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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain a sufficient condition for the univalence of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. This condition involves two arbitrary functions $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Replacing $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ by some particular functions, we find the well-known conditions for univalency established by Z. Nehari (Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949)) and S. Ozaki and M. Nunokawa (Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972)). Likewise we find other new sufficient conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathbb{U}_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\}$ the disk of $z$-plane, where $r \in$ $(0,1], \mathbb{U}_{1}=\mathbb{U}$ and $I=[0, \infty)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with the normalizations $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. In the present paper, we consider the following conditions for univalency of functions $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]) Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. If, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}, f(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\{f ; z\}| \leqq \frac{2}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f ; z\}=\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
Theorem $1.2([2])$ Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. If, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}, f(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right|<1, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

[^0]Example 1.1 If we take Koebe functon $f(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ which is the extremal function for the class of starlike functions in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right|=\left|-z^{2}\right|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Our considerations are based on the theory of Löwner chains. We first recall here the following basic result of this theory by Pommerenke.

Theorem 2.1 ([4]) Let $L(z, t)=a_{1}(t) z+a_{2}(t) z^{2}+\cdots, a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}_{r}$ for all $t \in I$, locally absolutely continuous in $I$, and locally uniform with respect to $\mathbb{U}_{r}$. For almost all $t \in I$ suppose that

$$
z \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z}=p(z, t) \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t} \quad\left(\forall z \in \mathbb{U}_{r}\right)
$$

where $p(z, t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfies the condition $\operatorname{Re} p(z, t)>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}, t \in I$. If $\left|a_{1}(t)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{L(z, t) / a_{1}(t)\right\}$ forms a normal family in $\mathbb{U}_{r}$, then, for each $t \in I$, the function $L(z, t)$ has an analytic and univalent extension to the whole disk $\mathbb{U}$.

## 3. Main results

Main theorem of our paper is contained in
Theorem 3.1 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. If, for $g(z)=1+b_{1} z+\cdots$ and $h(z)=c_{0}+$ $c_{1} z+\cdots$ which are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right)\right| z\right|^{4}+z\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|z|^{2}\left(2 \frac{f^{\prime}(z) h(z)}{g(z)}+\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+z^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)(h(z))^{2}}{g(z)}+\frac{g^{\prime}(z) h(z)}{g(z)}-h^{\prime}(z)\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leqq|z|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold true for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Proof. Let us consider the function $h_{1}(z, t)$ given by

$$
h_{1}(z, t)=1+\left(e^{t}-e^{-t}\right) z h\left(e^{-t} z\right)
$$

For all $t \in I$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$ we have $e^{-t} z \in \mathbb{U}$ and from the analyticity of $h(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ it follows that $h_{1}(z, t)$ is also analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Since $h_{1}(0, t)=1$, there exists a disk $\mathbb{U}_{r}, 0<r<1$ in which $h_{1}(z, t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. Then the function $L(z, t)$ defined by

$$
L(z, t)=f\left(e^{-t} z\right)+\frac{\left(e^{t}-e^{-t}\right) z g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}{1+\left(e^{t}-e^{-t}\right) z h\left(e^{-t} z\right)}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}_{r}$ for all $t \in I$ and has the following form

$$
L(z, t)=a_{1}(t) z+a_{2}(t) z^{2}+\cdots
$$

where $a_{1}(t)=e^{t}, a_{1}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{1}(t)\right|=\infty$.
From the analyticity of $L(z, t)$ in $\mathbb{U}_{r}$, it follows that there exists a number $r_{1}, 0<r_{1}<r$, and a constant $K=K\left(r_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{L(z, t)}{a_{1}(t)}\right|<K \quad\left(\forall z \in \mathbb{U}_{r_{1}}, t \in I\right)
$$

In consequence, the family $\left\{L(z, t) / a_{1}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $\mathbb{U}_{r_{1}}$. From the analyticity of $\partial L(z, t) / \partial t$, for all fixed numbers $T>0$ and $r_{2}, 0<r_{2}<r_{1}$, there exists a constant $K_{1}>0$ (that depends on $T$ and $r_{2}$ ) such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t}\right|<K_{1} \quad\left(\forall z \in \mathbb{U}_{r_{2}}, t \in[0, T]\right)
$$

It follows that the function $L(z, t)$ is locally absolutely continuous in $I$, locally uniform with respect to $\mathbb{U}_{r_{2}}$. Let us define the functions $p(z, t)$ and $w(z, t)$ by

$$
p(z, t)=z \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z} / \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t}
$$

and

$$
w(z, t)=\frac{p(z, t)-1}{p(z, t)+1}
$$

Then the function $p(z, t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}_{r_{3}}, 0<r_{3}<r_{2}$, and the function $p(z, t)$ has an analytic extension with positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$, for all $t \in I$,
if the function $w(z, t)$ can be continued analytically in $\mathbb{U}$ and $|w(z, t)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $t \in I$.
After simple computation, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(z, t)=\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right)}{g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}-1\right) e^{-2 t} \\
& +\left(1-e^{-2 t}\right) e^{-t} z\left(\frac{2 f^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right) h\left(e^{-t} z\right)}{g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}+\frac{g^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right)}{g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}\right) \\
& +\left(1-e^{-2 t}\right)^{2} z^{2} \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right)\left(h\left(e^{-t} z\right)\right)^{2}}{g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}+\frac{g^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right) h\left(e^{-t} z\right)}{g\left(e^{-t} z\right)}-h^{\prime}\left(e^{-t} z\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we deduce that $g(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and then the function $w(z, t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. In view of (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0, t)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|w(z, 0)|=\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t>0$ is a fixed number and $z \in \mathbb{U}, z \neq 0$, then the function $w(z, t)$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ because $\left|e^{-t} z\right| \leq e^{-t}<1$ for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}$, and it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z, t)|=\max _{|\zeta|=1}|w(\zeta, t)|=\left|w\left(e^{i \theta}, t\right)\right|, \quad \theta=\theta(t) \in \mathcal{R} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $u=e^{-t} e^{i \theta}$. Then $|u|=e^{-t}$ and, from (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|w\left(e^{i \theta}, t\right)\right|= & \left.\left|\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(u)}{g(u)}-1\right)\right| u\right|^{2}+\left(1-|u|^{2}\right) u\left(\frac{2 f^{\prime}(u) h(u)}{g(u)}+\frac{g^{\prime}(u)}{g(u)}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{u^{2}}{|u|^{2}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(u)(h(u))^{2}}{g(u)}+\frac{g^{\prime}(u) h(u)}{g(u)}-h^{\prime}(u)\right) \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u \in \mathbb{U}$, the relation (3.2) implies $\left|w\left(e^{i \theta}, t\right)\right| \leq 1$ and, from (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that $|w(z, t)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $t \in I$. This gives us that $L(z, t)$ is the Löwner chain and hence the function $L(z, 0)=f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

We can get some corollaries for special cases of functions $g(z)$ and $h(z)$. So in the particular case $g(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, we get

Theorem 3.2 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. If, for an analytic function $h(z)=c_{0}+c_{1} z+$ $\cdots$ in $\mathbb{U}, f(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right| z\right|^{2}\left(2 h(z)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \\
& +z\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\left((h(z))^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z) h(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-h^{\prime}(z)\right)|\leqq|z| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
If we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Theorem 3.2, then we have
Corollary 3.1 ([1]) If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality (1.1) for all $z \in$ $\mathbb{U}$, then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Proof. For the function $h(z)$ defined by (3.7), the Schwartzian derivative (1.2) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h(z))^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z) h(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-h^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\{f ; z\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then the inequality (3.6) becomes (1.1).
In the particular case $g(z)=(f(z) / z)^{2}$ in Theorem 3.1, we have
Theorem 3.3 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. If, for an analytic function $h(z)=c_{0}+c_{1} z+$ $\cdots$ in $\mathbb{U}, f(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right|<1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right)\right| z\right|^{4}+2 z\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|z|^{2}\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) h(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1}{z}\right) \\
& +z^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times\left[\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)(h(z))^{2}}{(f(z))^{2}}+2 h(z)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1}{z}\right)-h^{\prime}(z)\right]\left|\leqq|z|^{2}\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
We remark that the inequality (3.8) is just the inequality (1.3) and we will get the univalent criterion by Ozaki and Nunokawa [2] for a particular choise of the function $h(z)$. So, if we take in Theorem 3.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1}{z}-\frac{f(z)}{z^{2}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we obtain
Corollary 3.2 ([2]) If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequality (1.3) for all $z \in$ $\mathbb{U}$, then the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
Proof. For the function $h(z)$ defined by (3.10), we see that

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) h(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1}{z}=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-\frac{1}{z}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)(h(z))^{2}}{(f(z))^{2}}+2 h(z)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{1}{z}\right)-h^{\prime}(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-\frac{1}{z^{2}} .
$$

The inequality (3.9) becomes

$$
\left|\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right)\left(|z|^{4}+2|z|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)+\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right| \leqq|z|^{2}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1\right| \leqq|z|^{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to prove that if the inequality (1.3) is true, then the inequality (3.11) is also true. Indeed, if we put

$$
w(z)=\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1,
$$

then the function $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and, since $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, we observe that

$$
w(z)=d_{2} z^{2}+d_{3} z^{3}+\cdots,
$$

which shows that $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=0$. By inequality (1.3), we have $|w(z)|<$ 1. Thus the Schwartz's lemma gives us that $|w(z)|<|z|^{2}$.

Finally, we give a example for Corollary 3.2.
Example 3.1 Let us consider the function $f(z)$ given by

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left\{2 /\left(n\left(n^{2}-1\right)\right)\right\} z^{n}} .
$$

Then we have that

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}-1=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} z^{n}
$$

which gives that

$$
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)^{2}}-1\right|<2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)=1 .
$$

Therefore, the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.
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