

# THE RANGES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH CONTINUOUS BOUNDARY VALUES

J. Globevnik

Dedicated to my teacher Professor Ivan Vidav on the  
occasion of his 60th birthday

Denote by  $\Delta$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}$ ,  $\partial\Delta$  the open unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ , its closure, and its boundary, respectively. If  $X$  is a complex Banach space, we denote by  $A(\Delta, X)$  the class of all continuous functions from  $\bar{\Delta}$  to  $X$ , analytic on  $\Delta$ , and we write  $A$  for  $A(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ . We denote the closure and the interior of a set  $S \subset X$  by  $\bar{S}$  and  $\text{Int } S$ , respectively. We write  $I = \{t: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  and denote the set of all positive integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The main purpose of this note is to present a simple topological description of the sets  $f(\bar{\Delta})$ ,  $f \in A$ . Note that the topological description of the sets  $f(\partial\Delta)$ ,  $f \in A$  is known [2].

We obtain our description by combining some ideas of Pełczyński [9] with some ideas from [6].

*Definition.* Let  $P$  be a subset of a metric space and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We call a finite set  $S_\varepsilon \subset P$  an  $\varepsilon$ -path-net for  $P$  if given any  $x \in P$  there exist  $y \in S_\varepsilon$  and a path in  $P$  joining  $x$  and  $y$  whose diameter is less than  $\varepsilon$ . We say that  $P$  is *totally path-connected* if

- (i)  $P$  is path-connected;
- (ii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -path-net for  $P$ .

*Remark.* If  $P$  is an open subset of a Banach space, then (ii) above is equivalent to the assumption that  $P$  has "property S" [7, 12]. Note that there are bounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}$  which are not totally path-connected.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let a subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  consist of more than one point. Then  $K = f(\bar{\Delta})$  for some  $f \in A$  if and only if*

- (i)  $K = \overline{\text{Int } K}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Int } K$  is totally path-connected.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $F \subset \partial\Delta$  be a closed set of Lebesgue measure 0, and let  $\lambda \in \partial\Delta - F$ . Assume that  $p: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a path satisfying  $p(0) = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $U \subset \bar{\Delta}$  be a neighborhood of  $\lambda$ . There exists  $f \in A$  satisfying*

- (i)  $f(F) = \{0\}$ ;
- (ii)  $f(\lambda) = p(1)$ ;
- (iii)  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $z \in \bar{\Delta} - U$ ;
- (iv)  $f(\bar{\Delta}) \subset p(I) + \varepsilon\Delta$ .

---

Received August 24, 1976. Revision received July 1, 1977.

This work was supported in part by the Boris Kidrič Fund, Ljubljana, Yugoslavia.

Michigan Math. J. 24 (1977).

Lemma 1 is a special case of Lemma 3 in [6]. For the sake of completeness, we sketch its proof.

*Proof of Lemma 1* [5, 6]. Clearly, we may assume that  $F \subset \bar{\Delta} - U$ . Using the Mergelyan theorem, it is easy to see that there is a polynomial  $P$  satisfying  $|p(z) - P(z)| < \varepsilon/2$ ,  $z \in I$ , and  $P(0) = 0$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open neighborhood of  $I$  such that  $P(S) \subset p(I) + (\varepsilon/2)\Delta$ , and let  $V \subset S$  be an open neighborhood of  $I - \{1\}$  containing the point 1 in its boundary and bounded by a Jordan curve contained in  $S$ . By the Riemann mapping theorem [10], there is  $\phi \in A$  mapping  $\bar{\Delta}$  onto  $\bar{V}$  and satisfying  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Let  $T \subset \Delta$  be a neighborhood of 0 such that  $\phi(T) \subset W$ , where  $W \subset V$  is a neighborhood of 0 such that  $|P(z)| < \varepsilon/2$ ,  $z \in W$ . Take a sufficiently high power  $\eta$  of a function  $\psi \in A$  satisfying  $\psi(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $|\psi(z)| < 1$ ,  $z \in \bar{\Delta} - \{\lambda\}$  [8] such that  $\eta(\bar{\Delta} - U) \subset T$ , define  $g = P \circ \phi \circ \eta$ , and put  $h(s) = -g(s)$ ,  $s \in F$ ,  $h(\lambda) = p(1) - g(\lambda)$ . Let  $\tilde{h} \in A$  be an extension of  $h$  given by the Rudin-Carleson theorem [8] satisfying  $|\tilde{h}(z)| < \varepsilon/2$ ,  $z \in \bar{\Delta}$ , and put  $f = g + \tilde{h}$ .

*Remark.* Since the Mergelyan theorem and the Rudin-Carleson theorem have been generalized to the case where the range is a complex Banach space [1; 11, 4], Lemma 1 can be generalized so that  $p$  is a path in a complex Banach space  $X$  and  $f \in A(\Delta, X)$  [6].

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $P$  be a nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  which is totally path-connected. Let  $F \subset \partial\Delta$  be a nonempty perfect compact set. There exists a function  $f \in A$  such that  $f(F) = \bar{P}$  and such that  $f(\bar{\Delta} - F) \subset P$ .*

Pełczyński [9] proved a similar assertion for  $P = \Delta$  and for  $A$  replaced by any function algebra on a compact metric space whose set  $S$  of peak points contains a proper compact perfect subset. Below we modify carefully his idea about refining the usual net, using the fact that  $S$  contains a perfect compact set, and we use our Lemma 1 to prove Lemma 2.

*Proof of Lemma 2. Part 1.* With no loss of generality, assume that  $0 \in P$ .

Write  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_n$ , where  $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of (relatively) open subsets of  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Assume that there exist a decreasing sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  of positive numbers and a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset A$  with the following properties

$$(i) \quad f_n(\bar{\Delta}) + \varepsilon_n \Delta \subset P, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$(ii) \quad |f_{n+1}(z) - f_n(z)| < \varepsilon_n/2^n, \quad z \in \bar{\Delta} - \mathcal{O}_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$(iii) \quad |f_{n+1}(z) - f_n(z)| < 1/2^n, \quad z \in \bar{\Delta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

(iv) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a finite set  $Z_n \subset F$  such that  $f_n(Z_n)$  is a  $1/2^{n+1}$ -path-net for  $P$ .

Observe that (i) and (iv) force  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Define  $f = \lim f_n$ . By (iii) the convergence is uniform on  $\bar{\Delta}$ , so  $f \in A$ . Since  $f_n(F) \subset P$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(F) \subset \bar{P}$ . Since  $f(F)$  is compact, we prove that  $f(F) = \bar{P}$  by proving that  $f(F)$  is dense in  $P$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in P$ . Choose  $n$  sufficiently large to satisfy  $|f_n(z) - f(z)| < \varepsilon/2$ ,  $z \in \bar{\Delta}$  and  $1/2^{n+1} < \varepsilon/2$ . By (iv) there is some  $z \in Z_n$  such that  $|x - f_n(z)| < 1/2^{n+1}$ , which implies that  $|x - f(z)| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently,  $f(F)$  is dense in  $P$ .

Now, let  $z \in \bar{\Delta} - F$ . There is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $z \notin \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$ . Write  $f(z) = f_n(z) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z)]$ . Since the sequences  $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  are decreasing, it follows by (ii) that

$$\left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z)] \right| < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k / 2^k < \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n / 2^k < \varepsilon_n,$$

and by (i) it follows that  $f(z) \in P$ .

It remains to prove the existence of  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  and  $\{f_n\}$  satisfying (i) through (iv) above.

*Part 2.* First we construct  $f_1$ . By the assumption, there exists a  $1/4$ -path-net  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N\}$  for  $P$ . By the connectedness of  $P$ , there exists for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  a path  $p_k: I \rightarrow P$  satisfying  $p_k(0) = 0, p_k(1) = w_k$ . Since  $p_k(I), 1 \leq k \leq N$ , are compact sets, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $p_k(I) + \varepsilon\Delta \subset P, 1 \leq k \leq N$ .

Choose a set of distinct points  $Z_1 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\} \subset F$  and a set of disjoint neighborhoods  $U_i \subset \bar{\Delta}$  of the points  $\lambda_i$ , respectively. By Lemma 1 there exist functions  $g_k \in A, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(\lambda_j) &= 0, & j &\neq k; \\ g_k(\lambda_k) &= w_k; \\ |g_k(z)| &< \varepsilon/2N, & z &\in \bar{\Delta} - U_k; \\ g_k(\bar{\Delta}) &\subset p_k(I) + (\varepsilon/2)\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $f_1 = \sum_{k=1}^N g_k$ . Clearly,  $f_1 \in A$ . By the properties of  $g_k, 1 \leq k \leq N$ , we have  $f_1(\lambda_k) = w_k, 1 \leq k \leq N$ , and consequently  $f_1(Z_1)$  is a  $1/4$ -path-net for  $P$ . If  $z \in \bar{\Delta} - \bigcup_{k=1}^N U_k$ , then  $|f_1(z)| < \sum |g_k(z)| < N \cdot \varepsilon/2N = \varepsilon/2$ , and consequently  $f_1(z) \in P$ . If  $z \in U_k$  for some  $k$ , then  $z \notin U_j$  for  $j \neq k$ . It follows that

$$f_1(z) = g_k(z) + \sum_{j \neq k} g_j(z) \in p_k(I) + (\varepsilon/2)\Delta + (\varepsilon/2)\Delta \subset P.$$

Since  $f_1(\bar{\Delta})$  is a compact subset of  $P$ , there is an  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $f_1(\bar{\Delta}) + \varepsilon_1 \Delta \subset P$ .

*Part 3.* Assume that for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have constructed  $f_n$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  satisfying (i) and such that there exists a finite set  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M\} \subset F$  for which  $\{f_n(\lambda_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$  is a  $1/2^{n+1}$ -path-net for  $P$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 will be completed by induction once we have shown that there exist  $g \in A$  and  $\varepsilon_{n+1}, 0 < \varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n$ , such that

- (a)  $(f_n + g)(\bar{\Delta}) + \varepsilon_{n+1} \Delta \subset P$ ;
- (b)  $|g(z)| < \varepsilon_n / 2^n, z \in \bar{\Delta} - \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$ ;
- (c)  $|g(z)| < 1/2^n, z \in \bar{\Delta}$ ;

(d) there exists a finite set  $Z_{n+1} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N\} \subset F$  such that  $(f_n + g)(Z_{n+1})$  is a  $1/2^{n+2}$ -path-net for  $P$ .

By the assumption, there exists a  $1/2^{n+2}$ -path-net  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N\}$  for  $P$ . Since  $\{f_n(\lambda_j), 1 \leq j \leq M\}$  is a  $1/2^{n+1}$ -path-net for  $P$ , we can join each  $w_k$  with some  $f_n(\lambda_j)$  by a path  $p_k$  in  $P$  whose diameter is less than  $1/2^{n+1}$ .

Renumbering  $w_k$  - s and  $\lambda_j$  - s and omitting those  $\lambda_j$  - s whose  $f(\lambda_j)$  - s are not joined with any  $w_k$  (*i.e.*, lowering  $M$  if necessary), we obtain the integers  $0 = N_0 < N_1 < \dots < N_M = N$  such that for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$  and for each  $k$ ,  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ , there exists a path  $p_k: I \rightarrow P$  whose diameter is less than  $1/2^{n+1}$  and such that  $p_k(0) = f(\lambda_j)$ ,  $p_k(1) = w_k$ . Now, the set

$$G = f_n(\overline{\Delta}) \cup p_1(I) \cup p_2(I) \cup \dots \cup p_N(I)$$

is compact and contained in  $P$ . Consequently, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

- (1)  $G + (N + 3)\varepsilon\Delta \subset P$ ;
- (2)  $N\varepsilon < \min \{ \varepsilon_n/2^n, 1/2^n \}$ ;
- (3)  $1/2^{n+1} + (3 + N)\varepsilon < 1/2^n$ .

By the continuity of  $f_n$ , one can choose disjoint convex neighborhoods  $U_j \subset \overline{\Delta}$  of the points  $\lambda_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq M$ , respectively, which are contained in  $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$  and satisfy

$$(4) \quad |f_n(z_1) - f_n(z_2)| < \varepsilon \quad z_1, z_2 \in U_j, 1 \leq j \leq M.$$

Further, since  $F$  is a perfect set, there are distinct points  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N$  in  $F$  such that  $\mu_k \in U_j$ ,  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ . Now, define the paths

$$\tilde{p}_k(t) = \begin{cases} f_n((1 - 2t)\mu_k + 2t\lambda_j) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, M; \\ p_k(2t - 1) & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $(1 - 2t)\mu_k + 2t\lambda_j \in U_j$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ ;  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ , it follows by (4) that  $\tilde{p}_k(t) \in f(\lambda_j) + \varepsilon\Delta$ ,  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ , and consequently  $\tilde{p}_k(I) \subset p_k(I) + \varepsilon\Delta$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Choose disjoint neighborhoods  $V_k \subset \overline{\Delta}$  of  $\mu_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , respectively, such that  $V_k \subset U_j$ ,  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ . Now Lemma 1 applies to show that there exist functions  $g_k \in A$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(\mu_j) &= 0, \quad k \neq j, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \\ g_k(\mu_k) &= w_k - f_n(\mu_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N; \\ g_k(\overline{\Delta}) &\subset \tilde{p}_k(I) - f_n(\mu_k) + \varepsilon\Delta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N; \\ |g_k(z)| &< \varepsilon, \quad z \in \overline{\Delta} - V_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $g = \sum_{k=1}^N g_k$ .

*Part 4.* We show that  $g$  has all the required properties. First, if  $z \in \overline{\Delta} - \bigcup_{k=1}^N V_k$  then

$$(5) \quad |g(z)| \leq \sum |g_k(z)| < N\varepsilon.$$

Since  $U_k \subset \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq M$ , it follows by (1) that (b) is satisfied. Further, by (1) and (5),  $f_n(z) + g(z) \in f_n(\overline{\Delta}) + N\varepsilon\Delta \subset P$ . If  $z \in V_k$  for some  $k$ , then  $z \notin V_i$  for

$k \neq i$ . If  $N_{j-1} < k \leq N_j$ , we have by (4) and by (1)

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) + g(z) &= f_n(z) + g_k(z) + \sum_{i \neq k} g_i(z) \in f_n(z) + (\tilde{p}_j(I) - f_n(\mu_j) + \varepsilon\Delta) + N\varepsilon\Delta \\ &\subset (f_n(z) - f_n(\mu_j)) + (p_j(I) + \varepsilon\Delta) + (N + 1)\varepsilon\Delta \\ &\subset \varepsilon\Delta + p_j(I) + (N + 2)\varepsilon\Delta \subset P. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(f_n + g)(\bar{\Delta}) \subset P$  and by the compactness of  $(f_n + g)(\bar{\Delta})$ , there is an  $\varepsilon_{n+1}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n$ , such that (a) is satisfied.

Further, by the construction of the functions  $g_k$ , we have

$$g(\mu_k) = w_k - f_n(\mu_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N,$$

so that  $(f_n + g)(\mu_k) = w_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . This means that

$$\{(f_n + g)(\mu_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

is a  $1/2^{n+2}$ -path-net for  $P$ , which proves (d).

Finally, if  $z \in V_j$  for some  $j$ , then  $z \notin V_k$  for  $k \neq j$ , so  $|\sum_{k \neq j} g_k(z)| < N\varepsilon$ . Further, we have  $g_j(z) \in \tilde{p}_j(I) - f_n(\mu_j) + \varepsilon\Delta$ , and since  $\tilde{p}_j(0) = f_n(\mu_j)$ , it follows that

$$|g_j(z)| < \text{diam } \tilde{p}_j(I) + \varepsilon \leq (\text{diam } p_j(I) + 2\varepsilon) + \varepsilon < 1/2^{n+1} + 3\varepsilon.$$

Consequently,  $|g(z)| < (N + 3)\varepsilon + 1/2^{n+1}$  which, together with (5), by (2) and by (3) implies (c).

*Remark.* Observe that one can prove Lemma 2 with  $\mathbb{C}$  replaced by any finite-dimensional complex normed space.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The “if” part follows immediately from Lemma 2. To prove the “only if” part, assume that  $K = f(\bar{\Delta})$  for some  $f \in A$ . It is easy to see that the total path-connectedness is invariant under uniformly continuous maps, and since  $\Delta$  is totally path-connected, the same is true for  $f(\Delta)$ . By the assumption,  $K$  consists of more than one point, which means that  $f$  is not a constant. Being analytic,  $f$  is an open map and satisfies  $f(\Delta) \subset \text{Int } K$ . Clearly,  $f(\Delta)$  is dense in  $K$ , which means that  $\text{Int } K = K$ . Theorem 1 will be proved once we prove the following.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $P$  be an open subset of a finite-dimensional normed space, and let  $S$  be a subset of  $P$  which is totally path-connected and dense in  $P$ . Then  $P$  is totally path-connected.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that any  $\varepsilon$ -path-net for  $S$  is a  $2\varepsilon$ -path-net for  $P$ .

If  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 then  $K$  consists of more than one point. By Theorem 1,  $K = f(\bar{\Delta})$  for some  $f \in A$ . Clearly,  $f$  is not a constant, and consequently  $f(\Delta) \subset \text{Int } K$ . Conversely, if  $f: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous map satisfying  $f(\Delta) \subset \text{Int } f(\bar{\Delta})$ , then the proof of Theorem 1 shows that  $K = f(\bar{\Delta})$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1. Consequently, we have:

**COROLLARY.** *The class of ranges of all nonconstant functions from  $A$  coincides with the class of ranges of all continuous maps  $f: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(\Delta) \subset \text{Int } f(\bar{\Delta})$ .*

The function  $f$  given by Lemma 2 has the property that  $f(\partial\Delta) = f(\overline{\Delta}) = \overline{P}$ . Lemma 2 does not give any information about  $f(\Delta)$ , and we ask the following.

*Question.* Is every open, totally path-connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  necessarily of the form  $f(\Delta)$  for some  $f \in A$ ?

Above we have obtained a topological description of the class of ranges of functions from  $A$ . It is interesting to observe that this class is minimal in the sense that it is contained in the class of ranges of functions from any function algebra (= separating, sup-norm-closed subalgebra of  $C(K)$ , containing constants)  $B$  on any uncountable compact metric space  $K$ . Indeed, Pełczyński has shown that the set of peak points for such  $B$  contains a proper compact perfect set [9, p. 657], and consequently by [9, Prop. 1] there exists  $f \in B$  such that  $f(K) = \overline{\Delta}$ . Now if  $\phi \in A$ , then  $\phi \circ f \in B$ , since the polynomials are dense in  $A$ . Clearly, the ranges of  $\phi \circ f$  and  $\phi$  coincide.

In [5] it was proved that for any nonempty open connected set  $P$  in a separable complex Banach space  $X$ , there exists a continuous function  $f: \overline{\Delta} - \{1\} \rightarrow X$ , analytic on  $\Delta$  and such that  $f(\Delta)$  is densely contained in  $P$ . If  $X$  is infinite-dimensional, then one can never extend such an  $f$  continuously to all  $\overline{\Delta}$ , since  $\text{Int } f(\overline{\Delta})$  is empty by the compactness of  $f(\overline{\Delta})$ . However, if  $X$  is finite-dimensional, the question of which open subsets of  $X$  can one fill with  $f(\Delta)$ ,  $f \in A(\Delta, X)$  densely, makes sense. Here is the answer:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $P$  be a nonempty open subset of a finite-dimensional complex normed space. Then there exists an  $f \in A(\Delta, X)$  such that  $f(\Delta)$  is densely contained in  $P$ , if and only if  $P$  is totally path-connected.*

*Proof.* The "if" part follows from Lemma 2 for vector-valued functions. The "only if" part follows from Lemma 3 by the fact that  $f(\Delta)$ ,  $f \in A(\Delta, X)$ , is totally path-connected.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Briem, K. B. Laursen, and N. W. Pedersen, *Mergelyan's theorem for vector-valued functions with an application to slice algebras*. *Studia Math.* 35 (1970), 221-226.
2. P. T. Church, *Boundary images of meromorphic functions*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 110 (1964), 52-78.
3. L. Q. Eifler, *Peano curves in function algebras*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 32 (1972), 537-538.
4. J. Globevnik, *The Rudin-Carleson theorem for vector-valued functions*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53 (1975), no. 1, 250-252.
5. ———, *The range of vector-valued analytic functions*. *Ark. Mat.* 14 (1976), 113-118. *The range of vector-valued analytic functions, II*. *Ark. Mat.* 14 (1976), 297-298.
6. ———, *Analytic extensions of vector-valued functions*. *Pacific J. Math.* 63 (1976), 389-395.
7. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-London, 1961.

8. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
9. A. Pełczyński, *Some linear topological properties of separable function algebras*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 652-660.
10. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1966.
11. E. L. Stout, *On some restriction algebras*. Function Algebras (Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras, Tulane Univ., New Orleans, La., 1965), pp. 6-11. Scott-Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1966.
12. G. T. Whyburn, *Topological analysis*. Second, revised edition. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 23. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1964.

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics  
University of Ljubljana  
Ljubljana, Yugoslavia

