

# Rational points of Abelian varieties in $\Gamma$ -extension

By Hideji Ito

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field, and  $L/K$  the  $\Gamma$ -extension associated to the rational prime  $p$ . We put  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma^{p^n}$ , and denote by  $K_n$  corresponding subfields. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over  $K$ .

The purpose of this short note is to improve  $B. Mazur$ 's result on the asymptotic behavior of the  $\text{rank } A(K_n)$ , where  $A(K_n)$  is the  $K_n$ -rational-point-group of  $A$ . The known asymptotic estimate is the following, somewhat weak, one: there is a non-negative integer  $\rho$  such that  $\text{rank } A(K_n) + \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, A(L)) = \rho \cdot p^n + \text{const.}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Here, for a  $p$ -primary  $\Gamma$ -module  $G$ ,  $\text{corank } G$  means the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of  $G^*$ , where  $G^*$  is the Pontrjagin dual of  $G$  (see [1], p. 22).

We shall show that the  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, A(L))$  is in fact constant for all sufficiently large  $n$ , so that we get an asymptotic formula for the rank of  $A(K_n)$ .

In section 1, we shall prove above fact in general setting, and in section 2 apply it to  $A(L)$ .

## NOTATIONS.

For a finite group  $X$ ,  $|X|$  denotes its order. If  $G$  is a group and if  $B$  is a  $G$ -module,  $B^G$  means the subgroup of  $B$  consisted of the invariant elements under the action of  $G$ .

1. The aim of this section is to prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $B$  be a  $\Gamma$ -module, such that  $B^{\Gamma_n}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of finite rank for all  $n$ . Then the  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)$  is constant for all sufficiently large  $n$ .*

Before beginning the proof, we recall the well-known structure of  $H^i(\mathfrak{g}, C)$  for  $i=1, 2$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite cyclic group and  $C$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module:

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, C) = {}_9C/D_9C, \quad H^2(\mathfrak{g}, C) = C^9/N_9C.$$

Here  $N_9$  is the homomorphism  $C \rightarrow C^9$ , defined by  $N_9(x) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{g}} \tau x$  for  $x \in C$ ,  ${}_9C = \text{Ker}(N_9)$ , and  $D_9C = \{\tau x - x \mid x \in C, \tau \in \mathfrak{g}\} = \{\sigma x - x \mid x \in C\}$  for any generator  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

First we observe that  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)$  is monotone increasing.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose  $m \geq n$ , then  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_m, B) \geq \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)$ .*

PROOF. Obvious from the inflation-restriction sequence  $0 \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_n}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, B) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_m, B)$ .

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that the  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)$  is finite for all  $n$ .

Next we discuss the action of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_m$  of  $B^{\Gamma_m}$  and obtain non-negative integers  $e(p^i)$ , by which  $|H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})|$  is expressed in case  $B^{\Gamma} = 0$ .

Put  $r_m = \text{rank } (B^{\Gamma_m})$ . From the action of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_m$  on  $B^{\Gamma_m}$ , we get representations  $\phi_m : \Gamma/\Gamma_m \rightarrow GL_{r_m}(\mathbf{Z})$ . For  $m \geq n$ , let  $j_{m,n}$  be the natural surjection  $\Gamma/\Gamma_m \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ . Combining  $\phi_m$  and  $j_{m,n}$ , we get representations  $\phi_{m,n} = \phi_m \circ j_{m,n} : \Gamma/\Gamma_m \rightarrow GL_{r_n}(\mathbf{Z})$ . For a fixed generator  $\sigma_m$  of  $\Gamma/\Gamma_m (\cong \mathbf{Z}/p^m\mathbf{Z})$ , we put  $M_m = \phi_m(\sigma_m)$ ,  $M_{m,n} = \phi_{m,n}(\sigma_m)$ . From the construction,  $M_{m,n}$  and  $M_n$  are equivalent. Denote by  $F_m(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  the characteristic polynomial of  $M_m$ . Since  $F_m(X)$  divides  $(X^{p^m} - 1)^{r_m}$ , we can write  $F_m(X) = \prod_{i=0}^m \Phi_{p^i}(X)^{e_m(p^i)}$ ,  $0 \leq e_m(p^i) \leq r_m$ . Here  $\Phi_d(X)$  means the cyclotomic polynomial. Since  $\deg \Phi_d(X) = \varphi(d)$ , we have  $r_m = \sum_{i=0}^m \varphi(p^i) \cdot e_m(p^i)$ , ( $\varphi = \text{Euler's function}$ ). Of course  $e_m(p^i)$  does not depend on the choice of the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $B^{\Gamma_m}$ , nor on the choice of  $\sigma_m$ . And indeed  $e_m(p^i)$  is independent of  $m$ . For the proof we need the following

LEMMA 2. *Suppose  $G$  is a finite group and  $C$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of finite rank on which  $G$  acts. Then there are submodules  $D$  and  $E$  of  $C$  which have the following properties respectively.*

- 1)  $C = C^G \oplus D$ ,  $\text{rank } D = \text{rank } ({}_G C)$ ,
- 2)  $C = E \oplus {}_G C$ ,  $\text{rank } E = \text{rank } (C^G)$ .

PROOF. By the elementary divisor theory the existence of the above direct sum is easily verified. As for the rank, we have only to note the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow {}_G C \rightarrow C \rightarrow N_G(C) \rightarrow 0$ , and the relation  $C^G \supset N_G(C) \supset |G| \cdot C$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Notations being as above, suppose  $m \geq n$ . Then we have  $e_m(p^i) = e_n(p^i)$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence we can drop the suffix of  $e_m$ , so that we get the relations  $r_m = \sum_{i=0}^m \varphi(p^i) \cdot e(p^i)$ ,  $r_m - r_{m-1} = \varphi(p^i) \cdot e(p^i)$ , for all  $m$ .*

PROOF. Apply lemma 2. 1) to  $G = \Gamma_n/\Gamma_m \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $C = B^{\Gamma_m}$ . (Note that  $(B^{\Gamma_m})^{\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m} = B^{\Gamma_n}$ ). On account of the direct sum decomposition, matrix  $M_m (= M)$ , we write for short) can be written in the following form:  $M = \begin{pmatrix} M' & * \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $M' = M_{m,n}$ ,  $R \in GL_{r_m - r_n}(\mathbf{Z})$ . Hence we have  $F_m(X) = F_n(X) \cdot F_R(X)$ , where  $F_R(X)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $R$ . Therefore it suffices to show that all the roots of  $F_R(X)$  i.e. all the characteristic roots of  $R$  are

$p^i$ -th primitive roots of unity ( $i > n$ ). The generator  $\sigma_m^{p^n}$  of  $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m$  is repre-

sented in the form  $M^{p^n} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & R^{p^n} \end{array} \right)$ . Put  $T = R^{p^n}$ . We must show that

among the characteristic roots of  $T$  there is not a 1. Norm homomorphism  $N_{\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{p^{m-n}} (\sigma_m^{p^n})^j: B^{\Gamma_m} \rightarrow B^{\Gamma_n}$  is represented in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p^{m-n}} (M^{p^n})^j = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} p^{m-n} & * \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & p^{m-n} \\ \hline 0 & \sum_{j=1}^{p^{m-n}} T^j \end{array} \right).$$

Hence  $\sum_{j=1}^{p^{m-n}} T^j = 0$ . This implies the desired result (note that  $T^{p^{m-n}} = 1$ ).

The relation between  $e(p^i)$  and  $|H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})|$  mentioned above is as follows.

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose  $B^\Gamma = 0$ , then

1)  $|H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})| = p^{\sum_{i=1}^m e(p^i)}$ ,

2) in general, for  $m \geq n$ ,  $H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})^{\Gamma/\Gamma_n} = H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})$ , and

$$|H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})| = p^{\sum_{i=n+1}^m e(p^i)}.$$

PROOF. 1) Put  $C = B^{\Gamma_m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \Gamma/\Gamma_m$ . By our assumption  $B^\Gamma = 0$ , we have  ${}_s C = C$ . In  $GL_{r_m}(C)$ , the matrix  $M_m - 1$  is equivalent to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 - 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \omega_{r_m} - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\omega_i$ 's are the  $p^m$ -th roots of unity ( $\neq 1$ ). Hence

$M_m - 1$  is regular. As  $D_s(C) = C(M_m - 1)$ , we get  $|H^1(\mathfrak{g}, C)| = |C/D_s(C)| =$

$$|\det(M_m - 1)| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^{r_m} (\omega_i - 1) \right| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_{p^i}(1)^{e(p^i)} \right| = p^{\sum_{i=1}^m e(p^i)}.$$

2) Notations being as in the proof of 1), put  $\mathfrak{h} = \Gamma_n/\Gamma_m$ . Apply lemma

2. 1, taking  $\mathfrak{h}$  in place of  $G$ . Then  $M_m = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} * & 0 \\ * & S \end{array} \right)$ ,  $S \in GL_k(\mathbf{Z})$ ,  $k = r_m - r_n$ .

The same reasoning as in the proof of 1) gives  $|H^1(\mathfrak{h}, {}_s C)| = |\det(S - 1)|$

$$= p^{\sum_{i=n+1}^m e(p^i)}.$$

Since  $|H^1(\mathfrak{h}, C)| \leq |H^1(\mathfrak{h}, {}_s C)|$ , we have  $|H^1(\mathfrak{h}, C)| \leq p^{\sum_{i=n+1}^m e(p^i)}$ .

But the exact sequence of Hochschild-Serre  $0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, C^{\mathfrak{h}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}, C) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{h},$

$C)^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \dots$  implies  $p^{\sum_{i=n+1}^m e(p^i)} \leq |H^1(\mathfrak{h}, C)^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}|$ . Hence we have our assertion.

Now we can prove theorem 1. By means of the exact sequence of Hochschild-Serre, we easily see that  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma, B) = \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)^{\Gamma/\Gamma_n}$ . Since  $\Gamma_n = \varprojlim_{m \geq n} \Gamma_n/\Gamma_m$ , and  $B = \varinjlim_m B^{\Gamma_m}$ , we have  $H^1(\Gamma_n, B) = \varinjlim_{m \geq n} H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma_m})$ . So the validity of our assertion in case  $B^{\Gamma} = 0$  is obvious, on account of Prop. 2. 2).

To prove the theorem in general case, put  $B' = B/B^{\Gamma}$ . Then we have  $(B')^{\Gamma} = 0$ . Indeed from the exact sequence;  $(*) \ 0 \rightarrow B^{\Gamma} \rightarrow B \rightarrow B' \rightarrow 0$ , we get the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B^{\Gamma} \rightarrow B^{\Gamma} \rightarrow (B')^{\Gamma} \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, B^{\Gamma}) = \varinjlim_m H^1(\Gamma/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma}) = 0$ .

From  $(*)$ , we also get the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\Gamma_n, B^{\Gamma}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, B) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, B') \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_n, B^{\Gamma}).$$

But  $H^2(\Gamma_n, B^{\Gamma}) = \varinjlim_{m \geq n} H^2(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, B^{\Gamma}) \cong \varinjlim_{m \geq n} B^{\Gamma}/\mathfrak{p}^{m-n}B^{\Gamma}$ . So, dualizing above sequence, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B) \leq \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B') \leq \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B) + \text{rank}(B^{\Gamma}).$$

(Note that  $B^{\Gamma}/\mathfrak{p}^{m-n}B^{\Gamma} \cong \overbrace{\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}^{m-n}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}^{m-n}\mathbb{Z}}^r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $B^{\Gamma}$ ). As theorem 1 holds in case  $B^{\Gamma} = 0$ ,  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B')$  is constant for all  $n$ . Therefore, by means of the above inequality and lemma 1, the  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, B)$  must be constant for all sufficiently large  $n$ . This completes the proof of our theorem 1.

2. In order to apply the theorem 1 to  $A(L)$ , we need some modifications on  $A(K_n)$ . Let  $\hat{A}(K_m)$  be the set of points of finite order in  $A(K_m)$ . By Mordell-Weil's theorem  $\hat{A}(K_m)$  is finite. Denote its order by  $N_m$ . We put  $\overline{A}_m = N_m \cdot A(K_m)$  (=free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of the same rank as of  $A(K_m)$ ), and for  $m \geq n$  define homomorphisms  $f_{n,m}: \overline{A}_n \rightarrow \overline{A}_m$  by  $f_{n,m}(x) = \frac{N_m}{N_n}x$ , for  $x$  in  $\overline{A}_n$ . Since the system  $(\overline{A}_n, \{f_{n,m}\})$  is inductive, we can define  $\overline{A}_L = \varinjlim_n \overline{A}_n$ . The group  $\overline{A}_L$  has obvious  $\Gamma$ -module structure and  $(\overline{A}_L)^{\Gamma_n} \cong \overline{A}_n$  as  $\Gamma$ -module. Hence  $\text{rank } (\overline{A}_L)^{\Gamma_n} = \text{rank } \overline{A}_n = \text{rank } A(K_n)$ .

LEMMA 3. We have  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, A(L)) = \text{corank } H^1(\Gamma_n, \overline{A}_L)$ , for all  $n$ .

PROOF. Let  $m \geq n$ . The exact sequence of  $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m$ -modules:  $0 \rightarrow \hat{A}(K_m) \rightarrow A(K_m) \xrightarrow{g_m} N_m \cdot A(K_m) = \overline{A}_m \rightarrow 0$ , where  $g_m$  is the multiplication by  $N_m$ , yields the exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \tilde{A}(K_m)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, A(K_m)) \rightarrow \\ H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \overline{A_m}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \tilde{A}(K_m)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^1(\Gamma_n, A(L)) = \lim_{m \geq n} H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, A(K_m))$  etc., we get the exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \tilde{A}(L)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, A(L)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \overline{A_L}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_n, \tilde{A}(L)).$$

Now independent of  $m$ , the order of  $H^i(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \tilde{A}(K_m))$  is bounded (for  $i=1, 2$ ). Indeed, as  $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m$  is a finite cyclic group and  $\tilde{A}(K_m)$  is finite,  $|H^1(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \tilde{A}(K_m))| = |H^2(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_m, \tilde{A}(K_m))| \leq |\tilde{A}(K_m)|$ . So their inductive limit  $H^i(\Gamma_n, \tilde{A}(L))$  must be finite (for  $i=1, 2$ ). Hence we have our assertion.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a number field  $K$ ,  $L/K$  the  $\Gamma$ -extension associated to the rational prime  $p$ , and  $K_n$  the subfield of  $L/K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(K_n/K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ . Then there exists a non-negative integer  $\rho$ , for which we have  $\text{rank } A(K_n) = \rho \cdot p^n + \text{const.}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .*

For the proof, apply theorem 1 and lemma 3 to B. Mazur's estimate mentioned in the introduction.

Although we do not know at present even an example in which  $\rho$  is positive, by means of Prop. 1, 2 we easily get the following

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $\text{corank } H^1(\Gamma, A(L)) > 0$ , then  $\text{rank } A(K_n)$  grows arbitrarily large, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University

### Reference

- [1] Y. I. MANIN: Cyclic fields and modular curves, Uspehi. Acad. Nauk. CCCP, Vol. 26, No. 6, 7-71 (1971).  
English transl.: Russian Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 26, No. 6, 7-78 (1971).

(Received April 2, 1973)