Interpolating sequences and embedding theorems in weighted Bergman spaces

Masahiro YAMADA

(Received August 16, 1995; Revised October 30, 1995)

Abstract. For $0 , let <math>L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ denote the weighted Bergman space on the unit disk D in the complex plane, where μ is a finite positive Borel measure on D. When μ is an absolutely continuous measure which satisfies an (A_{p}) -condition, we study interpolating sequences on $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ and give several sufficient conditions in order that such a sequence exists in $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$. Using them, we obtain embedding theorems for weighted Bergman spaces between $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ and $L^{q}{}_{a}(\nu)$, where ν is a finite positive Borel measure on D and $0 < q < \infty$.

Key words: interpolating sequence, (A_p) -condition, Carleson inequality, Bergman space, analytic function.

1. Introduction

Let D denote the open unit disk in the complex plane and H a set of all analytic functions on D. For $0 , let <math>L^{p}(\mu)$ denote an L^{p} space on D with respect to a finite positive Borel measure μ on D and set $L^{p}_{a}(\mu) = L^{p}(\mu) \cap H$, which is called a weighted Bergman space on D.

For any a in D, let ϕ_a be the Möbius function on D, that is, $\phi_a(z) = (a-z)/(1-\overline{a}z)$ $(z \in D)$, and put $\beta(a,z) = 1/2\{\log(1+|\phi_a(z)|)(1-|\phi_a(z)|)^{-1}\}$ $(a,z \in D)$. For $0 < r < \infty$ and a in D, let $D_r(a) = \{z \in D; \beta(a,z) < r\}$ be the Bergman disk with "center" a and "radius" r, and m be the Lebesgue area measure on D. We define an average of a finite positive measure μ on $D_r(a)$ by

$$\widehat{\mu}_r(a) = \frac{1}{m(D_r(a))} \int_{D_r(a)} d\mu \quad (a \in D),$$

and if there exists a non-negative function w in $L^1(m)$ such that $d\mu = w dm$, then we may write it \hat{w}_r instead of $\hat{\mu}_r$.

Let ν and μ be finite positive Borel measures on D, and for 0 < p, $q < \infty$, let $i : L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu) \to L^{q}{}_{a}(\nu)$ be an inclusion mapping. Our purpose of this paper is to study a necessary and sufficient condition on ν and μ so

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D99, 42A50.

that the inclusion mapping *i* is continuous. We say that ν and μ satisfy a (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p), if there is a constant C > 0 such that $(\int |f|^q d\nu)^{1/q} \leq C(\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ for all *f* in *H*. When $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, clearly *i* is continuous if and only if ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p). Hence, naturally we will study a necessary and sufficient condition on ν and μ so that ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and remaining cases, namely 0 or <math>0 < q < 1.

Particularly, put $d\mu = (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} dm$ for $\alpha > -1$. When 0 $q < \infty$, Oleinik-Pavlov [11] showed that ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) if and only if there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that (1 - q) = 0 $|a|^2)^{2(1-q/p)}\widehat{\nu}_r(a)/\widehat{\mu}_r(a)^{q/p}$ is bounded for $a \in D$. And when $0 < q < p < \infty$, Lucking [8] showed that ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q,p) if and only if there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is in $L^{t}(\mu)$, where 1/t + 1/(p/q) = 1. In the result of [8], roughly speaking, if $p \to q$, then $t \to \infty$, hence we obtain that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is bounded for $a \in$ D. Therefore, we can find the common property between two inequalities which are the (ν,μ) -Carleson inequalities of (q,p) when 0and $0 < q < p < \infty$. Conversely, when $0 , <math>\mu = m$ and $d\mu =$ $\chi_G dm$, where χ_G is a characteristic function of a measurable subset G of D, Luecking [5] showed the equivalence between the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (p,p) and the condition that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is bounded for $a \in D$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p)is not known completely when ν , μ , p, and q are general. Therefore, it is interesting to study this condition. However, the result for this investigation is known only in Nakazi-Yamada [9]. When p = q = 2, $d\mu = wdm$, and w satisfies the $(A_2(0))_{\partial}$ -condition (See §3.), Nakazi-Yamada [9] showed the equivalence between the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (2,2) and the condition that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is bounded for $a \in D$. Since $w(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}$ does not satisfies the $(A_2(0))_{\partial}$ -condition if $\alpha \geq 1$, this result does not contain Oleinik-Pavlov's one.

In §2 of this paper, we give two sufficient conditions for the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) when $0 and <math>0 < q < p < \infty$. In §3, observing interpolating sequences in weighted Bergman spaces, we show that two sufficient conditions in §2 are also necessary when μ satisfies some conditions. This interpolation problem was studied by Amar [1], Amar gives a sufficient condition for a sequence in D in order that it is an interpolating sequence in $L^{p}_{a}(m)$. And Rochberg [12] extended it when D is a symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The proofs in [12] are based on the results in [2]. Here, it is difficult that the results in [2] can be extend to the weighted case. Therefore, we use quantities ε_R and δ_R in order to avoid the difficulty (See §3.). Using the quantities, we give a sufficient condition for μ in order that an interpolating sequence exists in $L^p{}_a(\mu)$, and give necessary and sufficient conditions, which can be unifiable, on ν and μ in order that (ν, μ) -Carleson inequalities of (q, p) are satisfied when $0 and <math>0 < q < p < \infty$.

The author wishes to thank Professor Takahiko Nakazi for his advice and indispensable help while this work was in progress.

2. (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality

Let $w \ge 0$ be an integrable function on D. For 1 , we say that <math>w satisfies an (A_p) -condition if there exist $0 < r, C < \infty$ such that

$$\widehat{w}_r(a)(w^{-1/(p-1)})\widehat{r}(a)^{p-1} \leq C$$

for all a in D (This condition is often called condition C_p [7].). Moreover, for $\alpha > -1$, put $dm_{\alpha} = (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} dm$, and throughout, C will denote a positive constant whose value is not necessarily the same at each occurrence; it may vary even within a line. We give sufficient conditions on ν and μ which satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p). The following lemma 1 is a consequence of corollary 3.6 and corollary 3.8 in [7].

Lemma 1 Suppose that $d\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$ and w satisfies the (A_p) -condition for some 0 . Then, for any <math>0 < r(1), r(2), $r(3) < \infty$, there is a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that $C^{-1}\widehat{\mu}_{r(1)}(a) \leq \widehat{\mu}_{r(2)}(z) \leq C\widehat{\mu}_{r(1)}(a)$ for all a, z in D such that $\beta(a, z) < r(3)$.

Lemma 1 implies that the (A_p) -condition is independent of choice of rand $\widehat{w}_r(a)$ is equivalent to $\widehat{w}_r(z)$ for $z \in D_r(a)$ when w satisfies the (A_p) condition. The following proposition 1 gives sufficient conditions on ν and μ which satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p). In order to prove them we use ideas in [8], [9] and [14; p109].

Proposition 1 Suppose that $d\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$ and w satisfies the (A_s) -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$.

(1) Suppose that $0 . If there exists <math>0 < r < \infty$ such that $(1 - |a|^2)^{2(1-q/p)} \hat{\nu}_r(a) / \hat{\mu}_r(a)^{q/p}$ is bounded for $a \in D$, then ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p).

(2) Suppose that $0 < q < p < \infty$. If there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is in $L^t(\mu)$, here 1/t + 1/(p/q) = 1, then ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p).

Proof. (1) Suppose that there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $(1 - |a|^2)^{2(1-q/p)} \hat{\nu}_r(a) / \hat{\mu}_r(a)^{q/p}$ is bounded for $a \in D$. Proposition 4.3.8 in [14; p62] and Hölder's inequality imply that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$|f(a)|^{p/s} \leq \frac{C}{m(D_r(a))} \int_{D_r(a)} |f|^{p/s} dm$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{m(D_r(a))} \left(\int_{D_r(a)} |f|^p w dm \right)^{1/s}$$
$$\times \left(\int_{D_r(a)} w^{-1/(s-1)} dm \right)^{(s-1)/s}$$

for all f in H and a in D. Since $m(D_r(a))$ is equivalent to $(1 - |a|^2)^2$, the function $(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}$ can be replaced by $(1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha}$ for z in $D_r(a)$, and w satisfies the (A_s) -condition, hence lemma 1 implies that $|f(a)|^q \leq C(\int_{D_r(a)} |f|^p d\mu)^{q/p} \hat{\mu}_r(a)^{-q/p} (1 - |a|^2)^{-2q/p}$ for all f in H and a in D. Integrating the inequality with respect to ν over D, and by lemma 4.3.6 in [14; p62], there is a positive integer $N = N_r$ such that there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset D$ satisfying that $D = \bigcup D_r(\lambda_n)$ and any z in D belongs to at most N of the sets $D_{2r}(\lambda_n)$, therefore lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{D} |f|^{q} d\nu \\ &\leq C \sum \int_{D_{r}(\lambda_{n})} \left(\int_{D_{r}(a)} |f|^{p} d\mu \,\widehat{\mu}_{r}(a)^{-1} (1-|a|^{2})^{-2} \right)^{q/p} d\nu(a) \\ &\leq C \sum \left(\int_{D_{2r}(\lambda_{n})} |f|^{p} d\mu \,\widehat{\mu}_{r}(\lambda_{n})^{-1} (1-|\lambda_{n}|^{2})^{-2} \right)^{q/p} \nu(D_{r}(\lambda_{n})), \end{split}$$

since $D_r(a) \subset D_{2r}(\lambda_n)$ for a in $D_r(\lambda_n)$ and $(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}$ can be replaced by $(1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha}$ for z in $D_r(a)$. Hence the hypothesis and the choice of Bergman disks imply that the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) is satisfied, because $q/p \geq 1$.

(2) Suppose that there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is in $L^t(\mu)$, where 1/t + 1/(p/q) = 1. At the first inequality in the proof of (1)

of this proposition, we replace p by q, then we have that

$$|f(a)|^{q} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{m(D_{r}(a))}\right)^{s} \int_{D_{r}(a)} |f|^{q} w dm$$
$$\times \left(\int_{D_{r}(a)} w^{-1/(s-1)} dm\right)^{s-1}$$

for all f in H and a in D. Moreover, similar arguments in the proof of (1) implies that $|f(a)|^q \leq C(\int_{D_r(a)} |f|^q d\mu) \hat{\mu}_r(a)^{-1} (1-|a|^2)^{-2}$ for all f in H and a in D. Integrating the inequality with respect to ν over D, and applying Fubini's theorem, then lemma 1 implies that

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} |f(a)|^{q} d\nu(a) \\ &\leq C \int_{D} |f(z)|^{q} \int_{D_{r}(z)} \widehat{\mu}_{r}(a)^{-1} (1-|a|^{2})^{-2} d\nu(a) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{D} |f(z)|^{q} (\widehat{\nu}_{r}(z) \widehat{\mu}_{r}(z)^{-1}) d\mu(z), \end{split}$$

because $\chi_{D_r(a)}(z) = \chi_{D_r(z)}(a)$, and $(1 - |z|^2)$ is equivalent to $(1 - |a|^2)$ for a in $D_r(z)$. Hence the hypothesis and Hölder's inequality imply that the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) is satisfied, because p/q > 1.

In the statements of (1) and (2) of proposition 1, if we replace $\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ by $(w^{-1/(s-1)})\hat{r}(a)^{-(s-1)}$, then we can omit the hypothesis of the (A_s) -condition. Therefore, we can give more general sufficient conditions.

3. Interpolating sequences in $L^{p}_{a}(\mu)$

For any a in D, let $K_a(z) = (1 - \overline{a}z)^{-2}$ and $k_a(z) = K_a(z)/K_a(a)^{1/2}$ $(z \in D)$. For $\alpha > -1$, put $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a) = \int |k_a|^{2+\alpha} d\mu$ $(a \in D)$ which is called a Berezin transform of μ . If $d\mu = w dm_{\alpha}$, then we write it \tilde{w}_{α} instead of $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}$. For 1 , we say that <math>w satisfies an $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition if there exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that

$$\widetilde{w}_{\alpha}(a)(w^{-1/(p-1)})\widetilde{\alpha}(a)^{p-1} \leq C$$

for all a in D (The $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition is stronger than the (A_p) -condition.). For $0 < R < \infty$, put

$$\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) = \sup_{a \in D} \left(\int_{D \setminus D_R(a)} |k_a|^{2+\alpha} d\mu \right) \widetilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, for $0 < R < \infty$ and θ is a real number, put

$$\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta)$$

= $\sup_{a \in D} \left(\int_{D \setminus D_R(a)} |K_a|^{1+\alpha/2} (1-|z|^2)^{\theta} d\mu \right) \{ (1-|a|^2)^{\theta} \widetilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a) \}^{-1}.$

The quantity $\varepsilon_R(\mu, 0)$ was defined in [9] and was used for an observation of a uniformly absolutely integrability for some measures, here we need it for our objects. Clearly, $0 < \varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \leq 1$ and if $\theta = 0$ then $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \leq 2^{2+\alpha} \delta_R(\mu, \alpha, 0)$ for all $0 < R < \infty$, because $|k_a|^{2+\alpha} = (1 - |a|^2)^{2+\alpha} \{|K_a|^{1+\alpha/2}\}^2 \leq (1 - |a|^2)^{2+\alpha} |K_a|^{1+\alpha/2}/(1 - |a|)^{2+\alpha}$.

Firstly, we show that the converse implication of (1) of proposition 1 is true when $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) < 1$ for some $0 < R < \infty$. Moreover, we also find that the converse implication of (2) of proposition 1 is true when $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ and 0 . In order prove it, we need a notion of interpolatingsequences in $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$, which was studied by Amar [1] when $\mu = m$. When $d\mu = w dm_{\alpha}$ and w satisfies a condition $B_p(\alpha)$, Lucking [7] studied a sufficient condition for separated sequences in order to embed $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ isometrically as a closed subspace of l^p , and hence obtained a representation formula for $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ -functions, which are closely related to interpolating sequences in that space (The condition $B_p(\alpha)$ is stronger than the (A_p) -condition, and weaker than the $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition, the definitions of separated and interpolating sequences are below.). Here, we give a sufficient condition for separated sequences in order that the embedding map from $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ to l^{p} is onto when $d\mu = w dm_{\alpha}$, w satisfies the (A_s) -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$, $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0 \ (R \to \infty)$, and 0 . We also observe the interpolatingsequences in $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$, and obtain the characterization of the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) when 1 .

Theorem 2 Suppose that $0 , <math>d\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$, w satisfies the (A_s) -condition for some $0 < s < \infty$ and there exists $0 < R < \infty$ such that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) < 1$. Then ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) if and only if there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $(1 - |a|^2)^{2(1 - q/p)} \hat{\nu}_r(a) / \hat{\mu}_r(a)^{q/p}$ is bounded for $a \in D$.

Proof. By (1) of proposition 1, it is enough to prove the "only if" part. Therefore. we suppose that there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that $\int |f|^q d\nu \leq C (\int |f|^p d\mu)^{q/p}$ for all f in H. Here, put $f = k_a^{(2+\alpha)/p}$, by lemma 4.3.3 in [14], we have that $(\int |k_a|^{2+\alpha} d\mu)^{q/p} \geq C^{-1} \int_{D_r(a)} |k_a|^{(2+\alpha)q/p} d\nu \geq C'(1-|a|^2)^{2(1-q/p)-\alpha q/p} \hat{\nu}_r(a)$ for all $0 < r < \infty$ and a in D (Here, C' is depend only on ν , μ and r.). Moreover, a simple computation shows that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) < 1$ if and only if there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that $\int |k_a|^{2+\alpha} d\mu \leq C(1-|a|^2)^{-\alpha} \hat{\mu}_R(a)$ for all a in D (See lemma 1 in [9].). Hence, the desired result follows from lemma 1.

We observe interpolating sequences for $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$. Let $A = \{a_{j}\}$ be an infinite sequence in D, and put $R_{A} = 1/2 \inf\{\beta(a_{i}, a_{j}); i \neq j\}$. A sequence A is said to be separated if $R_{A} > 0$. For $0 , let <math>s(\mu, p, a) =$ $s(a) = \inf\{\int |f|^{p}d\mu; f(a) = 1, f \in H\}$ $(a \in D)$, which is called a Riesz's function of μ and was studied in [10]. We define a map T_{A} from $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ to l^{p} by $T_{A}f = \{s(a_{j})^{1/p}f(a_{j})\}$, and a separated sequence A is called an interpolating sequence for $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$ if T_{A} is onto. If $d\mu = dm_{\alpha}$, then s(a) = $(1 - |a|^{2})^{2+\alpha}$. When $\alpha = 0$, our definition of interpolating sequences is same to Amar's one. Hence, using the Riesz's function, a notion of interpolating sequences can be defined for a general weighted Bergman space $L^{p}{}_{a}(\mu)$.

Lemma 2 Suppose that $0 , <math>d\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$, w satisfies the (A_s) condition for some $1 < s < \infty$ and there exists $0 < R < \infty$ such that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) < 1$. If a sequence A is separated, then T_A is continuous.

Proof. Clearly, a simple computation and the hypothesis in lemma 2 imply that there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that $s(a) \leq (1 - |a|^2)^{2+\alpha} \int |k_a|^{2+\alpha} d\mu \leq C\mu(D_R(a))$ for all a in D (See lemma 1 in [9].). Hence a continuity of T_A follows from theorem 3.12 in [7].

When D is a symmetric domain in C^n , Rochberg [12], using results in [2] to avoid direct and complicated computations, gave a sufficient condition for $A = \{a_j\}$ in order that T_A is onto. In order to prove proposition 3, we use ideas in [1] and [12], but for general weighted Bergman space $L^p_a(\mu)$, it may be hard to consider estimations of reproducing kernels in that space which are used in [1] and [12]. We refer the problem to the quantity ε_R and δ_R . In order to prove theorem 4, the following proposition 3 is important and essential.

Proposition 3 Suppose that $\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$.

(1) If $0 , w satisfies the <math>(A_s)$ -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$ and $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$. Then, there exist $0 < R_0$, $\gamma < \infty$ such that M. Yamada

if a sequence $A = \{a_j\}$ is in D and $R_A \geq R_0$, then there is a map S_A from l^p to $L^p{}_a(\mu)$ so that $T_A S_A = I$ and $\sup\{\int |S_A\{c_j\}|^p d\mu; \Sigma |c_j|^p \leq 1, \{c_j\} \in l^p\} \leq \gamma$.

(2) If $1 , w satisfies the <math>(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition and there exists θ such that $(1-p)(1+\alpha) < \theta < 0$, $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$. Then there exist $0 < R_0$, $\gamma < \infty$ such that they satisfy the same properties in (1) of proposition 3.

Proof. (1) It is enough to prove that there exists a sequence of functions f_i in $L^p{}_a(\mu)$ such that $\int |f_i|^p d\mu \leq C$ and $\sum_j |s(a_j)^{1/p} f_i(a_j) - \delta_{ij}|^p \leq 1 - \eta$ $(i \geq 1)$ for some $0 < C < \infty$ and $0 < \eta < 1$. In fact, suppose such a sequence of functions exists. Let σ_j be a point mass of a_j , and $L^p(D, \Sigma \sigma_j)$ be a usual measure space on D. Put $v_i(a_j) = \delta_{ij}$, and we define $Bv_i = f_i$, then B is continuously extendable to $L^p(D, \Sigma \sigma_j)$, because $\int |f_i|^p d\mu \leq C$ for all $i \geq 1$. Moreover, we have that

$$\|(T_A B - I)(\Sigma \lambda_i v_i)\|_p^p \leq \Sigma |\lambda_i|^p \|T_A B v_i - v_i\|_p^p \leq (1 - \eta) \Sigma |\lambda_i|^p.$$

Therefore, put $S_A = B(T_A B)^{-1}$, then S_A satisfies the required property. We will prove the existence of f_i . Let $f_i(z) = s(a_i)^{-1/p} \{(1-|a_i|^2)k_{a_i}(z)\}^{(2+\alpha)/p}$, then f_i is analytic on D and $\int |f_i|^p d\mu = s(a_i)^{-1}(1-|a_i|^2)^{2+\alpha} \int |k_{a_i}|^{2+\alpha} d\mu$. By the definition of s(a), making a change of variable, and Jensen's inequality implies that

$$s(a) \geq C(1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha} \inf \left\{ \int_{D_r(0)} |f \circ \phi_a|^p w \circ \phi_a |k_a|^2 dm; f(a) = 1 \right\}$$

$$\geq C(1 - |a|^2)^{2 + \alpha} m(D_r(0)) \exp \left\{ \int_{D_r(0)} w \circ \phi_a dm / m(D_r(0)) \right\}$$

$$\geq C(1 - |a|^2)^{2 + \alpha} (w^{-1/(s-1)}) \widehat{r}(a)^{-(s-1)},$$

where $0 < r < \infty$ is arbitrary and C depends only on r. Since w satisfies the (A_s) -condition and $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) < 1$ for some R, lemma 1 implies that $\int |f_i|^p d\mu \leq C$ $(i \geq 1)$. Next, the above results imply that for any fixed $0 < r < \infty$, there exists a constant $0 < C = C_r < \infty$ so that

$$\begin{split} &\Sigma_j |s(a_j)^{1/p} f_i(a_j) - \delta_{ij}|^p \\ &= \sum_{j \neq i} s(a_j) s(a_i)^{-1} (1 - |a_i|^2)^{2+\alpha} |k_{a_i}(a_j)|^{2+\alpha} \\ &\leq C s(a_i)^{-1} (1 - |a_i|^2)^{2+\alpha} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{D_r(a_j)} |k_{a_i}|^{2+\alpha} d\mu \end{split}$$

$$\leq s(a_i)^{-1} (1 - |a_i|^2)^{2+\alpha} \int_{\bigcup_{j \neq i} D_r(a_j)} |k_{a_i}|^{2+\alpha} d\mu$$

$$\leq C \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a_i)^{-1} \int_{D \setminus D_{(2R_A - r)}(a_i)} |k_{a_i}|^{2+\alpha} d\mu \quad (i \geq 1)$$

for all $A = \{a_i\}$ such that $R_A > r/2$, since for any $j \neq i$ if $\zeta \in D_r(a_j)$ then $2R_A \leq \beta(a_i, a_j) \leq \beta(a_i, \zeta) + \beta(\zeta, a_j) \leq \beta(a_i, \zeta) + r$. Therefore, the desired result follows from the hypothesis $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$.

(2) Let $g_i(z) = s(a_i)^{-1/p} \{ (1 - |a_i|^2) K_{a_i}(z)^{1/2} \}^{2+\alpha}$ and $\{e_i\}$ be the usual basis in l^p . We can define a mapping L from l^p to $L^p{}_a(\mu)$ by $L(\Sigma\lambda_i e_i) = \Sigma\lambda_i g_i$. We claim that L is a continuous mapping. In fact, the $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition implies the condition $B_p(\alpha)$, therefore by theorem 2.1 in [7], we have that the dual of $L^p{}_a(\mu) = L^p{}_a(w dm_{\alpha})$ can be identified with $L^q{}_a(w^{-q/p}dm_{\alpha})$, where 1/p + 1/q = 1 and the pairing is given by $\langle g, h \rangle = \int g \overline{h} dm_{\alpha}$. For any h in $L^q{}_a(w^{-q/p}dm_{\alpha})$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle L(\Sigma\lambda_{i}e_{i}),h\rangle| \\ &\leq \Sigma|\lambda_{i}|s(a_{i})^{-1/p}(1-|a_{i}|^{2})^{2+\alpha} \left| \int h\overline{K}_{a_{i}}^{1+\alpha/2}dm_{\alpha} \right| \\ &\leq (\Sigma|\lambda_{i}|^{p})^{1/p} \{\Sigma s(a_{i})^{-q/p}(1-|a_{i}|^{2})^{q(2+\alpha)}|h(a_{i})|^{q}\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since w satisfies the $(A_p(\alpha))_\partial$ -condition, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that

$$\Sigma s(\mu, p, a_i)^{-q/p} (1 - |a_i|^2)^{q(2+\alpha)} |h(a_i)|^q \le C \Sigma \int_{D_{R_A}(a_i)} |h|^q w^{-q/p} dm_{\alpha},$$

here C depends only on R_A . Moreover, we may assume that $R_A > 0$, we obtain that L is continuous. As in the proof of (1) of proposition 3, it is enough to prove that an operator norm of $T_A L - I$ can be less than $1 - \eta$ for some $0 < \eta < 1$. Let (a_{ij}) be a matrix of $T_A L - I$ with respect to $\{e_i\}$, then we have that $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} = \{s(a_i)/s(a_j)\}^{1/p}\{(1 - |a_j|^2)K_{a_j}(a_i)^{1/2}\}^{2+\alpha}$ $(i \neq j)$. By theorem 3.2.2 in [14; p42], we only prove that there exists a non-negative sequence $\{h_i\}$ such that $\sum_j |a_{ij}| h_j^q \leq (1 - \eta) h_i^q$ $(i \geq 1)$ and $\sum_i |a_{ij}| h_i^p \leq (1 - \eta) h_j^p$ $(j \geq 1)$. By the hypothesis, there exists θ such that $(1 - p)(1 + \alpha) < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$. Let $h_i = s(a_i)^{1/pq}(1 - |a_i|^2)^{\theta/p}$, then for any fixed $0 < r < \infty$ lemma 4.3.3 in [14; p60], proposition 4.3.8 in [14; p62], and above arguments in the proof of (1) of proposition 3 imply that there exists a constant $0 < C = C_r < \infty$

such that

$$\sum_{j} |a_{ij}| h_{j}^{q} h_{i}^{q}$$

$$\leq C(1 - |a_{i}|^{2})^{-q\theta/p} \int_{D_{2R_{A} - r}(a_{i})^{c}} (1 - |z|^{2})^{q\theta/p + \alpha} |1 - \overline{a}_{i}z|^{-(2+\alpha)} dm$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\Sigma_i |a_{ij}| h_i{}^p h_j{}^p \\ &\leq C (1 - |a_j|^2)^{2 + \alpha - \theta} s(a_j)^{-1} \int_{D_{2R_A - r}(a_j)^c} (1 - |z|^2)^{\theta} |1 - \overline{a}_j z|^{-(2 + \alpha)} d\mu \\ &\leq C \{ (1 - |a_j|^2)^{\theta} \widetilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a_j) \}^{-1} \int_{D_{2R_A - r}(a_j)^c} |K_{a_j}|^{1 + \alpha/2} (1 - |z|^2)^{\theta} d\mu, \end{split}$$

because $1-|a|^2$ is equivalent to $1-|z|^2$ for $z \in D_r(a)$. In the first inequality, by making a change of variable, lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53] and corollary 1.2 in [3; p121] imply that the right hand side of the inequality can be sufficiently small if $R_A \to \infty$. In the second inequality, the same assertion follows from the hypothesis $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$.

Using the results in proposition 3, we give a necessary and sufficient condition in order to satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) when q < p. This condition is a generalization of Luecking's result [8]. The proof of theorem 4 is similar to that in [8]. But, in the proof of main theorem in [8], it seems that a result which is concerned with interpolating sequences is important. In weighted Bergman spaces, an interpolating theorem also plays an important role, and proposition 3 enables us to prove theorem 4. Moreover, we will show that the hypotheses $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ and $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ in theorem 4 are valid for many functions which are modulus of polynomials.

Theorem 4 Suppose that $0 < q < p < \infty$ and $d\mu = w dm_{\alpha}$.

(1) If $0 , w satisfies the <math>(A_s)$ -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$ and $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$, then ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) if and only if there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $\hat{\nu}_r(a)/\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ is in $L^t(\mu)$, here 1/t + 1/(p/q) = 1.

(2) If $1 , w satisfies the <math>(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition and there exists θ such that $(1-p)(1+\alpha) < \theta < 0$, $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$, then the same equivalence in (1) of theorem 4 is valid.

530

Proof. (1) The "if" part is a consequence of (2) of proposition 1 and hence we will show the "only if" part. For any $0 < r < \infty$, by lemma 4.3.6 in [14; p62], there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset D$ satisfying that $D = \bigcup D_{r/2}(\lambda_n)$ and $D_{r/8}(\lambda_n) \cap D_{r/8}(\lambda_m) = \phi$ $(n \neq m)$. Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is separated, Amar's theorem (See [1].) implies that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a finite union of A(l) $(1 \leq l \leq N)$, where A(l) is a separated sequence such that $R_{A(l)}$ is sufficiently large for $1 \leq l \leq N$. Hence, by the hypothesis and (1) of proposition 3, we can assume that $R_{A(l)} \geq R_0 \geq 4r$ and $\sup\{\int |S_{A(l)}\{c_j\}|^p d\mu; \Sigma |c_j|^p \leq 1, \{c_j\} \in l^p\} \leq \gamma$ for all $1 \leq l \leq N$. Put $R = R_0/2$. Here, it is enough to prove that $\Sigma(\hat{\nu}_r(a_j)/\hat{\mu}_R(a_j))^t \mu(D_R(a_j)) < \infty$, where $\{a_j\}$ is a one of the separated sequences A(l) $(1 \leq l \leq N)$. In fact, since w satisfies the (A_s) -condition, lemma 1 implies that

$$\int (\widehat{\nu}_{r/2}(z)/\widehat{\mu}_{r/2}(z))^t \mu$$

$$\leq C\Sigma(\widehat{\nu}_r(\lambda_n)/\widehat{\mu}_r/4(\lambda_n))^t \int_{D_r/2(\lambda_n)} d\mu$$

$$\leq C\Sigma(\widehat{\nu}_r(\lambda_n)/\widehat{\mu}_R(\lambda_n))^t \mu(D_R(\lambda_n)).$$

Therefore, we will prove it. We replace ν with $\chi_{D_K(0)}\nu$ and put $y_j = \{m(D_R(a_j))^{-1}\nu(D_r(a_j))/\hat{\mu}_R(a_j)\}\mu(D_R(a_j))^{1/t}$, where $\chi_{D_K(0)}$ is a characteristic function of $D_K(0)$. By the corollary of lemma 4.3.3 in [14; p60], it is enough to prove that $\Sigma|y_j|^t \leq C$, where C is independent of $0 < K < \infty$. The (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p) implies that

$$\begin{cases} C_{\nu,\mu} \left(\int_D |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \\ \ge \Sigma \int_{D_r(a_j)} |f|^q d\nu \\ \ge \Sigma |f(a_j)|^q \nu(D_r(a_j)) - \Sigma \int_{D_r(a_j)} |f(a_j) - f|^q d\nu \end{cases}$$

for all f in H. Here, normal families arguments, Hölder's inequality, and the (A_s) -condition imply that

$$|f(a) - f(z)|^{q/s} \le C_R \beta(a, z) \{ m(D_R(a))^{-1} \int_{D_R(a)} |f|^q d\mu \}^{1/s} \widehat{\mu}_R(a)^{-1/s}$$

for all f in H and a, $z \in D$ such that $\beta(a, z) < r$ (See [8].). Hence, two

Hölder's inequalities imply that

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma \int_{D_r(a_j)} |f(a_j) - f|^q d\nu \\ & \leq C_R r^s \Sigma \left(\int_{D_R(a_j)} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{q/p} \mu(D_R(a_j))^{1/t} \nu(D_r(a_j)) \\ & \times m(D_R(a_j))^{-1} / \widehat{\mu}_R(a_j) \\ & \leq C_R r^s \left(\Sigma \int_{D_R(a_j)} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{q/p} \{ \Sigma \mu(D_R(a_j)) \nu(D_r(a_j))^t \\ & \times m(D_R(a_j))^{-t} / \widehat{\mu}_R(a_j)^t \}^{1/t} \\ & \leq C_R r^s \left(\int_D |f|^p d\mu \right)^{q/p} (\Sigma |y_j|^t)^{1/t} \end{split}$$

for all $f \in H$. Moreover, Hahn-Banach's theorem shows that there exists a sequence $\{d_j\}$ such that $\Sigma y_j d_j = (\Sigma |y_j|^t)^{1/t}$ and $(\Sigma |d_j|^{p/q})^{q/p} = 1$. Therefore, put $|c_j|^q = |d_j|s(a_j)^{q/p}\mu(D_R(a_j))^{1/t}m(D_R(a_j))^{-1}\widehat{\mu}_R(a_j)^{-1}$, then we have that $\Sigma |c_j|^p \leq C_{R,\mu}$. Hence, by (1) of proposition 3, there exist $0 < \gamma_R < \infty$ and f in $L^p{}_a(\mu)$ such that $f(a_j) = c_j$ and $\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \gamma_R$, and they imply that $\Sigma |f(a_j)|^q \nu(D_r(a_j)) \geq (\Sigma |y_j|^t)^{1/t}$ and $\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \gamma_R$ (γ_R may not be different from above γ .). Therefore, above inequalities and the choice of f imply that $C_{\nu,\mu}{}^q \gamma_R{}^{q/p} \geq (1 - C_R r^s \gamma_R{}^{q/p})(\Sigma |y_j|^t)^{1/t}$, hence let rbe sufficiently small, the desired result follows.

(2) The proof is same to (1).

 \square

We give some examples. Some results in example 1 and example 2 are more general than (1) of proposition 5, (5) of proposition 9 and (2) of proposition 5, (6) of proposition 9 respectively.

Example 1. Let $w(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^l$ such that l is real and $d\mu = wdm_{\alpha}$. Then, clearly w satisfies the (A_p) -condition for all 1 . Moreover, by $making a change of variable, lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53] implies that <math>\widetilde{w}_{\alpha}(a) \leq C(1 - |a|^2)^l$, if $\alpha + l > -1$ and $l - \alpha - 2 < 0$. Analogously, we have that $(w^{-1/(p-1)})_{\alpha}(a)^{p-1} \leq C(1 - |a|^2)^{-l}$, if $-l/(p-1) + \alpha > -1$ and $-l/(p-1) - \alpha - 2 < 0$. Hence, if $l \geq 0$, $|l - 1/2| < \alpha + 3/2$, and $1 + l/(1 + \alpha) < p$, then w satisfies the $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition. If l < 0, $|l - 1/2| < \alpha + 3/2$, and $1 - l/(2 + \alpha) < p$, then w satisfies the $(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition. Similar calculations, lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53], and corollary 1.2 in [3; p121] show that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ if $|l - 1/2| < \alpha + 3/2$, because for any fixed $0 < r < \infty$ we have that $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha}(a)^{-1} \leq C_r \hat{w}_r(a)^{-1} \leq C(1 - |a|^2)^{-l}$ for all a in D. Similarly, we obtain that $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ if $\theta > -1 - \alpha - l$ and $\theta < -l$. Since $0 > -1 - \alpha$, for any $1 there is <math>\theta$ such that $(1 - p)(1 + \alpha) < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$, if $-(1 + \alpha) < l < (p - 1)(1 + \alpha)$.

Example 2. Let $\{b_j\}$ be a finite sequence of complex numbers with $b_i \neq b_j$ $(i \neq j)$ and $\{l(j)\}$ be a finite sequence of non-negative real numbers. Put $w(z) = \prod_j |z - b_j|^{l(j)}$ and $d\mu = w dm_{\alpha}$. Set $\Lambda = \{j; b_j \in \partial D\}$ and $\Gamma = \{j; b_j \in \partial D\}$ $\{j; b_j \in D\}$. For any $0 < r < \infty$, lemma 2 in [9] asserts that $C_r^{-1} \widehat{w}_r(a) \leq C_r^{-1} \widehat{w}_r(a)$ $\prod_{j\in\Lambda} |a-b_j|^{l(j)} \leq C_r \widehat{w}_r(a)$ for all a in D when l(j) > -2 $(j\in\Gamma)$ even if $\{l(j)\}$ is not non-negative. Therefore, we have that $\widehat{w}_r(a) \leq C_r \prod_{j \in \Lambda} |a - c_j|^2$ $b_j|^{l(j)}$ and $(w^{-1/(p-1)})\hat{r}(a)^{p-1} \leq C_r \prod_{j \in \Lambda} |a - b_j|^{-l(j)}$, if -l(j)/(p-1) > -2 $(j \in \Gamma)$. Hence, we obtain that w satisfies the (A_p) -condition for some $1 . We claim that w satisfies the <math>(A_p(\alpha))_{\partial}$ -condition if $l(j) < \alpha + 2$ $(j \in \Lambda)$ and $l(j) \max\{1, 1/(1+\alpha)\}/2 + 1 . In fact, let$ $w(z) = |z - b_1|^{l(1)} |z - b_2|^{l(2)}$ such that b_1 is in D, b_2 is in ∂D , and l(1), l(2)are non-negative, it is enough to prove that the assertion is true for such a w. Since $|z - b_1|^{l(1)}$ is a bounded function, making a change of variable, and lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53] imply that $\tilde{w}_{\alpha}(a) \leq 2^{l(1)}C|1-\overline{a}b_2|^{l(2)}\|\phi_a(b_2)-\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|\phi_a(b_2)\|$ $|z||_{\infty}^{l(2)} \leq C|1-\overline{a}b_2|^{l(2)}$ if $l(2) < \alpha + 2$. Moreover, let U(1) and U(2) be neighborhoods of b_1 and b_2 in $D \cup \partial D$ such that $U(1) \cap U(2) = \phi$. Then, we have that

$$(w^{-1/(p-1)})\widetilde{}_{\alpha}(a)^{p-1}$$

$$= \left(\int_{U(1)} + \int_{U(2)} + \int_{(U(1)\cup U(2))^{c}}\right)^{p-1}$$

$$\leq 2^{p-1}C(|z-b_{1}|^{-l(1)/(p-1)})\widetilde{}_{\alpha}(a)^{p-1}$$

$$+ 2^{2(p-1)}C(|z-b_{2}|^{-l(2)/(p-1)})\widetilde{}_{\alpha}(a)^{p-1} + 2^{2(p-1)}C^{2},$$

where C is a constant such that $|z-b_2|^{-l(2)} \leq C$ on U(1) and $|z-b_1|^{-l(1)} \leq C$ on U(2). Here, for b in $D \cup \partial D$ and $l \geq 0$ the similar calculation above for \widetilde{w}_{α} shows that $(|z-b|^{-l/(p-1)})_{\alpha}(a)^{p-1} \leq |1-\overline{a}b|^{-l}||1-\overline{a}z||_{\infty}{}^{l}(\int |\phi_{a}(b) - z|^{-l/(p-1)}dm_{\alpha})^{p-1}$. Hence, if $\alpha > 0$ and l/(p-1) < 2, then $\int |\phi_{a}(b) - z|^{-l/(p-1)}dm_{\alpha} \leq \int_{2D} |z|^{-l/(p-1)}dm < \infty$, where $2D = \{2z; z \in D\}$. Moreover, if $0 > \alpha > -1$ and $l/\{(1+\alpha)(p-1)\} < 2$, then there exists β such that $1 < 1/(1+\alpha) < \beta < 2(p-1)/l$. Therefore, Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{split} \int |\phi_a(b) - z|^{-l/(p-1)} dm_\alpha \\ &\leq \left(\int |\phi_a(b) - z|^{-\beta l/(p-1)} dm \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\times \left(\int (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha \beta/(\beta - 1)} dm \right)^{(\beta - 1)/\beta} < \infty, \end{split}$$

because $\beta l/(p-1) < 2$ and $\alpha \beta/(\beta-1) > -1$. Hence, the desired result follows for $b = b_j$ and l = l(j) (j = 1, 2). Next, we assert that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ if $l(j) < \alpha + 2$ $(j \in \Lambda)$, and for any 1 there is $<math>\theta$ such that $(1-p)(1+\alpha) < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$ if $l(j) < (1+\alpha) \min\{1, p-1\}$ $(j \in \Lambda)$. Let $w(z) = |z-b_1|^{l(1)}|z-b_2|^{l(2)}$ such that b_1 is in D and b_2 is in ∂D . It is enough to prove that the assertions are true for such a w. For any $1 < s < \infty$, we have that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \leq$ $\sup(\int_{D_R(a)^c} |k_a|^{2+\alpha} dm_\alpha)(w^{-1/(s-1)}) \widetilde{\alpha}(a)^{s-1}$. Hence, if s is sufficiently large and $l(2) < \alpha + 2$, then the above arguments for $(A_p(\alpha))_\partial$ -condition and corollary 1.2 in [3; p121] imply that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, \alpha) \to 0$ $(R \to \infty)$. Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{split} \delta_{R}(\mu,\alpha,\theta) \\ &\leq 2^{l(1)} \sup \bigg\{ (1-|a|^{2})^{\theta} |1-\overline{a}b_{2}|^{l(2)} \|\phi_{a}(b_{2})-z\|_{\infty}^{l(2)} \\ & \times \left(\int_{D_{R}(0)^{c}} (1-|z|^{2})^{\theta+l(2)} |1-\overline{a}z|^{-\{2+(\theta+\alpha)+(\theta+l(2))\}} dm \right) \bigg\} \\ & \times \{ (1-|a|^{2})^{-\theta} (w^{-1/(s-1)}) \widetilde{\alpha}(a)^{s-1} \}. \end{split}$$

Hence, lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53] and corollary 1.2 in [3; p121] imply that $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0 \ (R \to \infty)$ if $\theta + \alpha > -1$ and $\theta + l(2) < 0$. Since $0 > -1 - \alpha$ and l(2) > 0, for any $1 , there is <math>\theta$ such that $(1-p)(1+\alpha) < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, \alpha, \theta) \to 0 \ (R \to \infty)$ if $l(2) < (1+\alpha) \min\{1, p-1\}$.

Example 3. We will observe that the hypotheses of ε_R and δ_R in theorem 2 and theorem 4 are not sharp. We show that there are measures ν and μ such that they satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, q), (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p), w satisfies the (A_s) -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$, and w satisfies the $(A_p(0))_\partial$ -condition, but $\varepsilon_R(\mu)$ and $\delta_R(\mu)$ do not converges to 0, where $d\mu = wdm$. Let $w(z) = |1 - z|^l$, $d\mu = wdm$ and $d\nu = |1 - z|^k dm$

534

such that k > l > 0. For $0 < q < p < \infty$, we have that $(\int |f|^q \nu)^{1/q} \leq$ $2^{(k-l)/q} (\int |f|^q d\mu)^{1/q} \leq 2^{(k-l)/q} \mu(D)^{1/q-1/p} (\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ for all f in H. Here, the last inequality follows from Hölder's inequality. Therefore, ν and μ satisfy the (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, q) and (ν, μ) -Carleson inequality of (q, p). Moreover, since $\hat{\nu}_r(a)$ and $\hat{\mu}_r(a)$ are equivalent to $|1 - a|^k$ and $|1-a|^{l}$ respectively, $\hat{\nu}_{r}(a)/\hat{\mu}_{r}(a)$ is bounded and $\hat{\nu}_{r}/\hat{\mu}_{r}$ is in $L^{t}(\mu)$, where 1/t + 1/(p/q) = 1. By example 2, w satisfies the (A_s) -condition for some $1 < s < \infty$, but we can prove that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, 0) = 1$ for all $0 < R < \infty$ if $l \ge 2$. Suppose that there exists $0 < R < \infty$ such that $\varepsilon_R(\mu, 0) < 1$. Then, we have that $\widetilde{\mu}_0(a) \leq C_R \widehat{\mu}_R(a) \leq C_R |1-a|^l$ for all a in D. Hence, we obtain that $\infty > C_R \ge \widetilde{\mu}_0(a)|1-a|^{-l} = \int |1+z|^l |1-az|^{-l} dm$ for all 0 < a < 1. Let $D_+ = 0$ $\{z \in D; \text{Re } z \ge 0\}$, then $C_R \ge \int_{D+} |1+z|^l |1-az|^{-l} dm \ge \int_{D+} |1-az|^{-l} dm$. And, hence we have that $\int_D |1 - az|^{-l} dm \leq C_R + \int_{D+c} |1 - az|^{-l} dm \leq C$ for all 0 < a < 1. This contradicts lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53]. Furthermore, by example 2, w satisfies the $(A_p(0))_{\partial}$ -condition if l < 2 and l < 2(p-1). But, let p = 2, then we can prove that there is not θ such that -1 = $(1-p)(1+0) < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, 0, \theta) \rightarrow 0$ $(R \rightarrow \infty)$ if $1 \leq l < 2$. We suppose that there is θ such that $-1 < \theta < 0$ and $\delta_R(\mu, 0, \theta) \to 0 \ (R \to \infty)$. Since $1 \leq l < 2$, example 2 implies that there exists $0 < r < \infty$ such that $\varepsilon_r(\mu,0) < 1$. Hence, we have that $\widetilde{\mu}_0(a) \leq C_r \widehat{\mu}_r(a) \leq C_r |1-a|^l$ for all a in D. Therefore, there exists $0 < R < \infty$ such that $\infty > \delta_R(\mu, 0, \theta) \geq \delta_R(\mu, 0, \theta)$ $C_R^{-1} \int_{D_R(0)^c} |1+z|^l (1-|z|^2)^{\theta} |1-az|^{-(2+l+2\theta)} dm$ for all 0 < a < 1, because $\delta_R(\mu, 0, \theta) \to 0 \ (R \to \infty)$. Hence, similar arguments imply that

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\theta} |1 - az|^{-(2+l+2\theta)} dm \\ &\leq C_{r} \delta_{R}(\mu, 0, \theta) + \int_{D_{R}(0)} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\theta} |1 - az|^{-(2+l+2\theta)} dm \\ &+ \int_{(D+c) \setminus D_{R}(0)} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\theta} |1 - az|^{-(2+l+2\theta)} dm \\ &\leq C_{r}(\mu, 0, \theta) + C_{R} \int_{D} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\theta} dm \leq C < \infty \end{split}$$

for all 0 < a < 1. Therefore, this contradicts lemma 4.2.2 in [14; p53], because $\theta + l > 0$.

References

[1] Amar E., Suites d'interpolation pour les class de Bergman de la boule et du polydisque

de Cⁿ, Canad. J Math. **30** (1978), 711–737.

- [2] Coifman R. and Rochberg R., Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions, Astérisque 77 (1980), 11-65.
- [3] Gamelin T.W., Uniform Algebras, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1969.
- [4] Hunt R., Muckenhoupt B. and Wheeden R., Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176 (1973), 227-251.
- [5] Luecking D., Inequalities in Bergman spaces, Ill. J. Math. 25 (1981), 1-11.
- [6] Luecking D., Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives, Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), 85-111.
- [7] Luecking D., Representation and duality in weighted spaces of analytic functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 319–336.
- [8] Luecking D., Multipliers of Bergman spaces into Lebesgue spaces, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 29 (1986), 125–131.
- [9] Nakazi T. and Yamada M., (A_2) -conditions and Carleson inequalities in Bergman spaces, to appear in Pacific J. Math.
- [10] Nakazi T. and Yamada M., *Riesz's functions in weighted Hardy and Bergman spaces*, in preprint.
- [11] Oleinik V.L. and Pavlov B.S., Embedding theorems for weighted class of harmonic and analytic functions, J. Soviet Math. 2 (1974), 135–142.
- [12] Rochberg R., Interpolation by functions in the Bergman spaces, Mich. Math. J. 29 (1982), 229–236.
- [13] Rudin W., Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- [14] Zhu K., Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Hiroshima University Higashi-Hiroshima 739, Japan