

Decomposition of quotients of bounded operators with respect to closability and Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators

Saichi IZUMINO

(Received March 14, 1988, Revised August 3, 1988)

1. Introduction

Let A and B be bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H with the kernel condition

$$(1.1) \quad \ker A \subset \ker B.$$

Then we define a quotient $[B/A]$ as the linear operator: $Ax \mapsto Bx$, $x \in H$. In [5] we showed that both the adjoint and the closure of $[B/A]$ are also represented as reasonable quotients if they exist. Let $P = P_{A^*, B^*}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the closure of the set $B^{*(-1)}(A^*H) := \{x; B^*x \in A^*H\}$, and let $P^\perp = 1 - P$. Then, applying Jorgensen decomposition [6] (Ôta [10]) to $[B/A]$, we obtain the sum decomposition [5] $[B/A] = [PB/A] + [P^\perp B/A]$ of $[B/A]$ into the closable part $[PB/A]$ and the singular part $[P^\perp B/A]$. Extending this notion, we call the decomposition

$$[B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$$

J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ by Q , if Q is an orthogonal projection such that $[QB/A]$ is closable and $[Q^\perp B/A]$ is singular.

Another decomposition is Lebesgue-type (or shortly L-) decomposition of (bounded) positive operators, which was introduced by Ando [2]; if S is a positive operator then every positive operator T is decomposed into the sum $T = U + V$ of two positive operators U and V such that U is S -absolutely continuous and V is S -singular. It was proved in [2] that a positive operator T is S -absolutely continuous if and only if $T^{1/2(-1)}(S^{1/2}H)$ is dense in H . The latter condition is, as a matter of fact, just what guarantees closability of $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ when $\ker S \subset \ker T$ [5], [9]. This suggests close connections between J-decomposition and L-decomposition.

In this paper we first consider J-decomposition of quotients and give some equivalent conditions for uniqueness of this decomposition. Next we show that every J-decomposition of a quotient $[B/A]$ induces an L-decomposition of B^*B with respect to A^*A , and conversely that every

L-decomposition of T with respect to S , under the condition $\ker S \subset \ker T$, is induced from a J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ such that $A^*A=S$ and $B^*B=T$.

To avoid triviality we assume that the Hilbert space H has infinite dimension. An operator is assumed to be bounded linear, defined on H , unless specially stated otherwise.

2. J-decomposition of quotients

For given operators A and B , put

$$(2.1) \quad R = R_{A,B} = (A^*A + B^*B)^{1/2}.$$

Then as a basic fact we have $RH = A^*H + B^*H$ [4, Theorem 2.2]. If we consider the equations

$$(2.2) \quad XR = A \text{ and } YR = B,$$

then, since $A^*H \subset RH$ and $B^*H \subset RH$ we can find operators X and Y satisfying (2.2) [4, Theorem 2.1]. Furthermore, with the restrictions $\ker X \supset \ker R$ and $\ker Y \supset \ker R$ each of the equations has a unique solution, so that we then denote by $X = A_l (= A_{B,l})$ and $Y = B_l (= B_{A,l})$ [5]. Following [4] we now define

$$(2.3) \quad A^*A : B^*B = A^*A_l B_l^* B,$$

and call it the parallel sum of A^*A and B^*B . (If $A^*A = C^*C$ for an operator C , then we can see $A^*A_l = C^*C_l$, so that $A^*A : B^*B$ is really well-defined by (2.3).) In [5] we proved the following facts which are useful for our discussions.

LEMMA 2.1 (cf. [5, Lemma 2.3]). *Let A, B be operators on H , and let R, A_l and B_l are operators defined as before. Then*

- (1) $A_l^* A_l + B_l^* B_l = P_R$, the orthogonal projection onto the closure $(RH)^-$ of RH .
- (2) $A^*A : B^*B = B^*B : A^*A = A^*(1 - A_l A_l^*)A = B^*(1 - B_l B_l^*)B$.
- (3) $A^*H \cap B^*H = (A^*A : B^*B)^{1/2}H$.
- (4) $B^{*(-1)}(A^*H) = (1 - B_l B_l^*)^{1/2}H$.

Denote by P_{A^*, B^*} (or $P(A^*, B^*)$) the orthogonal projection onto $\{B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)\}^-$. Then we have

LEMMA 2.2 *Let V_l be the partial isometry obtained from the polar decomposition $A_l = V_l(A_l^*A_l)^{1/2}$ of A_l . Then*

- (1) $P_{A^*, B^*} = 1 - B_l B_l^* + B_l V_l^* V_l B_l^*.$
 (2) $P_{A^*, B^*} B = B_l V_l^* V_l R.$

PROOF. From Lemma 2.1 (1) we see that $A_l^* A_l$ and $B_l^* B_l$ commute. Hence we have easily

$$(2.4) \quad V_l^* V_l B_l^* B_l = B_l^* B_l V_l^* V_l.$$

To prove (1), let $P = P_{A^*, B^*}$ and denote by Q the right hand side of (1). Then, using Lemma 2.1 (1) again and (2.4), we can see that $Q^2 = Q$, that is, Q is an orthogonal projection. Hence, since $1 - B_l B_l^* \leq Q$ (or $Q - (1 - B_l B_l^*)$ is positive), we have $PH \subset QH$. For the converse inclusion, first note that $B_l^*(1 - B_l B_l^*) = (P_R - B_l^* B_l) B_l^* = A_l^* A_l B_l^*$, and that $\ker A_l^* A_l B_l^* = \ker V_l B_l^*$. Hence we have

$$(2.5) \quad \ker(1 - B_l B_l^*) \subset \ker V_l B_l^*.$$

Hence $\ker(1 - B_l B_l^*) \subset \ker Q$, which implies $PH \supset QH$. Now the identity (2) can be obtained from (1), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 (1).

Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient of operators (with the kernel condition (1.1)). If AH is dense in H , then the adjoint $[B/A]^*$ of $[B/A]$ exists, and it is represented [5, Theorem 4.1] as

$$(2.6) \quad [B/A]^* = [V_l B_l^* / (1 - B_l B_l^*)^{1/2}].$$

In [5], assuming that AH is dense in H , we defined $[B/A]$ to be closable if the domain $(1 - B_l B_l^*)^{1/2} H$ of $[B/A]^*$ is dense in H . Here we, however, want to define $[B/A]$ to be closable (cf. [7, p. 165]) if

$$(2.7) \quad Ax_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } Bx_n \rightarrow y \text{ for a sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ in } H \text{ imply } y = 0.$$

Consequently, we do not assume the denseness of AH in H for closability of $[B/A]$. Denote by $[B/A]^-$ the closure of $[B/A]$ when it exists. Then we have

LEMMA 2.3 (cf. [5, Theorem 4.2], [8, Lemma 3]). *Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient. Then the following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (1) $[B/A]$ is closable, (i.e., (2.7) is assumed.)
 (2) $\ker A_l \subset \ker B_l.$
 (3) $(1 - B_l B_l^*)^{1/2} H (= B^{*(-1)}(A^* H))$ is dense in H .

If one of (1)–(3) holds, then $[B/A]^- = [B_l/A_l]$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) ; Let $A_l u = 0$, $u \in H$. Then, since A_l is defined as a natural extension of the mapping $Rx \mapsto Ax$, $x \in H$, we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$

such that $Rx_n \rightarrow u$ and $Ax_n \rightarrow A_l u = 0$. Hence $Bx_n = B_l Rx_n \rightarrow B_l u$, which implies $B_l u = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3); Let $(1 - B_l B_l^*)u = 0$. Then we have to show that $u = 0$. By (2.5) we see that $B_l^* u \in \ker V_l = \ker A_l$. Hence $B_l B_l^* u = 0$, so that $u = (1 - B_l B_l^*)u + B_l B_l^* u = 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1); Let $Ax_n \rightarrow 0$ and $Bx_n \rightarrow y$. Then $\{Rx_n\}$ is convergent. Put $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n$. Then $A_l z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_l Rx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n z_n = 0$. Hence $(1 - B_l B_l^*)B_l z = B_l(P_R - B_l^* B_l)z = B_l A_l^* A_l z = 0$. Since $\ker(1 - B_l B_l^*) = \{0\}$, we have $B_l z = 0$. Hence $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_l Rx_n = B_l z = 0$.

For the closure $[B/A]^-$, we first note that $[B_l/A_l]$ is an extension of $[B/A]$, because $A = A_l R$ and $B = B_l R$. Since $A_l^* A_l + B_l^* B_l = P_R$ (Lemma 2.1 (1)), we see that $A_l^* H + B_l^* H$ is closed in H . Hence from [8, Theorem 1] (or by a direct computation) we can show that $[B_l/A_l]$ is closed. Now, since AH is dense in $A_l H$ we can conclude that $[B/A]^- = [B_l/A_l]$.

Among general (possibly unbounded) operators a singular operator L is defined ([6] and [10]) as one which has dense domain $D(L)$ in H and satisfies the condition $L(D(L)) \subset D(L^*)^\perp$, that is, the range of L is orthogonal to the domain of L^* . Since the domain of the adjoint of a quotient $[B/A]$ is $(1 - B_l B_l^*)^{1/2} H$, we naturally assume that a singular quotient $[B/A]$ satisfies the condition $BH \subset \{(1 - B_l B_l^*)H\}^\perp$, or equivalently

$$(2.8) \quad BH \subset \ker P_{A^*, B^*}.$$

We here adopt (2.8) as the definition of $[B/A]$ to be singular, and we do not request the denseness of AH in H (cf. [5]). Now on singularity of quotients we can show the next equivalences, the proof of which is almost similar to that in [5].

LEMMA 2.4 [5, Theorem 5.5]. *Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient. Then the following conditions are equivalent;*

- (1) $[B/A]$ is singular, (i. e., (2.8) is assumed.)
- (2) $A_l B_l^* = 0$.
- (3) $A^* A : B^* B = 0$.
- (4) $A^* H \cap B^* H = \{0\}$.

Recall that for a quotient $[B/A]$ and an orthogonal projection Q the decomposition

$$(2.9) \quad [B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$$

is a J-decomposition by Q if $[QB/A]$ is closable and $[Q^\perp B/A]$ is singular. Easily we see that $(QB)^{*(-1)}(A^* B) = Q^{*(-1)}(B^{*(-1)}(A^* H))$, and that the rela-

tion $(Q^\perp B)^*H \cap A^*H = \{0\}$ is equivalent to $Q^\perp H \cap B^{*(-1)}(A^*H) \subset \ker B^*$. Hence from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we have

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient, and let Q be an orthogonal projection. Then $[B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$ is a J-decomposition if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

- (1) $Q^{(-1)}(B^{*(-1)}(A^*H))$ is dense in H .
- (2) $Q^\perp H \cap B^{*(-1)}(A^*H) \subset \ker B^*$.

It is easy to see that the orthogonal projection $P = P_{A^*, B^*}$ satisfies the above conditions (1) and (2). Hence

$$(2.10) \quad [B/A] = [PB/A] + [P^\perp B/A]$$

is really a J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ [5, Theorem 5.4].

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let Q be an orthogonal projection such that $[B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$ is a J-decomposition. Then $Q \leq P_{A^*, B^*}$.*

PROOF. Note that $Q^{(-1)}(B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)) \subset Q^{(-1)}(PH)$ ($P = P_{A^*, B^*}$), and that $Q^{(-1)}(PH)$ is closed. Hence, by the theorem, $Q^{(-1)}(PH) = H$, so that $QH \subset PH$ or $Q \leq P$.

On the closure $[PB/A]^-$ of the closable part $[PB/A]$ of $[B/A]$ in the decomposition (2.10), we have

$$\text{PROPOSITION 2.7.} \quad [PB/A]^- = [B_l V_l^* V_l / A_l].$$

PROOF. From Lemma 2.2 (2) we see that $[B_l V_l^* V_l / A_l]$ is an extension of $[PB/A] = [B_l V_l^* V_l R / A_l R]$. Since $A_l^* A_l + (B_l V_l^* V_l)^* (B_l V_l^* V_l) = V_l^* V_l$ is an orthogonal projection, we can see that $[B_l V_l^* V_l / A_l]$ is closed (as in the proof of Lemma 2.3). Now since AH is dense in $A_l H$, we have the desired identity.

A quotient $[B/A]$ is bounded as an operator on AH if and only if there exists some $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|Bx\| \leq \alpha \|Ax\|$, $x \in H$. An equivalent condition for the boundedness of $[B/A]$ is the relation $B^*H \subset A^*H$ (e.g. by [4, Theorem 2.1]). The following theorem characterizes a quotient whose closable part of the decomposition (2.10) is bounded.

THEOREM 2.8. *The following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (1) $[P_{A^*, B^*} B/A]$ is bounded on AH .
- (2) A_l has closed range.
- (3) $B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)$ is closed in H .

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2); Write $P=P_{A^*,B^*}$ briefly. Since (1) is equivalent to $B^*PH \subset A^*H$, we have $B^*P=A^*X$ for some operator X . Hence by Lemma 2.2 (2) we have $RV_i^*V_iB_i^*=RA_i^*X$, or $V_i^*V_iB_i^*=A_i^*X$. Hence $V_i^*V_i=V_i^*V_i(A_i^*A_i+B_i^*B_i)V_i^*V_i=A_i^*A_i+A_i^*XX^*A_i \leq (1+\|x\|^2)A_i^*A_i$. This implies that $V_i^*H \subset A_i^*H$, so that A_i^* and hence also A_i has closed range.

(2) \Rightarrow (3); Note that $B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)=B_i^{*(-1)}(A_i^*H)$, and that the inverse image $B_i^{*(-1)}(A_i^*H)$ of the closed set A_i^*H is closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (1); If $B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)$ is closed, then $PH=B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)$, so that $B^*PH \subset A^*H$. This implies boundedness of $[PB/A]$.

On uniqueness of the J-decomposition, we have

THEOREM 2.9. *A quotient $[B/A]$ has the unique J-decomposition (2.10) if and only if one of the conditions (1)–(3) in Theorem 2.8 holds.*

PROOF. Suppose that (1) of Theorem 2.8 holds, or equivalently, that $B^*PH \subset A^*H$ ($P=P_{A^*,B^*}$). Let Q be an orthogonal projection which yields a J-decomposition (2.8). Then, by Corollary 2.6 P and Q commute, so that $B^*Q^+PH=B^*PQ^+H \subset A^*H$. Since $[Q^+B/A]$ is singular, we have $A^*H \cap B^*Q^+H=\{0\}$ from Lemma 2.4. Hence $B^*Q^+PH=\{0\}$ or $B^*Q^+P=0$, which implies $QB=PB$, uniqueness of J-decomposition of $[B/A]$.

To see the converse assertion, suppose that $B^*PH \not\subset A^*H$. Then there is a vector $u \in H$ such that $B^*Pu \notin A^*H$. We can assume that $u \in PH$ and $\|u\|=1$. Put $Q=P(1-u \otimes u)$ ($= (1-u \otimes u)P$), where $u \otimes u$ is an operator defined by $(u \otimes u)x = \langle x, u \rangle u$, $x \in H$. ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product of H .) Then clearly Q is an orthogonal projection. Now we want to show that this Q yields a J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ which is different from (2.10). It suffices to prove that

- (i) $OB \neq PB$, and
- (ii) $[QB/A]$ is closable and $[Q^+B/A]$ is singular.

For (i), since $B^*u \notin A^*H$, we see that $B^*u \neq 0$, so that $PB-QB=(u \otimes u)B=u \otimes B^*u \neq 0$. For (ii), first note that $[QB/A]=[QPB/A]$ has an extension $[QB_iV_i^*V_i/A_i]$ ($PB_i=B_iV_i^*V_i$). By a simple computation we can see that $A_i^*A_i+(QB_iV_i^*V_i)^*(QB_iV_i^*V_i)=V_i^*V_i-B_i^*u \otimes B_i^*u$ is an operator with closed range. Hence $[QB_iV_i^*V_i/A_i]$ is a closed extension of $[QB/A]$. Next in order to see that $[Q^+B/A]$ is singular, we want to show that $A^*H \cap B^*Q^+H=\{0\}$. Let $v \in A^*H \cap B^*Q^+H$. Then $v=A^*x=B^*Q^+y$ for some $x, y \in H$. Hence $R(A_i^*x-B_i^*Q^+y)=0$, or equivalently, $A_i^*x=B_i^*Q^+y$. Since $B_i^*Q^+=B_i^*(P^++u \otimes u)=(1-V_i^*V_i)B_i^++B_i^*u \otimes u$, we have

$$A_l^*x = (1 - V_l^*V_l)B_l^*y + \langle y, u \rangle B_l^*u.$$

Multiplying this identity by $RV_l^*V_l$ from the left, we have $RA_l^*x = \langle y, u \rangle RV_l^* \times V_l B_l^*u$, that is, $A^*x = \langle y, u \rangle B^*Pu$. Hence, from the assumption $B^*Pu \notin A^*H$ we conclude that $A^*x = 0$, or $v = 0$.

3. Relations between J-decompositions and L-decompositions

We begin with the definition of L-decomposition of positive operators. Let S be a positive operator. Then a positive operator U is said to be S -absolutely continuous if there exists a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of positive operators such that $U_n \leq U_{n+1}$, $U_n \leq \alpha_n S_n$ for some $\alpha_n > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n = U$ (strong limit). A positive operator V is S -singular if any operator W satisfying $0 \leq W \leq V$, $W \leq S$ is identical to 0. Let T be a positive operator, and let

$$(3.1) \quad T = U + V$$

for two positive operators U and V with the conditions defined as above. Then we call (3.1) an L-decomposition of T with respect to S [2].

Recall that the parallel sum $S : T$ of two positive operators S and T is defined (see (2.3)) by $S : T = S^{1/2}(S^{1/2})_l(T^{1/2})_l^*T^{1/2}$. Easily we see that $S : T$ is bounded by S and T (e. g. by Lemma 2.1 (2)). Furthermore, it is monotone [4, Theorem 4.4], that is, $S : T_1 \leq S : T_2$ if $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2$. Using the parallel sum, Ando [2] introduced an S -absolutely continuous operator

$$[S]T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nS) : T,$$

and proved that

$$(3.2) \quad T = [S]T + (T - [S]T)$$

is an L-decomposition of T with respect to S . (In defining the operator $[S]T$, Ando, however, adopted a different but equivalent definition [1, Theorem 9] of the parallel sum; $\langle (S : T)x, x \rangle = \inf\{\langle Sy, y \rangle + \langle Tz, z \rangle; y + z = x\}$.)

Now, as a relation combining the J-decomposition (2.10) and the L-decomposition (3.2), we have the following result which was essentially obtained by Kosaki [9]. For completeness we shall prove it.

THEOREM 3.1 (cf. [9, Theorem 6]). *Let A, B be operators, and let $S = A^*A$ and $T = B^*B$. Then $[S]T = B^*P_{A^*,B}B$.*

PROOF. Let $R_n = R_{nA,B}$ (cf. (2.1)), and let $X = A_n = (nA)_{B,l}$, $Y = B_n = B_{nA,l}$ be the unique solutions of the equations $XR_n = nA$, $\ker X \supset \ker R_n$ and

$YR_n = B$, $\ker Y \supset \ker R_n$, respectively (cf. (2.2)). Then we easily have the following facts.

- (1) $\|A_n\| \leq 1$, $\|B_n\| \leq 1$.
- (2) $(n^2S) : T = B^*(1 - B_n B_n^*)B$. (By Lemma 2.1 (2).)
- (3) $(1 - B_n B_n^*)^{1/2} H = B^{*(-1)}(nA^*H) = B^{*(-1)}(A^*H)$. (By Lemma 4.1 (4).)
- (4) $1 - B_n B_n^* \leq P_{nA^*, B^*} = P_{A^*, B^*}$. (By Lemma 2.2 (1).)

We want to add more two facts.

- (5) $\{1 - B_n B_n^*\}$ is an increasing squence.
- (6) $(1 - B_l B_l^*)B_n B_n^* = (1/n)B_l A_l^* A_n B_n^*$.

For (5), since $R_m^2 \leq R_n^2$ for $m \leq n$, we have the unique operator $Z = Z_{mn}$ such that $R_m = R_n Z = Z^* R_n$, $\ker Z^* \supset \ker R_n$. Since $B_n R_n = B = B_m R_m = B_m Z^* R_n$, we can see that $B_n = B_m Z^*$. Hence, since $\|Z\| \leq 1$, we have $B_n B_n^* = B_m Z^* \times Z B_m^* \leq B_m B_m^*$, which implies (5).

For (6), we can first obtain $A_1 Z_{1n}^* = (1/n)A_n$ and $B_1 Z_{1n}^* = B_n$ by a similar argument to that used above (to get $B_n = B_m Z^*$). Note that $A_1 = A_l$ and $B_1 = B_l$. Hence, from Lemma 2.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - B_l B_l^*)B_n &= (1 - B_l B_l^*)B_l Z_{1n}^* = B_l(P_R - B_l^* B_l)Z_{1n}^* \\ &= B_l A_l^* A_l Z_{1n}^* = (1/n)B_l A_l^* A_n. \end{aligned}$$

We now get (6) immediately.

To show the desired identity $[S]T = B^*PB$, where $P = P_{A^*, B^*}$, let $Q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - B_n B_n^*)$. Then, by (2), what we have to do is to show $Q = P$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (6), we obtain $(1 - B_l B_l^*)(1 - Q) = 0$. Hence we have easily $P(1 - Q) = 0$, or $P = PQ$. From (4) we can also have $PQ = Q$, which completes the proof.

From the fact $\ker B^* \subset PH$, we can see that $P = 1$ is equivalent to $PB = B$. Between closability of quotients and absolute continuity of positive operators, we have

COROLLARY 3.2 (cf. [2, Theorem 5], [9, Lemma 3]). *Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient. Then the following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (1) $[B/A]$ is closable.
- (2) $P_{A^*, B^*} = 1$.
- (3) B^*B is A^*A -absolutely continuous.

PROOF. (1) \iff (2) ; Clear by Lemma 2.3.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) ; From (2) we have $[A^*A](B^*B) = B^*B$, which implies (3).
 (3) \Rightarrow (1) ; If (3) is assumed, then there is a sequence $\{T_n\}$ of positive operators such that $T_n^2 \leq T_{n+1}^2$, $T_n^2 \leq \alpha_n A^*A$ for some $\alpha_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n^2 = B^*B$.
 Then, since $T_n H \subset A^*H$, we see that $T_n^{(-1)}(A^*H) = H$. Hence $P_{A^*, T_n} = 1$, so that $[A^*A]T_n^2 = T_n^2$. Hence $[A^*A](B^*B) \geq [A^*A]T_n^2 = T_n^2$. Taking the limit, we have $[A^*A](B^*B) \geq B^*B$, or equivalently, $[A^*A](B^*B) = B^*B$. From this identity, we can easily obtain $P_{A^*, B^*}B = B$, which implies (2).

For the singularity of quotients and positive operators, we have

COROLLARY 3.3 (cf. [2, Corollary 3]). *Let $[B/A]$ be a quotient. Then the following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (1) $[B/A]$ is singular.
- (2) $P_{A^*, B^*}B = 0$.
- (3) B^*B is A^*A -singular.

PROOF. The equivalence (1) \iff (2) is clear by the definition (2.8). By Theorem 3.1 the condition (2) is equivalent to the identity

$$(2') \quad [A^*A](B^*B) = 0.$$

From the definitions of $[A^*A](B^*B)$ and A^*A -singularity, we can see the equivalences (2') \iff ($n^2 A^*A$) : $B^*B = 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) \iff (3).

Let $[B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$ be a J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ by an orthogonal projection Q . Then by Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3 we see that $B^*B = B^*QB + B^*Q^\perp B$ is an L-decomposition of B^*B with respect to A^*A . Hence every J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ induces an L-decomposition of B^*B with respect to A^*A . As the converse to this fact we have

THEOREM 3.4. *Let S and T be positive operators with $\ker S \subset \ker T$, and let $T = U + V$ be an L-decomposition of T such that U and V are S -absolutely continuous and S -singular positive operators, respectively. Then there exist an operator B and an orthogonal projection Q such that $U = B^*QB$ and $V = B^*Q^\perp B$. Hence, if A is an operator with $A^*A = S$, then $[B/A] = [QB/A] + [Q^\perp B/A]$ is a J-decomposition of $[B/A]$ by Q , which induces the given L-decomposition of T .*

PROOF. Since the dimension of H is infinite, we can find mutually orthogonal closed linear subspaces M and N in H such that $\dim M = \dim (UH)^\perp$ and $\dim N = \dim (VH)^\perp$. Then there exist partial isometries X and Y such that

$$(3.3) \quad XX^* = P_U, \quad YY^* = P_V, \quad XY^* = 0.$$

Here P_U and P_V are the orthogonal projections onto $(UH)^-$ and $(VH)^-$, respectively. Put $B = X^*U^{1/2} + Y^*V^{1/2}$ and $Q = X^*X$. Then we can obtain all that we desire.

THEOREM 3.5. *If we add the assumption $U^{1/2}H \cap V^{1/2}H = \{0\}$ to Theorem 3.4, then we have a J-decomposition of $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ by some orthogonal projection Q which induces the given L-decomposition $T = U + V$.*

PROOF. Let X and Y be, respectively, the unique solutions of the equations $XT^{1/2} = U^{1/2}$ and $YT^{1/2} = V^{1/2}$ such that $\ker X \subset \ker T$ and $\ker Y \subset \ker T$. Then we can see that $X^*X + Y^*Y = P_T$, and that $T^{1/2} = X^*U^{1/2} + Y^*V^{1/2}$ or $U^{1/2} = XT^{1/2} = XX^*U^{1/2} + XY^*V^{1/2}$. Hence $(P_U - XX^*)U^{1/2} = XY^*V^{1/2}$. Taking the adjoints, we have $U^{1/2}(P_U - XX^*) = V^{1/2}YX^*$. Hence by the assumption $U^{1/2}H \cap V^{1/2}H = \{0\}$, we have $P_U - XX^* = YX^* = 0$. Similarly we can obtain $P_V - YY^* = 0$. Hence we have (3.3) for those X and Y . Now, letting $B = T^{1/2}(A = S^{1/2}$ and $Q = X^*X$), we obtain the desired J-decomposition of $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$.

On uniqueness of L- and J-decompositions we have

THEOREM 3.6. *Let S and T be positive operators with $\ker S \subset \ker T$. Then T has a unique L-decomposition with respect to S if and only if $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ has a unique J-decomposition.*

PROOF. Suppose that T has a unique L-decomposition with respect to S , and let $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}] = [QT^{1/2}/S^{1/2}] + [Q^\perp T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ be a J-decomposition of $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$. Then $T^{1/2}QT^{1/2} = T^{1/2}PT^{1/2}$, where $P = P(S^{1/2}, T^{1/2})$. Hence by Corollary 2.6, $QT^{1/2} = PT^{1/2}$, which implies that $T^{1/2}$ has a unique J-decomposition. Conversely, suppose that $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ has a unique J-decomposition, and let $T = U + V$ be an L-decomposition of T such that U is S -absolutely continuous and V is S -singular. Then by the monotone property of the operation $[S]$, we have $U = [S]U \leq [S]T = T^{1/2}PT^{1/2}$, so that $U^{1/2}H \subset T^{1/2}PH$. By Theorem 2.8 (1) and Theorem 2.9, we see that $T^{1/2}PH \subset S^{1/2}H$. Hence we have $U^{1/2}H \subset S^{1/2}H$. On the other hand, since $[V^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ is singular we have $V^{1/2}H \cap S^{1/2}H = \{0\}$. Hence $U^{1/2}H \cap V^{1/2}H = \{0\}$. Now by Theorem 3.5 we can find an orthogonal projection Q such that $[T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}] = [QT^{1/2}/S^{1/2}] + [Q^\perp T^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ is a J-decomposition which induces the L-decomposition $T = U + V$. Hence, from uniqueness of the J-decomposition we obtain $QT^{1/2} = PT^{1/2}$, so that $U = T^{1/2}QT^{1/2} = T^{1/2}PT^{1/2}$ and $V = T^{1/2}P^\perp T^{1/2}$. This implies uniqueness of the L-decomposition of T .

COROLLARY 3.7 (cf. [2, Theorem 6]). *Let S and T be positive operators with $\ker S \subset \ker T$. Then T has a unique L-decomposition with respect to S if and only if $[S]T \leq \alpha S$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

PROOF. By Theorems 2.7, 2.8 and 3.6 we see that T has a unique L-decomposition with respect to S if and only if $[PT^{1/2}/S^{1/2}]$ is bounded on $S^{1/2}H$. The latter condition is equivalent to $T^{1/2}PH \subset S^{1/2}H$. Since $[S]T = T^{1/2}PT^{1/2}$, we now obtain $[S]T \leq \alpha S$ for some $\alpha > 0$ as an equivalent condition for uniqueness of the L-decomposition of T .

References

- [1] W. N. ANDERSON, JR. and G. E. TRAPP, Shorted operators. II, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 60-71.
- [2] T. ANDO, Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, Acta Sci Math. 38 (1976), 253-260.
- [3] Sirkka-Liisa ERIKSSON and H. LEUTWILER, A potential-theoretic approach to parallel addition, Math. Ann. 274 (1986), 301-317.
- [4] P. A. FILLMORE and J. P. WILLIAMS, On operator ranges, Advances in Math. 7 (1971), 254-281.
- [5] S. IZUMINO, Quotients of bounded operators, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [6] P. E. T. JORGENSEN, Unbounded operators: Perturbations and commutativity problems, J. Functional Anal. 39 (1980), 281-307.
- [7] T. KATO, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1966.
- [8] W. E. KAUFMAN, Representing a closed operator as a quotient of continuous operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 531-534.
- [9] H. KOSAKI, Remarks on Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operators, J. Operator Theory 11 (1984), 137-143.
- [10] S. ÔTA, On a singular part of an unbounded operator, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 7 (1) (1987), 15-18.

Faculty of Education
Toyama University