## On cyclic tournaments

Noboru ITO (Received January 30, 1991)

1.

Let V be the set of integers 1, 2, ..., v and S(v) the symmetric group on V. Put C=(1, 2, ..., v). Let W(v) be the set of all subgroups of S(v) of odd orders containing C.

A complete asymmetric digraph A whose set of vertices is V is also called a tournament. We identify a digraph with its adjacency matrix. We also identify a permutation with its matrix representation.

Let A and B be two tournaments of order v. Then B is equivalent to A if there exists a permutation matrix P such that  $B=P^tAP$ , where t denotes the transposition. This is a true equivalence relation. If B=A, then P is called an automorphism of A. The set G(A) of all automorphisms of A forms a group, the automorphism group of A.

A tournament A is called cyclic if G(A) contains C. Let A be a cyclic tournament of order v. We may regard the first row vector O(1) of A as the out-neighborhood of the vertex 1. Since A is cyclic, A is completely determined by O(1). Put v=2k+1 and  $i^*=v-i+1$  for  $2 \le i \le k+1$ . We call  $\{i, i^*\}$  a complementary pair for  $2 \le i \le k+1$ . Choose one element from each complementary pair. This procedure determines O(1) and hence A. Thus there exist  $2^k$  cyclic tournaments. Let C(v) be the set of all cyclic tournaments of order v.

Let G be an element of W(v) and H the stabilizer of 1 in G. If we want to construct a cyclic tournament A such that G(A) contains G, then we have a restriction on the choice of elements from complementary pairs imposed by H. Namely if i and  $j^*$  belong to the same orbit of H, then both of i and  $j^*$  or none of them have to be chosen. If we do so, then we see that every maximal element G of W(v) is of the form G = G(A) for some element A of C(v).

Let v=p be a prime and u(p) the odd portion of p-1, namely  $p-1=2^eu(p)$ . Then G(p) denotes the metacyclic group of order pu(p) on V. Since G(p) is maximal in W(p), G(p)=G(A) for some tournament A of order p.

In the present paper we show the following:

274 N. Ito

- (i) If any v-cycle of G(A) is a power of C, we can determine the size of the equivalence class of A in C(v);
- (ii) Any maximal element of W(v) is of the form  $G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p_2)^{\circ}...$   $^{\circ}G(p_r)$ , where  $v=p_1p_2...p_r$  is a prime decomposition of v and  $^{\circ}$  denotes the Polya composition. For this see [1];
- (iii) An element of W(v) of the largest order is uniquely (up to the conjugacy in W(v)) determined by a certain linear order of odd primes; and
- (iv) Any element of W(v) is of the form G(A) for a certain element A of C(v).

2.

PROPOSITION 1. Let A and B be two equivalent cyclic tournaments such that  $B=P^tAP$ , where P is a permutation matrix. Assume that any v-cycle of G(A) is a power of C. Then P belongs to the normalizer  $N(\langle C \rangle)$  of  $\langle C \rangle$ . Put  $N(\langle C \rangle) = \langle C \rangle N(v)$ , where N(v) is the stabilizer of 1 in  $N(\langle C \rangle)$ . Then N(v) is Abelian of order  $\varphi(v)$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler totient function. Let  $\varphi(A)$  be the order of  $N(v) \cap G(A)$ . Then the size of the equivalence class in C(v) to which A belongs equals  $\varphi(v)/\varphi(A)$ .

PROOF. We have that  $A = PBP^t = PC^tBCP^t = PC^tP^tAPCP^t$ . So  $PCP^t$  belongs to G(A). By assumption  $PCP^t$  is a power of C. The rest is obvious.

REMARK 1. The assumption on G(A) in proposition 1 is satisfied, in particular, if  $G(A) = \langle C \rangle$  or v is square-free. So for certain v it is possible to have a formula for the number of equivalence classes of cyclic tournaments.

- (i) If v is a Fermat prime,  $v=2^m+1$ , then each equivalence class has size v-1 and hence there exist  $2^{2^{m-1-m}}$  classes.
- (ii) If v and (v-1)/2=k are primes, then, since any tournament A of order v such that G(A) has order vk is equivalent to the tournament of quadratic residue (or non-residue) type ([2]), there exist  $(2^{k-1}-1/k)+1$  classes.

3.

PROPOSITION 2. Let G be a maximal element of W(v). Then G is similar to  $G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p_2)^{\circ}...^{\circ}G(p_r)$ , where  $^{\circ}$  denotes the Polya composition and  $v = p_1p_2...p_r$  is a prime decomposition.

PROOF. If v is a prime, then our assertion holds good by a theorem

of Burnside ([4], (11.7)). So assume that v is not a prime. Since G contains G, by a theorem of Schur ([4], (25.3)) G is imprimitive. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G of index m containing  $G_1$ , the stabilizer of 1 in G. Let core(M) denote the largest normal subgroup of G contained in G. Then G/core(M) is a permutation group of degree G and of odd order containing an G-cycle. Since G is maximal in G, by a theorem of Schur ([4], (25.3)) we have that G is a prime. Now we apply an induction argument with respect to the degree. For the rest we refer to ([3], 10.5.5).

REMARK 2. We notice that, under the assumption that G contains a v-cycle, we have shown the solvability of G without invoking the Feit-Thompson theorem.

## 4.

Let P be the set of all odd primes. We introduce a new order in P as follows:  $p\gg q$  if and only if  $(qu(q))^{p-1}>(pu(p))^{q-1}$ .

LEMMA 1.  $\gg$  is a linear order.

PROOF. If  $p \neq q$ , then  $(qu(q))^{p-1} \neq (pu(p))^{q-1}$ . Now assume that  $p \gg q$  and  $q \gg r$ . Then we have that  $(qu(q))^{p-1} > (pu(p))^{q-1}$  and  $(ru(r))^{q-1} > (qu(q))^{r-1}$ . So it follows that  $(qu(q))^{(p-1)(r-1)} > (pu(p))^{(q-1)(r-1)}$  and that  $(ru(r))^{(q-1)(p-1)} > (qu(q))^{(r-1)(p-1)}$ . Hence we have that  $(ru(r))^{p-1} > (pu(p))^{r-1}$ , namely  $p \gg r$ .

REMARK 3. The following is the sequence of odd primes under 100 in the increasing order using  $\gg$ : 3, 7, 5, 11, 13, 19, 23, 31, 29, 17, 43, 37, 47, 41, 59, 67, 61, 71, 79, 83, 73, 89, 97.

PROPOSITION 3. Let G be an element of W(v) of the largest order. Then  $G = G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p^2)^{\circ}...^{\circ}G(p_r)$ , where  $v = p_1p_2...p_r$  is a prime decomposition such that  $p_1 \ge p_2 \ge ... \ge p_r$ .

PROOF. The case r=1 is trivial. Assume that r=2. Then the orders of  $G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p_2)$  and  $G(p_2)^{\circ}G(p_1)$  are equal to  $p_1u(p_1)(p_2u(p_2))^{p_1}$  and  $p_2u(p_2)(p_1u(p_1))^{p_2}$  respectively. So if  $p_1\gg p_2$ , then the order of  $G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p_2)$  is larger than that of  $G(p_2)^{\circ}G(p_1)$ . Now assume that  $r\geq 3$  and put  $v=p_1p_2z$ . Then by an induction argument on r it is sufficient to compare the orders of  $G_1=G(p_1)^{\circ}G(p_2)^{\circ}G(z)$  and  $G_2=G(p_2)^{\circ}G(p_1)^{\circ}G(z)$ , where  $G(z)=G(p_3)^{\circ}...^{\circ}G(p_r)$ . In particular, we may assume that  $p_1\neq p_2$ . Let g(z) denote the order of G(z). Now the orders of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are equal to  $p_1u(p_1)(p_2u(p_2)g(z)^{p_2})^{p_1}$  and  $p_2u(p_2)(p_1u(p_1)g(z)^{p_1})^{p_2}$  respectively. So exact-

276 N. Ito

ly as in the case where r=2, we see that the order of  $G_1$  is larger than that of  $G_2$ .

REMARK 4. Though G is unique up to the conjugacy in S(v), there may exist many inequivalent A's such that G(A) = G.

**5.** 

Let O(i) denote the out-neighborhood of i,  $1 \le i \le v$ .

LEMMA 2. Let A be a cyclic tournament of order v such that the out-neighborhood O(1) of the vertex 1 consists of 2, 3, ..., k, where v=2k+1. Then  $G(A)=\langle C \rangle$ .

PROOF. It is enough to notice that  $O(1) \cap O(i)$  contains k-i+1 vertices for  $1 \le i \le k+1$ , which implies that the stabilizer of 1 in G(A) is trivial.

REMARK 5. We remark that we have  $G(A) = \langle C \rangle$  for most cyclic tournaments A.

LEMMA 3. Let X and Y be elements of W(v) such that X contains Y properly. Let X(1) and Y(1) be the stabilizers of 1 in X and Y respectively. Then X(1) and Y(1) have distinct orbit decompositions on  $V-\{1\}$ .

PROOF. We apply an induction argument on the order v. If v is a prime, then, by a theorem of Burnside [4,11.7], X(1) and Y(1) are semiregular on  $V-\{1\}$  and X(1) contains Y(1) properly. So the assertion is obvious. If v is not a prime, then, by a theorem of Schur [4,25.3] X is imprimitive. Let D be a non-trivial block and X(D) and Y(D) the global stabilizers of D in X and Y respectively. Since Y contains C, Y(D) is transitive on D. X(1) and Y(1) are the stabilizers of 1 in X(D) and Y(D) respectively. Then by induction hypothesis the orbit decomposition of Y(1) is a proper refinement of that of X(1) on  $D-\{1\}$ .

PROPOSITION 4. Let W be an element of W(v). Then there exists a cyclic tournament A of order v such that W = G(A).

PROOF. In §1 we described a procedure to construct a cyclic tournament  $A^{\circ}$  such that  $G(A^{\circ})$  contains W. Now assume that  $G(A^{\circ})$  contains W properly. Let  $G(A^{\circ})(1)$  and W(1) be the stabilizers of 1 in  $G(A^{\circ})$  and W respectively. Then by Lemma 3 the orbit decomposition of  $V-\{1\}$  by W(1) is a proper refinement of that by  $G(A^{\circ})(1)$ . So by the procedure

described in §1 we can construct a cyclic tournament  $A^{\circ\circ}$  such that  $G(A^{\circ\circ})$  contains W and  $G(A^{\circ})$  contains  $G(A^{\circ\circ})$  properly. We may repeat this process. So eventually we obtain a cyclic tournament A such that G(A) = W.

## References

- [1] M. GOLDBERG and J. W. MOON, On the composition of two tournaments. Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 323-332.
- [2] E. C. JOHNSEN, Skew-Hadamard Abelian group difference sets. J. Algebra 4 (1966), 388-402.
- [3] W. R. SCOTT, Group Theory. Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- [4] H. WIELANDT, Finite permutation groups. Academic Press, 1964.

Department of Mathematics Meijo University Nagoya-Tenpaku, Japan 468