

Positive approximants of normal operators

Takashi SEKIGUCHI

(Received October 15, 1975)

1. Introduction. We consider the problem of approximation for a given bounded linear operator on a fixed Hilbert space by positive operators where positivity means non-negative semi-definite. Study of this problem was initiated by P. R. Halmos [4], who proved that the distance of an operator to the set of all positive operators is completely determined. The results proved by him can be formulated as follows.

Let A be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Put $A = B + iC$ where B and C denote the real part $\operatorname{Re} A$ and the imaginary part $\operatorname{Im} A$ of A respectively.

(1) Put

$$\delta = \inf \{ \|A - P\| : P \geq 0 \}.$$

Then

$$\delta = \inf \left\{ r \geq 0 : r^2 \geq C^2, B + (r^2 - C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0 \right\}.$$

(2) Define another norm $\| \! \|$ by

$$\| \! \| A \| \! \| = \left\| (\operatorname{Re} A)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} A)^2 \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|A\| \leq \| \! \| A \| \! \| \leq \|A\|$$

and

$$\delta = \inf \{ \| \! \| A - P \| \! \| : P \geq 0 \}.$$

(3) Put

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \{ P \geq 0 : \|A - P\| = \delta \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_n(A) = \{ P \geq 0 : \| \! \| A - P \| \! \| = \delta \}.$$

Then both $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ are convex sets and $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n(A)$. The operators in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ are called positive approximants and positive near-approximants respectively.

(4) The operator P_0 defined by

$$P_0 = B + (\delta^2 - C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is maximum in both $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$, that is, $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ and $P \leq P_0$ for any operator P in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$.

In the present paper we consider the problem raised by R. Bouldin [2], that is, a necessary and sufficient condition for that $\mathcal{P}(A)$ coincides with $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ in the case A is a normal operator. Since both $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ are weakly compact convex sets, these sets are the convex closures of respective extremal points. By this result we show that the set of all extremal points of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is either finite or uncountable in the case A is a normal operator.

In this paper operators are bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Put $B = \text{Re } A$ and $C = \text{Im } A$ for a given operator A . B_+ and B_- denote the positive and the negative parts of a Hermitian operator B respectively. $\text{Ran}(A)$ denotes the range of an operator A . $A|_{\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the restriction of A on an A -reducing subspace \mathcal{M} . $\{A\}'$ and $\{A\}''$ denote the commutant and the double commutant of A respectively. The dimension of a subspace \mathcal{M} is denoted by $\dim \mathcal{M}$. \mathcal{M}^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{M} . N^- denotes the closure of a set \mathcal{N} .

2. Positive approximants and positive near approximants. Put

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{Ran}(P_0)^- \cap \text{Ran}(\delta^2 - C^2)^-,$$

then $\text{Ran}(P_0 - P)^-$ is included in \mathcal{H}_0 for any operator P in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ since $(B - P)^2 + C^2 \leq \delta^2$ and $0 \leq P \leq P_0$. In the case A is a normal operator, \mathcal{H}_0 is an A -reducing subspace, hence \mathcal{H}_0 is a reducing subspace for each operator P in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a normal operator. If the operator $(\text{Im } A)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is non-scalar, then there exists a positive operator P such that*

- (a) $P \notin \mathcal{P}(A)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$,
- (b) $P|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ does not commute with $(\text{Im } A)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$.

PROOF. Obviously $C|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is scalar if $\dim \mathcal{H}_0$ is zero or one. Hence it can be assumed that $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \geq 2$. Let $E(\sigma)$ denote the spectral measure of A . $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma_p(A)$ denote the spectrum and the point spectrum of A respectively. Put

$$\Gamma_\delta = \{z : |z| = \delta, \text{Re } z \leq 0\} \cup \{z : |\text{Im } z| = \delta\}$$

and

$$\sigma'(A) = \sigma(A) - \Gamma_\delta.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{K}_0 = \text{Ran}(E(\sigma'(A)^-))$ holds. Suppose $C|_{\mathcal{K}_0}$ is non-scalar. $\text{Im } \sigma$ denotes $\{\text{Im } z : z \in \sigma\}$ for a set σ included in $\sigma(A)$. The set $\text{Im } \sigma'(A)$ contains more than two points. There exist non-empty and sufficiently small closed sets σ_1 and σ_2 included in $\sigma'(A)$ such that

- (i) both σ_1 and σ_2 are connected sets,
- (ii) both σ_1 and σ_2 have positive distances from the set Γ_δ ,
- (iii) $\text{Im } \sigma_1 \cap \text{Im } \sigma_2 = \phi$.

By condition (i), $\text{Im } \sigma_i$ is either a one point set or a closed interval for $i=1, 2$.

- (1) $\text{Im } \sigma_i$ is a closed interval,
- (2) σ_i is a segment paralell to the real axis,
- (3) σ_i is a one point set $\{\lambda_i\}$ (then $\lambda_i \in \sigma_p(A)$).

Put $\mathcal{M}_i = \text{Ran}(E(\sigma_i))$ for $i=1, 2$. In the case condition (1) it can be assumed that $\sigma_p(C|_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = \phi$ and moreover $\dim \mathcal{M}_i$ is countably infinite. In fact if $\dim \mathcal{M}_i$ is uncountable, then choose a subspace \mathcal{M}'_i instead of \mathcal{M}_i where \mathcal{M}'_i is the minimal C -reducing subspace generated by a non-zero vector in \mathcal{M}_i . $\dim \mathcal{M}'_i$ is countably infinite and the set $\text{Im } \sigma(C|_{\mathcal{M}'_i})$ contains more than two points and connected since $\sigma_p(C|_{\mathcal{M}'_i}) = \phi$. Similarly in the case condition (2) it can be assumed that $\sigma_p(B|_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = \phi$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}_i$ is countably infinite. In the case condition (3) it can be assumed that $\dim \mathcal{M}_i = 1$. The proof is reduced to the following cases.

Case. I. Both σ_1 and σ_2 satisfy condition (1). Put $\text{Im } \sigma_i = [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ for $i=1, 2$. Without loss of generality, it can be moreover assumed that

- (1-1) $0 < \alpha_i < \beta_i$ or $\alpha_i < \beta_i < 0$ for $i=1, 2$,
- (1-2) $\beta_i - \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i > 0$ for $i=1, 2$,
- (1-3) all numbers $|\alpha_1|, |\alpha_2|, |\beta_1|$ and $|\beta_2|$ are distinct.

Put $a_i = \beta_i$ and $b_i = \alpha_i$ if $0 < \alpha_i < \beta_i$, and put $a_i = |\alpha_i|$ and $b_i = |\beta_i|$ if $\alpha_i < \beta_i < 0$ for $i=1, 2$, then $a_i = \|C|_{\mathcal{M}_i}\|$ and $b_i = \inf \{\|C|_{\mathcal{M}_i}x\| : x \in \mathcal{M}_i, \|x\|=1\}$ for $i=1, 2$. Put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ where the symbol \oplus means orthogonal direct sum. The operators $B|_{\mathcal{M}}, C|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $P_0|_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be represented as matrices of operators on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$:

$$B|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$P_0|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 + (\delta^2 - C_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 + (\delta^2 - C_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By condition (ii) there exists a positive number ε_0 such that for $i=1, 2$,

$$B_i + (\delta^2 - C_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } (\delta^2 - C_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Put

$$\sigma_i(s) = \{z : z \in \sigma_i, a_i - s \leq |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq a_i\}$$

for each positive number s such that $0 < s \leq \varepsilon_1$. Choose a unitary operator U mapping \mathcal{M}_2 onto \mathcal{M}_1 such that

$$U(\operatorname{Ran}(E(\sigma_2(s)))) = \operatorname{Ran}(E(\sigma_1(s)))$$

for each s . Define a positive operator Q_t on \mathcal{M} for each real number t such that $0 < t \leq \varepsilon_0$ by

$$Q_t = \begin{bmatrix} (\delta^2 - C_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_0 + t & tU \\ tU^* & (\delta^2 - C_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_0 + t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover define a positive operator P_t on \mathcal{K} for each t such that \mathcal{M} is a P_t -reducing subspace for each t ,

$$P_t|_{\mathcal{M}} = Q_t + B|_{\mathcal{M}} \text{ and } P_t|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} = P_0|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}.$$

Then

$$(A - P_t)|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} = \{-(\delta^2 - C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + iC\}|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$$

is a scalar multiple of a unitary operator on \mathcal{M}^\perp with norm δ while

$$(A - P_t)|_{\mathcal{M}} = -Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Define the operators D_i and F_i for $i=1, 2$ by

$$D_i = (\delta^2 - C_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_0 + t,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= D_i^2 + t^2 + C_i^2 \\ &= \delta^2 + t^2 + (\varepsilon_0 - t)^2 - 2(\varepsilon_0 - t)(\delta^2 - C_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Q_t^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2 = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & t(D_1U + UD_2) \\ t(U^*D_1 + D_2U^*) & F_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}})^*(-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & t(D_1U + UD_2 + iC_1U - iUD_2) \\ t(U^*D_1 + D_2U^* - iU^*C_1 + iC_2U^*) & F_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously both $\|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\|$ and $\|-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2$ are continuous functions with respect to t . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2 &\geq \|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\| \\ &\geq \max \{ \|F_1\|^2, \|F_2\|^2 \} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|-Q_{t_0} + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}\|^2 &\geq \|(Q_{t_0})^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\| \\ &\geq \delta^2 + \varepsilon_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that for each t

$$\|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \|-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}\|.$$

In fact any unit vector x in \mathcal{M} can be represented as $x = \cos \theta x_1 \oplus \sin \theta x_2$ where $x_i \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $\|x_i\| = 1$ for $i=1, 2$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\{(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\} x, x) &= \cos^2 \theta (E_1 x_1, x_1) + \sin^2 \theta (E_2 x_2, x_2) \\ &+ 2t \sin \theta \cos \theta \operatorname{Re} \{ (D_1 U x_2, x_1) + (U D_2 x_2, x_1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_i = \|C|_{\mathcal{M}_i}\|$ and $b_i = \inf \{ \|C|_{\mathcal{M}_i} x\| : x \in \mathcal{M}_i, \|x\| = 1 \}$, it holds that for each t such that $0 < t \leq \varepsilon_0$ and for $i=1, 2$

$$\|D_i\| = (\delta^2 - b_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \varepsilon_0 + t$$

and

$$\|F_i\| = \delta^2 + t^2 - 2(\varepsilon_0 - t)(\delta^2 - a_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Put

$$X_i = \|F_i\| \text{ for } i=1, 2$$

and

$$Y = 2t(\|D_1\| + \|D_2\|).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\{(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\} x, x) \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ X_1 \cos^2 \theta + X_2 \sin^2 \theta + Y \sin \theta \cos \theta : 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (X_1 + X_2) + \frac{1}{2} (X_1 - X_2) \cos 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} Y \sin 2\theta : 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (X_1 + X_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (X_1 - X_2)^2 + Y^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (X_1 + X_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (X_1 - X_2)^2 + Y^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Put

$$Z = 2t \left[\left\{ (\delta^2 - a_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\delta^2 - a_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2\varepsilon_0 + 2t \right\}^2 + (a_1 - a_2)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Choose a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{M} as follows:

$$x_n = \cos \theta x_{1(n)} \oplus \sin \theta x_{2(n)}$$

where $x_{i(n)} \in E(\mathcal{M}_i)$, $\|x_{i(n)}\| = 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for $i = 1, 2$, θ is a constant such that $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{C_2 x_{2(n)} - a_2 x_{2(n)}\} = 0,$$

and

$x_{1(n)} = z U x_{2(n)}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) where z is a complex number such that

$$2t \left\{ (\delta^2 - a_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\delta^2 - a_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2\varepsilon_0 + 2t + i(a_1 - a_2) \right\} z = Z.$$

It is easy that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{C_1 x_{1(n)} - a_1 x_{1(n)}\} = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(-Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}}) x_n\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\cos^2 \theta (F_1 x_{1(n)}, x_{1(n)}) + \sin^2 \theta (F_2 x_{2(n)}, x_{2(n)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2t \sin \theta \cos \theta \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (D_1 U + U D_2 + i C_1 U - i U C_2) x_{2(n)}, x_{1(n)} \right\} \right] \\ &= X_1 \cos^2 \theta + X_2 \sin^2 \theta + Z \sin \theta \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \| -Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}} \|^2 \\ & \geq \sup \left\{ X_1 \cos^2 \theta + X_2 \sin^2 \theta + Z \sin \theta \cos \theta : 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} (X_1 + X_2) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (X_1 - X_2)^2 + Z^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously for sufficiently small ε_1 , Z is larger than Y . Hence for each t such that $0 < t \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \| -Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}} \|.$$

Since $\| -Q_t + iC|_{\mathcal{M}} \| < \delta$ for sufficiently small t and $\|(Q_t)^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} > \delta$ for t sufficiently near ε_0 , there exists a positive number t_0 such that $t_0 < \varepsilon_0$,

$$\|(Q_{t_0})^2 + (C|_{\mathcal{M}})^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \delta \text{ and } \| -Q_{t_0} + iC|_{\mathcal{M}} \| > \delta.$$

Then

$$\|A - P_{t_0}\| = \delta \text{ and } \|A - P_{t_0}\| > \delta.$$

Hence P_{t_0} is contained in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ but not in $\mathcal{P}(A)$. C_1U is not equal to UC_2 since $\sigma(C|_{\mathcal{M}_1}) \neq \sigma(C|_{\mathcal{M}_2})$ hence P_{t_0} does not commute with $C|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$.

Other cases can be similarly proved.

Case II. σ_1 satisfies condition (1) or (2) and σ_2 satisfies condition (2). Since $C|_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ is scalar, by choosing an arbitrary unitary operator U in the proof of Case I, the proof can be shown similarly as Case I.

Case III. σ_1 and σ_2 satisfy condition (1) and condition (3) respectively. Choose an isometric operator V such that there exists a positive number s_0 less than ε but sufficiently near ε_1 and

$$V(\mathcal{M}_2) \subseteq \text{Ran}(\sigma_1(s_0))$$

instead of a unitary operator U in the proof of Case I, and define a positive operator F_1 in the proof of Case I by

$$F_1 = \sigma^2 + t^2 VV^* + (\varepsilon_0 - t)^2 - 2(\varepsilon_0 - t)(\delta^2 - C_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Case IV. σ_1 and σ_2 satisfy condition (2) and condition (3) respectively. An isometric operator V in the proof of Case III can be chosen arbitrarily.

Case V. Both σ_1 and σ_2 satisfy condition (3). Since $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 = \dim \mathcal{M}_2 = 1$, the proof is obvious. The proof is completed.

We show a sufficient and necessary condition for that $\mathcal{P}(A)$ coincides with $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$ as corollary of Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let A be a normal operator. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{\text{Im } A\}'$,

(b) $(\text{Im } A)|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = \lambda I_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ where $I_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ is the identity operator on \mathcal{M}_0

and λ is a real number.

(c) $\sigma(A) \subseteq \Gamma_0 \cup \{z : \text{Im } z = \lambda\}$,

(d) $\mathcal{P}(A) = \mathcal{P}_n(A)$.

PROOF. The implications (b) \Leftrightarrow (c), (b) \Rightarrow (a) and (b) \Rightarrow (d) are obvious since $A - P$ is a normal operator for any P in $\mathcal{P}_n(A)$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1 the implication (d) \Rightarrow (b) holds, and moreover for sufficiently small positive number t there exists a positive operator P_t in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ such that $P_t|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ does not commute with $C|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$. Hence the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) holds.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let A be a normal operator. The following con-*

ditions are equivalent :

- (a) $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}'$,
- (b) $A|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \lambda I_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ where λ is a complex number.
- (d) $\sigma(A) \subseteq \Gamma_i \cup \{\lambda\}$.

PROOF. The implications (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) and (b) \Leftrightarrow (a) are obvious.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{C\}'$ holds since $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}'$, hence $C|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is scalar. Moreover $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{B\}'$ holds. Suppose $B|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is non-scalar. Choose two non-trivial orthogonal subspace \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 included in \mathcal{H}_0 such that \mathcal{M}_i is the range of a spectral projection of B for $i=1, 2$, and there exists a positive number ε_2 such that for $i=1, 2$

$$\{(B_-)^2 + C^2\}|_{\mathcal{M}_i} \leq \delta^2 - \varepsilon_2.$$

Define a positive operator P_t on \mathcal{H} for sufficiently small positive number t such that the subspace $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ is a P_t -reducing subspace, $P_t|_{\mathcal{M}} = P_0|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $P_t|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is represented as matrix of operators on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$:

$$P_t|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} t & tU \\ tU^* & t \end{bmatrix} + B_+|_{\mathcal{M}}$$

where U is a partially isometric operator mapping \mathcal{M}_2 into \mathcal{M}_1 . For sufficiently small t ,

$$\|(A - P_t)|_{\mathcal{M}}\| = \|(A - P_t)|_{\mathcal{M}}\| \leq \delta$$

since $C|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is scalar. Hence P_t is contained in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and does not commute with B . This contradicts to condition (a). The proof is completed.

3. The extremal points of $\mathcal{P}(A)$. In this section we consider a condition for that $\exp(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is a finite set where $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ denotes the set of all extremal points of $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A be a normal operator. The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (a) $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}''$,
- (b) $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ consists of at most countable operators,
- (c) $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ consists of at most two operators,
- (d) $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \leq 1$,
- (e) P is a linear combination of $(\text{Re } A)_+$ and P_0 for any operator P in $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

PROOF. The implications (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e) hold by the result in [1]. More-

over, the implications (e) \Rightarrow (a) and (c) \Leftrightarrow (b) hold obviously.

(a) \Rightarrow (d): Since $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}''$, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}'$ holds. By Corollary 2.3 $A|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is scalar. If $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \geq 2$ holds, then by the proof of Corollary 2.3 there exist a subspace \mathcal{M} included in \mathcal{H}_0 such that $\dim \mathcal{M} \geq 2$ and a positive operator P in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ such that \mathcal{M} is a P -reducing subspace and $P|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is non-scalar. This is a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (d): Suppose $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is at most countable and $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$. For any closed subspace \mathcal{M} included in \mathcal{H}_0 such that \mathcal{M} is the range of a spectral projection of A , there exists a positive operator P_1 in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ such that P_1 differs from P_0 , $P_1|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} = P_0|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ and $\text{Ran}(P_0 - P_1)^- \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. If P_1 is not contained in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$, there exist two operators P_2 and P_3 in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ and a positive number λ such that $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$P_1 = \lambda P_2 + (1 - \lambda) P_3 \text{ and } P_2 \neq P_0.$$

Since $P_0 - P_1 = \lambda(P_0 - P_1) + (1 - \lambda)(P_0 - P_3)$ and all operators $P_0 - P_1$, $P_0 - P_2$ and $P_0 - P_3$ are positive, by Douglas' theorem [3]

$$\text{Ran}(P_0 - P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \supseteq \text{Ran}(P_0 - P_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds. Hence

$$\mathcal{M} \supseteq \text{Ran}(P_0 - P_1)^- \supseteq \text{Ran}(P_0 - P_2)^-.$$

By choosing P_2 instead of P_1 , it can be assumed that $P_1 \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$. If any operator P in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is commuting with all spectral projections of A , then $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \{A\}'$. This contradicts to $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \geq 2$ by the proof of the implication (a) \Rightarrow (d). Hence there exist two non-trivial orthogonal subspace \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 included in \mathcal{H}_0 such that \mathcal{M}_i is the range of a spectral projection G_i of A for $i=1, 2$, and a positive operator P in $\text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ such that P does not commute with both G_1 and G_2 , and

$$\text{Ran}(P_0 - P)^- \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2.$$

For any unitary operator U commuting with A , $U^*PU \in \text{ext}(\mathcal{P}(A))$ holds. Choose a unitary operator U_θ commuting with A such that $U_\theta|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is defined as matrix of operators on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$:

$$U_\theta|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$U_\theta|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} = I_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}.$$

Put

$$P|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{12}^* & P_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

as matrix of operators on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$.

Then

$$(U_\theta^* P U_\theta)|_{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & e^{i\theta} P_{12} \\ e^{-i\theta} P_{12}^* & P_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (U_\theta^* P U_\theta)|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} = P_0|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}.$$

Obviously $\{U_\theta^* P U_\theta : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ is uncountable, this contradicts to condition (b). Hence $\dim \mathcal{A}_0 \leq 1$. The proof is completed.

References

- [1] T. ANDO, T. SEKIGUCHI and T. SUZUKI: Approximation by positive operators, *Math. Z.* 131 (1973), 273–282.
- [2] R. BOULDIN: The convex structure of the set of positive approximants for a given operator (to appear).
- [3] R. G. DOUGLAS: On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966), 413–415.
- [4] P. R. HALMOS: Positive approximants of operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 21 (1971/72), 951–960.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University