

# Multipliers and Cyclic Vectors in the Bloch Space

LEON BROWN & A. L. SHIELDS\*

## I. Introduction

In this paper we study the cyclic vectors in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the Bloch space with the weak\* topology, and in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ , the “little” Bloch space with the norm topology. A result is obtained which implies that every outer function in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  is cyclic. We also obtain a simple characterization of multipliers in  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ .

The Bloch space  $\mathfrak{B}$  in the open unit disc  $D$  in the complex plane is the space of all those analytic functions  $f$  such that  $(1 - |z|^2)f'(z)$  is bounded in  $D$ . We norm  $\mathfrak{B}$  as follows:

$$(1) \quad \|f\| = |f(0)| + \sup\{(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| : z \in D\}.$$

With this norm  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a Banach space and  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  a closed subspace. Here  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ , sometimes called the “little” Bloch space, denotes the set of those  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  for which  $(1 - |z|^2)f'(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|z| \uparrow 1$ . For information about  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ , see [1] and [2].

The space  $\mathfrak{B}$  with the norm (1) is isometric to the second dual  $\mathfrak{B}_0^{**}$  (see [9]). Furthermore, the polynomials are norm dense in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  and in  $\mathfrak{B}_0^*$ , and are weak\* dense in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not norm separable.

We have a growth estimate for Bloch functions (see, e.g., [3, Eq. (4)]):

$$(2) \quad |f(z)| \leq \left\{ 1 + \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \right\} \|f\|.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{B}$  is contained in  $L_a^p$  (the analytic  $L^p$  functions in  $D$ ) for  $p < \infty$ . For the Hardy spaces we have  $H^\infty \subset \mathfrak{B}$ , but  $H^p$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$  for any  $p < \infty$ ; also,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is not contained in the Nevanlinna class.

The second section of this paper deals with multipliers in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . A complex-valued function  $\phi$  in  $D$  is called a *multiplier on  $\mathfrak{B}$*  if  $\phi\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ . By  $M_\phi$  we denote the operator of multiplication by  $\phi$ :  $M_\phi f = \phi f$  ( $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ ). The set of all multipliers will be denoted by  $M(\mathfrak{B})$ . An application of the closed graph theorem shows that  $M_\phi$  is a bounded linear transformation on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Hence it has a finite norm  $\|M_\phi\|$ .

---

Received February 21, 1990. Revision received September 24, 1990.

\*Deceased. The research of this author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Michigan Math. J. 38 (1991).

In the third section we study (weak\*) cyclic vectors for the space  $\mathfrak{B}$ . These are the function  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  whose polynomial multiples are weak\* dense in  $\mathfrak{B}$  (i.e., they are cyclic vectors in the weak\* topology for the operator of multiplication by  $z$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ ). Note that a duality argument yields the fact that if  $f$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ , then  $f$  is (norm) cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  if and only if it is weak\* cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . When we refer to cyclic vectors in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the weak\* topology is always understood.

In [3], Anderson, Fernández, and Shields show that if  $f$  is outer and bounded, then  $f$  is cyclic. Theorem 3 shows that the outer requirement alone is enough to ensure that a Bloch space function is cyclic.

## II. Multipliers in the Bloch Spaces

**THEOREM 1.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\phi$  is a multiplier on  $\mathfrak{B}$ ;
- (b)  $\phi$  is a multiplier on  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ ;
- (c)  $\phi \in H^\infty$  and

$$|\phi'(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-|z|)\log(1/(1-|z|))}\right).$$

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) We thank the referee for suggesting the following proof; our original proof was more complicated.

Suppose that  $\phi$  is a multiplier of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then by [5, Lemma 11]  $\phi \in H^\infty$  and  $|\phi(z)| \leq \|M_\phi\|$ . Let  $z_0 \in D \setminus \{0\}$  be arbitrary. We complete the proof of the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) by showing

$$(*) \quad |\phi'(z_0)| \leq \frac{4\|M_\phi\|}{(1-|z_0|)\log(1/(1-|z_0|))}.$$

Let  $z_0 = re^{i\theta}$ . Since  $L(z) = \log(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\phi$  is a multiplier of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we have

$$\|\phi L\| \leq \|M_\phi\| \|L\| = 2\|M_\phi\|.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-|z|^2)|\phi'(z)L(z)| &\leq 2\|M_\phi\| + (1-|z|^2)|\phi(z)L'(z)| \\ &\leq 2\|M_\phi\| + 2\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 4\|M_\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{4\|M_\phi\|}{(1-|z|^2)|\log(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)|}.$$

To see that (\*) holds set  $z = z_0$  and replace  $1 - |z_0|^2$  with the smaller value  $1 - |z_0|$ .

- (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Given  $z_0 = re^{i\theta} \neq 0$  and  $\alpha$  in  $(0, 1)$ , let

$$L_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{1}{z} \log(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)\right)^\alpha.$$

A calculation shows that  $L_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  and  $\sup_\alpha \|L_\alpha\| = k < +\infty$ . In a manner similar to the proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c), one obtains that if  $\varphi$  is a multiplier of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  then, for each  $\alpha$ ,

$$|\varphi'(z_0)| \leq \frac{2k\|M_\varphi\|}{(1-|z_0|^2)|(\log(1-|z_0|)/z_0)^\alpha|}.$$

Hence,

$$|\varphi'(z_0)| \leq \frac{2k\|M_\varphi\|}{(1-|z_0|)\log(1/(1-|z_0|))}.$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Assume  $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\varphi \in H^\infty$ , and

$$|\varphi'(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-|z|)\log(1/(1-|z|))}\right).$$

Note that  $(\varphi f)' = \varphi f' + \varphi' f$  and we have

$$|(\varphi f')(z)| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty |f'(z)| \leq C \frac{1}{1-|z|}.$$

For  $|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  we have  $|f(z)| \leq C \log(1/(1-|z|))$ , which implies  $|(\varphi f')(z)| \leq C/(1-|z|)$ . Thus  $\varphi f \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) One can easily show that if  $f \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  then  $f(z) = o(\log(1/(1-r)))$ . Using this fact, the proof is similar to the proof of (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).  $\square$

LEMMA 1 (see [3, Lemma 1] or [4, Prop. 2]).

- (a) If  $\{f_n\} \subset B$  then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  weak\* if and only if  $f_n(z) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $z$  in  $D$ , and  $\sup \|f_n\| < \infty$ .
- (b) If  $\{f_\alpha\} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , then  $\lim f_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  (as  $\alpha \uparrow 1$ ) weak\* if and only if  $\lim f_\alpha(z) \rightarrow 0$  ( $\alpha \uparrow 1$ ) for all  $z$  in  $D$ , and  $\lim \sup \|f_\alpha\| < \infty$ .

REMARK. Both (a) and (b) remain valid if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  and weak\* is replaced by weakly.

Let  $[f]$  denote the weak\* (norm) closure in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  of polynomial multiples of  $f$ . Set  $\|f\|_0 = \sup_z (1-|z|)|f(z)|$  if it is finite.

LEMMA 2. If  $g \in M(\mathfrak{B}_0) = M(\mathfrak{B})$  then

- (a)  $f \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  implies  $gf \in [f]$  (norm topology);
- (b)  $f \in \mathfrak{B}$  implies  $gf \in [f]$  (weak\* topology).

*Proof.* (a) We show that if  $g \in M(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  then  $g_t(f) \in [f]$ , where  $g_t(z) = g(tz)$ . One easily shows that if  $P_n$  is the partial sum of the power series for  $g_t$  then  $P_n f \rightarrow g_t f$  (norm). Thus we have  $g_t f$  is in the closure of polynomial multiples of  $f$ , which implies  $g_t f \in [f]$ . For  $z \in D$ ,  $g_t(z)f(z) \rightarrow g(z)f(z)$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_t f\| &= \|g_t f' + f(g_t)'\|_0 + |g(0)f(0)| \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \|f\| + \|f(g_t)'\|_0 + |f(0)g(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|z| = r$  and  $|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)(g_t(z))'(1-r^2)| &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) t |g'(tz)|(1-r) \\ &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \max_{|y|=r} |g'(ty)|(1-r) \\ &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \max_{|y|=r} |g'(y)|(1-r) \\ &\leq C \quad (\text{by Theorem 1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1,  $g_t f \rightarrow g f$  weakly and the proof is complete.

(b) The proof is essentially the same for  $f \in \mathfrak{B}$ ; we omit the details.  $\square$

### III. Cyclic Vectors in the Bloch Spaces

LEMMA 3. *If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{B}$  then*

$$\|(f - f_t)g_t\|_0 \leq \|f'\|_0 \|g'\|_0.$$

Here  $g_t(z) = g(tz)$  for all  $z \in D$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f(z) - f(tz) = \int_{tz}^z f'$  (integrating along the radius), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(tz)| &\leq \|f'\|_0 \int_{rt}^r (1-\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\ &= \|f'\|_0 \log \frac{1-rt}{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if  $x = (1-r)/(1-tr)$  then

$$\log\left(\frac{1-rt}{1-r}\right) \left(\frac{1-r}{1-tr}\right) = x \log \frac{1}{x}$$

is bounded by  $(1/e) \log e$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

We proceed with the proof as follows: If  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq r < 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(z) - f(tz))tg'(tz)|(1-r) &\leq \|f'\|_0 \log\left(\frac{1-rt}{1-r}\right) |g'(tz)|(1-tr) \cdot \frac{1-r}{1-tr} \\ &\leq \|f'\|_0 \|g'\|_0 \log\left(\frac{1-rt}{1-r}\right) \frac{1-r}{1-tr} \\ &< \|f'\|_0 \|g'\|_0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4. *If  $f \in H^\infty \subset \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $fg \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $fg \in [g]$ .*

*Proof.* For  $z \in D$ ,  $(f_t g)(z) \rightarrow (fg)(z)$ . We have  $(f_t g)' = f_t g' + g(f_t)'$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_t g')\|_0 &\leq \|f_t\|_\infty \|g'\|_0 \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g'\|_0; \\ \|(f_t)'g\|_0 &\leq \|(g - g_t)f_t'\|_0 + \|g_t(f_t)'\|_0 \\ &< \|g'\|_0 \|f'\|_0 + \|gf'\|_0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|gf'\|_0 &= \|(fg)' - (fg')\|_0 \\ &\leq \|(fg)'\|_0 + \|f\|_\infty \|g'\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|(f_i g)\| \leq |f(0)g(0)| + \|f'\|_0 \|g'\|_0 + \|(fg)'\|_0 + 2\|f\|_\infty \|g'\|_0$$

and  $f_i g \rightarrow fg$  weak\*, which completes the proof. □

REMARK. If  $g$  and  $fg$  are in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  then  $f_i g \rightarrow fg$  weakly and we have  $fg \in [g]$  (norm).

THEOREM 2. *If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $|f(z)| \geq |g(z)|$  in  $D$ , and  $g$  is cyclic, then  $f$  is cyclic.*

*Proof.* We have  $g/f \in H^\infty$  and  $(g/f)f = g \in [f]$ , which implies that  $f$  is cyclic. □

PROPOSITION 1 (see [3, Cor. to Prop. 1]).

- (a) *If  $f$  is cyclic in  $H^\infty$  (with the weak\* topology) then  $f$  is cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Note that  $f$  is cyclic in  $H^\infty$  if and only if  $f$  is outer (see [8, Thm. 5.5]).*
- (b) *If  $f$  is cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$  then  $f$  is cyclic in  $L_a^2$ .*

REMARKS. (a) This result also follows from the fact that the identity  $i: H^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous and  $i: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow L_a^2$  is also weak\*-weak\* continuous. We omit the details.

(b) In [3], Anderson, Fernández, and Shields exhibit singular inner functions that are cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{B}_0)$ . One has a complete description of the singular inner functions that are cyclic in  $L_a^2$  from Korenblum [6] and Roberts [7] (see also Shapiro’s notes [10]). Namely, the singular measure must put no mass on any closed set of  $\partial D$  that is “thin” in the sense of Beurling, Carleson, and Hayman (see [4, p. 274] for these references and for the definition of the sets). It is still an open question as to whether this condition is sufficient for a singular inner function to be cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

We conclude this paper with the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *If  $f$  is an outer function in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then  $f$  is cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$g(z) = \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log |g^*(e^{it})| dt \right\},$$

where  $f^*(e^{it}) = f^*(t) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} f(re^{it})$  a.e. and

$$|g^*(e^{it})| = |g^*(t)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |f^*(t)| \geq 1, \\ |f^*(t)| & \text{if } |f^*(t)| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We see that  $g \in H^\infty \subset \mathfrak{B}$  is an outer function and therefore cyclic in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(z)| &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_z(t) \log|g^*(t)| dt\right\} \\
&\leq \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_z(t) \log|f^*(t)| dt\right\} = |f(z)|, \quad z \in D,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $P_z$  is the Poisson kernel for the point  $z$ .

The result follows from Theorem 2. □

### References

1. J. M. Anderson, *Bloch functions: the basic theory*, Operators and Function Theory (S. C. Power, ed.), pp. 1-17, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985.
2. J. M. Anderson, J. Clunie, and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 270 (1974), 12-37.
3. J. M. Anderson, J. L. Fernández, and A. L. Shields, *Inner functions and cyclic vectors in the Bloch space*, preprint.
4. L. Brown and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors in the Dirichlet space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 285 (1984), 269-304.
5. P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg, and A. L. Shields, *Linear functionals on  $H^p$  spaces with  $0 < p < 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 238 (1969), 32-60.
6. B. I. Korenblum, *Cyclic elements in some spaces of analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 5 (1981), 317-318.
7. J. W. Roberts, *Cyclic inner functions in the Bergman spaces and weak outer functions in  $H^p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$* , Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 25-38.
8. L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *The space of bounded analytic functions on a region*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16 (1966), 235-277.
9. ———, *The second duals of certain spaces of analytic functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 11 (1970), 276-280.
10. J. Shapiro, *Cyclic inner functions in Bergman spaces*, preprint, 1980.

Leon Brown  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Wayne State University  
 Detroit, MI 48202

Allen L. Shields  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Michigan  
 Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1003