

TURÁN'S SECOND THEOREM ON SUMS OF POWERS OF COMPLEX NUMBERS

T. W. Cusick and G. Kolesnik

Let $z_1, \dots, z_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ be complex numbers such that $1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_n|$ and define $S_k = b_1 z_1^k + \dots + b_n z_n^k$. P. Turán [3] considered the problem of finding a lower bound for

$$M_{m,n} = \min \max_{m+1 \leq k \leq m+n} |S_k|,$$

where the min is taken over all possible values of z_1, \dots, z_n subject to the above constraints. He proved in [3] that

$$M_{m,n} \geq \left(\frac{n}{24e^2(m+2n)} \right)^n \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_1 + \dots + b_j|$$

and applied this result to various problems, including the question of the distribution of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip.

Later V. T. Sos and P. Turán [2] improved the estimate by showing that

$$(1) \quad M_{m,n} \geq \left(\frac{n}{A(m+n)} \right)^n \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_1 + \dots + b_j|$$

holds with $A = 2e^{1+4/e}$. It was pointed out by Uchiyama [4] that the method of [2] will actually give (1) with the better constant $A = 8e$. In fact, it is not hard to see that using the same method one can get

$$M_{m,n} \geq \left(\frac{m}{m+n} \right)^m \left(\frac{n}{8(m+n)} \right)^n \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_1 + \dots + b_j|;$$

here the factor $(m/(m+n))^m$ always exceeds e^{-n} but tends to e^{-n} as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we give a further improvement of the constant A in (1); our result is $A \leq 7.81e$. At the cost of some complications, our method could undoubtedly be modified to give a slightly smaller constant.

The problem of finding a lower bound for the best possible constant A in (1) has been considered. The best known result is $A \geq 4e$, due to Makai [1].

We need the following lemma in our proofs.

LEMMA. *Let m be a positive integer and let z_1, \dots, z_n be any complex numbers.*

Received December 21, 1977.

Michigan Math. J. 26 (1979).

Then there is a δ with $m/(m+n) \leq \delta \leq 1$ such that for all z with $|z| = \delta$ the inequality

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^r (z - z_i) \right| \geq 2 \left(\frac{n}{4(m+n)} \right)^n$$

holds for each $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. The lemma follows easily from Chebyshev's inequality; see the lemma of Sos and Turán [2, pp. 246-247].

The key fact which we need to obtain our improved estimate for A in (1) is:

THEOREM 1. *Let m and n be positive integers and let x_1, \dots, x_n be real numbers such that $1 = x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$. Define $f(x) = x^m (x - x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - x_n)$. Then*

$$(2) \quad \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq \left(\frac{n}{A(m+n)} \right)^n,$$

where $A = 3.905 e$.

Proof. First suppose that $m \leq \mu n$, where $\mu \geq 3$ is a parameter to be chosen later. The function $x^x/(x+1)^x$ decreases if $x \geq 0$, so by the Lemma we have

$$(3) \quad \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq 2 \left(\frac{n}{4(m+n)} \right)^n \left(\frac{m}{m+n} \right)^m \geq 2 \left(\frac{n}{4(m+n)} \right)^n \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu n}$$

whenever $m \leq \mu n$.

Now suppose that $m > \mu n$. Define $H = \alpha n/(m+n)$, where α is a parameter satisfying $1 < \alpha < 2$, and let k be the largest integer less than or equal to n such that the interval $[1 - Hkn^{-1}, 1]$ contains x_1, \dots, x_k . We consider three different cases.

Case 1. $k = n$. We choose

$$x = 1 - \frac{n(m+n+\alpha m)}{(m+n)^2} = \frac{m}{m+n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha n}{m+n} \right);$$

it follows from the definition of $f(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq \left(\frac{m}{m+n} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha n}{m+n} \right)^m \left(\frac{n(m+n+\alpha m)}{(m+n)^2} - \frac{\alpha n}{m+n} \right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{m+n} \right)^n \left(\frac{m}{m+n} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha n}{m+n} \right)^{m+n} \end{aligned}$$

Calculation shows that the function $(x/(x+1))^x (1 - \alpha(x+1)^{-1})^{x+1}$ increases if $x \geq 3$, so we obtain

$$(4) \quad \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq \left(\frac{n}{m+n}\right)^n \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right)^{\mu n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\mu+1}\right)^{(\mu+1)n}$$

whenever $m > \mu n$.

Case 2. $k \leq \beta n$, where $\beta \leq 4/(4+e)$ is a parameter.

Let I denote the interval $[1 - Hkn^{-1}, 1]$. Using Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\max_{x \in I} |(x - x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - x_k)| \geq 2 \left(\frac{Hk}{4n}\right)^k.$$

We also have $x_j < 1 - Hj n^{-1}$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| &\geq \max_{x \in I} |f(x)| \geq 2 \left(1 - \frac{Hk}{n}\right)^m \left(\frac{Hk}{4n}\right)^k \cdot \frac{H}{n} \cdot \frac{2H}{n} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(n-k)H}{n} \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{Hk}{n}\right)^m \cdot \frac{(H/e)^n}{4^k} \cdot \frac{(n-k)^{n-k} (ek)^k}{n^n}; \end{aligned}$$

for the last inequality above we made use of the fact $t! \geq (t/e)^t$.

Calculation shows that the function $(1 - Hxn^{-1})^m (n-x)^{n-x} (ex/4)^x$ decreases if $x \leq 4n/(4+e)$, so we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| > \left(1 - \frac{\alpha\beta n}{m+n}\right)^m \left(\frac{\alpha n}{m+n}\right)^n \cdot 4^{-\beta n} \cdot e^{\beta n - n} \cdot (1-\beta)^{n-\beta n} \cdot \beta^{\beta n}.$$

We also have that

$$(5) \quad \left(1 - \frac{a}{x+1}\right)^x \text{ decreases if } x(2-a) + 2 - 2a > 0;$$

since $\alpha\beta < 8/(4+e)$ and $m/n > \mu \geq 3$, (5) implies that

$$(1 - \alpha\beta n(m+n)^{-1})^m > e^{-\alpha\beta n}.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$(6) \quad \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq e^{-\alpha\beta n} \left(\frac{\alpha n}{m+n}\right)^n (\beta/4)^{\beta n} ((1-\beta)/e)^{n-\beta n}.$$

Case 3. $k > \beta n$. Let γ and δ be two parameters such that $\gamma > \delta > k/n$. Let J denote the interval $[1 - \gamma H, 1 - \delta H]$. Using Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\max_{x \in J} |(x - x_{k+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - x_n)| \geq 2(\gamma - \delta)^{n-k} (H/4)^{n-k}$$

so

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq \max_{x \in J} |f(x)| \geq x_0^m (1 - x_0 - Hk/n)^k (\gamma - \delta)^{n-k} (H/4)^{n-k}$$

where x_0 is some number in J . It is easily verified that for x in J , the function $x^m (1 - x - Hkn^{-1})^k$ takes its minimum at one of the endpoints of J . Thus

$$x_0^m (1 - x_0 - Hk/n)^k \geq \min ((1 - \gamma H)^m (\gamma H - Hk/n)^k, (1 - \delta H)^m (\delta H - Hk/n)^k)$$

and so we obtain

$$(7) \quad \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x)| \geq \left(\frac{\gamma - \delta}{4}\right)^{n-k} \left(\frac{\alpha n}{m+n}\right)^n \times \min \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha \gamma n}{m+n}\right)^m \left(\gamma - \frac{k}{n}\right)^k, \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \delta n}{m+n}\right)^m \left(\delta - \frac{k}{n}\right)^k \right).$$

Now we consider the right-hand sides of the inequalities (3), (4) and (6), and we define

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right)^\mu, \quad A_2 = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right)^\mu \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\mu+1}\right)^{\mu+1},$$

$$A_3 = e^{-\alpha\beta} \alpha (\beta/4)^\beta ((1 - \beta)/e)^{1-\beta}.$$

Also, we define $g(\gamma, \delta, k/n)$ to be the n -th root of, $((m+n)/n)^n$ times the right-hand side of (7). Plainly (2) is proved if we can choose the parameters α, β, μ in such a way that

$$(8) \quad \min(A_1, A_2, A_3, \min_{m > \mu n} \min_{\beta \leq k/n \leq 1} \max_{\gamma > \delta > k/n} g(\gamma, \delta, k/n)) > A^{-1} = .094207 \dots$$

The choice we make is

$$\alpha = 1.05, \quad \beta = .49, \quad \mu = 20.$$

Then calculation gives

$$A_1 = .094222 \dots, \quad A_2 = .128 \dots, \quad A_3 = .095 \dots,$$

so we need only consider the last of the four numbers inside the min in (8). To do this, we let $t = k/n, \gamma = yt, \delta = zt$ and we define $R(u, v)$ by

$$R(u, v) = \min_{m > \mu n} \min_{u \leq t \leq v} \max_{y > z > 1} \alpha t \left(\frac{y-z}{4}\right)^{1-t} \min(h(y), h(z))$$

where $h(x) = (1 - \alpha x t n(m+n)^{-1})^{m/n} (x-1)^t$. To complete the proof of (8), we need only show that $R(.49, 1) > A^{-1}$. For this we consider four different cases.

Case A. $.49 \leq t \leq .57$. We choose $y = 3.12, z = 1.32$.

It follows from (5) that $h(x) \geq e^{-\alpha xt} (x - 1)^t$ for $x = y$ or z , and t in the given interval. Furthermore, as a function of t the expression $t(4(x - 1) e^{-\alpha x} (y - z)^{-1})^t$ is increasing for $x = y$ or z , so we may fix $t = .49$ in estimating $R(.49, .57)$. We find that $R(.49, .57) > .099 > A^{-1}$.

Case B. $.57 \leq t \leq .65$. We choose $y = 2.87, z = 1.4$.

It follows from (5) that $h(x) \geq e^{-\alpha xt} (x - 1)^t$ for $x = z$ and t in the given interval. We also have

$$(9) \quad h(y) \geq \min(e^{-\alpha yt}, (1 - \alpha yt/21)^{20})(y - 1)^t$$

since $m/n > \mu = 20$; calculation shows that $e^{-\alpha yt}$ actually gives the minimum. As in case A, we may fix $t = .57$ in estimating $R(.57, .65)$, and we find that $R(.57, .65) > .099 > A^{-1}$.

Case C. $.65 \leq t \leq .7$. We choose $y = 2.67, z = 1.48$.

Proceeding in the same way as in case B, we obtain the estimate

$$R(.65, .7) > .1 > A^{-1}.$$

Case D. $.7 \leq t \leq 1$. We choose $y = 2.57, z = 1.53$.

As in case B, we have $h(z) \geq e^{-\alpha zt} (z - 1)^t$ for t in the given interval and also (9) holds. In this case, neither of the two numbers inside the minimum in (9) is smaller than the other for all values of t such that $.7 \leq t \leq 1$. Calculation shows that $R(.7, 1) > .101 > A^{-1}$. This completes the proof that $R(.49, 1) > A^{-1}$, so Theorem 1 is proved.

THEOREM 2. *Let m, n be positive integers; then*

$$M_{m,n} \geq \left(\frac{n}{7.81e(m+n)} \right)^n \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_1 + \dots + b_j|.$$

Proof. The theorem can be proved using the method of Sos and Turán [2]. Here we give a simpler proof.

Let z_1, \dots, z_n be any complex numbers such that $1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_n|$. Define

$$f_i(z) = \prod_{j=1}^i (z - z_j) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad f_n(z) = f(z),$$

and consider the polynomial

$$P(z) = z^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^n f(z)(z - z_j)^{-1} \cdot \frac{z_j^N}{f'(z_j) z_j^{m+1} (z_j^N - \delta^N)}$$

where N is a large positive integer and δ is a number satisfying $0 < \delta < 1$ and

$$(10) \quad |\delta^m (\delta - 1)(\delta - |z_2|) \cdot \dots \cdot (\delta - |z_n|)| \geq \left(\frac{n}{3.905e(m+n)} \right)^n.$$

Such a δ exists by Theorem 1

Define c_i for $m+1 \leq i \leq m+n$ by

$$P(z) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} c_i z^i.$$

Since $P(z_j) = z_j^N (z_j^N - \delta^N)^{-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^N (z_j^N - \delta^N)^{-1} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} c_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i z_i^j \right).$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$(11) \quad \max_{m+1 \leq j \leq m+n} |S_j| \geq \frac{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^N (z_j^N - \delta^N)^{-1} \right|}{\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} |c_j|} = \frac{\left| \sum_{j=1}^K b_j \right|}{\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} |c_j|},$$

where K is an integer satisfying $1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_K| > \delta > |z_{K+1}|$. Thus we need an upper bound for

$$\text{Norm}(P(z)) \equiv \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} |c_j|.$$

We need the identities

$$(12) \quad (z - z_j)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j)}{f_k(z)} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and

$$(13) \quad \frac{f_{k-1}(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j)}{f'(z_j)} (z - z_j)^{-1}, \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

Putting (12) in the definition of $P(z)$ gives

$$P(z) = z^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f(z) z_j^N}{f'(z_j) z_j^{m+1} (z_j^N - \delta^N)} \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j)}{f_k(z)}$$

$$= z^{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f(z)}{f_k(z)} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j) z_j^N}{f'(z_j) z_j^{m+1} (z_j^N - \delta^N)}.$$

Since $|z_j| \leq 1$, we have trivially that $\text{Norm}(z^{m+1} f(z)/f_k(z)) \leq 2^{n-k}$, so

$$(14) \quad \text{Norm}(P(z)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{n-k} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j) z_j^N}{f'(z_j) z_j^{m+1} (z_j^N - \delta^N)} \right|$$

because $\text{Norm}(P(z))$ satisfies a triangle inequality. The inner sum (using partial fractions) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j)}{f'(z_j)} \sum_{t=1}^N (N\delta^m e^{2\pi itm/N} (z_j - \delta e^{2\pi ut/N}))^{-1} \\ &= - \sum_{t=1}^N (N\delta^m e^{2\pi itm/N})^{-1} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{k-1}(z_j)}{f'(z_j)} (\delta e^{2\pi ut/N} - z_j)^{-1} \\ &= - \sum_{t=1}^N (N\delta^m e^{2\pi itm/N})^{-1} \frac{f_{k-1}(\delta e^{2\pi it/N})}{f(\delta e^{2\pi it/N})} \end{aligned}$$

by (13). Thus (14) and (10) give

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}(P(z)) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{n-k} \sum_{t=1}^N (N\delta^m)^{-1} \left| \frac{f_{k-1}(\delta e^{2\pi it/N})}{f(\delta e^{2\pi it/N})} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{n-k} \sum_{t=1}^N (N\delta^m)^{-1} |(\delta - |z_1|) \cdot \dots \cdot (\delta - |z_n|)|^{-1} \\ &< 2^n \left(\frac{3.905 e(m+n)}{n} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this together with (11) gives Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. E. Makai, *On a minimum problem. II.* Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 15 (1964), 63-66.
2. V. T. Sos and P. Turán, *On some new theorems in the theory of Diophantine approximations.* Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 6 (1955), 241-255.
3. P. Turán, *Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen.* Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1953.
4. S. Uchiyama, *A note on the second main theorem of P. Turán.* Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 9 (1958), 379-380.

Department of Mathematics
 State University of New York at Buffalo
 Buffalo, New York 14214

