# U-rational extension of a ring

By Kenji NISHIDA

(Received June 26, 1975)

## Introduction.

Let R be a ring with identity and U be a right R-module such that  $R \subset \Pi E(U) = C$  where E(U) is the injective hull of U. Then the double centralizer of C is a ring S and is a U-rational extension of R as a right R-module. A ring S is regarded as a subring of a maximal right quotient ring of R.

In [5], K. Masaike states a characterization of a ring of which a canonical inclusion of R into a maximal quotient ring is a right flat epimorphism. We will generalize this result for a canonical inclusion of Rinto S.

Throughout this paper, a ring R has always an identity element and an R-module is unital. An injective hull of an R-module M is written by E(M). Let X and Y be the right R-modules. We say X is Y-torsionless if X is embeddable into some product of Y, i.e.,  $X \subset \Pi Y$ . This is equivalent that for any nonzero  $x \in X$  there exists an R-homomorphism f of X into Y such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

### 1. U-rational extension of a ring

Let U be a right R-module such that E(U) is faithful. Then we have  $R \subset \Pi E(U)$ . We put  $C = \Pi E(U)$ ,  $H = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C)$ . Then C becomes a bimodule  ${}_{H}C_{R}$ , thus we get  $S = \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(C, C)$  the double centralizer of  $C_{R}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. C is injective as a right S-module,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C) = \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(C, C)$ , and if  $B_{R}$  is a direct summand of  $C_{R}$ , then B is a right S-module and also a direct summand of C as a right S-module.

PROOF. This is well-known (see [3], [4] for example), but for the completeness, we state the proof.

Let  $0 \to X \to Y$  be an exact sequence of right S-modules, and f be an S-homomorphism of X into C. Since  $C_R$  is injective, f can be extended to  $g: Y_R \to C_R$ . We will show that g is an S-homomorphism.

For any  $y \in Y$ , define the mapping  $k_y: S \to C$  by  $k_y(s) = g(ys) - g(y)s$  for  $s \in S$ . This is clearly an *R*-homomorphism and can be extended to  $k'_y \in H$  by injectivity of  $C_R$ . Then  $k'_y(R) = k_y(R) = 0$ , therefore,  $k_y(s) = k'_y(s) = k'_y((1)s) = (k'_y(1))s = 0$  (here we use the canonical embedding of  $S_R$  into  $C_R; s \mapsto (1)s$ ).

Thus g is an S-homomorphism, so C is injective as a right S-module.

Next, we obtain trivially  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(C, C) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(C, C)$ , and because  ${}_{\mathcal{H}}C_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a bimodule, equality holds.

Finally, we shall show if  $B_R$  is a direct summand of  $C_R$ , then B is a right S-module and also a direct summand of C as a right S-module. Let  $C=B \oplus A$  where A is an R-submodule of C. Take any  $b \in B$ ,  $s \in S$  and let p be a canonical projection from C onto A. Then p((b)s)=(p(b))s=0, thus,  $(b) s \in B$  for any  $b \in B$  and  $s \in S$ . This means that B is a right S-module. By the same way, A is also a right S-module, therefore, B is a direct summand of C as a right S-module.

Let M be a right R-module and N be a submodule of M. Following Findlay and Lambek [2], we call M a U-rational extension of N if  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M'/N, U)=0$  for any submodule M' of M that contains N. This is equivalent to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M/N, E(U))=0$  by Proposition 2.1 of [2].

PROPOSITION 2. S is a U-rational extension of R as a right R-module. If an R-submodule T of C is a U-rational extension of R, then  $T \subset S$ .

PROOF. The first assertion follows easily from the proof of Theorem 2 of [3].

In order to prove the second part, we shall show that h(t)=0 for any  $t \in T$  and  $h \in H$  such that h(R)=0. If  $h(t)\neq 0$ , then there exists  $f: C \rightarrow E(U)$  such that  $f(h(t))\neq 0$ . Put  $g=fh|_{r}: T \rightarrow E(U)$ . Then we have

$$g(t) = f(h(t)) \neq 0$$
  
and

$$g(R) = f(h(R)) = 0.$$

Since T is a U-rational extension of R, this is a contradiction. Thus, h(t)=0 and then we have  $t \in S$ .

### 2. Flat epimorphism

We shall begin this section with stating some definitions and notations. In what follows, let R, S and U be as in the previous section.

DEFINITIONS. Let A(B) be a right ideal of R(S). Then we call A(B)U-dense if  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}/A, E(U))=0$  ( $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S/B, E(U))=0$ ).

When A is a right ideal of R, A is U-dense if and only if R is a U-rational extension of A as right R-module, but since U is not a right S-module, we take above definitions.

For any right R(S)-module M(N), we put

 $V_{R}(M) = \left\{ m \in M; \ mA = 0 \text{ for some } U \text{-dense right ideal } A \text{ of } R \right\}$  $(V_{S}(N) = \left\{ n \in N; \ nB = 0 \text{ for fome } U \text{-dense right ideal } B \text{ of } S \right\}).$ 

LEMMA 1. If A is a U-dense right ideal of R, then

$$A: s = \{r \in R ; sr \in A\}$$

is a U-dense right ideal of R for any  $s \in S$ .

PROOF. Consider a map  $f: R \to S$  that is defined by f(r)=sr,  $r \in R$ . Then f is an R-homomorphism. Thus,  $A:s=f^{-1}(A)$ . Since S is a U-rational extension of R,S is also a U-rational extension of A by Pproposition 1.3 of [2]. Therefore,  $R = f^{-1}(S)$  is a U-rational extension of  $f^{-1}(A)=A:s$  by Proposition 2.2 of [2]. Thus, A:s is U-dense.

LEMMA 2. (i) B is a U-dense right ideal of S if and only if  $B \cap R$  is a U-dense right ideal of R.

(ii) If A is a U-dense right ideal of R, then AS is a U-dense right ideal of S.

PROOF. (i) Assume that B is a U-dense right ideal of S. If there exists nonzero R-homomorphism  $f: R/(B \cap R) \to E(U)$ , then it can be extended to  $f': S/B \to E(U)$  by injectivity of E(U). By the same way as in Proposition 1, f' becomes an S-homomorphism and nonzero. This is a contradiction. Thus,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/(B \cap R), E(U)) = 0$ .

The converse is trivial by  $R/(B \cap R) \cong (R+B)/B$ .

(ii) Trivial by (i) and  $A \subset AS \cap R$ .

LEMMA 3. If M is a right S-module, then  $V_{\mathcal{S}}(M) = V_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$ .

PROOF. This follows from lemma 3.

Now, next Proposition 3 and 4 are generalization of K. Masaike ([5]. Proposition 1 and 3).

PROPOSITION 3. A right R-module M is E(U)-torsionless if and only if  $V_R(M)=0$ .

PROOF. Assume that M is E(U)-torsionless. Let  $0 \neq x \in E(U)$  and A be a U-dense right ideal of R. Consider an R-homomorphism  $f: R \to E(U)$  such that  $f(r) = xr(r \in R)$ . If xA = 0, then f induces a nonzero homomorphism  $f': R/A \to E(U)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $V_R(M) = 0$ . Conversely, assume  $V_R(M) = 0$ , then, for any nonzero  $x \in M$ ,  $A = \{r \in R; xr = 0\}$  is not a U-dense right ideal of R. Thus there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $g: R \to E(U)$  such that g(A) = 0. On the other hand,  $R/A \cong xR$ , so there exists canonically a homomorphism  $h: xR \to E(U)$  such that h(xr)=g(r). It can be extended to  $h': M \to E(U)$  by injectivity of E(U). Thus,  $h'(x)=h(x)=g(1)\neq 0$ . Hence, M is E(U)-torsionless.

Let T be a ring extension of R. Then we call a canonical inclusion of R into T a right flat epimorphism if  $_{R}T$  is flat and  $T \otimes T \cong T$  canonically (we always form a tensor product as R-modules).

PROPOSITION 4. A canonical inclusion of R into S is a right flat epimorphism if and only if  $M \otimes S$  is E(U)-torsionless as right S-module for every (finitely generated) E(U)-torsionless right R-module M.

PROOF. Assume that a canonical inclusion of R into S is a right flat epimorphism. We have  $E(U)_{s} \cong E(U) \otimes S_{s}$  by Corollary 1.3 of [6].

Now, we shall prove that a canonical mapping  $M \to M \otimes S$   $(m \mapsto m \otimes 1)$ is a monomorphism for any E(U)-torsionless module  $M_R$ . If some nonzero  $m \in M$ ,  $m \otimes 1=0$ , then there exists  $f: M \to E(U)$  such that  $f(m) \neq 0$ . The homomorphism f induces  $f \otimes \operatorname{Id}: M \otimes S \to E(U) \otimes S \cong E(U)$ . Then  $0 \neq f(m) \otimes$  $1=(f \otimes \operatorname{Id}) \ (m \otimes 1)=0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, by Proposition 1.7 of [6]  $M \otimes S$  is an essential extension of M as an R-module. Therefore,  $M \otimes S$  is E(U)-torsionless as an R-module. By assumption, for any right S-modules K and K',  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, K') = \operatorname{Hom}_S(K, K')$ . Thus,  $M \otimes S$  is E(U)torsionless as a right S-module.

For the converse, we shall show that RS is flat and the canonical mapping  $S \otimes S \rightarrow S$  is an isomorphism.

If we show  $A \otimes S \cong AS$  canonically for any finitely generated right ideal A of R, then the flatness of RS follows from section 5.4 Proposition 1 of [4]. Thus, we will show that a canonical mapping  $i: A \otimes S \to S$  is a monomorphism. Let  $u = \sum a_k \otimes s_k \in A \otimes S$  and  $\sum a_k s_k = 0$ . Put  $B = \bigcap_k R: s_k$ . Then by lemma 2, B is a U-dense right ideal of R. For any  $b \in B$  ub = $\sum a_k \otimes s_k b = \sum a_k s_k b \otimes 1 = 0$ . Thus, uB = 0 so  $u \in V_S(A \otimes S)$ . But  $A \subset R \subset$ II E(U) implies that  $A \otimes S$  is E(U)-torsionless as an S-module. By Proposition 3  $V_S(A \otimes S) = 0$ . Therefore, u = 0. Thus, RS is flat.

Next we will show  $V_{\mathcal{S}}(S \otimes S) = 0$ . Let  $\sum s_k \otimes s'_k \in V_{\mathcal{S}}(S \otimes S)$ , and  $K = s_1 R + s_2 R + \dots + s_n R$ . By the flatness of RS, we have  $K \otimes S \subset S \otimes S$ . Therefore  $\sum s_k \otimes s'_k \in V_{\mathcal{S}}(K \otimes S)$ . On the other hand,  $K \subset S \subset \Pi E(U)$  and K is finitely generated, so by assumption  $V_{\mathcal{S}}(K \otimes S) = 0$ . Thus,  $\sum s_k \otimes s'_k = 0$ . Therefore,  $V_{\mathcal{S}}(S \otimes S) = 0$ . If  $u = \sum s'_k \otimes s_k \in S \otimes S$  and  $\sum s_k s'_k = 0$ , then as above  $u \in V_{\mathcal{S}}(S \otimes S) = 0$ . Therefore, u = 0. Thus, the canonical mapping of  $S \otimes S$  onto S is a monomorphism, whence an isomorphism.

#### References

- [1] C. FAITH: Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings, Springer-Verlag (1967).
- [2] G. D. FINDLAY and J. LAMBEK: A generallized ring of quotients I, Can. Math. Bull. 1 (1958), 77-85.
- [3] T. KATO: Rings of U-dominant dimension≥1, Tohoku Math. J. 21 (1969), 321-327.
- [4] [J. LAMBEK: Lectures on rings and modules, Blaisdell (1966).
- [5] K. MASAIKE: On quotient rings and torsionless modules, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 11 (1971), 26-31.
- [6] L. SILVER: Noncommutative localizations and applications, J. Algebra 7 (1967), 44-76.

Department of Mathematics Hokkaido University