

Compact Contractive Projections in Continuous Function Spaces

J. R. FERRER VILLANUEVA, M. LOPEZ PELLICER,
& L. M. SANCHEZ RUIZ

The problem of characterizing subspaces of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ admitting contractive projections has been considered by different authors. From Nachbin, Goodner, and Kelley's theorems we obtain that if K is an extremely disconnected compact Hausdorff space, then a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is the range of a projection of norm 1 in $\mathcal{C}(K)$ if and only if it is isometric to the continuous functions on an extremely disconnected compact Hausdorff space. Lindenstrauss and Wulbert [4] extended this result when K is a compact Hausdorff space, showing that a Banach space Y is isometric to the range of a contractive projection in some $\mathcal{C}(K)$ if and only if Y is isometric to $\mathcal{C}_\sigma(L) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(L) : f(x) + f(\sigma(x)) = 0 \ \forall x \in L\}$ for some compact Hausdorff space L and some involutive homeomorphism σ of L . Later on, Lindberg [2] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a closed separating subspace E of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ to be the range of a projection of norm 1, obtaining that each contractive projection onto E can be given in terms of a real-valued continuous function defined on the closure of the single extreme points and the closure of the double extreme points.

In this paper we attempt to discuss the conditions for a separating subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ to be the range of a compact contractive projection in $\mathcal{C}(X)$, X being a Hausdorff completely regular topological space with a fundamental sequence of compact sets.

Throughout this paper X will stand for any Hausdorff completely regular topological space and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ for the space of the continuous real-valued functions on X endowed with the compact-open topology. Given a linear subspace E of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and a compact subset K of X , we shall set $E_K := \{f \in E : |f(x)| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in K\}$ and $C_K := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) : |f(x)| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in K\}$, and denote by E_K° and C_K° their polar sets in the topological dual spaces of E and $\mathcal{C}(X)$, respectively. E is said to be *separating* if, for each $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there is some $f \in E$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. E separates points and closed sets of X if, for each closed subset A of X and $x \in X \setminus A$, there is some $f \in E$ such that $f(x) \notin \overline{f(A)}$. For each $x \in X$, δ_x will denote the linear form of $(\mathcal{C}(X))'$ (E') such that $\delta_x(f) = f(x) \ \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ (E). If A is a subset of

$(\mathcal{C}(X))'$ then $z \in A$ is said to be an *extreme point* of A if $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ (with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $x, y \in A$) implies that $z = x = y$.

Given $x \in X$, x is a *double point* of X if there is some $y_x \in X$ such that $f(x) + f(y_x) = 0$ for every $f \in E$. If x is not a double point then x is called a *single point*. If E is separating and x is a double point then y_x is unique. The set $d(E)$ of all the double points may fail to be closed. So, for instance, 0 is not a double point of $E = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}) : f(1/n) + f(n) = 0, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ since for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$ there is some $f \in E$ such that $f(0) + f(a) \neq 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be a separating linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and K a compact subset of X . If z is an extreme point of E_K° , then there exists some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $|\alpha| = 1$, and some $x \in K$ such that $z = \alpha \delta_x$.*

Proof. If $F := \{\alpha \delta_x : |\alpha| = 1, x \in K\}$, then its weakly closed convex cover is contained in E_K° . On the other hand, if $\varphi \notin \overline{CF}^{\sigma(E', E)}$ then there will be some $f \in E$ such that $\varphi(f) > 1$ and $|f(x)| \leq 1 \forall x \in K$; therefore $\varphi \notin E_K^\circ$ and $\overline{CF}^{\sigma(E', E)} = E_K^\circ$. Hence the extreme points of E_K° are contained in F [1, §25.1(6)]. \square

It is worth pointing out that under the hypothesis of Proposition 1 there may be some compact subset K of X and some $x \in K$ such that δ_x is not an extreme point of E_K° . For example, taking $E := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}) : f(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(f(0) + f(1))\}$, $\delta_{1/2}$ is not an extreme point of $E_{[0, 1]}^\circ$ since $\delta_{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$. Under additional suppositions a situation like this will not be able to hold.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let K be a compact subset of X and let $x \in K$. Suppose that, for each $f \in E$ with $f(x) = 0$, at least one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (i) *there exists some $g \in E_K$ and $r > 0$ such that $g(x) = 1$ and $g + f/r \in E_K$;*
or
- (ii) *there is a sequence $\{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ which is uniformly convergent to f in K , and each f_n verifies (i).*

Then δ_x is an extreme point of E_K° .

Proof. Let $\delta_x = \lambda u^* + (1 - \lambda)v^*$, with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $u^*, v^* \in E_K^\circ$. First we shall show $\ker \delta_x \subset \ker u^* \cap \ker v^*$. Assume $f(x) = 0$. If (i) holds, then $1 = \delta_x(g) = \lambda u^*(g) + (1 - \lambda)v^*(g)$ and $1 = \delta_x(g + f/r) = \lambda u^*(g + f/r) + (1 - \lambda)v^*(g + f/r)$, which requires $u^*(g) = v^*(g) = 1$ and $u^*(g + f/r) = v^*(g + f/r) = 1$. So $u^*(f) = v^*(f) = 0$. If (ii) holds, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $n \geq p$, $\sup\{|(f - f_n)(x)| : x \in K\} < \epsilon$. Therefore $|u^*(f - f_n)| < \epsilon$ and $|v^*(f - f_n)| < \epsilon$. And from $u^*(f_n) = v^*(f_n) = 0$ it is clear that $u^*(f) = v^*(f) = 0$.

Hence $u^* = \alpha \delta_x$ and $v^* = \beta \delta_x$. On the other hand, as $o \in E$, there exists some $g \in E_K$ such that $g(x) = 1$, so $1 \geq |u^*(g)| = |\alpha|$ and $1 \geq |v^*(g)| = |\beta|$. And from $1 = \delta_x(g) = \lambda \alpha \delta_x(g) + (1 - \lambda)\beta \delta_x(g)$ it is clear that $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Therefore $u^* = \delta_x$ and $v^* = \delta_x$. \square

EXAMPLE. Let $E := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}) : f(0) + f(1) = 0\}$. Then, for each compact subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}$, if $x \in K$ then δ_x is an extreme point of E_K° . We shall show that Proposition 2 holds. Assume $f \in E$ and $f(x) = 0$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that if $|h| < \delta$ then $|f(x+h)| < \epsilon$. In case $x \neq 0, 1$, we shall take $\delta < \max\{|x|, |x-1|\}$. Let $f_\epsilon \in E$ such that

$$f_\epsilon \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \left]x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right[,$$

$$f_\epsilon(y) = -\frac{2}{\delta} f(x-\delta) \left(y - \left(x - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } y \in \left[x - \delta, x - \frac{\delta}{2}\right],$$

$$f_\epsilon(y) = \frac{2}{\delta} f(x+\delta) \left(y - \left(x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } y \in \left[x + \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \delta\right],$$

and

$$f_\epsilon(y) = f(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [x - \delta, x + \delta].$$

Now $\{f_n^* := f_{1/n}, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is uniformly convergent to f in K , and for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, if we take $g_n \in E_K$ defined by

$$g_n \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R} \setminus \left]x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right[,$$

$$g_n(y) = \frac{2}{\delta} \left(y - \left(x - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } y \in \left[x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x\right],$$

$$g_n(y) = -\frac{2}{\delta} \left(y - \left(x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text{for } y \in \left[x, x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right],$$

and $r_n > \sup\{|f_n^*(x)| : x \in K\}$, then $g_n + f_n^*/r_n \in E_K$. □

LEMMA 1. If E separates points and closed sets of X , then the mapping $\sigma : d(E) \rightarrow d(E)$ such that $\sigma(x) = y_x$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a net converging to x in $d(E)$. Then for each $f \in E$, $\{f(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ converges to $f(x)$. So $\{f(y_{x_\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ converges to $f(y_x)$ and, since the topology on X is the initial topology defined by E , $\{y_{x_\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$ converges to y_x ; that is, $\{\sigma(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ converges to $\sigma(x)$. □

From now on we shall assume that $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a fundamental sequence of compact sets of X . Given a linear subspace E of $\mathcal{C}(X)$, we shall say that a projection p of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ onto E is *compact contractive* if, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\sup\{|pf(x)| : x \in K_n\} \leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K_n\} \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.

DEFINITION 1. We shall say that a single (double) point $x \in X$ is an *extreme* single (double) point if δ_x is an extreme point of some $E_{K_n}^\circ$.

We shall denote by S (D) the set of all the extreme single (double) points of X .

LEMMA 2. *The restriction of σ to D is an involutive homeomorphism.*

Proof. Take $x \in D$; then δ_x is an extreme point of some $E_{K_n}^\circ$. Clearly $\delta_{\sigma(x)} \in E_{K_n}^\circ$, and if $\delta_{\sigma(x)} = \lambda u^* + (1 - \lambda)v^*$ (with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $u^*, v^* \in E_{K_n}^\circ$) then $\delta_x = \lambda(-u^*) + (1 - \lambda)(-v^*)$, so $\delta_x = -u^* = -v^*$ and $\delta_{\sigma(x)} = u^* = v^*$. Hence $\sigma(x) \in D$. □

PROPOSITION 3. *Let E be a separating subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X)$, p a compact contractive projection of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ onto E , and p^* the transpose linear mapping of p . Then:*

- (i) *for each $x \in \bar{S}$, $p^*(\delta_x) = \delta_x$;*
- (ii) *for each $x \in D$, $p^*(\delta_x) = t\delta_x - (1 - t)\delta_{\sigma(x)}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.*

Moreover, if E separates points and closed sets of X and $d(E)$ is closed, then (ii) also holds for each $x \in \bar{D}$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in K_n$, let

$$E_x^{K_n} := \{\varphi \in C_{K_n}^\circ : \varphi|_E = \delta_x\},$$

which coincides with the closed convex cover of its extreme points. Now $p^*\delta_x \in E_x^{K_n}$ since, for each $g \in C_{K_n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p^*\delta_x(g)| &= |\delta_x(pg)| = |pg(x)| \leq \sup\{|pg(y)| : y \in K_n\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in K_n\} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and since, for each $f \in E$, $p^*\delta_x(f) = \delta_x(pf) = \delta_x(f)$.

On the other hand, if δ_x is an extreme point of $E_{K_n}^\circ$ then each extreme point of $E_x^{K_n}$ is an extreme point of $C_{K_n}^\circ$; for if φ is an extreme point of $E_x^{K_n}$ and $\varphi = \alpha u^* + (1 - \alpha)v^*$ (with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $u^*, v^* \in C_{K_n}^\circ$), then $\delta_x = \varphi|_E = \alpha u^*|_E + (1 - \alpha)v^*|_E$, $u^*|_E, v^*|_E \in E_{K_n}^\circ$. So $\delta_x = u^*|_E = v^*|_E$, and $u^*, v^* \in E_x^{K_n}$, coinciding with φ . Moreover, each extreme point φ of $E_x^{K_n}$ is $\varphi = \alpha\delta_z$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ and $z \in K_n$ (i.e., $\varphi = \delta_z$ or $\varphi = -\delta_z$), and coincides with δ_x on E . As E is separating, if $\delta_z|_E = \delta_x$ then $z = x$ and if $-\delta_z|_E = \delta_x$ then $z = \sigma(x)$, which may happen only if x is a double point and $\sigma(x) \in K_n$.

(i) If $x \in S$ then δ_x is the only extreme point of $E_x^{K_n}$ and $p^*\delta_x = \delta_x$. If $x \in \bar{S}$ then $x = \lim_{i \in I} x_i$ with $x_i \in S$. Therefore

$$\lim_{i \in I} \delta_{x_i} = \delta_x \quad \text{and} \quad p^*\delta_x = \lim_{i \in I} p^*\delta_{x_i} = \lim_{i \in I} \delta_{x_i} = \delta_x.$$

(ii) If $x \in D$, $\sigma(x) \in K_n$, and δ_x and $-\delta_{\sigma(x)}$ are the extreme points of $E_x^{K_n}$, then there will be some $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that $p^*\delta_x = t\delta_x - (1 - t)\delta_{\sigma(x)}$. If $\sigma(x) \notin K_n$, then δ_x is the only extreme point of $E_x^{K_n}$ and (ii) also holds with $t = 1$.

If E separates points and closed sets in X and $d(E)$ is closed, then for each $x \in \bar{D}$, $x = \lim_{i \in I} x_i$ with $x_i \in D$. Now

$$p^*\delta_x = \lim_{i \in I} p^*\delta_{x_i} = \lim_{i \in I} t_i\delta_{x_i} - (1 - t_i)\delta_{\sigma(x_i)} = t\delta_x - (1 - t)\delta_{\sigma(x)}$$

for some $0 \leq t \leq 1$. □

An example showing that the conditions given in Proposition 3 are not sufficient may be obtained by considering the projection p of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N})$ defined by $p(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots) = (-a_2, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots)$ and $K_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $E := p(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N}))$ is a separating subspace of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N})$, $p^*(\delta_1) = -\delta_2$, and $p^*(\delta_n) = \delta_n$ for each $n \geq 2$. So the double points of \mathbb{N} , 1 and 2, satisfy $p^*(\delta_2) = t\delta_2 - (1-t)\delta_{\sigma(2)}$ with $t = 1$ and $p^*(\delta_1) = t\delta_1 - (1-t)\delta_{\sigma(1)}$ with $t = 0$. However, p is not a compact contractive projection since, examining K_1 , $|a_2| \leq |a_1|$ is false in general.

DEFINITION 2. Given a closed subspace X' of X , we shall say that the subspace E of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is *compact isometric* to the subspace F of $\mathcal{C}(X')$ if the linear mapping $I_{X'}$ of E in $\mathcal{C}(X')$ such that the image of each $f \in E$ is its restriction to X' has range F , and if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_n \cap X' \neq \emptyset$, $\sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K_n\} = \sup\{|I_{X'} f(x)| : x \in K_n\}$.

PROPOSITION 4. If E separates points and closed sets of X , $d(E)$ is closed, and E is the range of a compact contractive projection of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ onto E , then E is compact isometric to $F = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{S} \cup \bar{D}) : f(x) + f(\sigma(x)) = 0 \ \forall x \in \bar{D}\}$.

Proof. Let us show that the range of $I_{\bar{S} \cup \bar{D}}$ of E in $\mathcal{C}(\bar{S} \cup \bar{D})$ is F . Set $A_n := K_n \cap (\bar{S} \cup \bar{D})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $f \in F$, if $f_1 := f|_{A_1}$ then by the Tietze extension theorem there is some \hat{f}_1 in $\mathcal{C}(K_1)$ such that $\hat{f}_1|_{A_1} = f_1$. For each $i \geq 2$, let $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(K_{i-1} \cup A_i)$ be defined by $f_i := \hat{f}_{i-1}$ on K_{i-1} and by $f_i := f|_{A_i}$ on A_i . Then there is some \hat{f}_i in $\mathcal{C}(K_i)$ such that $\hat{f}_i|_{K_{i-1} \cup A_i} = f_i$. Let us define \hat{f} in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ so that $\hat{f}|_{K_n} = \hat{f}_n$, for which $I_{\bar{S} \cup \bar{D}}(\hat{f}) = f$ clearly holds.

Now $pf \in E$ and $I_{\bar{S} \cup \bar{D}}(pf) = f$, since $pf(x) = \delta_x(pf) = p^*\delta_x(\hat{f}) = \delta_x(\hat{f}) = f(x)$ for each $x \in \bar{S}$ and since $pf(x) = p^*\delta_x(\hat{f}) = (t\delta_x - (1-t)\delta_{\sigma(x)})(\hat{f}) = t(f(x) + f(\sigma(x)) - f(\sigma(x))) = f(x)$ for each $x \in \bar{D}$.

Finally, if $K_n \cap (\bar{S} \cup \bar{D}) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K_n \cap (\bar{S} \cup \bar{D})\} &\leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K_n\} \\ &= \sup\{|\varphi(f)| : \varphi \in E_{K_n}^\circ\} \\ &= \sup\{|\varphi(f)| : \varphi \in \text{ext } E_{K_n}^\circ\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\delta_x(f)| : \delta_x \in \text{ext } E_{K_n}^\circ, x \in K_n\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K_n \cap (\bar{S} \cup \bar{D})\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in E$. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

References

1. G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer, Berlin, 1969.
2. K. Lindberg, *Contractive projections in continuous function spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 97-103.

3. J. Lindenstrauss, *Extension of compact operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1964).
4. J. Lindenstrauss and D. Wulbert, *On the classification of the Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 332–349.

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
46071 Valencia
Spain