

# RANDOM SERIES WHICH ARE BMO OR BLOCH

W. T. Sledd

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Anderson, Clunie, and Pommerenke [1] have proved that if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \log(n+1) < \infty$$

then the random series  $F_{\omega}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n$  is a.s. a Bloch function,  $F_{\omega} \in \beta$ . (Here  $(\omega_n)$  denotes the Steinhaus sequence.) As well, they have proved that if  $\eta_n \geq 0$  and  $\lim_n \eta_n = 0$ , then there is a sequence  $(a_n)$  for which

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \eta_n \log(n+1) < \infty$$

but so that  $F_{\omega} \notin \beta$  a.s.

In an unpublished manuscript, Pommerenke has shown that if 1.1) holds then  $F_{\omega}$  is a.s. in the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation,  $F_{\omega} \in \text{VMOA}$ . Theorem 3.2 provides a different proof of this.

David Stegenga [8] has shown that there is a sequence  $(a_n)$  for which  $\sum |a_n|^2 < \infty$  but so that  $F_{\omega} \in \text{BMOA}$  for no choice of  $\omega$ . Theorem 3.5 is a modification of his ideas and sharpens the results of [1]. Since  $\text{BMOA} \subset \beta$  [1, Sections 2.2, 2.3] it also extends Stegenga's result.

Section 2 contains some preparatory material and descriptions of the spaces involved. Section 3 contains the main results and Section 4 contains some closing remarks.

I want to thank John Pesek and Joel Shapiro for the conversations we had concerning these results.

## 2. PREPARATORY MATERIAL

Throughout, the unit disc will be denoted by  $\Delta$  and its boundary by  $\mathbb{T}$ . The Lebesgue and Hardy spaces on  $\mathbb{T}$  will be denoted, respectively, by  $L^p$  and  $H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . For facts concerning these spaces, see [2].

A function  $F$ , analytic in  $\Delta$ , is said to be a Bloch function,  $F \in \beta$ , if

---

Received April 30, 1979. Revision received August 10, 1980.

Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981).

$$F'(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \quad |z| < 1.$$

Facts about  $\beta$  may be found in [1] and in the bibliography there.

A function  $F \in H^1$  is said to be one of bounded mean oscillation,  $F \in \text{BMOA}$ , if its boundary function, (also denoted by  $F$ ) satisfies

$$\|F\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |F - F_I| dx < \infty,$$

where  $I$  ranges over subintervals of  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $F_I = 1/|I| \int_I F dx$ . If

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |F - F_I| dx = 0$$

then  $F$  is said to be of vanishing mean oscillation,  $F \in \text{VMOA}$ .

The relevant facts concerning these spaces which will be needed here are the following:

2A) The dual of  $H^1$  is  $\text{BMOA}$ , and the functional norms are comparable to

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F dx \right| + \|F\|_{\text{BMO}} \quad [3].$$

2B)  $\text{VMOA}$  is the closure in  $\text{BMO}$  of the analytic functions on  $\Delta$  with continuous boundary values [7].

The Steinhaus variables are constructed by placing a uniform measure of mass 1 on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and then forming the product measure  $P_\Omega$  on  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^N$ . The sequence of projections from  $\Omega$  into the  $n$ th coordinates is called the Steinhaus sequence. The Steinhaus variables are clearly independent. The Rademacher variables are constructed in a similar manner [4, p.4]. The results of this paper, inasmuch as they rely on the Salem-Zygmund theorems [4, Chapter VI] hold equally well for the Rademacher sequence, but the proofs will only be given for the Steinhaus sequence.

If  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of complex constants we formally write

$$F_\omega(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

The statement " $F_\omega \in \text{BMOA}$  a.s." means that there is a set  $E \subset \Omega$  so that  $P_\Omega E = 1$  and so that if  $\omega \in E$  there is a  $G \in \text{BMOA}$  so that  $(\hat{G}(n)) = (a_n \omega_n)$ . Other statements of the same sort are to be taken in the same sense.

For facts about Sidon sets, see [5].

Throughout, the letter  $C$  will denote an absolute constant, not always the same at different occurrences.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $K_m$  denote the  $m$ th Fejér kernel [10, Vol I, p. 89] and let

$$T_m = 2K_{2^{m+2}} - K_{2^{m+1}} + K_{2^{m-1}} - 2K_{2^m}, \quad m \geq 1$$

$$T_0 = 1 + \cos x.$$

Thus  $\hat{T}_m$  is a trapezoidal function on the integers,  $\hat{T}_m(K) = 1$  if  $|K| \in [2^m, 2^{m+1}]$ ,  $\hat{T}_m(K) = 0$  if  $|K| \notin [2^{m-1}, 2^{m+2}]$  and  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{T}_m(K) = 1$  for each  $K$ . Moreover,  $\|T_m\|_{L^1} \leq 6$  for each  $m$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If  $G \in H^1$  and if*

$$\sup_x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T_n * G(x)|^2 < \infty$$

*then  $G \in \text{BMOA}$ .*

*If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|T_n * G\|_{L^\infty}^2 < \infty$  then  $G \in \text{VMOA}$ .*

*Proof.* By a theorem of Stein [9]  $F \in H^1$  if and only if

$$I_F = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T_n * F|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx < \infty;$$

then  $I_F \leq C\|F\|_{H^1} \leq CI_F$ .

Note that only the supports of  $\hat{T}_{n-2}, \hat{T}_{n-1}, \hat{T}_n, \hat{T}_{n+1}, \hat{T}_{n+2}$  intersect the support of  $\hat{T}_n$ . Thus if  $F$  is a polynomial then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F\bar{G}dx &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n * F \right) \bar{G}dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (T_n * F)(T_{n-2} * \bar{G} + \dots + T_{n+2} * \bar{G}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F\bar{G}dx \right| \leq CI_F \left\| \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T_n * G|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|F\|_{H^1}.$$

It follows from 2A) that  $G \in \text{BMOA}$ .

The proof of the second part is quite similar. Let  $V_n = \sum_{m=0}^n T_m$ , and write  $H_n = G - G * V_n$ . Then, as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F\bar{H}_n dx \right| &\leq CI_F \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|T_m * H_n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|F\|_{H^1} \left( \sum_{m=n-2}^{\infty} \|T_m * G\|_{\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim_n \|H_n\|_{BMO} = 0$  and hence from 2B) that  $G \in \check{V}MOA$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \log(n + 1) < \infty$  and  $F_\omega(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n$  then  $F_\omega \in VMOA$  a.s.*

*Proof.* According to a theorem of Salem and Zygmund [4, p. 61] there is a constant  $C$  so that

$$P_\Omega \left( \|P\|_{L^\infty} \geq C \left( \log N \sum |C_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \leq 1/N^2$$

whenever  $P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N C_n \omega_n e^{inx}$ . Let  $P_N(x) = \sum_{n=2^{N-1}}^{2^{N+2}-1} a_n \omega_n e^{inx}$  then there is a constant  $C'$  so that

$$P_\Omega \left( \|P_N\|_{L^\infty} \geq C' \left( \sum_{2^{N-1}}^{2^{N+2}-1} |a_n|^2 \log(n + 1) \right)^{1/2} \right) \leq 1/2^{2N}.$$

Now  $(\|P_{3N}\|_{L^\infty})$  is a sequence of independent random variables, and so

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Omega \left( \forall N, \|P_{3N}\|_{L^\infty} < C' \left( \sum_{2^{3N-1}}^{2^{3N+2}-1} |a_n|^2 \log(n + 1) \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ \geq \prod \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{6N}} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P_\Omega \left( \sum \|P_{3N}\|_{L^\infty}^2 < \infty \right) > 0$ . But this is a "tail event" and so by the law of 0 - 1 [4, p. 6],  $\sum \|P_{3N}\|_{L^\infty}^2 < \infty$  a.s.

Similar arguments applied to  $(\|P_{3N+1}\|_{L^\infty})$  and  $(\|P_{3N+2}\|_{L^\infty})$  show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n\|_{L^\infty}^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and so, since  $\|T_N * F_\omega\|_{L^\infty} \leq 6\|P_N\|_{L^\infty}$  it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $F_\omega \in VMOA$  a.s.

We now proceed to prove the partial converse to Theorem 3.2 that was announced in the Introduction.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If  $a_n \geq 0$  and if  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \beta$  then*

$$\sup_m \sum_{n=m}^{2m} a_n < \infty.$$

*Proof.* There is a constant  $C$  so that

$$(1 - |z|) \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^n \right| \leq C \quad |z| < 1.$$

Consequently  $(1 - r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n r^n \leq C \quad 0 < r < 1$ . But then if  $1 - r = 1/m$  it follows that  $\sum_{n=m}^{2m} n a_n r^n \leq Cm$  and since there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $r^n \geq \delta$  if  $m \leq n \leq 2m$  the conclusion follows.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *If  $F \in \beta$ , and if  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $F * \mu \in \beta$ .*

*Proof.*

$$|(F * \mu)'(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F'(ze^{-i\theta}) d\mu \right| \leq C \frac{\|\mu\|}{1 - |z|}$$

THEOREM 3.5. *If  $\eta_n \geq 0$  and  $\lim_n \eta_n = 0$  then there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  for which*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_n \log(n + 1) |a_n|^2 < \infty$$

but so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n \in \beta$  for no choice of  $(\omega_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E_N = \{2^N + 2^K; K = 1, \dots, N\}$ . Then  $E_N$  is a Sidon set with uniform Sidon constant, i.e. there is an absolute constant  $C$  (independent of  $N$ ) so that for any numbers  $(e^{i\theta_j})_1^N$  there is a measure  $\mu_N$  so that

- a)  $\hat{\mu}_N(2^N + 2^K) = e^{-i\theta_K} K = 1, \dots, N$
- b)  $\|\mu_N\| \leq C$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

c)  $M_N = \text{supp } \hat{\mu}_N \subset [2^{N-1}, 2^{N+2}]$ ,

for if  $U_N(x) = e^{i3 \cdot 2^{N-1} x} V_{N-2}(x)$  (where  $V_N$  is as in the proof of Theorem 3.2) then  $U_N * \mu_N$  satisfies a) and c) as well as b), with  $C$  replaced by  $3C$ .

Let  $\eta_K^* = \sup_{j \geq 2^K} \eta_j$ ; then  $\eta_K^* \rightarrow 0$  and so  $(n_K)$  may be chosen so that

d)  $\sum \eta_{n_K}^* 1/8 < \infty$

and so that

e)  $(M_{N_K})$  is a disjoint sequence of sets.

Write  $\xi_K = \eta_{n_K}^*$ , and let  $\alpha_K$  be so that

$$f) \sum \xi_K \alpha_K^2 < \infty$$

but

g)  $(\xi_K^{1/8} \alpha_K)$  is unbounded.

Let

$$\begin{cases} a_j = \alpha_K/n_K & \text{on } E_{n_K} \\ = 0 & \text{off } \cup E_{n_K}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \eta_K |a_K|^2 \log(K+1) &= \sum_{2^{n_p+1}}^{2^{n_{p+1}}} \eta_K |a_K|^2 \log(K+1) \\ &\leq C \sum \xi_p n_p \left( \frac{\alpha_p}{n_p} \right)^2 n_p = C \sum \xi_p \alpha_p^2 < \infty \quad \text{by f).} \end{aligned}$$

But given  $(\omega_n) = (e^{i\varphi_n})$ , let  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n$ , and let  $\mu_{n_p}$  satisfy a), b), c) with  $\hat{\mu}_{n_p}(j) = e^{-i\varphi_j}$ ,  $j \in E_{n_p}$ . Then  $\mu = \sum \xi_p^{1/8} \mu_{n_p}$  is a measure, by b), d), but if  $j \in E_{n_p}$  then it follows from e) that

$$b_j = \widehat{\mu * F}(j) = a_j e^{i\varphi_j} \xi_p^{1/8} e^{-i\varphi_j} = \frac{\alpha_p}{n_p} \xi_p^{1/8},$$

and  $b_j = 0$  off  $\cup E_{n_p}$  by the definition of  $(a_j)$ . So  $\sum_{2^{n_p}}^{2^{n_{p+1}}} b_j = \alpha_p \xi_p^{1/8}$ , which by g) is unbounded. Since  $b_j \geq 0$  then by Proposition 3.3  $\mu * F \notin \beta$  and hence by Proposition 3.4,  $F \notin \beta$ .

#### 4. CLOSING REMARKS

Billard [4, p. 47] has proved that if

$$F_{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n z^n \in H^{\infty} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

then  $F_{\omega}$  is a.s. a continuous function on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $H^{\infty} \subset \text{BMOA}$  one might ask if it might not be the case that  $F_{\omega}$  is a.s. continuous when  $F_{\omega} \in \text{BMOA}$  a.s. That this is not true may be seen as follows.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There is a sequence  $(a_n)$  so that  $F_\omega \in \text{VMOA}$  a.s. but  $F_\omega \in H^\infty$  for no choice of  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Paley and Zygmund [6, p. 350] have shown that if

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 2^m \\ m \log(m+1) & \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then  $F_\omega \in H^\infty$  for no choice of  $\omega$ . But  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \log(n+1) < \infty$ , so  $F_\omega \in \text{VMOA}$  a.s.

The next result is in the same vein.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *There is a sequence  $(a_n)$  so that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \log(n+1) = +\infty$  but  $F_\omega \in \text{BMOA}$  for each  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$a_K = \begin{cases} 1/n & K = 2^n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A theorem of Paley [2, p. 104] states that there is a constant  $C$  so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{F}(2^n)|^2 \leq C \|F\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Then  $\sum_{K=0}^{\infty} |a_K \hat{F}(K)| \leq C \|F\|_{H^1}$  so by 2A)  $F_\omega \in \text{BMOA}$  for each choice of  $\omega$ .

The result of Billard stated earlier raises another question. The spaces BMOA and VMOA stand in a very similar relationship to that of  $H^\infty$  and the continuous analytic functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  [7]. Is it true that whenever  $F_\omega \in \text{BMOA}$  a.s. then it follows that  $F_\omega \in \text{VMOA}$  a.s.?

## REFERENCES

1. J. M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 270 (1974), 12-37.
2. P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$ -Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
3. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*. Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
4. J. P. Kahane, *Some random series of functions*, Heath Mathematical Monographs, 1968.
5. J. M. López and K. A. Ross, *Sidon sets*, Dekker, New York, 1975.
6. R. E. A. C. Paley and A. Zygmund, *On some series of functions* (1). Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 26 (1930), 337-357.

7. D. Sarason, *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 207 (1975), 391–405.
8. W. T. Sledd and D. A. Stegenga, *An  $H^1$  multiplier theorem*. Submitted to Arkiv Math.
9. E. M. Stein, *Classes  $H^p$ , multiplicateurs et fonctions de Littlewood-Paley*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B 263 (1966), A716–A719, A780–A781.
10. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd edition, Vols I and II, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958.

Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824