

# A note on minimal submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds

By Masahiro KON

In this note we shall prove the following: Let  $\bar{M}^{n+p}$  be a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ , and let  $M^n$  be a minimal submanifold in  $\bar{M}$  of constant curvature  $c$ . Then either  $M$  is totally geodesic, i.e.  $\bar{c}=c$ , or  $\bar{c} \geq (2p-n+1)c/(p-n+1)$ , in the latter case the equality arising only when  $\bar{c} > 0$ . Our method is based on the Simons' type formula which has been given by Simons [4].

On the other hand, we shall study the Laplacian of the Ricci operator of a minimal submanifold of codimension 1 in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature and give some inequality. And combining the theorems of Lawson [2], we shall prove some theorems for compact minimal hypersurfaces in a unit sphere.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we shall summarize the basic formulas for submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds.

Let  $\bar{M}$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n+p$ , and let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be the metric tensor field of  $\bar{M}$  as well as the metric induced on  $M$ . We denote by  $\bar{\nabla}$  the covariant differentiation in  $\bar{M}$  and by  $\nabla$  the covariant differentiation in  $M$  determined by the induced metric on  $M$ . Then the Gauss-Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y), & X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \\ \bar{\nabla}_X N &= -A^N(X) + D_X N, & X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad N \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\perp\end{aligned}$$

Where  $D$  is the linear connection in the normal bundle  $T(M)^\perp$ . We call  $A$  and  $B$  the second fundamental form of  $M$  and they satisfy  $\langle B(X, Y), N \rangle = \langle A^N(X), Y \rangle$ . The Riemannian curvature tensors of  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$  will be denoted by  $\bar{R}$  and  $R$  respectively. From the Gauss-Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\bar{R}_{X,Y}Z = R_{X,Y}Z - A^{B(Y,Z)}(X) + A^{B(X,Z)}(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_X B)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y B)(X, Z),$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  denotes the covariant differentiation for  $B$ . And we obtain the

Gauss-equation

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \bar{R}_{X,Y}Z, W \rangle = \langle R_{X,Y}Z, W \rangle - \langle B(Y, Z), B(X, W) \rangle + \langle B(X, Z), B(Y, W) \rangle .$$

If  $\bar{M}$  is of constant curvature, the Codazzi-equation is satisfied, that is  $(\bar{\nabla}_X B)(Y, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y B)(X, Z)$ , and we have

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{R}_{X,Y}Z = R_{X,Y}Z - A^{B(Y,Z)}(X) + A^{B(X,Z)}(Y) .$$

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a frame for  $T_m(M)$ . Then the mean curvature  $K$  of  $M$  is defined by  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n B(e_i, e_i)$ . If  $K=0$ , a submanifold  $M$  is said to be minimal in  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  be a frame for  $T_m(M)^\perp$ . Here we assume that  $\bar{M}$  is of constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ , and  $M$  is minimal in  $\bar{M}$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  is given by

$$(1.3) \quad S(x, y) = (n-1)\bar{c}\langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^p \langle A^i A^i(x), y \rangle$$

where  $x, y \in T_m(M)$  and we denote  $A^i$  instead of  $A^{v_i}$  to simplify. From this the scalar curvature  $Sc$  of  $M$  is represented by

$$(1.4) \quad Sc = n(n-1)\bar{c} - \|A\|^2$$

where  $\|A\|$  is the length of the second fundamental form. If the second fundamental form is identically zero,  $M$  is said to be totally geodesic in  $M$ .

## 2. Minimal submanifolds of constant curvature

In this section we prove the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n+p$  and constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ , and let  $M$  be a minimal submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $n$  and constant curvature  $c$ . If  $p > n-1$ , then either  $M$  is totally geodesic, i. e.  $\bar{c} = c$ , or  $\bar{c} \geq (2p-n+1)c/(p-n+1)$ , in the latter case the equality arising only when  $\bar{c} > 0$ . If  $p = n-1$ , then  $M$  is flat.*

**PROOF.** Since  $M$  is minimal, the second fundamental form  $A$  of  $M$  satisfies (cf. [5], p 93)

$$\nabla^2 A = -A \circ \tilde{A} - \tilde{A} \circ A + n\bar{c}A$$

where the operators  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are defined by setting

$$\tilde{A} = A \circ A \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A} = \sum_{i=1}^p adA^i adA^i .$$

If  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$  are both of constant curvature, then the length of the second fundamental form is constant and we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \nabla A, \nabla A \rangle = \langle A \circ \tilde{A}, A \rangle + \langle \underline{A} \circ A, A \rangle - n\bar{c}\|A\|^2.$$

Let  $x, y \in T_m(M)$  and  $w \in T_m(M)^\perp$ . From (1.2), we have

$$\langle A^{\tilde{A}(w)}(x), y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^p \langle A^j A^w A^j(x), y \rangle + (\bar{c} - c)\langle A^w(x), y \rangle,$$

which implies

$$(2.2) \quad \langle A \circ \tilde{A}, A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p \langle A^j A^i A^j(e_i), A^i(e_i) \rangle + (\bar{c} - c)\|A\|^2.$$

On the other hand, we can see

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \underline{A} \circ A, A \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^p \|[A^i, A^j]\|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p (\langle A^j A^i A^j(e_i), A^i(e_i) \rangle - \langle A^j A^i A^j(e_i), A^i(e_i) \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3), the first term of the right hand side of (2.3) becomes  $2(n-1)(\bar{c} - c)\|A\|^2$  and consequently (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \nabla A, \nabla A \rangle = (\bar{c} - 2c)n\|A\|^2 - \langle A \cdot \tilde{A}, A \rangle.$$

Since  $\tilde{A}$  is symmetric, positive semi-definite operator, we can choose a frame  $v_1 \cdots v_p$  in  $T_m(M)^\perp$  such that

$$\tilde{A}(v_i) = \lambda_i^2 v_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^2.$$

Then we have the following

$$\langle A \circ \tilde{A}, A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^4 \geq \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i^2 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{p} \|A\|^4.$$

Noticing that  $\langle \underline{A} \circ A, A \rangle \geq 0$ , we have  $\langle A \circ \tilde{A}, A \rangle \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \|A\|^4$  by (2.2) and (2.3). Therefore if  $p < n-1$ ,  $\langle A \circ \tilde{A}, A \rangle = 0$ , which shows that  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{M}$ . If  $p = n-1$ , then  $\langle \underline{A} \circ A, A \rangle = 0$  and  $M$  has trivial normal connection and moreover  $M$  is flat (see Cartan, Oeuvres Completes, partie III, vol. 1, p. 417 and John Moore's Berkeley Thesis).

Let  $p > n-1$ . Then the equation (2.4) implies the following

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \nabla A, \nabla A \rangle \leq \frac{n}{p} \left( (p-n+1)\bar{c} - (2p-n+1)c \right) \|A\|^2.$$

Suppose  $\bar{c} \leq (2p-n+1)c/(p-n+1)$ . Then the right hand side of this inequality is zero. Therefore  $M$  is totally geodesic, *i.e.*  $\bar{c} = c$ , or  $\bar{c} = (2p-n+1)c/(p-n+1)$ . Since  $\bar{c} \geq c$  always, the latter case arising only when  $\bar{c} > 0$ . Except for these possibilities, we obtain  $\bar{c} > (2p-n+1)c/(p-n+1)$ . This completes our assertion.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 2.1, if*

$p=n$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic, or  $\bar{c} \geq (n+1)c$ , in the latter case the equality arising only when  $\bar{c} > 0$ .

REMARK: Let  $M^n$  be a compact minimal submanifold in a unit sphere  $S^{n+p}$  of constant curvature  $c$  satisfying  $c = (p-n+1)/(2p-n+1)$ . If  $n=2$ , then by the main theorem of Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [1],  $M$  is the Veronese surface and  $c=1/3$ .

### 3. Minimal hypersurfaces

First we prepare some lemmas for latter use.

Let  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  be a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ , and let  $M^n$  be a minimal hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$ . We denote by  $Q$  the Ricci operator of  $M$ , which satisfies  $S(x, y) = \langle Qx, y \rangle$ . Generally we have the following

LEMMA 3.1 (Nomizu [3]). *If the Ricci operator  $Q$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation*

$$(3.1) \quad (\nabla_x Q)Y = (\nabla_Y Q)X, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

*then the scalar curvature  $Sc$  is constant.*

REMARK: The Ricci operator  $Q$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation if and only if  $(\nabla_x S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z)$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $x, y \in T_m(M)$ , and let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a frame for  $T_m(M)$ . If the Ricci operator  $Q$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation, then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla^2(S)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n R_{e_i, x}(S)(e_i, y).$$

PROOF. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be local, orthonormal vector fields which extend  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , and which are covariant constant with respect to  $\nabla$  at  $m \in M$ . Let  $X, Y$  be local extensions of  $x, y$  which are also covariant constant with respect to  $\nabla$ . Using (3.1) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(S)(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i}(S)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_X(S)(e_i, y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( R_{e_i, x}(S)(e_i, y) + \nabla_X(\nabla_Y(S)(E_i, E_i)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n R_{e_i, x}(S)(e_i, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu$  be a unit normal. Hereafter we denote  $A^\nu$  by  $A$  to simplify. First we have the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_{e_i, x}(S)(e_i, y) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( S(R_{e_i, x} e_i, y) + S(e_i, R_{e_i, x} y) \right),$$

and (1. 2) implies

$$(3. 3) \quad \nabla^2(S)(x, y) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S(\bar{R}_{e_i, x} e_i, y) + S(A^{B(x, e_i)}(e_i), y) \\ + S(\bar{R}_{e_i, x} y, e_i) + S(A^{B(x, y)}(e_i), e_i) \\ - S(A^{B(e_i, y)}(x), e_i) \end{array} \right\}.$$

On the other hand,  $QA = (n-1)\bar{c}A - A^3 = AQ$  by (1.3), and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( S(A^{B(x, e_i)}(e_i), y) - S(A^{B(e_i, y)}(x), e_i) \right) \\ & = - \langle QA^2(x), y \rangle + \langle AQA(x), y \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (1. 3), we obtain

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n S(A^{B(x, y)}(e_i), e_i) = \text{Tr}A^3 \langle A(x), y \rangle,$$

and we have also

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n \left( S(\bar{R}_{e_i, x} e_i, y) + S(\bar{R}_{e_i, x} y, e_i) \right) = \bar{c}n \langle Qx, y \rangle - \bar{c}Sc \langle x, y \rangle.$$

On the other hand, we can see easily  $\nabla^2(S)(x, y) = \langle \nabla^2(Q)x, y \rangle$  for any  $x, y \in T_m(M)$ . Consequently (3. 3) implies

$$\nabla^2 Q = \bar{c}(nQ - ScI) + (\text{Tr}A^3)A.$$

Here we assume that  $M$  is compact and  $\bar{c} > 0$ . Then we have

$$(3. 4) \quad 0 \leq \int_M \langle \nabla Q, \nabla Q \rangle = - \int_M \langle \nabla^2 Q, Q \rangle = \int_M \left\{ \bar{c}(Sc^2 - n\|Q\|^2) + (\text{Tr}A^3)^2 \right\}.$$

Using (1. 3) and (1. 4), this becomes

$$(3. 5) \quad \int_M \langle \nabla Q, \nabla Q \rangle = \int_M \left\{ \bar{c} \left( (\text{Tr}A^2)^2 - n\text{Tr}A^4 \right) + (\text{Tr}A^3)^2 \right\}.$$

Therefore we have the following

**THEOREM 3. 1.** *Let  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  be a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $\bar{c} > 0$ , and let  $M^n$  be a compact minimal hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$ . If the Ricci operator  $Q$  of  $M$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation, and if the second fundamental form  $A$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\bar{c}(\text{Tr}A^2)^2 + (\text{Tr}A^3)^2 \leq \bar{c}n\text{Tr}A^4$ , then the Ricci operator  $Q$  of  $M$  is covariant constant.*

From this and Theorem 2 of Lawson [2], we obtain the following

**COROLLARY 3. 2.** *Let  $M^n$  be a compact minimal hypersurface in a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$ . If the Ricci operator  $Q$  of  $M$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation, and if  $(\text{Tr}A^2)^2 + (\text{Tr}A^3)^2 \leq n\text{Tr}A^4$ , then, up to rotations of  $S^{n+1}$ ,  $M^n$  is one of the minimal products of spheres*

$$S^k \left( \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \right) \times S^{n-k} \left( \sqrt{\frac{n-k}{n}} \right) : \quad k=0, \dots, \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right].$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$  be as in Theorem 3.1. If the Ricci operator  $Q$  of  $M$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation, and if  $\text{Tr}A^3=0$ , then  $M$  is an Einstein manifold.

PROOF. By (3.4), we have

$$0 \leq \int_M \langle \nabla Q, \nabla Q \rangle = \bar{c} \int_M (Sc^2 - n\|Q\|^2).$$

But we have always  $Sc^2 \leq n\|Q\|^2$ , hence we get  $Sc^2 = n\|Q\|^2$ , which shows that  $M$  is Einstein.

COROLLARY 3.4. Let  $M^n$  be a compact minimal hypersurface in a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$ . If  $Q$  satisfies the Codazzi-equation, and if  $\text{Tr}A^3=0$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic, or  $n=2k$ , and it is

$$S^k \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \times S^k \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

If the scalar curvature  $Sc$  of  $M$  is constant, and if the Weyl conformal tensor field satisfies the 2nd Bianchi's identity, then the Ricci operator satisfies the Codazzi-equation ([3], p. 344). From this we have the following

COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a compact minimal hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in a Riemannian manifold  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  of constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ . If  $M$  is conformally flat and  $\text{Tr}A^3=0$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic.

PROOF. If  $\text{Tr}A^3=0$ , then  $M$  is Einstein and hence  $M$  is of constant curvature. Hence by the condition of codimension,  $M$  is totally geodesic.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let  $\bar{M}^{n+1}$  be a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $\bar{c} < 0$ , and let  $M^n$  be a minimal hypersurface in  $\bar{M}$  with parallel Ricci tensor. Then  $M$  is Einstein.

PROOF. If the Ricci tensor of  $M$  is parallel, then we get

$$0 = \langle \nabla Q, \nabla Q \rangle = \bar{c}(Sc^2 - n\|Q\|^2) + (\text{Tr}A^3)^2,$$

therefore we obtain

$$0 \geq \bar{c}(n\|Q\|^2 - Sc^2) = (\text{Tr}A^3)^2 \geq 0,$$

which shows that  $n\|Q\|^2 = Sc^2$  and  $M$  is Einstein.

**Bibliography**

- [1] S. CHERN, M. Do CARMO and S. KOBAYASHI: Minimal submanifolds of spheres with second fundamental form of constant length, Functional analysis and related fields, Proc. Conf. in Honor of Marshall Stone, Springer, 1970.
- [2] H. B. LAWSON: Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. 89 (1969), 187-197.
- [3] K. NOMIZU: On the decomposition of generalized curvature tensor fields, Differential Geometry, in Honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, (1972), 335-345.
- [4] J. SIMONS: A note on minimal varieties, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 491-495.
- [5] J. SIMONS: Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, Ann of Math. 88 (1968), 62-105.

(Received May 31, 1973)