a]\rho(b')$, proving (b).

LEMMA 2. *Let B be a field. Assume that B is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A and $B \not\subseteq J(\rho)$.*

- (a) *B coincides with the centralizer $V_A(B)$ of B in A .*
- (b) *$A = [B, A] + B$ and $[B, A]^2 \subseteq B$.*

PROOF. (a) It suffices to prove $V_A(B) \subseteq B$. Now, let b^* be an arbitrary element of B not contained in $J(\rho)$. If v is in $V_A(B)$ then $v(b^* - \rho(b^*)) =$

$b^*v - v\rho(b^*) \in B$ and $b^* - \rho(b^*)$ is a non-zero element of B (Lemma 1 (a)). Hence, v is in B .

(b) There holds $a = (\rho(b^*) - b^*)^{-1}([\rho(b^*), a] - (b^*a - a\rho(b^*))) \in [B, A] + B$ by Lemma 1 (b). Further, if d, d' are in $[B, A]$ then $(dd')(\rho^2(b^*) - \rho(b^*)) = b^*(dd') - (dd')\rho(b^*) \in B$ (Lemma 1 (b)). Since $\rho^2(b^*) - \rho(b^*) \neq 0$, we obtain $dd' \in B$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Assume that B is a proper subfield of A which is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A . If $B \not\subseteq J(\rho)$ and A is a prime ring then A is a simple ring with $[A : C] = 4$.*

PROOF. Since $A = [B, A] + B$ by Lemma 2 (b), $[B, A]^2 \neq 0$. In fact, $[B, A]^2 = 0$ implies a contradiction that $[B, A]$ is a non-zero nilpotent ideal of A . Now, let u, u' be elements of $[B, A]$ with $uu' \neq 0$. Then, uu' is a unit of B by Lemma 2 (b) and $u'u$ is also in B and non-zero by $(uu')^2 \neq 0$. We see therefore that u is a unit. Since $bu = u\rho(b)$ by Lemma 1 (b), we obtain $b([b', a]u^{-1}) = [b', a]\rho(b)u^{-1} = [b', a](u^{-1}bu)u^{-1} = ([b', a]u^{-1})b$. Hence, $[b', a]u^{-1} \in V_A(B) = B$ (Lemma 2 (a)), namely, $[B, A] = Bu$. We have seen therefore $[A : B]_L = 2$. Combining this with $B = V_A(B)$, we readily obtain $[A : C] = 4$. (Cf. for instance [5 ; Proposition 7.1].)

LEMMA 3. *Assume that B is a proper subring of A which is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A .*

(a) *If B is two-sided simple then B is a field.*

(b) *If A is a (right) primitive ring with non-zero socle S , B a completely primitive ring (with non-zero socle T), and ρ a monomorphism, then B is a field.*

PROOF. (a) Since $b(b'a - a\rho(b)) \in B$ and $b'(ba) - (ba)\rho(b') \in B$, we readily obtain $[b, b']a \in B$. If $[B, B] \neq 0$ then $B[B, B]B = B$, and hence $A = BA = (B[B, B]B)A \subseteq B$. This contradiction shows that B is a field.

(b) By [1 ; Theorem IV. 15.1], T can not be equal to S . As in (a), we obtain $B \supseteq [B, B]A \cup A\rho([B, B])$. If $[B, B] \neq 0$ then $\rho([B, B]) \neq 0$. Noting here that the non-zero ideals $B[B, B]B$ and $B\rho([B, B])B$ contains T , we readily obtain $B \supseteq TA$ and $B \supseteq AT$. Accordingly, $T \subseteq TA = TTA \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq AT \subseteq ATT \subseteq T$, namely, $AT = T = TA$. Hence, the ideal T of A contains S and ST is a non-zero ideal of B , which implies a contradiction $S = T$.

LEMMA 4. *If A is a simple ring with $[A : C] = 4$ and B is a field with $B = V_A(B)$, then there exists a unique automorphism ρ_B of B such that $ba - a\rho_B(b) \in B$, and then there exists an (inner) automorphism ρ' of A such that B is invariant relative to all the inner ρ' -derivations effected by elements of A .*

PROOF. Let x be an arbitrary element of A not contained in B . Then, $x, 1$ form a right free B -basis of A , and we obtain a map $\rho_B: B \rightarrow B$ such that $(bx - x\rho_B(b)) \in B$ and hence $(ba - a\rho_B(b)) \in B$. To be easily seen, ρ_B is a C -automorphism of B and uniquely determined. Now, it is well-known that ρ_B can be extended to an inner automorphism ρ' of A . (Cf. for instance [5; Theorem 7.2].)

THEOREM 1. *Let A and B be two-sided simple. Then, B is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) $B = A$.
- (2) $B \subseteq J(\rho) \cap C$.
- (3) $[A : C] = 4$, $B = V_A(B)$, and $\rho|_B = \rho_B$ (see Lemma 4).

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a primitive ring with non-zero socle, B a completely primitive ring, and ρ a monomorphism. Then, B is invariant relative to all the inner ρ -derivations effected by elements of A if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) $B = A$.
- (2) $B \subseteq J(\rho) \cap C$.
- (3) A is a simple ring with $[A : C] = 4$, $B = V_A(B)$, and $\rho|_B = \rho_B$.

PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 and 2. By the validity of Lemma 4, it remains only to prove the only if part. We may assume here that B is a proper subring of A . In any rate, B is a field by Lemma 3. If $B \not\subseteq J(\rho)$ then it is the case (3) by Proposition 1 and Lemma 4. On the other hand, if $B \subseteq J(\rho)$ then B is invariant relative to all the inner derivations effected by elements of A . In case B is not of characteristic 2, $B \subseteq C$ by [5; Proposition 8.10 (b)] and [2; Corollary 1 (1')]. In what follows, we may assume therefore that B is of characteristic 2 and $B \not\subseteq C$. Then, $[b, [b, a]] = 0$ implies $b^2 \in C$, in particular, $[b, a]^2 \in C$. Hence, A is a simple ring with $[A : C] = 4$ by [4; Theorem 2]. Choosing here $b_0 \in B$ and $a_0 \in A$ with $[b_0, a_0] \neq 0$, there holds $1 = [b_0, a_0]^{-1}[b_0, a_0] = [b_0, [b_0, a_0]^{-1}a_0]$. Accordingly, for every $c \in C$ we have $c = [b_0, c[b_0, a_0]^{-1}a_0] \in B$, which means $C \subseteq B$. Consequently, $B = V_A(B)$ and it is the case (3).

Departments of Mathematics,
Shinshu University
Okayama University

References

- [1] N. JACOBSON: Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 37, 1956.

- [2] K. MOTOSE: On Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 20 (1967), 27-30.
- [3] P. V. PRAAG: S -dérivations internes dans les corps, J. of Algebra, 17 (1971), 470-473.
- [4] M. SLATER: On simple rings satisfying a type of "restricted" polynomial identity, J. of Algebra, 1 (1964), 347-354; Erratum, 2 (1965), 152.
- [5] H. TOMINAGA and T. NAGAHARA: Galois Theory of Simple Rings, Okayama Math. Lectures, 1970.

(Received November 29, 1971)