

On bicommutators of modules over H-separable extension rings

KOZO SUGANO

(Received February 23, 1990)

Abstract

Let a ring A be an H-separable extension of a subring B , and assume that A is left B -finitely generated projective. The aim of this paper is to show under this condition that for any left A -module M the bicommutator A^* of ${}_A M$ is an H-separable extension of the bicommutator B^* of ${}_B M$ such that $V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*))=B^*$, and that A^* is left B^* -finitely generated projective (Theorem 1). We will also show that, under the additional condition that B is a left (or right) B -direct summand of A , ${}_A M$ has the double centralizer property if and only if ${}_B M$ does. Theorem 1 is a generalization of (4) Theorem 3.3 [7], but it is interesting for itself. This paper contains the correction to an error in [7]. In the proof of 3.3 [7] we put $\Lambda = \text{End}(I)$, $\Delta = \text{End}({}_A I)$ and $\Gamma = \text{End}({}_B I)$, where I is a faithful minimal left ideal of A . We let $\Gamma(\supseteq \Delta)$ operate on I to the right, and regarded all of A , B , Γ and Δ as subrings of Λ . This is an error. If we had let all of them operate on I to the left then we were right. In Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 we will give the correct proof of Theorem 3.3 [7] in more general form.

Throughout this paper all rings will have the identities, and all modules over rings will be unitary. Let A be a ring. For any subset S of A , $V_A(S)$ will mean the centralizer of S in A , namely,

$$V_A(S) = \{a \in A : sa = as \text{ for any } s \in S\}$$

For an A - A -module M we will write

$$M^A = \{m \in M : am = ma \text{ for any } a \in A\}$$

Therefore if ${}_A M_R$ and ${}_A N_R$ are A - R -modules for another ring R , $\text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A N)$ becomes an R - R -module, and we have $[\text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A N)]^R = \text{Hom}({}_A M_R, {}_A N_R)$. Let M be a left A -module and $\Delta = \text{End}({}_A M)$. We will let Δ operate to the left on M , and make M a left A - Δ -bimodule. We call $\text{End}({}_A M)$ the bicommutator of ${}_A M$, and denote it by $\text{Bic}({}_A M)$. Put $A^* = \text{Bic}({}_A M)$. There exists a natural homomorphism ι of A to A^* such that $\iota(a)(m) = am$, for $a \in A$, $m \in M$. If M is A -faithful, ι is an injection. In this case

we will identify A with $Im\iota$, and regard A as a subring of A^* .

Hereafter A will always be a ring with the identity 1 and B a subring of A containing 1, and C and D will be the center of A and the centralizer of B in A , respectively. Note that for each left A -module M $B^* = Bic({}_B M)$ is a subring of $A^* = Bic({}_A M)$, and the canonical map of B to B^* is the restriction of the one of A to A^* on B . A is an H-separable extension of B if and only if for any A - A -module M the map g_M of $D \otimes_c M^A$ to M^B defined by $g_M(d \otimes m) = dm$, for $d \in D$, $m \in M^A$, is an isomorphism. As for the fundamental property of H-separable extensions of rings see [2], [4] and [5].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let A be an H-separable extension of B and M a left A -module. Put $A^* = Bic({}_A M)$ and $B^* = Bic({}_B M)$, and let C^* be the center of A^* and D^* the centralizer of B^* in A^* . Furthermore put $\bar{A} = \iota(A)$ and $\bar{B} = \iota(B)$. Then we have $B^* = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*))$, $V_{A^*}(\bar{A}) = C^*$ and $D^* = V_{A^*}(\bar{B}) \cong D \otimes_c C^*$. If furthermore M is faithful as A -module, we have $V_A(V_A(B)) = A \cap B^*$, regarding A as a subring of A^* .*

PROOF. Put $\Lambda = \text{End}(M)$, $\Delta = \text{End}({}_A M)$ and $\Gamma = \text{End}({}_B M)$. We will regard M as a left Λ -module. Of course A , Δ and Γ are subrings of Λ , and we have $\Delta = \Lambda^A = V_\Lambda(A)$, $\Gamma = \Lambda^B = V_\Lambda(B)$, $A^* = V_\Lambda(\Delta)$ and $B^* = V_\Lambda(\Gamma)$. Since A is an H-separable extension of B , regarding A^* and Λ as A - A -modules, we have the following two isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} V_{A^*}(\bar{B}) &= A^{*B} \cong D \otimes_c A^{*A} = D \otimes_c V_{A^*}(\bar{A}) \\ \Gamma &= \Lambda^B \cong D \otimes_c \Lambda^A = D \otimes_c \Delta \end{aligned}$$

By the latter isomorphism we have $B^* = \text{End}({}_\Gamma M) = \text{End}({}_{D-\Delta} M) = [\text{End}({}_\Delta M)]^D = A^{*D} = V_{A^*}(\bar{D})$, where $\bar{D} = \iota(D)$. Then $V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*)) = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(\bar{D}))) = V_{A^*}(\bar{D}) = B^*$. On the other hand since $B^* = V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(\bar{B}))$, we have $V_\Lambda(B^*) = V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(V_\Lambda(\bar{B}))) = V_\Lambda(\bar{B})$ and $V_{A^*}(B^*) = A^* \cap V_\Lambda(B^*) = A^* \cap V_\Lambda(\bar{B}) = V_{A^*}(\bar{B})$. Furthermore we see that $C^* = V_\Lambda(\Delta) = \text{End}({}_{A-\Delta} M) = [\text{End}({}_\Delta M)]^A = A^{*A} = V_{A^*}(\bar{A})$. Then, $V_{A^*}(B^*) = V_{A^*}(\bar{B}) = D \otimes_c V_{A^*}(\bar{A}) = D \otimes_c C^*$. The last assertion can be stated more generally. By Proposition 1.5 [4] we have $V_{\bar{A}}(\bar{B}) \cong D \otimes_c \bar{C}$, where \bar{C} is the center of \bar{A} . Then $V_{\bar{A}}(V_{\bar{A}}(\bar{B})) = V_{\bar{A}}(\bar{D} \bar{C}) = V_{\bar{A}}(\bar{D}) = \bar{A} \cap V_{A^*}(\bar{D}) = \bar{A} \cap B^*$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be an H-separable extension of B . If there exists a faithful left A -module such that ${}_B M$ has the double centralizer property, that is, $B \cong Bic({}_B M)$, then we have $B = V_A(V_A(B))$.*

PROOF. Regarding A as a subring of A^* , we have $V_A(V_A(B)) = A \cap B^* = A \cap B = B$ by the last part of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let A be an H -separable extension of B , and assume that A is left (or right) B -finitely generated projective. Then if there exists a left A -module M such that ${}_B M$ has the double centralizer property, we have $B = V_A(V_A(B))$.*

PROOF. Put $\alpha = \text{Ann}({}_A M)$, the annihilator of ${}_A M$. Then $\alpha \cap B = \text{Ann}({}_B M) = 0$, since $B = \text{Bic}({}_B M)$. But our assumption implies $\alpha = (\alpha \cap B)A$ (or $\alpha = A(\alpha \cap B)$) (See Theorem 3.1 [5]). In either case we have $\alpha = 0$, which means that M is faithful as A -module. Now apply Corollary 1.

The next lemma has been proved in [6] by the same author. Here we will state it without proof.

LEMMA 1 (Proposition 1 [6]). *In the case where $V_A(V_A(B)) = B$, the following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (i) *A is an H -separable extension of B and left B -finitely generated projective*
- (ii) *A is a left $D \otimes_c A^\circ$ -generator, and D is C -finitely generated projective.*

Now we can obtain our main theorem, which includes Theorem 3.3 (4) [7].

THEOREM 1. *Let A be an H -separable extension of B . If A is left (resp. right) B -finitely generated projective, then for any left A -module M , $A^* = \text{Bic}({}_A M)$ is an H -separable extension of $B^* = \text{Bic}({}_B M)$ such that $B^* = V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*))$, and A^* is left (resp. right) B^* -finitely generated projective. If B is a left (resp. right) B -direct summand of A , then B^* is a left (resp. right) B^* -direct summand of A^* .*

PROOF. Put $D^* = V_{A^*}(B^*)$. Then $D^* \cong D \otimes_c C^*$ by Proposition 1. Since D is C -finitely generated projective, D^* is C^* -finitely generated projective. Next, since $D \otimes_c A^\circ \cong \text{End}({}_B A)$, and A is left B -finitely generated projective, A is a left $D \otimes_c A^\circ$ -generator. This means that $D \otimes_c A < \bigoplus (A \oplus A \oplus \dots \oplus A)$ as D - A -module. Then $D \otimes_c A^* \cong D \otimes_c A \otimes_A A^* < \bigoplus (A \oplus A \oplus \dots \oplus A) \otimes_A A^* \cong A^* \oplus A^* \oplus \dots \oplus A^*$ as D - A^* -module, which means that A^* is a left $D \otimes_c A^{\circ}$ -generator, while $D \otimes_c A^{\circ} \cong D \otimes_c C^* \otimes_{C^*} A^{\circ} \cong D^* \otimes_{C^*} A^{\circ}$. Furthermore we have $V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*)) = B^*$ by Proposition 1. Now we can apply Lemma 1 to have that A^* is an H -separable extension of B^* and left B^* -finitely generated projective. Now assume that B is a left B -direct summand of A . Then the map $D \otimes_c A \rightarrow A$, defined by $d \otimes a \rightarrow da$ for $d \in D$ and $a \in A$, splits as D - A -map (See Proposition 3.2 [2]). Then the map $D \otimes_c A^* \rightarrow A^*$, defined by the same way, splits

as D - A^* -map, which implies that $B^* = A^{*p}$ is a left B^* -direct summand of A^* . By the left and right symmetry we can prove the assertion in the case A is right B -f.g. projective.

For any ring A and its subring B , if the map π of $A \otimes_B A$ to A such that $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$, for $a, b \in A$, is an isomorphism, we will write simply $A \otimes_B A \cong A$. In this case we have $C = V_A(B)$, since $C \cong \text{Hom}({}_A A_A, {}_A A_A) \cong \text{Hom}({}_A A \otimes_B A_A, {}_A A_A) \cong V_A(B)$.

LEMMA 2. $A \otimes_B A \cong A$ if and only if A is an H -separable extension of B such that $C = D$.

PROOF. Suppose that A is H -separable over B and $C = D$. Then we have an isomorphism η of $A \otimes_B A$ to $\text{Hom}({}_c D, {}_c A)$ such that $\eta(x \otimes y)(d) = xdy$ for $x, y \in A$ and $d \in D$. But $\text{Hom}({}_c D, {}_c A) = \text{Hom}({}_c C, {}_c A) \cong A$. The composition of η and the above isomorphism is equal to π . Thus we have $A \otimes_B A \cong A$. The converse is obvious.

PROPOSITION 2. Let A be an H -separable extension of B such that A is left B -finitely generated projective and M a left A -module. Suppose that ${}_B M$ has the double centralizer property, and let A^* , B^* , C^* , D^* , and ι be as in Proposition 1. Then ι is an injection, and regarding A as a subring of A^* , we have $B^* = B = V_A(V_A(B))$, $C^* = C$ and $D^* = D$. Furthermore, A^* is left A -finitely generated projective and $A^* \otimes_A A^* \cong A^*$.

PROOF. For the same reason as Corollary 2 ι is an injection, and we can identify A with $\iota(A)$ and B with B^* . Then since A^* is H -separable over B^* and A is separable over B^* , A^* is H -separable over A . But $C^* = V_{A^*}(A)$ by Proposition 1. Hence we have $A^* \otimes_A A^* \cong A^*$ by Lemma 2. Next, we have $C \subset V_{A^*}(A) = C^*$ and $C^* \subset V_{A^*}(V_{A^*}(B^*)) = B^* \subset A$ by Proposition 1. That $C^* \subset A$ implies $C^* \subset C$, and we have $C^* = C$. Then $D^* = DC^* = D$ by Proposition 1. That $B = V_A(V_A(B))$ is due to Corollary 2.

THEOREM 2. Let A be an H -separable extension of B such that A is left B -finitely generated projective and M a left A -module. Assume furthermore that B is a left (or right) B -direct summand of A . Then, ${}_A M$ has the double centralizer property if and only if ${}_B M$ does.

PROOF. Suppose $A = A^*$. Then by Proposition 1 we have $V_A(V_A(B)) = B^* \cap A = B^* \cap A^* = B^*$, while we have $B = V_A(V_A(B))$ by Proposition 1.2 [4]. Thus we have $B = B^*$. Conversely suppose that $B = B^*$. Then by proposition 2 we have $A \subset A^*$ and $A^* \otimes_A A^* \cong A^*$. On the other hand since B is a left (resp. right) B -direct summand of A , B^* is a left (resp. right)

over B^* , A is a left (resp. right) A -direct summand of A^* by Lemma 4.4 [2]. By this fact together with $A^* \otimes_A A^* \cong A^*$, we have $A = A^*$.

References

- [1] K. HIRATA and K. SUGANO: On semisimple extensions and separable extensions over noncommutative rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 18 (1966), 360-373.
- [2] K. HIRATA: Separable extensions and centralizers of rings, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 35 (1969), 31-45.
- [3] B. STENSTROM: *Rings of Quotients*, Springer, 1975.
- [4] K. SUGANO: Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions, *Osaka J. Math.*, 4 (1967), 265-270.
- [5] K. SUGANO: On projective H-separable extensions, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 5 (1975), 44-54.
- [6] K. SUGANO: H-separable extensions of simple rings, *Proc. 16th. Symposium on Ring Theory*, 1983, Okayama.
- [7] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of primitive rings II, to appear in *Hokkaido Math. J.*
- [8] H. TOMINAGA: A note on H-separable extensions, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50 (1974), 446-447.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hokkaido university
Sapporo 060, Japan