

## On H-separable extensions of primitive rings II

Dedicated to Professor Kazuhiko Hirata on his 60th birthday

Kozo SUGANO

(Received July 15, 1988)

**Introduction.** Throughout this paper every ring is assumed to have the identity, and all subrings of a ring will contain the identity of the ring, unless otherwise stated. Let  $B$  be a strongly primitive ring and  $A$  an H-separable extension of  $B$ , and suppose  $A$  is left  $B$ -finitely generated projective. In [13] it is shown that in this case  $A$  is also strongly primitive if and only if  $A\mathfrak{z}A \cap B = \mathfrak{z}$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}$  is the socle of  $B$ . The aim of this paper is to detail the structure of  $A$  and  $B$  which satisfy the above condition. Let furthermore  $I$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be faithful minimal left ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and denote the double centralizers of  ${}_A I$ ,  ${}_B I$  and  ${}_B \mathfrak{m}$  by  $A^*$ ,  $\tilde{B}$  and  $B^*$ , respectively. Then there exists a ring isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $B^*$  to  $\tilde{B} (\subseteq A^*)$  such that  $\Phi(b) = b$  for each  $b \in B$ , and  $A^*$  is an H-separable extension of  $\tilde{B} (\cong B^*)$  (Theorem 3.3), that is, the right full linear ring  $A^*$  is an inner Galois extension of the right full linear ring  $B^*$  (See Theorem 4 [11]). We will also treat the inner Galois theory of full linear rings in §4. Let  $A$  be a right full linear ring with its center  $C$ ,  $D$  a simple  $C$ -subalgebra of  $A$  with  $[D : C] < \infty$  and  $B = V_A(D)$ . Denote the class of right full linear subrings  $R$  of  $A$  such that  $R$  contains  $B$  and the simple left ideal of  $A$  is a finite direct sum of faithful simple left  $R$ -modules by  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the class of simple  $C$ -subalgebras of  $V_A(B)$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ . We already know that there exists a duality between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . We will show that a right full linear subring  $R$  of  $A$  containing  $B$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $A$  is left or right  $B$ -projective (Theorem 4.1). §1 is the preparation for §2, and in §2 we will introduce some fundamental properties of strongly primitive rings. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a flat left  $R$ -module, and denote the Gabriel topology of  $R$  consisting of right ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}M = M$  by  $\mathfrak{F}$ . As K. Morita showed in [5], there is a ring isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ , the ring of quotients of  $R$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , to a subring of  $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M)$ . In [3] the author gave a simpler proof of this theorem. Here we will determine  $\text{Im } \theta$  completely, and show that  $\text{Im } \theta$  consists of elements  $r^*$  of  $R^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}r^* \subseteq \tilde{R}$  for some  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\tilde{R}$  is the image of the canonical map of  $R$  to  $R^*$  (Theorem 1.1). By applying this theorem to

the strongly primitive ring, we can obtain a generalization of the last part of Theorem 3 [1], that is, if  $R$  is a strongly primitive ring with its socle  $\mathfrak{z}$  and a faithful minimal left ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , the above map  $\theta$  induces the isomorphism of  $\text{End}(\mathfrak{z}_R)$  to  $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M)$ . This means that, regarding  $R$  as a subring of  $R^*$  by the canonical map,  $\mathfrak{z}$  becomes a left ideal of  $R^*$ , and the map  $\sigma$  of  $R^*$  to  $\text{End}(\mathfrak{z}_R)$  such that  $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$ , for each  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{z}$ , is an isomorphism (Theorem 2.1).

1. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Assume that  $M$  is  $R$ -flat, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the set of right ideals  $\alpha$  of  $R$  such that  $\alpha M = M$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Gabriel topology on  $R$ , and as is shown in [6] we can construct the rings  $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, R_R)$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{F}} = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, R/t(R)_R)$ , where  $t(R)$  is the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -torsion submodule of  $R$ , namely,  $t(R) = \{x \in R \mid x\alpha = 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ . For any  $m \in M$  and  $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ , if  $x$  is represented by  $\xi: \alpha_R \rightarrow R/t(R)_R$  with  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then we have  $m = \sum a_i m_i$  with  $a_i \in \alpha$  and  $m_i \in M$ , since  $m \in M = \alpha M$ . Then we can define  $xm = \sum \xi(a_i) m_i$ , and by this definition we can make  $M$  a left  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module such that  $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes_R M \cong M$ , via  $x \otimes m \rightarrow xm$ , for  $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  and  $m \in M$ , and  $\text{Hom}_{(R_{\mathfrak{F}})}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{(R)}(M, N)$  for any  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module  $N$ . (See [11]). Let  $S = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R M)$  and  $R^* = \text{Bic}({}_R M) = \text{Hom}(M_S, M_S)$ . There exists a ring homomorphism  $\theta$  of  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  to  $R^*$  such that  $\theta(x)(m) = xm$ , for  $x \in R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  and  $m \in M$ , since  $S = \text{Hom}_{(R_{\mathfrak{F}})}(M, M)$ .  $\theta$  is an injection, since  $t(R) = \text{Ann}({}_R M)$ . Denote the canonical ring homomorphisms of  $R$  to  $R^*$  and  $R$  to  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  by  $\iota$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. Then  $\iota = \theta\psi$ . Now we have the completion of theorems 1.4 and 1.6 [5] as follows (See also Theorem 1 [11]).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *With the same notation as above,  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is isomorphic to the subring of  $R^*$  consisting of all elements  $r^*$  of  $R^*$  such that  $r^*\alpha \subset \text{Im } \iota$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$ , namely,  $\text{Im } \theta = \pi^{-1}(t(R^*/\text{Im } \iota))$ , where  $\pi$  is the canonical map of  $R^*$  to  $R^*/\text{Im } \iota$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\text{Cok } \psi$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -torsion and  $\theta\psi = \iota$ ,  $\text{Im } \theta / \text{Im } \iota$  is also  $\mathfrak{F}$ -torsion. Thus  $\text{Im } \theta \subset \pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota))$ . Let  $r^* \in \pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota))$ . This means that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $r^*\alpha \subset \text{Im } \iota$ . But we have  $\text{Im } \iota = R/t(R)$ , since  $\text{Ker } \iota = \text{Ann}({}_R M) = t(R)$ . Therefore, for each  $a \in \alpha$  there exists an  $\bar{r} \in R/t(R)$  such that  $\bar{r}m = (r^*a)(m) = r^*(am)$  for each  $m \in M$ , that is,  $r^*a = \bar{r} \in R/t(R)$ . Thus we have an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\xi$  of  $\alpha$  to  $R/t(R)$  such that  $\xi(a) = r^*a \in R/t(R)$ . Let  $x$  be the element of  $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$  represented by  $\xi$ , and let  $m = \sum a_i m_i$  with  $a_i \in \alpha$  and  $m_i \in M$ . Then  $xm = \sum \xi(a_i) m_i = \sum (r^*a_i) m_i = r^*(\sum a_i m_i) = r^*(m)$ , for each  $m \in M$ . This means  $r^* = x \in \text{Im } \theta$ . Thus we have  $\pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cok } \iota)) \subset \text{Im } \theta$ , and consequently,  $\text{Im } \theta =$

$\pi^{-1}(t(\text{Cokl}))$ .

COROLLARY 1.1. (Proposition 8.5 XI [6]). *If  $M$  is  $R$ -finitely generated projective, then  $\theta$  is an isomorphism, i. e.,  $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \cong \text{Bic}({}_R M)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $M$  is  $R$ -finitely generated projective, we have  $R^* \otimes_R M \cong M$ , via  $r^* \otimes m \rightarrow r^*(m)$ , for any  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $m \in M$ . Thus we have  $R^*/\text{Im}\iota \otimes_R M = 0$ , which means that  $R^*/\text{Im}\iota$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -torsion. Then we have that  $\text{Im}\theta = R^*$  by Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let  $M$  be a faithful finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, and  $\alpha$  the trace ideal of  $M$  in  $R$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$  to  $R^*$  such that  $\rho(\xi)(m) = \sum \xi(a_i)m_i$  for each  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$  and  $m \in M$ , where  $m = \sum a_i m_i$  with  $a_i \in \alpha$  and  $m_i \in M$ . Moreover,  $\alpha$  is a left ideal of  $R^*$ , regarding  $R$  as a subring of  $R^*$  by the usual way, and the inverse map  $\sigma$  of  $\rho$  is given by  $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$ , for each  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $a \in \alpha$ .*

PROOF. Since  $M$  is  $R$ -projective, we have  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha M = M$ .  $\alpha$  is contained in every right ideal belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Hence we have  $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$ . But  $t(R) = \text{Ann}({}_R M) = 0$ , since  $M$  is  $R$ -faithful. Therefore we have  $R^* \cong R_{\mathfrak{F}} = R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \text{Hom}(\alpha_R, \alpha_R)$ . Next, since  $R^*/R (= R^*/\text{Im}\iota)$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -torsion, we have  $r^*\alpha \subset R$  for each  $r^* \in R^*$ . But  $\alpha = \alpha^2$ . Hence  $r^*\alpha = (r^*\alpha)\alpha \subset R\alpha = \alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is a left ideal of  $R^*$ . Note that  $r^*a = b \in \alpha$ , for  $a \in \alpha$ , means that  $r^*(am) = bm$  for each  $m \in M$ . Therefore if we define  $\sigma(r^*)(a) = r^*a$  for  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $a \in \alpha$ , we have  $(\rho\sigma(r^*))(m) = \sum \sigma(r^*)(a_i)m_i = \sum (r^*a_i)(m_i) = r^*(\sum a_i m_i) = r^*(m)$  for each  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $m \in M$ , where  $m = \sum a_i m_i$  with  $a_i \in \alpha$  and  $m_i \in M$ . Thus we have  $\rho\sigma = 1_{R^*}$  and  $\sigma = \rho^{-1}$ .

2. Now we will apply the results of §1 to the theory on strongly primitive rings. For a few moments we do not assume that all rings have the identities. A ring  $R$  is said to be strongly primitive if  $R$  has a faithful minimal left ideal. In this case  $R$  has also a faithful minimal right ideal, and the left socle of  $R$  coincides with the right socle and is the smallest non zero ideal of  $R$ . It is shown in Lemma 2 [1] that the typical examples of strongly primitive rings are subrings of a left (or right) full linear ring which contain the socle of it. Here we will give a generalization of it with a simpler proof.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $R$  be a strongly primitive ring with the socle  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Then every subring of  $R$  which contains  $\mathfrak{z}$  is also a strongly primitive ring.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a faithful minimal left ideal of  $R$ .  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a left ideal

of  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a non zero left ideal of  $\mathfrak{z}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{l}$ .  $\mathfrak{z}$  is faithful as right  $R$ -module. Hence  $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n}$  is a non zero left ideal of  $R$  with  $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{l}$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{l}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a minimal left ideal of  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a faithful minimal left ideal of every subring of  $R$  containing  $\mathfrak{z}$ . (See §2.4[4]).

The next theorem is a generalization of the last part of Theorem 3 [1].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly primitive ring with the socle  $\mathfrak{z}$  and  $\mathfrak{l}$  a faithful minimal left ideal of  $R$ . Denote the double centralizer of  ${}_R\mathfrak{l}$  by  $R^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}$  is a left ideal of  $R^*$ , and the map  $\sigma$  of  $R^*$  to  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_R, \mathfrak{z}_R)$  defined by  $\sigma(r^*)(x) = r^*x$ , for  $r^* \in R^*$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ , is an isomorphism.*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1 [1] we have  $\mathfrak{l} = Re$  for some primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ .  $\text{Hom}({}_RRe, {}_RRe) = eRe$  and  $R \subset R^* = \text{Hom}(Re_{eRe}, Re_{eRe})$ . Of course,  $Re$  is  $R^*$ -faithful. Let  $R'$  be the subring of  $R^*$  generated by  $R$  and the identity of  $R^*$ . Then we have  $R'R = RR' = R$ , and consequently,  $R'e = Re$ , and see that  $Re$  is faithful minimal left ideal of  $R'$ . Thus  $R'$  is also strongly primitive. Next, let  $R'f$  be any minimal left ideal of  $R'$  with  $f^2 = f \in R'$ . Since  $R'e \cong R'f$ , there exist  $x, y \in R'$  such that  $f = fyeexf$  and  $e = exffye$ . Then  $f \in R'RR' = R$ , and  $R'f = Rf \subset \mathfrak{z}$ . This means that the socle of  $R'$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Moreover since  $Re = R'e$ , we have  $eRe = eR'e$ , and see that the double centralizer of  ${}_R R'e$  coincides with  $R^*$ , while  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_R, \mathfrak{z}_R) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}_{R'}, \mathfrak{z}_{R'})$ . Therefore we can assume that  $R$  has the identity. Then  $\mathfrak{l} = Re$  is  $R$ -faithful finitely generated projective, and  $\mathfrak{z}$  coincides with the trace ideal of  ${}_R\mathfrak{l}$  in  $R$ , since every two minimal left ideals are isomorphic. Now we can apply Corollary 1.2.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *With the same notation as Theorem 2.1, we have that  $\mathfrak{z}R^*$  coincides with the socle of  $R^*$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{z}^*$  be the socle of  $R^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}R^*$  is an ideal of  $R^*$  by Theorem 2.1, we have  $\mathfrak{z}R^* \supset \mathfrak{z}^*$ . Let  $f$  be any primitive idempotent of  $R$ . Then  $Re \cong Rf$  and  $R^*f \cong R^* \otimes_R Rf \cong R^* \otimes_R Re \cong Re$  as  $R^*$ -module. Thus  $R^*f$  is a minimal left ideal of  $R^*$ , and we have  $f \in \mathfrak{z}^*$ . This means that  $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{z}R^* \subset \mathfrak{z}^*$ . Now we have  $\mathfrak{z}R^* = \mathfrak{z}^*$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a left primitive ring and  $M$  a faithful simple left  $R$ -module. Then, for each non zero idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ,  $eM$  is a faithful simple left  $eRe$ -module. Thus  $eRe$  is also left primitive.*

**PROOF.** It is obvious that  $eM$  is  $eRe$ -faithful, since  $M$  is  $R$ -faithful. Let  $N$  be a non zero submodule of  ${}_R eM$ . Then  $0 \neq ReN \subset M$ , and we have  $M = ReN$ , since  $M$  is  $R$ -simple. Then  $eM = eReN = N$ , which means

$\mathfrak{m}$  which contains no non zero ideal. Let  $\alpha = \text{Tr}(A_B)$ , the trace ideal of  $A_B$ . Under our hypotheses we have  $\alpha \neq 0$ . If  $A\mathfrak{m} = A$ , we have  $f(A) = f(A\mathfrak{m}) = f(A)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  for any  $f$  in  $\text{Hom}(A_B, B_B)$ . This means that  $0 \neq \alpha \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $A\mathfrak{m} \neq A$ , and there exists a maximal left ideal  $L$  of  $A$  such that  $A\mathfrak{m} \subset L$  and  $L \cap B = \mathfrak{m}$ . Suppose that  $L$  contains a non zero ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . Then we have  $I = A(I \cap B)$  or  $I = (I \cap B)A$  by Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 [8]. Hence we have  $0 \neq I \cap B \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A$  has a maximal left ideal which contains no proper ideal.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If  $R$  is a left (or right) primitive ring, then for any finitely generated projective left  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{End}({}_R M)$  is also a left (resp. right) primitive ring.*

PROOF. This is clear by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, since  $M_n(R)$  is an H-separable extension of  $R$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $B$  be a left (or right) primitive ring and  $A$  an H-separable extension of  $B$ . Assume that  $A$  is left  $B$ -finitely generated projective. Then  $D(=V_A(B))$  is a semiprime ring without proper central idempotent. In particular if  $C$  is a field,  $D$  is a simple artinian ring.*

PROOF. By assumption  $\text{End}({}_B A)$  is a left (resp. right) primitive ring. Therefore it has neither non zero nilpotent ideal nor proper central idempotent. But there exists a ring isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $D \otimes_C A^\circ$  to  $\text{End}({}_B A)$  such that  $\eta(d \otimes a^\circ)(x) = dxa$  for any  $a, x \in A$  and  $d \in D$ , since  $A$  is H-separable over  $B$ . Then if  $\alpha$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $D$ ,  $\alpha \otimes A^\circ$  must be zero in  $D \otimes_C A^\circ$ . Therefore, for each  $a \in \alpha$ ,  $\eta(a \otimes 1^\circ)(A) = aA = 0$ . This implies  $\alpha = 0$ . For the same reason we have that, if  $e$  is a central idempotent of  $D$ ,  $e = \eta(e \otimes 1^\circ)(1) = 0$ . The rest of the proof is obvious, since  $D$  is finitely generated as  $C$ -module.

The next lemma is a paraphrase of Proposition 4 [13].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be strongly primitive rings with their socles  $S$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$ , respectively. Suppose that  $A$  is left (or right)  $B$ -projective. Then we have either  $B \cap S = 0$  or  $B \cap S = \mathfrak{z}$  and  $S = A\mathfrak{z}A$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $B \cap S \neq 0$ . Since  $S$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$  are the smallest non zero ideal of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, we have  $S \subset A\mathfrak{z}A$  and  $\mathfrak{z} \subset B \cap S$ . On the other hand we have  $B \cap S \subset \mathfrak{z}$  by Proposition 4 [13]. Hence we have  $\mathfrak{z} = B \cap S \subset S$ , and  $A\mathfrak{z}A \subset S$ . Then we have  $S = A\mathfrak{z}A$ .

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $S$  and  $\mathfrak{z}$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Assume furthermore that  $A$  is an H-separable extension of  $B$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{z} =$*

that  $eM$  is  $eRe$ -simple. (See Proposition 3.7.1 [4]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a strongly primitive ring with the socle  $\mathfrak{z}$  and  $e$  a non zero idempotent of  $R$ . Then  $eRe$  is also a strongly primitive ring with the socle  $e\mathfrak{z}e$ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 1 [1],  $Re$  contains a faithful minimal left ideal  $l$  of  $R$ . Then by the above lemma  $el=ele$  is a minimal faithful left ideal of  $eRe$ . Thus  $eRe$  is strongly primitive. Let  $\alpha(=e\alpha e)$  be any non zero ideal of  $eRe$ . Then  $Re\alpha eR$  contains  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Hence we have  $e\mathfrak{z}e \subset eRe\alpha eRe = \alpha$ . Thus  $e\mathfrak{z}e$  is the smallest non zero ideal of  $eRe$ . Then  $e\mathfrak{z}e$  coincides with the socle of  $eRe$ .

Hereafter we assume again that all rings have the identities.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $R$  be a strongly primitive ring and  $M$  a finitely generated projective left  $R$ -module. Then  $End({}_R M)$  is also a strongly primitive ring.*

PROOF.  $M_n(R)$ , the  $n \times n$ -full matrix ring over  $R$ , is an H-separable extension of  $R$  and  $R$ -free of rank  $n^2$ . Moreover,  $M_n(\mathfrak{z})$  is the smallest ideal of  $M_n(R)$  with  $M_n(\mathfrak{z}) \cap R = \mathfrak{z}$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}$  is the socle of  $R$ . Therefore  $M_n(R)$  is a strongly primitive ring by Theorem 1 [13]. By assumption  $M$  is a direct summand of a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$  for some  $n$ , and there exists an idempotent  $e$  of  $M_n(R)$  such that  $End({}_R M) = eM_n(R)e$ . Then  $End({}_R M)$  is also a strongly primitive ring by Proposition 2.2.

3. In this section we will deal with H-separable extensions of strongly primitive rings. We will use the same notation as the author's previous papers. In particular for an  $R$ - $R$ -module  $M$  we denote  $M^r = \{m \in M \mid rm = mr \text{ for any } r \in R\}$ , and for any subring  $S$  of  $R$   $V_R(S) = R^S$ , regarding  $R$  as an  $S$ - $S$ -module. Throughout this section  $A$  will be a ring with the center  $C$ ,  $B$  a subring of  $A$  and  $D = V_A(B)$ , the centralizer of  $B$  in  $A$ .  $A$  is an H-separable extension of  $B$  if and only if  $D$  is  $C$ -finitely generated projective and the map  $\eta$  of  $A \otimes_B A$  to  $Hom({}_C D, {}_C A)$  defined by  $\eta(a \otimes b)(d) = adb$ , for  $a, b \in A$  and  $d \in D$ , is an isomorphism.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $B$  be a left primitive ring and  $A$  an H-separable extension of  $B$ . If furthermore  $A$  is right  $B$ -finitely generated projective, or  $B$  is a right  $B$ -direct summand of  $A$ , then  $A$  is also a left primitive ring.*

PROOF. A ring is left primitive if and only if it has a maximal left ideal which contains no non zero ideal. Thus  $B$  has a maximal left ideal

$S \cap B$  and  $S = A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \text{Soc}({}_B A)$ .

PROOF. Since  $A$  is H-separable over  $B$  and left  $B$ -finitely generated projective, we have  $S = (S \cap B)A$  by Theorem 3.1 [8]. Hence  $S \cap B \neq 0$ , and we have  $\mathfrak{z} = S \cap B$ ,  $S = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A}$  by Lemma 3.1. That  $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \text{Soc}({}_B A)$  follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $R$  be a strongly primitive ring with the socle  $\mathfrak{z}$  and  $M$  a projective left  $R$ -module. Then we have  $\text{Soc}({}_R M) = \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M}$ . Every  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is faithful.*

PROOF. By assumption there exist  $f_i \in \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$  and  $m_i \in M$ , for some index set  $i \in \Lambda$ , such that for each  $m \in M$   $f_i(m) = 0$  for almost all  $i \in \Lambda$  and  $m = \sum f_i(m)m_i$ . Let  $N$  be any non zero  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , and suppose  $\text{Ann}({}_R N) \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z} \subset \text{Ann}({}_R N)$  and  $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N} = 0$ . There exists at least one  $i$  such that  $f_i(N) \neq 0$ . Then  $f_i(N)$  is a faithful left ideal of  $R$ . But we have  $\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{f_i(N)} = f_i(\underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N}) = f_i(0) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus every non zero  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is faithful. Then if  $N$  is a simple  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , we have  $0 \neq \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{N} = N$ . Hence  $N \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M}$ , and  $\text{Soc}({}_R M) \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{M} \subset \text{Soc}({}_R M)$ .

In [13] it is shown that, in the case where  $A$  is an H-separable extension of a strongly primitive ring  $B$  and is left  $B$ -finitely generated projective,  $A$  is also strongly primitive if and only if  $B \cap A \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A} = \mathfrak{z}$  holds (Theorem 1 [13]). In this situation we will detail the structure of  $A$  and  $B$ .

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $B$  be a strongly primitive ring and  $A$  an H-separable extension of  $A$ . Assume that  $A$  is also strongly primitive and left  $B$ -finitely generated projective. Let  $I$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be faithful minimal left ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and denote the double centralizers of  ${}_A I$  and  ${}_B \mathfrak{m}$  by  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively. Still more let  $\tilde{B}$  be the double centralizer of  ${}_B I$ . Then we have*

(1)  $I \cong \bigoplus^r \mathfrak{m}$  for some positive integer  $r$ , and  $\text{End}({}_B I)$  is a simple artinian ring.

(2) There exists a ring isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $B^*$  to  $\tilde{B}$  such that  $\Phi(b) = b$  for any  $b \in B$ .

(3)  $D \otimes_C C^*$  is a simple artinian ring and isomorphic to  $V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$ , where  $C^*$  is the center of  $A^*$ .

(4)  $A^*$  is an H-separable extension of  $\tilde{B} (\cong B^*)$ .

PROOF. (1).  $I$  is  $B$ -finitely generated, since  $A$  is left  $B$ -finitely generated, while we have  $I \subset \underset{\mathfrak{z}}{A}$  by Theorem 3.2, where  $\mathfrak{z}$  is the socle of  $B$ . Hence we have (1). (2). This is immediate from (1), since there exists a canonical ring isomorphism of  $\text{Bic}({}_B \mathfrak{m})$  to  $\text{Bic}({}_B \bigoplus \mathfrak{m})$ . (3). Put  $\Delta$

$=\text{End}({}_A I)$ ,  $\Gamma=\text{End}({}_B I)$  and  $\Lambda=\text{End}(I)$ .  $A$  and  $B$  are subrings of  $\Lambda$ , and we have  $\Lambda^A=V_\Lambda(A)=\Delta$  and  $\Lambda^B=V_\Lambda(B)=\Gamma$ . It is obvious that the center of  $\Delta$  coincides with  $C^*$ , the center of  $\text{End}(I_\Delta)(=A^*)$ . Since  $A$  is H-separable over  $B$ , we have a ring isomorphism  $g$  of  $D\otimes_C\Lambda^A$  to  $\Lambda^B$  such that  $g(d\otimes\lambda)=d\lambda$  for each  $d\in D$  and  $\lambda\in\Lambda^A$ . This means that  $\Gamma=D\otimes_C\Delta=(D\otimes_C C^*)\otimes_{C^*}\Delta$ . Then since  $\Gamma$  is simple artinian and  $\Delta$  is a division ring with its center  $C^*$ , we have that  $D\otimes_C C^*$  is simple artinian by well known Noether-Krosch Theorem. Next, since  $\tilde{B}=V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(B))$ , we have  $V_\Lambda(\tilde{B})=V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(B)))=V_\Lambda(B)=\Gamma$ . Then,  $V_{A^*}(B)=\text{Hom}({}_B I_\Delta, {}_B I_\Delta)=\text{End}(I_\Delta)\cap\text{End}({}_B I)=A^*\cap\Gamma=A^*\cap V_\Lambda(\tilde{B})=V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$ , while  $C^*=V_\Delta(\Delta)=\text{End}({}_A I_\Delta)=V_{A^*}(A)$ . On the other hand since  $A$  is an H-separable extension of  $B$ , we have a ring isomorphism  $D\otimes_C V_{A^*}(A)\cong V_{A^*}(B)$  defined by the same way as the above map  $g$ . Then we have  $D\otimes_C C^*\cong V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$ . (4). Since  $\tilde{B}=V_\Lambda(\Gamma)=V_\Lambda(D\Delta)=V_\Lambda(D)\cap V_\Lambda(\Delta)=V_\Lambda(D)\cap A^*=V_{A^*}(D)$ , we have  $V_{A^*}(A^*(\tilde{B}))=\tilde{B}$ . Furthermore,  $V_{A^*}(\tilde{B})$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with  $[V_{A^*}(\tilde{B}):C^*]=[D\otimes_C C^*:C^*]<\infty$  by (3). Of course  $A^*$  and  $\tilde{B}(\cong B^*)$  are right full linear rings. Then by Theorem 4 [11],  $A^*$  is an H-separable extension of  $\tilde{B}$ .

REMARK. With the same notation as Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, let  $I=\bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_i$  with  $m_i\cong m$  as left  $B$ -module and  $f_i$  the  $B$ -isomorphism of  $m_i$  to  $m$  for each  $i$ . The isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $B^*$  to  $\tilde{B}$  in Theorem 3.3 (2) is given by  $\Phi(b^*)(\sum m_i)=\sum(b^*(m_i f_i))f_i^{-1}$ , for each  $b^*\in B^*$  and  $m_i\in m_i$ . On the other hand there is a ring isomorphism  $\bar{\Psi}$  of  $\text{End}({}_3 B)$  to a subring of  $\text{End}({}_3\otimes_B A_A)$  such that  $\bar{\Psi}(f)(a\otimes x)=f(a)\otimes x$  for  $f\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$ ,  $a\in {}_3$  and  $x\in A$ . But we have  ${}_3\otimes_B A\cong {}_3 A=S$ , since  $A$  is right  $B$ -flat. Then we obtain by  $\bar{\Psi}$  a ring isomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $\text{End}({}_3 B)$  to a subring of  $\text{End}(S_A)$  such that  $\Psi(f)(\sum a_i x_i)=\sum f(a_i)x_i$  for each  $f\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$ ,  $a_i\in {}_3$  and  $x_i\in A$ . Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 there exist ring isomorphisms  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  of  $A^*$  to  $\text{End}(S_A)$  and  $B^*$  to  $\text{End}({}_3 B)$ , respectively. For each  $x\in I$  let  $x=\sum m_i$  with  $m_i\in m_i$ , and  $m_i=\sum a_{ij}m_{ij}$  with  $a_{ij}\in {}_3$  and  $m_{ij}\in m_i (={}_3 m_i)$ . Then by direct computations we have  $\Phi(\sigma'^{-1}(\xi))(x)=\sum_{i,j}\xi(a_{ij})m_{ij}=(\sigma^{-1}\Psi(\xi))(x)$  for each  $\xi\in\text{End}({}_3 B)$ . Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^* & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & A^* \\ \sigma' \downarrow & \Phi & \downarrow \sigma \\ \text{End}({}_3 B) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{End}(S_A) \\ & \Psi & \end{array}$$

4. In this short section we will deal with H-separable extensions of right full linear rings, which have closed relations with inner galois theory

of full linear rings (See [1]).

Let  $B$  be a right full linear ring and  $A$  an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$ . Then,  $A$  is also a right full linear ring,  $D$  is a simple  $C$ -algebra with  $[D : C] < \infty$  and  $B = V_A(D)$  (See Theorem 4 [13]). Let  $I$  be a faithful simple left ideal of  $A$ . Denote the class of right full linear subrings  $R$  of  $A$  such that  $R$  contains  $B$  and  $I$  is a finite direct sum of faithful simple left  $R$ -modules by  $\mathcal{L}$ , and the class of simple  $C$ -subalgebras of  $D$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then by Theorems 36.2 and 36.4 [2], we obtain mutually inverse 1-1-correspondences between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , namely, if  $R \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $V_A(R) \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $R = V_A(V_A(R))$ , and conversely if  $E \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $V_A(E) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $E = V_A(V_A(E))$ . Concerning with this inner Galois theory we have.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $A, B, \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be as above. Then for any right full linear subring  $R$  of  $A$  which contains  $B$ , the following three conditions are equivalent ;*

- (a)  *$A$  is left  $R$ -finitely generated projective.*
- (b)  *$A$  is right  $R$ -finitely generated projective.*
- (c)  *$R \in \mathcal{L}$*

**PROOF.** Firstly note that  $A$  is both left and right  $B$ -finitely generated free (See Theorem 4 [11]). Let  $S, \mathfrak{z}$  and  $\mathfrak{z}'$  be the socles of  $A, B$  and  $R$ , respectively. By Theorem 2 [13] we have  $S = \mathfrak{z}A$  and  $\mathfrak{z} = S \cap B \subset S \cap R \neq 0$ . Now suppose (a) or (b). Then in either case  $S \cap R = \mathfrak{z}'$  by Lemma 3.1. Then,  $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}' \subset S$ , and  $S = \mathfrak{z}A \subset \mathfrak{z}'A \subset S$ . Thus we have  $S = \mathfrak{z}'A$ , which implies  $R \in \mathcal{L}$ . That (c) implies (a) is due to Theorem 36.2 [2], while that (c) implies (b) is shown in Theorem 4 [11]. Now we have proved the theorem.

### References

- [ 1 ] G. AZUMAYA and T. NAKAYAMA : On irreducible rings, Ann. Math., 48 (1946), 949-965.
- [ 2 ] G. AZUMAYA and T. NAKAYAMA : Algebra II (in Japanese), Iwanami, 1954.
- [ 3 ] K. HIRATA : Some types of separable extensions of rings, Nagoya Math. J., 33 (1968), 107-115.
- [ 4 ] N. JACOBSON : Structure of Rings, A. M. S Colloquium Publications 37.
- [ 5 ] K. MORITA : Flat modules, injective modules and quotient rings, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 25-40.
- [ 6 ] B. STENSTRÖM : Rings of Quotients, Springer, 1975.
- [ 7 ] K. SUGANO : Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions, Osaka J. Math., 4 (1967), 265-270.
- [ 8 ] K. SUGANO : On Projective  $H$ -separable extensions, Hokkaido Math. J., 5 (1976), 44-54.
- [ 9 ] K. SUGANO : On  $H$ -separable extensions of two sided simple rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 11 (1982), 246-252.

- [10] K. SUGANO: H-separable extensions of simple rings, Proc. 16th Sympo. on Ring Theory, Okayama, 1983, 13-20.
- [11] K. SUGANO: On flat H-separable extensions and Gabriel topology, Hokkaido Math. J., 15 (1986), 149-155.
- [12] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of two sided simple rings II, Hokkaido Math. J., 16 (1987), 71-74.
- [13] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of primitive rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 16 (1987), 207-211.

Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060, Japan