# Subextension and Approximation of Negative Plurisubharmonic Functions

URBAN CEGRELL & LISA HED

### 1. Introduction

We denote by  $PSH^{-}(\Omega)$  the class of negative plurisubharmonic functions defined on the domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Here a *domain* is an open, bounded, and connected set. A domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is called *hyperconvex* if there exists a negative exhaustion function for  $\Omega$ —that is, a function  $\psi \in PSH^{-}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\{z \in \Omega : \psi(z) < c\} \subset \subset \Omega \quad \forall c < 0.$$

We say that a function  $v \in PSH^{-}(\Omega)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  if there is a decreasing sequence of functions  $v_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\lim v_j = v$  and  $\sup_j \int (dd^c v_j)^n < +\infty$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  is the class of bounded plurisubharmonic functions u such that  $\lim_{z\to\xi} u(z) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n < +\infty$ . The class  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  contains functions in PSH<sup>-</sup>( $\Omega$ ) that are locally in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ . See [C1; C2] for further properties of this and related classes.

The purpose of this paper is to study approximation of functions in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ by functions in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$ , where  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_j$  are hyperconvex domains such that  $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset \subset \Omega_j$  for all *j*. We generalize Benelkourchi's work [Be]. For this we will use subextensions, which are discussed in Section 2. Let  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  and let  $u_j$  be the (largest) subextension of *u* to  $\Omega_j$ ; that is,  $u_j = \sup\{\varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega_j) : \varphi|_{\Omega} \leq u\}$ . Then  $\{u_j\}$  is an increasing sequence and it follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $u_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$ . The problem is to show that  $(\lim_j u_j)^* = u$ , which is true for all  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  if it is true for one single function  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ . This is the main result of the paper and will be discussed in Section 3. It is a great pleasure for us to thank Anders Fällström for many useful discussions.

### 2. Subextension

The purpose of this section is to devise a method to approximate functions by functions defined on strictly larger domains. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$  be hyperconvex domains,  $\Omega \subset \subset \hat{\Omega}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  then we define the (largest) subextension of u to  $\hat{\Omega}$  as

$$\hat{u}(z) = \sup\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in \mathsf{PSH}^{-}(\hat{\Omega}), \varphi|_{\Omega} \le u\}$$

By a result of Cegrell and Zeriahi [CZ] we know that the set  $\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in PSH^{-}(\hat{\Omega}), \varphi|_{\Omega} \leq u\}$  is not empty when  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ .

Received August 23, 2007. Revision received March 26, 2008.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$  be hyperconvex domains such that  $\Omega \subset \subset \hat{\Omega}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  then  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_0(\hat{\Omega})$ ,  $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c \hat{u})^n \subset \subset \Omega$ , and  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n \leq \chi_{\Omega}(dd^c u)^n$  on  $\hat{\Omega}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_0(\hat{\Omega})$ . By the definition of  $\hat{u}$ ,  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n = 0$  near  $\hat{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  and so the support supp $(dd^c \hat{u})^n \subset \Omega$ . The same argument gives us that  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n = 0$  on the open set  $\{z \in \Omega : \hat{u}(z) < u(z)\}$ , so  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n \leq (dd^c u)^n$  there. We now need to show that the same is true on the set  $A = \{z \in \Omega : u(z) = \hat{u}(z)\}$ . Take a compact set  $K \subset A$ . Then, since  $K \subset \{\hat{u} > u - \varepsilon\}$ ,

$$\int_{K} (dd^{c}\hat{u})^{n} = \int_{K} \chi_{\{\hat{u}>u-\varepsilon\}} (dd^{c}\hat{u})^{n}$$
$$= \int_{K} \chi_{\{\hat{u}>u-\varepsilon\}} (dd^{c} \max\{\hat{u}, u-\varepsilon\})^{n}$$
$$\leq \int_{K} (dd^{c} \max\{\hat{u}, u-\varepsilon\})^{n}.$$

Because  $\max{\{\hat{u}, u - \varepsilon\}} \nearrow u$  when  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , it follows that the measure  $(dd^c \max{\{\hat{u}, u - \varepsilon\}})^n$  converges to  $(dd^c u)^n$  in the weak\* topology. The characteristic function  $\chi_K$  is upper semicontinuous, so we can approximate  $\chi_K$  with a decreasing sequence of continuous functions  $\varphi_j$  that are bounded from above. Then Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives us that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{K} (dd^{c} \max\{\hat{u}, u - \varepsilon\})^{n}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\sigma} \left[ \lim_{j \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j} (dd^{c} \max\{\hat{u}, u - \varepsilon\})^{n} \right]$$
  
$$\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j} (dd^{c} \max\{\hat{u}, u - \varepsilon\})^{n} = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j} (dd^{c} u)^{n}$$

for every fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi_j (dd^c u)^n \searrow \int_{\Omega} \chi_K (dd^c u)^n$ , the proof is complete.

This lemma was proved by Pham Hoang Hiep in [P], but here we give a more detailed proof. In [P], Pham also proved the next theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\Omega}$  be hyperconvex domains such that  $\Omega \subset \subset \hat{\Omega}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ , then  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Omega})$  and  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n \leq \chi_{\Omega}(dd^c u)^n$  on  $\hat{\Omega}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ , we know from Theorem 2.1 in Cegrell [C2] that there is a decreasing sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_j \to u$ . Let

$$\hat{u}_j = \sup\{v \in \mathrm{PSH}(\hat{\Omega}) : v|_{\Omega} \le u_j|_{\Omega}\}.$$

Then  $\hat{u}_j \searrow \hat{u}$  and  $\hat{u}_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(\hat{\Omega})$  so  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Omega})$ . From Lemma 2.1 we know that  $(dd^c \hat{u}_j)^n \le \chi_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n$  on  $\hat{\Omega}$ . To prove that  $(dd^c \hat{u})^n \le \chi_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n$  on  $\hat{\Omega}$  it remains to show that  $\chi_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n$  converges to  $\chi_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n$  on  $\hat{\Omega}$  in the weak\* topology. We want to show that  $(dd^c u)^n$  does not put any mass on  $\partial\Omega$ —in

other words, that  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n \ge \lim_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n$ . Take a constant A such that  $\lim_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n > A$ . Since  $(dd^c u_j)^n$  is increasing there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n > A$  if  $j \ge k$ . Choose  $h \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  with  $h \ge -1$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_k)^n > A$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u_j)^n > A$  when  $j \ge k$  and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n &= \int_{\Omega} (1+h) (dd^c u)^n - \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (1+h) (dd^c u)^n + \lim_j \int_{\Omega} -h (dd^c u_j)^n \\ &> \int_{\Omega} (1+h) (dd^c u)^n + A > A. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n \ge \lim_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n$ , which finishes the proof.

## 3. Approximation

In this section we come to the main result of this paper. We will use subextensions as already described to approximate functions in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  by functions in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$ . We need the sufficient condition that one single function ( $\neq 0$ ) in the class  $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  can be approximated by functions in  $\mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$ . We will start by defining the class  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a hyperconvex domain and let  $\Omega^j$  be a fundamental sequence of strictly pseudoconvex domains; that is,  $\Omega^j \subset \Omega^{j+1} \subset \Omega$  for every j and  $\bigcup \Omega^j = \Omega$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}$  and let

$$u^{j} = \sup\{\varphi \in \mathsf{PSH}(\Omega) : \varphi|_{C\Omega^{j}} \le u|_{C\Omega^{j}}\}.$$

Then  $u \leq u^j \leq u^{j+1}$ , so  $u^j \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\tilde{u} = (\lim u^j)^* \in \mathcal{E}$ . Let the class  $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  be the class of all functions  $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  such that  $\tilde{u} = 0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 3.5 we will need the class of functions  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u})$ . A plurisubharmonic function u defined on  $\Omega$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}) (= \mathcal{F}(\Omega, \tilde{u}))$  if there exists a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\tilde{u} \ge u \ge \varphi + \tilde{u}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{F}$ . For more details about the class  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u})$  see [C4].

**THEOREM 3.1.** Assume that  $\Omega \subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset \Omega_j$  are hyperconvex domains and that there exist a function  $0 > v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  and a sequence  $v_j \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$  such that  $v_j \to v$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Then, for every function  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  there is an increasing sequence of functions  $u_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$  such that  $\lim u_j = u$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .

In the next corollary we must assume that the sequence  $\{\Omega_j\}$  is a Stein neighborhood basis—in other words, that  $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcap \Omega_j$ , where  $\Omega_j$  is pseudoconvex.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $\Omega$  be a hyperconvex domain with  $C^1$ -boundary and with a Stein neighborhood basis  $\{\Omega_j\}$ . Then for every function  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  there is an increasing sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$  such that  $\lim u_j = u$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Before proving Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 we need some other results. We start by defining the relative Monge–Ampère capacity defined by Bedford and Taylor [BT]. If  $K \subset \Omega$  is a compact set then the Monge–Ampère capacity of *K* relative  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{cap}(K,\Omega) = \sup\left\{\int_{K} (dd^{c}v)^{n} : v \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega), \ -1 \le v \le 0\right\}.$$

If  $u_{K,\Omega}$  is the relative extremal function defined by

$$u_{K,\Omega}(z) = \sup\{v(z) : v \in \text{PSH}(\Omega), v|_K \le -1, v|_\Omega < 0\}$$

and if

$$u_{K,\Omega}^*(z) = \limsup_{\xi \to z} u_{K,\Omega}(\xi)$$

is the upper semicontinuous regularization, then Bedford and Taylor proved that

$$\operatorname{cap}(K,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} (dd^{c} u_{K,\Omega}^{*})^{n} = \int_{K} (dd^{c} u_{K,\Omega}^{*})^{n}$$

In [Be], Benelkourchi gave a new characterization of the class  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  in terms of the relative Monge–Ampère capacity. For the reader's convenience we include the whole proof.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\Omega$  be a hyperconvex domain. A function  $\varphi \in PSH^{-}(\Omega)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{s\to 0} s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{z \in \Omega : \varphi \le -s\}, \Omega) < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ ; then there is a decreasing sequence of functions  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi_j \searrow \varphi$ . For a fixed *j* we have that  $h^*_{\{\varphi_j \le -s\},\Omega} \ge \varphi_j/s$ , where  $h_{\{\varphi_j \le -s\},\Omega}$  is the relative extremal function. Since both functions are in  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ , integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c h^*_{\{\varphi_j \leq -s\}, \Omega})^n \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( dd^c \frac{\varphi_j}{s} \right)^n$$

and hence

$$s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{\varphi_j \leq -s\}, \Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n$$

Because  $\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{s \to 0} s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{z \in \Omega : \varphi(z) \le -s\}, \Omega) < +\infty$$

Now assume that  $\varphi \in PSH^{-}(\Omega)$  and that

$$\limsup_{s \to 0} s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{z \in \Omega : \varphi(z) \le -s\}, \Omega) < +\infty$$

By [C2] there is a decreasing sequence of functions  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $\varphi_j \searrow \varphi$  when  $j \to \infty$ . It remains to show that  $\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty$ . Take s > 0 fixed. Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{s^n} \int_{\{\varphi_j \le -s\}} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n \\ &= \int_{\{\varphi_j/s \le -1\}} \left( dd^c \frac{\varphi_j}{s} \right)^n \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( dd^c \frac{\varphi_j}{s} \right)^n - \int_{\{\varphi_j/s > -1\}} \left( dd^c \frac{\varphi_j}{s} \right)^n \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( dd^c \max\left\{ \frac{\varphi_j}{s}, -1 \right\} \right)^n - \int_{\{\varphi_j/s > -1\}} \left( dd^c \max\left\{ \frac{\varphi_j}{s}, -1 \right\} \right)^n \\ &= \int_{\{\varphi_j/s \le -1\}} \left( dd^c \max\left\{ \frac{\varphi_j}{s}, -1 \right\} \right)^n \le \operatorname{cap}(\{\varphi_j \le -s\}, \Omega). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\{\varphi_j \le -s\}} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n \le s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{\varphi_j \le -s\}, \Omega) \quad \forall s > 0$$

and then

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^{c} \varphi_{j})^{n} \leq \limsup_{s \to 0} s^{n} \operatorname{cap}(\{\varphi \leq -s\}, \Omega) < +\infty$$

for all *j*, and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  by the definition.

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $\Omega$  be a hyperconvex domain. If  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  then  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$ , and if  $u \leq v$  then u = v.

*Proof.* By [C3] there is a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $\Omega$ . We would like to show that

$$\int d(u-v) \wedge d^{c}(u-v) \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{n-1} = 0$$

since then (u - v) is constant. Since both u and v belong to  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  it would then follow that u would be equal to v. We will use induction to show this. Using  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$  and  $u \le v$ , it is easy to see that  $0 = \int d(u - v) \wedge d^c(u - v) \wedge (dd^c u)^a \wedge (dd^c v)^b \wedge dd^c \psi$  when a + b = n - 2. Assume that  $0 = \int d(u - v) \wedge d^c(u - v) \wedge (dd^c u)^a \wedge (dd^c v)^b \wedge (dd^c \psi)^p$  when a + b = n - 1 - p. Then, since  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , via Stokes's theorem and Hölder's inequality we have for a + b = n - 2 - p that

$$0 \leq \int d(u-v) \wedge d^{c}(u-v) \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p+1}$$
$$= \int -(u-v) dd^{c}(u-v) \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p+1}$$
$$= \int -\psi (dd^{c}(u-v))^{2} \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} =$$

$$= \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}(u-v) \wedge dd^{c}(u-v) \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p}$$

$$\leq \left| \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}(u-v) \wedge dd^{c}u \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}(u-v) \wedge dd^{c}v \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} \right|$$

$$\leq \left[ \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}\psi \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a+1} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$+ \left[ \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}\psi \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b+1} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$+ \left[ \int d\psi \wedge d^{c}\psi \wedge (dd^{c}u)^{a} \wedge (dd^{c}v)^{b+1} \wedge (dd^{c}\psi)^{p} \right]^{1/2} = 0.$$

REMARK 1. Theorem 3.4 follows from [NP, Prop. 3.4] but here we give a more detailed version of the proof in [C4].

THEOREM 3.5. Assume that  $\Omega \subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset \Omega_j$  are hyperconvex domains and that there exist a function  $0 > v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  and a sequence of functions  $v_j \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$ such that  $\lim v_j = v$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Then  $\operatorname{cap}(K, \Omega) = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \operatorname{cap}(K, \Omega_j)$  for every compact subset K of  $\Omega$ .

Before proving this theorem we observe that, if we have a sequence  $v_j \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$  that converges to some  $v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  ( $v \neq 0$ ) a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then we can assume that our sequence  $\{v_j\}$  is increasing. We can create functions  $v^j = (\sup_{j \leq k} v_k)^*$  that will be in  $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  (since  $v^j \geq v$ ) and  $v^j \searrow v$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Observe that  $(\sup_{k \geq j} v_k)^* = (\sup_{k \geq j} v_k)$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Choose  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $v_j \neq 0$  for all  $j > j_0$ . Now let  $v'_s = \sup_{j_0 \leq p \leq s} v_p$ ; then  $v'_s \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_s)$  since  $v'_s \geq v_s$ . We see that  $v'_s \nearrow v^{j_0} = (\sup_{j_0 \leq k} v_k)^*$  a.e. on  $\Omega$  and that  $v^{j_0} < 0$ .

We will also need the following result, which was proved in [C4].

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose  $u \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n < +\infty$ . Then  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u})$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.5.* Assume that there exist a function  $0 > v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  and an increasing sequence of functions  $v_j \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$  such that  $\lim v_j = v$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Let  $K \subset \subset \Omega$  and let  $h_{K,\Omega}$  be the relative extremal function for K in  $\Omega$ . Then  $h_{K,\Omega}^* \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $-1 \leq h_{K,\Omega}^* \leq 0$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n \subset K$ . Put

$$h_j(z) = \sup\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega_j), \varphi|_{\Omega} \le h_{K,\Omega}^*\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $h_j \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega_j)$  and  $(dd^c h_j)^n \leq \chi_\Omega(dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$  on  $\Omega_j$ . Multiplying v and the  $v_j$  by a positive constant, we can assume that v < -1 near K so

that  $v_j \leq h_{K,\Omega}^*$  on  $\Omega$ . Then  $v_j \leq h_j$  and so, if we define  $f = (\lim h_j)^*$ , then  $v \leq f$  and  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ . Because of the construction,  $f \leq h_{K,\Omega}^*$  and  $(dd^c f)^n \leq (dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$ . It follows that  $\int (dd^c f)^n \leq \int (dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n < +\infty$  and, by Theorem 3.6,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . But since  $f \leq h_{K,\Omega}^*$  it follows from integration by parts (see [C2]) that  $\int (dd^c f)^n \geq \int (dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$ , so we get  $\int (dd^c f)^n = \int (dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$ . Therefore,  $(dd^c f)^n = (dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$  and so, by Theorem 3.4,  $f = h_{K,\Omega}^*$ . Then, since  $h_j$  is an increasing sequence, we know that the measure  $(dd^c h_j)^n$  converges to  $(dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n$  in the weak\* topology. But  $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c h_j)^n \subset K$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c h_{K,\Omega}^*)^n \subset K$ , so

$$\int_{K} (dd^{c}h_{j})^{n} \to \int_{K} (dd^{c}h_{K,\Omega}^{*})^{n}.$$

By the definition of the capacity  $\operatorname{cap}(K, \Omega_j) \ge \int_K (dd^c h_j)^n$ , the result now follows.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  and let  $u_j = \sup\{\varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega_j) : \varphi|_{\Omega} \le u\}$ ; that is,  $u_j$  is the subextension of u to  $\Omega_j$  considered in Section 2. Then  $\{u_j\}$  will be an increasing sequence and  $u_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$  by Theorem 2.2. It remains to show that  $v = (\lim u_j)^* \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  and that v = u. Suppose that s > 0 and that K is a compact subset of  $\{z \in \Omega : v(z) \le -s\}$ . Theorem 3.5 and the proof of Theorem 3.3 give us that

$$s^{n} \operatorname{cap}(K, \Omega) = s^{n} \lim_{j \to \infty} \operatorname{cap}(K, \Omega_{j}) \leq s^{n} \lim_{j \to \infty} \operatorname{cap}(\{z \in \Omega : v(z) \leq -s\}, \Omega_{j})$$
$$\leq s^{n} \lim_{j \to \infty} \operatorname{cap}(\{z \in \Omega_{j} : u_{j}(z) \leq -s\}, \Omega_{j})$$
$$\leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_{j}} (dd^{c}u_{j})^{n} \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^{c}u)^{n}.$$

Hence  $s^n \operatorname{cap}(\{v \le -s\}, \Omega) \le \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n$  for all s > 0 and so, by Theorem 3.3,  $v = (\lim u_j)^* \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ . We know by the construction that  $v \le u$ , so integration by parts yields  $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n \le \int_{\Omega} (dd^c v)^n$ . But Theorem 2.2 gives that  $(dd^c v)^n \le (dd^c u)^n$  and hence  $(dd^c v)^n = (dd^c u)^n$ . It follows now from Theorem 3.4 that v = u, which finishes the proof.

Using Theorem 3.1 we now prove Corollary 3.2.

*Proof of Corollary 3.2.* Because  $\{\Omega_j\}$  is a Stein neighborhood basis, we can assume that the  $\Omega_j$  are hyperconvex. Take a closed ball  $B \subset \Omega$ . Then the relative extremal function  $h_{B,\Omega} \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Fornæss and Wiegerinck showed in [FW] that  $h_{B,\Omega}$  can be approximated by functions  $u_i \in \text{PSH}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  uniformly on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; then there exists an N > 0 such that  $\sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |h_{B,\Omega} - u_i| < \varepsilon$  if i > N. Since  $\Omega_j$  is a Stein neighborhood basis for  $\Omega$ , we can take a large j such that  $u_i \in \text{PSH}(\Omega_i) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega_j)$ . Let

$$h_k(z) = \sup\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}^-(\Omega_k), \varphi|_{\Omega} \le h_{B,\Omega}\}.$$

Then  $\{h_k\}$  is an increasing sequence and  $h_k \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega_k)$  by Theorem 2.1. We know that  $u_i - \varepsilon < h_{B,\Omega}$  on  $\Omega$ , so  $h_k \ge u_i - \varepsilon$  for k > j. Thus  $\lim h_k = h_{B,\Omega}$  and, given Theorem 3.1, we can approximate every function in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  by functions in  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_j)$ .

REMARK 2. In the assumptions for Theorem 3.1 we assume that  $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset \subset \Omega_j$  and that there exist a function  $0 > v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  and a sequence  $v_j \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega_j)$  such that  $v_j \to v$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . A natural question is whether these two assumptions imply that  $\overline{\Omega} = (\bigcap \Omega_j)$ . Clearly it cannot be the case that  $\Omega \subset \subset (\bigcap \Omega_j)^\circ$ , since then  $\{v_j\}$  would be a uniformly upper bounded family of plurisubharmonic functions on  $(\bigcap \Omega_j)^\circ$ . Then we could take a compact set K such that  $\Omega \subset K \subset (\bigcap \Omega_j)^\circ$  and we could find a subsequence of  $\{v_j\}$  that converges to a plurisubharmonic function  $v_0$  on K. Since  $v_j \to v$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ , we know that  $v = v_0|_{\Omega}$ . But since  $0 > v_0 \in \text{PSH}(K)$  we have  $v_0 < c < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and from  $v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$  it follows that  $\lim \sup_{z \to \xi} v(z) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ . This gives us a contradiction.

REMARK 3. Note that a strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega$  with  $C^2$ -boundary has a Stein neighborhood basis. Then, by Corollary 3.2, every function  $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is such a domain, can be approximated by an increasing sequence of functions  $u_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_i)$ .

**REMARK 4**. Polydiscs are examples of nonsmooth domains satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

REMARK 5. Note that the existence of a Stein neighborhood basis does not imply that  $\Omega$  is hyperconvex; see [V] for a counterexample. Starting with the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  and then removing the origin and a sequence of closed discs with decreasing radius and centers tending to the origin, Vâjâitu [V] constructed a "swiss cheese" domain that is fat (i.e.,  $\overline{\Omega}^{\circ} = \Omega$ ) and has a Stein neighborhood basis but that is not hyperconvex.

#### References

- [Be] S. Benelkourchi, A note on the approximation of plurisubharmonic functions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 342 (2006), 647–650.
- [BT] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, Acta Math. 149 (1982), 1–40.
- [C1] U. Cegrell, Pluricomplex energy, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 187-217.
- [C2] —, *The general definition of the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159–179.
- [C3] ——, Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions in hyperconvex domains, Complex analysis and digital geometry (Proceedings of the Kiselmanfest), Acta Univ. Upsaliensis (to appear).
- [C4] —, A general Dirichlet problem for the Complex Monge–Ampère operator, Ann. Polon. Math. 94 (2008), 131–147.
- [CZ] U. Cegrell and A. Zeriahi, Subextension of plurisubharmonic functions with bounded Monge–Ampère mass, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 336 (2003), 305–308.

- [FW] J. E. Fornæss and J. Wiegerinck, Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions, Ark. Mat. 27 (1989), 257–272.
- [NP] V. K. Nguyen and H. H. Pham, A comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère operator in Cegrell's classes and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
  - [P] H. H. Pham, Pluripolar sets and the subextension in Cegrell's classes, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53 (2008), 675–684.
  - [V] V. Vâjâitu, On locally hyperconvex morphisms, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 322 (1996), 823–828.

U. Cegrell
Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics
Umeå University
87 Umeå
Sweden

L. Hed Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics Umeå University 87 Umeå Sweden

urban.cegrell@math.umu.se

lisa.hed@math.umu.se