

LIPSCHITZ SPACES AND SPACES OF HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN THE UNIT DISC

Miroslav Pavlović

1. Introduction and the main result. In a series of papers [6; 7; 8] Shields and Williams studied the class $h_\infty(\psi)$ consisting of those functions u harmonic in the unit circle for which

$$(1) \quad u(z) = O\left(\psi\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right), \quad r = |z| \rightarrow 1^-,$$

where $\psi(x)$, $x \geq 1$, is a positive real function that grows more slowly than some power of x . In the present paper we solve Problem C of [7] by showing that each $h_\infty(\psi)$ is isomorphic, via a multiplier transform, to some space of functions continuous on the unit circle satisfying a modulus of continuity condition. Of course, our solution generalizes the classical theorems of Hardy and Littlewood and of Zygmund (see [2, Chap. 5, §§1, 2]), and is motivated by them.

Before stating our main result we recall some definitions and facts.

Moduli of continuity. For a complex-valued function h , defined on the real line, let $\Delta_t^n h$ (n is a positive integer, t is a real number) denote the n th difference with step t :

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_t^1 h)(\theta) &= \Delta_t^1 h(\theta) = h(\theta + t) - h(\theta) \quad (\theta \text{ real}), \\ \Delta_t^n h &= \Delta_t^1 \Delta_t^{n-1} h, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

If g is a complex-valued function defined on the unit circle T , then $\Delta_t^n g$ is defined by

$$\Delta_t^n g(e^{i\theta}) = \Delta_t^n h(\theta), \quad h(\theta) = g(e^{i\theta}).$$

For fixed n and t , Δ_t^n is a linear operator which preserves $C(T)$, the space of continuous functions on T . Furthermore,

$$\|\Delta_t^n g\| \leq 2^n \|g\|, \quad g \in C(T),$$

where $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\infty$ stands for the maximum norm in $C(T)$. The modulus of continuity of order n is defined by

$$\omega_n(g, t) = \sup\{\|\Delta_s^n g\| : |s| < t\}, \quad t > 0, \quad g \in C(T).$$

Lipschitz spaces. For the sake of convenience we assume that all harmonic functions under consideration vanish at the origin. Similarly, if $g \in C(T)$ we assume that $\hat{g}(0) = 0$, where \hat{g} is the Fourier transform of g . Let $h(\Delta)$ be the class of all complex-valued functions harmonic in the unit disc Δ , and let $hC(\Delta)$ be the subspace of $h(\Delta)$ consisting of functions continuous on the closed disc. It is well known that the map $u \rightarrow u^*$, $u \in hC(\Delta)$, where u^* is the boundary function

Received October 5, 1987.
Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988).

of u , is a linear isometry of the space $hC(\Delta)$ (endowed with the maximum-norm) onto $C(T)$. Thus any subclass of $C(T)$ may be regarded as a subclass of $hC(\Delta)$, and conversely.

Let ϕ be a positive function on $(0, 1]$ and let n be a positive integer. The Lipschitz space $\text{Lip}_n \phi$ consists of those $u \in hC(\Delta) = C(T)$ for which

$$(2) \quad \omega_n(u^*, t) = O(\phi(t)), \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

and is normed by

$$\|u\|_{\phi, n} = \sup\{\omega_n(u^*, t)/\phi(t) : 0 < t \leq 1\}.$$

The spaces $h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$. Let ψ be a positive function on $[1, \infty)$. For $u \in h(\Delta)$ let

$$\|u\|_{\psi} = \sup\{M(u, r)/\psi(1/(1-r)) : 0 < r < 1\},$$

where

$$M(u, r) = M_{\infty}(u, r) = \max\{|u(z)| : |z| = r\}.$$

We define

$$h_{\infty, n}(\psi) = \{u \in h(\Delta) : \|D^n u\|_{\psi} < \infty\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$(D^n u)(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial \theta^n}(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (ij)^n \hat{u}(j) r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}, \quad re^{i\theta} \in \Delta.$$

It is clear that the space $h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$, normed by $\|D^n u\|_{\psi}$, is isometric to $h_{\infty}(\psi) = h_{\infty, 0}(\psi)$ via the multiplier transform D^n .

The hypotheses on ψ, ϕ . Bernstein [1] introduced the notion of almost increasing and almost decreasing functions. A real function φ is almost increasing if there is a positive constant C such that $x < y$ implies $\varphi(x) \leq C\varphi(y)$. An almost decreasing function is defined similarly. Throughout the paper we assume that ψ is almost increasing and positive on $[1, \infty)$, and satisfies the following condition:

$$(U) \quad \text{There is a constant } C < \infty \text{ such that } \psi(2x) \leq C\psi(x), \quad x \geq 1.$$

As is remarked in [8], (U) is equivalent to the existence of a positive number α such that

$$(U_{\alpha}) \quad \psi(x)/x^{\alpha} \text{ is almost decreasing for } x \geq 1.$$

We also assume that ϕ is positive and almost increasing on $(0, 1]$ and, for some $\beta > 0$,

$$(U_{\beta}^0) \quad \phi(t)/t^{\beta} \text{ is almost decreasing for } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Our solution of the Shields–Williams problem is as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let n be a positive integer and assume that ψ satisfies (U) and that ϕ satisfies (U_n^0) . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{Lip}_n \phi = h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$;
- (b) *there are constants α ($\alpha < n$) and C ($0 < C < \infty$) such that ψ satisfies (U_{α}) and $\phi(t)/C \leq t^n \psi(1/t) \leq C\phi(t)$, $0 < t \leq 1$.*

In particular, if $\phi(t) = t^n \psi(1/t)$ and ψ satisfies (U_{α}) , $\alpha < n$, then $\text{Lip}_n \phi = h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$.

REMARK. The condition (U_n^0) is not restrictive. See Lemma 4.

It follows from Theorem 1 that each $h_\infty(\psi)$ (with ψ satisfying (U)) is naturally isomorphic to some $\text{Lip}_n \phi$. Conversely, if ϕ is a *normal* function in the sense of Shields and Williams [6; 8], that is, if

(N)
$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there is a positive number } a \text{ such that} \\ &\phi(t)/t^a \text{ is almost increasing for } 0 < t \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

then (by Theorem 1) $\text{Lip}_n \phi = h_{\infty,n}(\psi)$, where ψ is defined by $\psi(x) = x^n \phi(1/x)$. On the other hand, we do not know any multiplier transform which maps the space $\text{Lip}_1 \phi$, $\phi(t) = 1/\log(et)$, onto one of the spaces $h_\infty(\psi)$. Further remarks are contained in §5.

The proof of the implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is based on the following lemmas which are of some independent interest.

LEMMA 1. *If $u \in hC(\Delta)$ then*

(3)
$$M(D^n u, r) \leq C(1-r)^{-n} \omega_n(u^*, 1-r), \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where $C < \infty$ is a constant depending only on n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Since $\omega_n(u^*, 1-r) \leq 2^n \|u^*\|$, it follows that (3) improves the classical inequality $M(D^n u, r) \leq C(1-r)^{-n} \|u^*\|$.

Our proof of Lemma 1, given in §3, differs from the standard proofs of similar results (see [2; 5]) and is independent of any pointwise estimate for the Poisson (or Cauchy) kernel.

LEMMA 2. *If $u \in h(\Delta)$ and*

(4)
$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{n-1} M(D^n u, r) dr < \infty,$$

then $u \in hC(\Delta)$ and

(5)
$$\omega_n(u^*, t) \leq C \int_{1-t}^1 (1-r)^{n-1} M(D^n u, r) dr, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

where C depends only on n .

The proof of this lemma (§4) resembles the proof of the Hardy–Littlewood theorem [2, Thm. 5.1], but there is a difference.

In the last section we give some generalizations of Theorem 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Throughout this section, n will denote a positive integer. The condition (U_α) , mentioned in the introduction, can be written in the form

$(U_\alpha) \quad \psi(y) \leq C(y/x)^\alpha \psi(x), \quad y \geq x \geq 1.$

Using this, one easily proves that if $\alpha < n$ then (U_α) implies

$(A_n) \quad \int_x^\infty \psi(y) y^{-n-1} dy \leq Cx^{-n} \psi(x), \quad x \geq 1,$

where C is a constant.

REMARK. We use the letters C, c to denote positive constants which may vary from line to line.

The following lemma is proved in the same way as Lemma 2 of [8]. We sketch a proof for completeness.

LEMMA 3. ψ satisfies (A_n) if and only if there is $\alpha < n$ such that ψ satisfies (U_α) .

Proof. We have to prove that (A_n) implies (U_α) for some $\alpha < n$. Let ψ satisfy (A_n) and let

$$F(x) = \int_x^\infty \psi(y)y^{-n-1} dy, \quad x \geq 1.$$

It is easily seen that $cF(x) \leq x^{-n}\psi(x) \leq CF(x)$, $x \geq 1$, and this shows that it suffices to find $b > 0$ such that $x^bF(x)$ is nonincreasing for $x \geq 1$. We choose b so that $F(x) \leq (1/b)\psi(x)x^{-n}$, $x \geq 1$, which can be written as $F(x) \leq -(1/b)xF'(x)$, $x \geq 1$, where F' stands for the derivative of the (absolutely continuous) function F . This implies that the derivative of the function $x^bF(x)$ is ≤ 0 , and this concludes the proof of Lemma 3. □

The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) of Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 together with the identity

$$\int_{1/t}^\infty \psi(y)y^{-n-1} dy = \int_{1-t}^1 (1-r)^{n-1}\psi(1/(1-r)) dr, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

In order to prove the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b), we need some further lemmas.

LEMMA 4. If $g \in C(T)$ then the function $\omega_n(g, t)/t^n$ is almost decreasing for $t > 0$.

Proof. This fact is a consequence of the known inequality

$$(6) \quad \omega_n(g, 2t) \leq 2^n \omega_n(g, t), \quad t > 0.$$

Namely, if $\lambda = 2^m$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) then (6) implies $\omega_n(g, \lambda t) \leq \lambda^n \omega_n(g, t)$, $t > 0$. If $\lambda > 1$ is arbitrary, we choose an integer $m \geq 0$ so that $2^m \leq \lambda \leq 2^{m+1}$, and then $\omega_n(g, \lambda t) \leq \omega_n(g, 2^{m+1}t) \leq 2^{(m+1)n} \omega_n(g, t) \leq 2^n \lambda^n \omega_n(g, t)$.

The easiest way to prove inequality (6) is to use the identity

$$(7) \quad \Delta_t^n g(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_j \hat{g}(j) (e^{ijt} - 1)^n e^{ij\theta},$$

g being a trigonometric polynomial. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{2t}^n g(e^{i\theta}) &= \sum_j \hat{g}(j) (e^{ijt} + 1)^n (e^{ijt} - 1)^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \Delta_t^n g(e^{i(\theta+kt)}), \end{aligned}$$

and this implies (6). □

LEMMA 5. If $g \in C(T)$ and $g_k(w) = g(w^k)$, where $w \in T$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\omega_n(g_k, \pi/k) \geq \|g\|_\infty.$$

Proof. It follows from (7) that

$$(-1)^n g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\Delta_t^n g)(w) dt, \quad w \in T.$$

(Recall that we assume $\hat{g}(0) = 0$ for $g \in C(T)$.) Hence

$$\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|\Delta_t^n g\|_{\infty} dt \leq \omega_n(g, \pi) = \omega_n(g_k, \pi/k). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 6. Let X be one of the spaces $\text{Lip}_n \phi, h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$.

- (i) X is a Banach space.
- (ii) If $\{u_m\}$ is a norm convergent sequence in $X, u_m \rightarrow u$, then $u_m(z) \rightarrow u(z)$ uniformly on each compact subset of Δ .

Proof. In the case of $h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$ the result is a consequence of Proposition 1 of [7]. If $X = \text{Lip}_n \phi$ then, by Lemmas 5 ($k = 1$) and 4,

$$\|u\|_X \geq \omega_n(u^*, 1)/\phi(1) \geq c\|u^*\|_{\infty} = \|u\|_{\infty}, \quad u \in X.$$

This shows that X is continuously embedded into $hC(\Delta) = C(T)$, and this implies (ii). Also, it is easy to deduce the completeness of $\text{Lip}_n \phi$ from the completeness of $C(T)$ and the embedding $\text{Lip}_n \phi \subset C(T)$.

LEMMA 7. Let ψ, ϕ satisfy (U) and (U_n^0) , respectively. Let $u_k(z) = z^k$, where $|z| \leq 1$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

- (8) $\|D^n u_k\|_{\psi} \asymp k^n/\psi(k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$
- (9) $\|u_k\|_{\phi, n} \asymp 1/\phi(1/k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$

REMARK. For two nonnegative functions F_1, F_2 defined on a set S we write $F_1(s) \asymp F_2(s), s \in S$, if there are constants C and c such that $cF_1(s) \leq F_2(s) \leq CF_1(s), s \in S$.

Proof of Lemma 7. The proof of (8) is contained in [8, p. 22]. To prove (9) we use the equality

$$(\Delta_t^n u_k^*)(w) = w^k (e^{ikt} - 1)^n, \quad w \in T.$$

Hence

$$\omega_n(u_k^*, t) = 2^n \sup\{|\sin(ks/2)|^n : 0 < s \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

and therefore

$$\|u_k\|_{\phi, n} = 2^n \sup\{|\sin(ks/2)|^n/\phi(s) : s \leq t \leq 1, 0 < s \leq 1\}.$$

Since $\phi(t) \geq \phi(s)/C$ for $0 < s \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$\|u_k\|_{\phi, n} \leq C \sup\{|\sin(ks/2)|^n/\phi(s) : 0 < s \leq 1\},$$

where C is independent of k . If $1/k \leq s \leq 1$, then

$$|\sin(ks/2)|^n/\phi(s) \leq C/\phi(1/k)$$

because $1/\phi$ is almost decreasing. If $0 < s \leq 1/k$, then

$$|\sin(ks/2)|^n/\phi(s) \leq 2^{-n}k^n s^n/\phi(s) \leq Ck^n(1/k)^n/\phi(1/k)$$

because $s^n/\phi(s)$ is almost increasing. Thus $\|u_k\|_{\phi,n} \leq C/\phi(1/k)$. The inequality $\|u_k\|_{\phi,n} \geq c/\phi(1/k)$ is simple, and the lemma is proved. \square

Now we are ready to prove the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) of Theorem 1. Let $\text{Lip}_n \phi = h_{\infty,n}(\psi)$. It follows from Lemma 6 and the closed graph theorem that $\|D^n u_k\|_{\psi} \asymp \|u_k\|_{\phi,n}$, $k \geq 1$, where u_k are as in Lemma 7. Hence, by Lemma 7,

$$\phi(1/k) \asymp (1/k)^n \psi(k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This yields, by the properties of ψ and ϕ ,

$$(10) \quad \phi(t) \asymp t^n \psi(1/t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

and this is part of (b).

In order to prove that (a) implies (U_α) for some $\alpha < n$, we define the functions U_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) by

$$U_k(z) = k^{-n} \psi(k) z^k + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (jk)^{-n} (\psi(jk) - \psi((j-1)k)) z^{jk}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Assume, without loss of generality, that ψ is nondecreasing. By direct differentiation we have, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(D^n U_k, r) &\leq \psi(k) r^k + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (\psi(jk) - \psi((j-1)k)) r^{jk} \\ &\leq \psi(k) r^k + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p=(j-1)k}^{jk-1} (\psi(p+1) - \psi(p)) r^{p+1} \\ &= \psi(k) r^k + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} (\psi(p+1) - \psi(p)) r^{p+1} \\ &= (1-r) \sum_{p=k}^{\infty} \psi(p) r^p. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 1(iii) of [8] we find

$$M(D^n U_k, r) \leq C \psi(1/(1-r)), \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where C is independent of k, r . This means that $\{U_k\}$ is a norm bounded sequence in $h_{\infty,n}(\psi)$. Now we use the inclusion $h_{\infty,n}(\psi) \subset \text{Lip}_n \phi$ to conclude that U_k are continuous on the closed disc and

$$(11) \quad \omega_n(U_k^*, t) \leq C \phi(t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

where C is independent of t, k .

On the other hand, by Lemmas 4 and 5,

$$\begin{aligned} C \omega_n(U_k^*, 1/k) &\geq \omega_n(U_k^*, \pi/k) \geq \|U_k^*\|_{\infty} \\ &= k^{-n} \psi(k) + k^{-n} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{-n} (\psi(jk) - \psi((j-1)k)) \\ &= k^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j^{-n} - (j+1)^{-n}) \psi(jk), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (11), (10), and (U),

$$k^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-n-1} \psi((j+1)k) \leq C\phi(1/k) \leq Ck^{-n}\psi(k)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_k^{\infty} y^{-n-1} \psi(y) dy &= k^{-n} \int_1^{\infty} y^{-n-1} \psi(yk) dy \\ &\leq k^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-n-1} \psi((j+1)k) \leq C(k+1)^{-n} \psi(k), \quad k=1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that this implies (A_n) . Thus ψ satisfies (U_α) for some $\alpha < n$ (by Lemma 3), and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

3. Proof of Lemma 1. Without loss of generality, we can assume that u is harmonic in $|z| < R$ for some $R > 1$. For fixed r ($0 < r < 1$) let

$$h(\theta) = u_r(\theta) = u(re^{i\theta}) \quad (\theta \text{ real}).$$

By induction,

$$(12) \quad (\Delta_t^n h)(\theta) = \int_{tE} h^{(n)}(\theta + x_1 + \dots + x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n,$$

where tE ($t > 0$) is the n -dimensional cube $[0, t]^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(n)}(\theta)t^n &= (D^n u)(re^{i\theta})t^n \\ &= (\Delta_t^n h)(\theta) - \int_{tE} (h^{(n)}(\theta + x_1 + \dots + x_n) - h^{(n)}(\theta)) dx_1 \cdots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|h^{(n)}(\theta + x) - h^{(n)}(\theta)| = \left| \int_0^x h^{(n+1)}(\theta + y) dy \right| \leq M(D^{n+1}u, r)x,$$

$x = x_1 + \dots + x_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(D^n u, r)t^n &\leq \|\Delta_t^n u_r\|_\infty + \int_{tE} M(D^{n+1}u, r)(x_1 + \dots + x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \|\Delta_t^n u_r\|_\infty + (n/2)M(D^{n+1}u, r)t^{n+1}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\Delta_t^n u$ defined by $(\Delta_t^n u)(re^{i\theta}) = (\Delta_t^n u_r)(\theta)$ is harmonic in $|z| \leq 1$, and therefore

$$\|\Delta_t^n u_r\|_\infty \leq \|\Delta_t^n u^*\|_\infty \leq \omega_n(u^*, t), \quad t > 0.$$

These inequalities together with the familiar estimate

$$M(D^{n+1}u, r) \leq C(1-r)^{-1}M(D^n u, (1+r)/2), \quad 0 < r < 1$$

(see [2, p. 80]), yield

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} M(D^n u, r) &\leq t^{-n} \omega_n(u^*, t) + Kt(1-r)^{-1}M(D^n u, (1+r)/2), \\ & \quad 0 < r < 1, t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $K < \infty$ depends only on n . Let

$$A(r) = (1-r)^n M(D^n u, r), \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

It follows from (13) that

$$A(r) \leq t^{-n}(1-r)^n \omega(t) + 2^n K t(1-r)^{-1} A((1+r)/2),$$

where $\omega(t) = \omega_n(u^*, t)$. Let m be the smallest integer such that $2^n K \leq (1/4)2^m$ and take $t = a(1-r)$, $a = 2^{-m}$. Then we have

$$A(r) \leq a^{-m} \omega(1-r) + (1/4)A((1+r)/2), \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Since u is harmonic in the closed disc, A is bounded near 1 and therefore $A \in L^1(0, 1)$. Thus we have, for $0 < \rho < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\rho}^1 A(r) dr &\leq a^{-m} \int_0^{1-\rho} \omega(t) dt + (1/4) \int_{\rho}^1 A((1+r)/2) dr \\ &= a^{-m} \int_0^{1-\rho} \omega(t) dt + (1/2) \int_{(1+\rho)/2}^1 A(r) dr \\ &\leq a^{-m} \int_0^{1-\rho} \omega(t) dt + (1/2) \int_{\rho}^1 A(r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1/2) \int_{\rho}^1 A(r) dr \leq a^{-m} \int_0^{1-\rho} \omega(t) dt \leq a^{-m}(1-\rho)\omega(1-\rho).$$

Since

$$M(D^n u, \rho)(1-\rho)^{n+1} \leq (n+1) \int_{\rho}^1 A(r) dr, \quad 0 < \rho < 1,$$

the lemma is proved. \square

4. Proof of Lemma 2. We assume, without loss of generality, that u is a real-valued function harmonic in Δ , $u(0) = 0$. Then u is the real part of an analytic function f with $f(0) = 0$. We have, by Taylor's formula,

$$(14) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(rz)}{k!} z^k (1-r)^k + \frac{1}{n!} \int_r^1 (1-s)^n z^{n+1} f^{(n+1)}(sz) ds, \\ |z| < 1, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Denoting the sum by $f_{r,n}$ we have

$$|f(z) - f_{r,n}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_r^1 (1-s)^n M(f^{(n+1)}, s) ds, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Now we use the familiar estimate

$$(15) \quad M(f^{(n+1)}, s) \leq C(1-s)^{-1} M(D^n u, (1+s)/2), \quad 0 < s < 1,$$

(see Remark 1 below) to conclude that (4) implies

$$\|f - f_{r,n}\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow 1^-).$$

(Here, as usual, $\|F\|_{\infty} = \sup_{|z| < 1} |F(z)|$.) Since $f_{r,n}$ ($r < 1$) is continuous in $|z| \leq 1$, we see that (4) implies the continuity of f , and consequently of u , in $|z| \leq 1$.

In order to prove the inequality (5) let $u_r(\theta) = u(re^{i\theta})$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Then (5) is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad \|\Delta_t^n u_1\|_\infty \leq C \int_{1-t}^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, s) ds, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Let $r = 1 - 2t$, $0 < t < 1/4$. Then

$$\|\Delta_t^n u_1\| \leq \|\Delta_t^n(u_1 - u_r)\| + \|\Delta_t^n u_r\|.$$

It follows from (12) and the increasing property of $M(D^n u, r)$ that

$$\|\Delta_t^n u_r\| \leq t^n M(D^n u, r) \leq n \int_{1-t}^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, s) ds,$$

and therefore we have to prove that $\|\Delta_t^n(u_1 - u_r)\|$ is dominated by the right-hand side of (16). Since $\|\Delta_t^n(u_1 - u_r)\| \leq \|\Delta_t^n(f_1 - f_r)\|$, where $f_r(\theta) = f(re^{i\theta})$, it is enough to prove that

$$\|\Delta_t^n(f_1 - f_r)\| \leq C \int_{1-t}^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, s) ds.$$

To prove this write (14) in the form

$$f_1(\theta) - f_r(\theta) = H(\theta) + \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(\theta) (1-r)^k / k!,$$

where

$$H(\theta) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_r^1 (1-s)^n e^{i(n+1)\theta} f^{(n+1)}(se^{i\theta}) ds,$$

$$h_k(\theta) = f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta}) e^{ik\theta}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_t^n H\| &\leq 2^n \|H\| \leq \frac{2^n}{n!} \int_r^1 (1-s)^n M(f^{(n+1)}, s) ds \\ &\leq C \int_r^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, (1+s)/2) ds \quad (\text{by (15)}) \\ &= 2^n C \int_{1-t}^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\|\Delta_t^n h_k\|$ let $m = n - k + 1$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) and observe that

$$\|\Delta_t^n h_k\| = \|\Delta_t^{k-1} \Delta_t^m h_k\| \leq 2^{k-1} \|\Delta_t^m h_k\| \leq 2^{k-1} t^m \|h_k^{(m)}\|$$

(see (12)). Now we use the inequality (see Remark 1 below)

$$(19) \quad \|h_k^{(m)}\| \leq C(1-r)^{-1} M(D^n u, (1+r)/2)$$

to obtain

$$\|\Delta_t^n h_k\| \leq C t^{n-k} M(D^n u, 1-t) \leq C t^{-k} \int_{1-t}^1 (1-s)^{n-1} M(D^n u, s) ds,$$

where C is independent of t . Combining all the above results yields (5) for $0 < t < 1/4$. If $1/4 \leq t \leq 1$, then we use Lemma 4 to reduce (5) to the case $t < 1/4$, and this completes the proof of Lemma 2. □

REMARK 1. Although the inequalities (15) and (19) are well known (see [2, Chap. 5]) we shall sketch a proof of (19). The inequality (15) is proved similarly.

Observe first that we have used (19) only for $r \geq 1/2$. Thus for our purposes it suffices to prove that

$$r^k \|h_k^{(m)}\| \leq C(1-r)^{-1} M(D^n u, r^{1/2}), \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad m = n - k + 1.$$

By using the relation $f(z) = 2 \sum_1 \hat{u}(j) z^j$ and Parseval's formula,

$$r^k h_k^{(m)}(\theta) = 2k! \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{k} (ij)^m \hat{u}(j) r^j e^{ij\theta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U(x) V(\theta - x) dx,$$

where

$$u(\theta) = (D^n u)(r^{1/2} e^{i\theta}),$$

$$V(\theta) = 2k! \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{k} (ij)^{m-n} r^{j/2} e^{ij\theta}.$$

Hence

$$r^k \|h_k^{(m)}\| \leq \|U\|_{\infty} \|V\|_1 = M(D^n u, r^{1/2}) \|V\|_1.$$

Since

$$\binom{j}{k} j^{m-n} = \binom{j}{k} j^{1-k} = j + O(1), \quad j \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$V(\theta) = 2k! i^{1-k} r^{1/2} e^{i\theta} (1 - r^{1/2} e^{i\theta})^{-2} + O((1-r)^{-1}), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-$$

uniformly in θ . This gives

$$\|V\|_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |V(\theta)| d\theta \leq C(1-r)^{-1}, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

and this completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2. In the case $n = 1$ our proof of Lemma 2 is similar but not identical to the proof of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem [2, Thm. 5.1]. The only difference is that the second-order derivatives need not be used in the analytic case.

REMARK 3. The condition (4) is known to be independent of n . For more information see [3].

5. Some extensions of Theorem 1. An inspection of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the following more general result is valid.

THEOREM 2. *If ψ, ϕ satisfy (U) and (U_n^0) , respectively, then the following hold:*

- (i) $\text{Lip}_n \phi \subset h_{\infty, n}(\psi)$ if and only if $\phi(t) = O(t^n \psi(1/t))$;
- (ii) $h_{\infty, n}(\psi) \subset \text{Lip}_n \phi$ if and only if $\int_x^{\infty} \psi(y) y^{-n-1} dy = O(\phi(1/x))$, $x \rightarrow \infty$.

EXAMPLE. If $\phi(t) = 1/\log(e/t)$, $0 < t \leq 1$, then $h_{\infty, 1}(\psi_1) \subset \text{Lip}_1 \phi \subset h_{\infty, 1}(\psi_2)$, where $\psi_1(x) = x/(\log(ex))^2$ and $\psi_2(x) = x/\log(ex)$, $x \geq 1$, and these inclusions are best possible. It would be interesting to check whether this Lipschitz space is isomorphic, via a multiplier transform, to some of the spaces $h_{\infty}(\psi)$.

It should be noted that condition (U_n^0) in Theorems 1 and 2 is not restrictive. Namely, if $t^n/\phi(t)$ is not bounded, then $\text{Lip}_n \phi = \{0\}$. If $t^n/\phi(t)$ is bounded, we define ϕ_0 by

$$\phi_0(t) = t^n \inf_{0 < s < t} s^{-n} \phi(s) = \inf_{0 < s < 1} s^{-n} \phi(st), \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Then ϕ_0 is positive and almost increasing on $(0, 1]$, and satisfies (U_n^0) . Furthermore, by using Lemma 4 one easily proves that $\text{Lip}_n \phi = \text{Lip}_n \phi_0$.

Some of our results can be generalized to the case of L^p spaces and, more generally, to the class of homogeneous Banach spaces (see [4, p. 14]). For example, the following theorem is a generalization of the implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) of Theorem 1. Here: $\omega_n(g, t)_p = \sup\{\|\Delta_s^n g\|_p : |s| < t\}$ ($t > 0, g \in L^p(T)$) and $M_p(U, r) = \|U_r\|_p$ ($0 < r < 1, U \in h(\Delta)$), where $U_r(e^{i\theta}) = U(re^{i\theta})$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ stands for the norm in $L^p(T)$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $u \in h(\Delta)$, $p \geq 1$ and let ψ satisfy (U_α) , $\alpha < n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *u is the Poisson integral of a function $g \in L^p(T)$ with*

$$\omega_n(g, t)_p = O(t^n \psi(1/t)), \quad t \rightarrow 0;$$

(b) *$M_p(D^n u, r) = O(\psi(1/(1-r)))$, $r \rightarrow 1^-$.*

This theorem follows immediately from the corresponding generalizations of Lemmas 1 and 2. The proofs are essentially the same as in the case $p = \infty$. We note that if f is analytic and $u = \text{Re } f$, then (4) ($M = M_p$) implies that f belongs to the Hardy space H^p . (This follows from (14) ($r = 0$) and (15).) Therefore, if (4) holds, then u is the Poisson integral of a function $g \in L^p(T)$ (see [2, Chap. 3]).

REFERENCES

1. S. N. Bernstein, *On majorants of finite or quasi-finite growth*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS) 65 (1949), 117–120 (Russian).
2. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
3. T. M. Flett, *Lipschitz spaces of functions on the circle and the disc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 39 (1972), 125–158.
4. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, Dover, New York, 1976.
5. A. Matheson, *Mean growth and smoothness of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982), 219–224.
6. A. L. Shields and D. L. Williams, *Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 287–302.
7. ———, *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299/300 (1978), 265–279.
8. ———, *Bounded projections and the mean growth of harmonic conjugates in the unit disc*, Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982), 3–25.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet
 Institut za matematiku
 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia

