

On maximal hyperbolic sets

By Masahiro KURATA

(Received May 29, 1976: Revised December 7, 1976)

In this paper we study structures of hyperbolic sets which are maximal invariant sets in their sufficiently small neighbourhoods. One of their important examples is an Anosov diffeomorphism (not necessarily assumed to be topologically transitive).

First we give some definitions.

DEFINITION. Suppose U is an open set of a manifold M , $f: U \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open set of M . $A \subset U$ is called a hyperbolic set if A is a compact invariant set which satisfies the followings; $T_A M$ splits into a Whitney sum of Tf -invariant subbundles

$$T_A M = E^s \oplus E^u$$

such that there are $c > 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ with

$$\|Tf^n v\| \leq c\lambda^n \|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E^s$$

$$\|Tf^{-n} v\| \leq c\lambda^n \|v\| \quad \text{if } v \in E^u$$

for $n \geq 0$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ be a finite set, and \mathcal{A}^Z be a space of functions from Z to \mathcal{A} with the compact-open topology. (Here we assume \mathcal{A} and Z have the discrete topologies.) Let

$$\rho: \mathcal{A}^Z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^Z$$

be given by $\rho((a_i)_{i \in Z}) = (b_i)_{i \in Z}$ where $b_i = a_{i+1}$. Let $T = (t_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ be a $n \times n$ 0--1 matrix. The ρ -invariant set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ (a_i)_{i \in Z} \in \mathcal{A}^Z \mid t_{n_i n_{i+1}} = 1 \text{ were } a_i = A_{n_i} \right\}$$

is called a subshift of finite type (on symbols \mathcal{A} determined by T). ρ is called a shift transformation.

DEFINITION. A hyperbolic set A has a local product structure if there is a positive number δ such that for any $x \in A$

$$\phi: W_\delta^s(x: A) \times W_\delta^u(x: A) \rightarrow A$$

is a homeomorphism onto a neighbourhood of x in A . Here ϕ is given by $\phi(y, z) = W_{2\delta}^u(y: A) \cap W_{2\delta}^s(z: A)$.

DEFINITION. A closed set $D \subset W_\delta^s(\Lambda)$ is called a proper fundamental domain for $W_\delta^s(\Lambda)$ if $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^n(D) \supset W_\delta^s(\Lambda) - \Lambda$ and $D \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. A proper fundamental domain for $W_\delta^u(\Lambda)$ is defined similarly. Where $W_\delta^s(\Lambda) = \bigcup_{x \in \Lambda} W_\delta^s(x)$.

We define for $\delta > 0$ and $x \in \Lambda$,

$$W_\delta^s(x; \Lambda) = W_\delta^s(x) \cap \Lambda$$

and for $B \subset \Lambda$

$$W_\delta^s(B; \Lambda) = \bigcup_{x \in B} W_\delta^s(x; \Lambda).$$

Similarly we define $W_\delta^u(x; \Lambda)$ and $W_\delta^u(B; \Lambda)$. $\text{int}_\Lambda B$ denotes the interior of B in Λ . $\text{Per}(f)$ is the set of periodic points of f .

In [3] we proved the following.

THEOREM 0. *Suppose U is a neighbourhood of a hyperbolic set Λ . Then there is a hyperbolic set Λ' with $\Lambda \subset \Lambda' \subset U$ satisfying the followings. There are a subshift of finite type Σ and a surjection $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda'$ with $f\pi = \pi\rho$. Here ρ denotes a shift transformation. (π is called a semi-conjugacy from Σ to Λ' .)*

Theorem 0 implies

COROLLARY. *Suppose Λ is a hyperbolic set which is a maximal invariant set in its sufficiently small neighbourhood. Then there are a subshift of finite type Σ and a semi-conjugacy $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda$.*

LEMMA 1. *Suppose Λ is a hyperbolic set. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (1) Λ is a maximal invariant set in its sufficiently small neighbourhood U .
- (2) Λ has a local product structure.
- (3) Λ has a proper fundamental domain.

PROOF. Suppose Λ satisfies (1). Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small such that $2\delta < d(\Lambda, M - U)$ and $W_\delta^s(x) \cap W_\delta^u(y) = \{\text{one point}\}$ for any $x, y \in \Lambda$. It is sufficient to prove $W_\delta^s(x) \cap W_\delta^u(y) = \{z\} \subset \Lambda$. Because $d(f^n(x), f^n(z)) < \delta$ and $d(f^{-n}(y), f^{-n}(z)) < \delta$ for $n \geq 0$, we have $cl \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(z) \subset U$. Because Λ is a maximal invariant set in U , we have $cl \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(z) \subset \Lambda$. Thus $z \in \Lambda$. We proved that (1) implies (2). (2) implies (3) ([5]), and (3) implies (1) (cf. [4]).

LEMMA 2. *Let Λ be a hyperbolic set. Suppose there are a subshift of finite type Σ , and a semi-conjugacy $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda$. Then there are periodic points $x_1, \dots, x_l \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^l clW^s(x_i : A).$$

REMARK. In the above we may assume $clW^s(x_i : A)$ ($i=1, \dots, l$) contains interior points in A .

PROOF. For any $(a_i) \in \Sigma$ and a positive integer m , there are integers n and $n+k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= a_{n+k} \\ m &\leq n < n+k \leq m+N+1, \end{aligned}$$

here N is a number of symbols of Σ . Then there is an element $(b_i) \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= a_i && \text{for } i \leq n+k \\ b_{n+ik+j} &= a_{n+j} && \text{for } i \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1. \end{aligned}$$

So $\pi((b_i)) \in W^s(\pi(c))$, where $c \in \Sigma$ is the periodic point with period k determined by the segment (a_n, \dots, a_{n+k}) . Because the number of periodic points with period less than $N+1$ is finite, the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose A is a hyperbolic set which is a maximal invariant set in its sufficiently small neighbourhood. Then*

- (1) *there are closed invariant subsets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n \subset A$ such that $\Omega_i = clPer(f|_{\Omega_i})$, $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n clW^s(\Omega_i : A)$, $W^s(\Omega_i : A)$ is an open set in A , and $W^u(\Omega_i : A) = \Omega_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. Moreover $W^s(\Omega_i : A) \cap W^s(\Omega_j : A) = \phi$ if $i \neq j$.*
- (2) *There are closed invariant subsets $\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_m \subset A$ such that $\Omega'_i = clPer(f|_{\Omega'_i})$, $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^m clW^u(\Omega'_i : A)$, $W^u(\Omega'_i : A)$ is an open set in A and $W^s(\Omega'_i : A) = \Omega'_i$ for $i=1, \dots, m$. Moreover $W^u(\Omega'_i : A) \cap W^u(\Omega'_j : A) = \phi$ if $i \neq j$.*

PROOF. Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n are periodic points given in lemma 2 and $int_A clW^s(x_i : A) \neq \phi$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. Let $x \in W^s(x_i : A)$ be an interior point of $clW^s(x_i : A)$ in A . Suppose $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then for a positive integer n with $d(f^n(x), x_i) \geq \delta$, the map

$$\phi : W^u_\delta(f^n(x) : A) \longrightarrow W^u_{3\delta}(x_i : A)$$

given by $\phi(y) = W^s_{3\delta}(y : A) \cap W^u_{3\delta}(x_i : A)$ is a homeomorphism onto a neighbourhood of x_i in $W^u_{3\delta}(x_i : A)$. Therefore

$$W^u(x_i : A) \subset int_A clW^s(x_i : A).$$

If $int_A clW^s(x_i : A) \cap int_A clW^s(x_j : A) \neq \phi$, it follows that $clW^s(x_i : A) = clW^s(x_j : A)$. So we may assume $clW^s(x_i : A) \cap clW^s(x_j : A) = \phi$ if $i \neq j$.

Define $\Omega_i = clW^u(x_i : A)$. Because $W^u(x_i : A)$ contains dense homoclinic points, we have $clPer(f|\Omega_i) = \Omega_i$.

$W^s(\Omega_i : A)$ is open in A , because for any $x \in W^s(\Omega_i : A)$ there are a positive integer n , a positive number δ and a point $p \in \Omega_i$ such that $W_\delta^s(p : A) \times W_\delta^u(p : A)$ is homeomorphic to an open set in A which contains $f^n(x)$.

Because we have $clW^s(x_i : A) \supset \Omega_i$, $clW^s(x_i : A) \supset W^s(\Omega_i : A) \supset W^s(x_i : A)$. Then

$$W^s(\Omega_i : A) = int_A clW^s(x_i : A)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcup_i clW^s(x_i : A) \\ &= \bigcup_i clW^s(\Omega_i : A). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1). the proof of (2) is similar.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose A is a hyperbolic set which is maximal invariant set in its sufficiently small neighbourhood. Let $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_l$ is the minimal decomposition into disjoint closed invariant sets.*

Then for any i ($i=1, \dots, l$) A_i satisfies one of the followings.

- (1) *$Per(f|A_i)$ is dense in A_i ,*
- (2) *$Per(f|A_i)$ is nowhere dense in A_i .*

PROOF. Let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n$, and $\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_m$ be given in theorem 1. Let A_1, \dots, A_l be the minimal decomposition into disjoint closed sets such that for any i $A_i \cap clW^s(\Omega_j : A) \neq \phi$ implies $A_i \supset clW^s(\Omega_j : A)$ and $A_i \cap clW^u(\Omega'_j : A) \neq \phi$ implies $A_i \supset clW^u(\Omega'_j : A)$.

If $\Omega_i \cap W^u(\Omega'_j : A) = \phi$, we have that $clW^s(\Omega_i : A) = clW^u(\Omega'_j : A)$ and $clW^s(\Omega_i : A)$ has dense periodic points. If $clW^s(\Omega_i : A)$ has dense periodic points and $clW^s(\Omega_i : A) \cap clW^s(\Omega_j : A) \neq \phi$, it follows that $clW^s(\Omega_j : A)$ has dense periodic points.

References

- [1] R. BOWEN: Markov partition for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 725-747.
- [2] M. W. HIRSH and C. C. PUGH: Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets, Global Analysis. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 14, A.M.S. (1970), 133-165.
- [3] M. KURATA: Hartman's theorem for hyperbolic sets, (to appear).
- [4] Z. NITECKI: Differentiable dynamics, MIT Press.

- [5] R. C. ROBINSON: Structural stability of C^1 diffeomorphisms, J. Diff. Eq. 22 (1976), 28-73.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University