

A Property of the Absolute Integral Closure of an Excellent Local Domain in Mixed Characteristic

GENNADY LYUBEZNIK

*Dedicated to Professor Melvin Hochster
on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday*

1. Introduction

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local excellent domain and let R^+ be the absolute integral closure of R —that is, the integral closure of R in the algebraic closure of the fraction field of R . The ring R^+ when R is 3-dimensional and of mixed characteristic played an important role in Heitmann’s proof of the direct summand conjecture in dimension 3 [3]. In dimension > 3 the direct summand conjecture is still open. This motivates the study of R^+ in mixed characteristic and in dimension > 3 .

Hochster and Huneke [4] proved that if R contains a field of characteristic 0 then R^+ is a big Cohen–Macaulay R -algebra; in other words, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R^+) = 0$ for all $i < \dim R$, and every system of parameters of R is a regular sequence on R^+ . Recently, in joint work with Huneke [5], we gave a simpler proof of this result.

This paper is motivated by Huneke’s suggestion that perhaps the techniques of our paper [5] could be applied to R^+ in mixed characteristic. Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local excellent domain of mixed characteristic, residual characteristic $p > 0$, and dimension ≥ 3 . Let \sqrt{pR} (resp. $\sqrt{pR^+}$) be the radical of the principal ideal of R (resp. R^+) generated by p . Set $\bar{R} = R/\sqrt{pR}$ (resp. $\bar{R}^+ = R^+/\sqrt{pR^+}$). Then*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(\bar{R}^+) = 0$, and
- (ii) every part of a system of parameters $\{a, b\}$ of \bar{R} of length 2 is a regular sequence on \bar{R}^+ .

This theorem suggests the following.

QUESTION. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local excellent domain of mixed characteristic. Is \bar{R}^+ then a big Cohen–Macaulay \bar{R} -algebra? That is:

- (i) is $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\bar{R}^+) = 0$ for all $i < \dim \bar{R}$; and
- (ii) is every system of parameters of \bar{R} a regular sequence on \bar{R}^+ ?

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since \bar{R} is a ring of characteristic p , it follows that, for every \bar{R} -algebra \mathcal{R} , the standard map $\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{r \mapsto r^p} \mathcal{R}$ induces a map $f: H_m^i(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H_m^i(\mathcal{R})$. This map is called *the action of the Frobenius* on the local cohomology of \mathcal{R} .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R' be a finite normal extension of R contained in R^+ , and let $\bar{R}' = R'/\sqrt{pR'}$. The aforementioned action of the Frobenius $f: H_m^1(\bar{R}') \rightarrow H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ on $H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ is nilpotent; that is, for some $s \geq 1$, f^s sends $H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ to zero (here $f^1 = f$ and $f^s = f \circ f^{s-1}$ for $s > 1$).*

Proof. Because R and R' are excellent and normal, their completions with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology also are excellent and normal. Since R' is semilocal, it follows that \widehat{R}' is a product of several complete normal domains R'_1, R'_2, \dots , which are the completions of R' with respect to its maximal ideals. We set $\bar{R}'_i = R'_i/\sqrt{pR'_i}$. Since

$$\widehat{R}'/\sqrt{p\widehat{R}'} \cong \widehat{R}' \cong \prod_i \bar{R}'_i \quad \text{and} \quad H_m^1(\widehat{R}') \cong H_m^1(\bar{R}') \cong \prod_i H_m^1(\bar{R}'_i)$$

and since the action of the Frobenius is the same on $H_m^1(\widehat{R}')$ as on $H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ and since the Frobenius acts individually on each $H_m^1(\bar{R}'_i)$, we conclude that it is enough to prove that the action of the Frobenius on each $H_m^1(\bar{R}'_i)$ is nilpotent. Thus, giving \widehat{R} and R'_i the names R and R' again, we may assume that R is complete and hence that R' is local. We keep this assumption for the rest of the proof.

At this point we paraphrase a result from [6, 4.1, 4.6b, and the paragraph following the statement of 4.6b]: *Let A be a local ring of characteristic p . Then f is nilpotent on $H_m^i(A)$ for $i \leq 1$ if and only if $\dim A \geq 2$ and the punctured spectrum of the completion of the strict Henselization of A is connected.* Because $\dim \bar{R}' \geq 2$, this implies that f is nilpotent on $H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ if the punctured spectrum of $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{(\bar{R}')^{\text{sh}}}$ is connected, where $\widehat{(\bar{R}')^{\text{sh}}}$ is the completion of the strict Henselization of \bar{R}' . Hence it is enough to prove that the punctured spectrum of B is connected.

Since R' is excellent, so is its strict Henselization $(R')^{\text{sh}}$ [1, 5.6iii]. Since R' is normal, standard properties of strict Henselization imply that $(R')^{\text{sh}}$ is normal. Because $(R')^{\text{sh}}$ is both excellent and normal, so is its completion $B' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{(R')^{\text{sh}}}$. In particular, B' is a domain.

Since B' is excellent and since $\bar{R}' = R'/\sqrt{pR'}$, standard properties of strict Henselization and completion imply that $B = B'/\sqrt{pB'}$.

Assume that the punctured spectrum of B is disconnected. This is equivalent to the existence of ideals \tilde{I}_1 and \tilde{I}_2 of B such that $\tilde{I}_1 \cap \tilde{I}_2 = 0$ and $\sqrt{\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2} = \mathfrak{m}_B$, where \mathfrak{m}_B is the maximal ideal of B .

Let I_1 and I_2 be the preimages of \tilde{I}_1 and \tilde{I}_2 (respectively) in B' . Then $\sqrt{pB'} = I_1 \cap I_2$ and $I_1 + I_2$ is \mathfrak{m}' -primary, where \mathfrak{m}' is the maximal ideal of B' . Let $\dim B' = \dim R = d$. The Mayer–Vietoris sequence yields

$$H_{(p)}^{d-1}(B') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}'}^d(B') \rightarrow H_{I_1}^d(B') \oplus H_{I_2}^d(B'),$$

which is an exact sequence. Then $H_{(p)}^{d-1}(B') = 0$ because (p) is a principal ideal and $d - 1 > 1$, while $H_{I_1}^d(B') = 0$ and $H_{I_2}^d(B') = 0$ by the Hartshorne–Lichtenbaum local vanishing theorem [2, 3.1] (note that B' is a complete local d -dimensional domain). Hence $H_m^d(R') = 0$, which is impossible. \square

Viewing \bar{R}' as a subring of \bar{R}^+ in a natural way, we set

$$\mathcal{R} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{r \in \bar{R}^+ \mid r^{p^s} \in \bar{R}'\}.$$

Since every monic polynomial with coefficients in \bar{R}' has a root in \bar{R}^+ , we know that every element of \bar{R}' has a (p^s) th root in \bar{R}^+ and that this (p^s) th root is unique because \bar{R}^+ is reduced. Therefore, the \bar{R} -algebra homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}'$ that sends $r \in \mathcal{R}$ to $r^{p^s} \in \bar{R}'$ is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 2.2. *The map $\phi_*: H_m^1(\bar{R}') \rightarrow H_m^1(\mathcal{R})$ induced by the natural inclusion $\phi: \bar{R}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is the zero map.*

Proof. The composition of \bar{R} -algebra homomorphisms $\varphi \circ \phi: \bar{R}' \rightarrow \bar{R}'$ is the standard homomorphism sending $r \in \bar{R}'$ to $r^{p^s} \in \bar{R}'$. Hence the induced map $\varphi_* \circ \phi_*: H_m^1(\bar{R}') \rightarrow H_m^1(\bar{R}')$ is nothing but f^s , which is the zero map by Lemma 2.1. Because φ is an isomorphism, so is φ_* . Since $\varphi_* \circ \phi_*$ is the zero map and since φ_* is an isomorphism, ϕ_* is the zero map. \square

The \bar{R} -algebra \bar{R}^+ is the direct limit of \bar{R}' as R' ranges over the finite normal extensions of R contained in R^+ . Since local cohomology commutes with direct limits, it follows that $H_m^1(\bar{R}^+)$ is the direct limit of $H_m^1(\bar{R}')$. In other words, $H_m^1(\bar{R}^+)$ is the union of the images of the maps $\phi'_*: H_m^1(\bar{R}') \rightarrow H_m^1(\bar{R}^+)$ induced by the natural inclusion $\phi': \bar{R}' \hookrightarrow \bar{R}^+$. But Lemma 2.2 implies that the image of every ϕ'_* is zero (ϕ'_* factors through ϕ_*). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1(i).

For Theorem 1.1(ii), let $\{a, b\}$ be a part of a system of parameters of \bar{R} . Since \bar{R}^+ is a reduced integral extension of \bar{R} , a is regular on \bar{R}^+ . That $H_m^i(\bar{R}^+) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{R}^+ \xrightarrow{\text{mult. by } a} \bar{R}^+ \rightarrow \bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+ \rightarrow 0$$

together imply that $H_m^0(\bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+) = 0$. Hence \mathfrak{m} is not an associated prime of $\bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+$. This implies that the only associated primes of $\bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+$ are the minimal primes of $\bar{R}/a\bar{R}$. Indeed, if there is an embedded associated prime, say P , then P is the maximal ideal of the ring \bar{R}_P whose dimension exceeds 1 and P is an associated prime of $(\bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+)_P = (\bar{R}_P)^+/a(\bar{R}_P)^+$, which is impossible by the foregoing. Since b is not in any minimal prime of $\bar{R}/a\bar{R}$, it must be regular on $\bar{R}^+/a\bar{R}^+$. \square

References

[1] S. Greco, *Two theorems on excellent rings*, Nagoya Math. J. 60 (1976), 139–149.
 [2] R. Hartshorne, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 403–450.

- [3] R. Heitmann, *The direct summand conjecture in dimension three*, Ann. of Math. (2) 156 (2002), 695–712.
- [4] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen–Macaulay algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 135 (1992), 53–89.
- [5] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, *Absolute integral closure in positive characteristic*, Adv. Math. 210 (2007), 498–504.
- [6] G. Lyubeznik, *On the vanishing of local cohomology in characteristic $p > 0$* , Compositio Math. 142 (2006), 207–221.

Department of Mathematics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455
gennady@math.umn.edu