## AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL VERSION OF LIAPUNOV'S CONVEXITY THEOREM

## Vladimir Drobot

The classical theorem of Liapunov asserts that the range of a finite measure with values in a finite-dimensional vector space is convex and closed (see [1], [2], [3], [4]). In his later paper [5], Liapunov gives an example of an  $L_1$ -valued measure whose range is compact but not convex. In this note, we prove a weaker version of Liapunov's theorem, where the measure takes values in a Hilbert space and is absolutely continuous with respect to a numerical measure.

Let  $(S, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$  denote a measure space, where  $\mu$  is a positive, nonatomic measure with  $\mu(S) = 1$ , and let H denote a real Hilbert space with the inner product (x, y) and norm  $\|x\|$ .

THEOREM. Let  $f: S \to H$  be an integrable function (that is,  $\int \|f\| d\mu < \infty$ ), and let R = R(f) be the set of all vectors of the form  $\int_E f d\mu$  ( $E \in \mathscr{F}$ ). Then  $\overline{R}$  is convex.

The proof is motivated by a method due to Halkin [2] who considered the finite-dimensional case only. We need several lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{x_1', x_2', \cdots, x_N'\}$  be a collection of N vectors in H such that  $\sum x_i' = 0$ . Then the  $x_i'$  can be rearranged to form a set  $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N\}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|x_{i}\|^{2} \quad (1 \leq n \leq N).$$

*Proof.* We choose  $x_1$  arbitrarily. Having chosen  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , we select  $x_{n+1}$  to be one of the remaining vectors with the property that

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, x_{n+1}) \le 0.$$

Such a choice is always possible, because

$$0 = \left(\sum_{1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}, \sum_{1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right) = \left(\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}, \sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right) + 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{N} \left(\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right) + \left(\sum_{n+1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}, \sum_{n+1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\prime}\right).$$

Since the first and the last inner products are nonnegative, at least one summand in the middle term must be nonpositive. Our arrangement of the  $\mathbf{x}_j$  gives us the equations

Received January 13, 1970.

Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970).

$$\left\| \sum_{1}^{n+1} x_{i} \right\|^{2} = \left( \sum_{1}^{n+1} x_{i}, \sum_{1}^{n+1} x_{i} \right) = \left\| \sum_{1}^{n} x_{i} \right\|^{2} + \left\| x_{n+1} \right\|^{2} + 2 \left( \sum_{1}^{n} x_{i}, x_{n+1} \right).$$

The result now follows by induction.

The following lemma was proved by P. R. Halmos [3].

LEMMA 2. For every set  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists a function  $\phi$ :  $E \to [0, 1]$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in E: \phi(x) < \lambda\}) = \lambda \mu(E)$$
.

The next result is crucial.

LEMMA 3. Let g:  $X \to H$  be an integrable function (that is,  $\int \|g\| d\mu < \infty$ ). Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $\Phi: X \to [0, 1]$  such that

$$i) \ \left\| \int\limits_{E(\lambda)} g \, d\mu - \lambda \int\limits_{S} g \, d\mu \right\| < \epsilon, \text{ where } E(\lambda) = \big\{ x: \ \Phi(x) < \lambda \big\}, \text{ and }$$

ii) 
$$\mu(\{x: \Phi(x) < \lambda\}) = \lambda$$
.

(We denote the collection of such functions  $\Phi$  by  $K(g, \epsilon)$ .)

*Proof.* We may assume  $\int_S g \, d\mu = 0$ , since otherwise we could apply the result to  $g - \int_S g \, d\mu$ . Choose an integer N such that if  $\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{N}$ , then

(1) 
$$\int_{E} \|g\| d\mu < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon, \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{2} \left[ \int_{X} \|g\| d\mu \right]^{-1} \right\} = \eta.$$

Select a function  $\phi$ :  $X \to [0, 1]$  as in Lemma 2, so that  $\mu \{x: \phi(x) < \lambda\} = \lambda$ . Let

$$A'_{i} = \left\{ x : \frac{i-1}{N} \leq \phi(x) < \frac{i}{N} \right\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Then 
$$\mu(A_i') = \frac{1}{N}$$
,  $\sum_i \int_{A_i'} g \, d\mu = 0$ , and  $\int_{A_i'} \|g\| \, d\mu < \eta$ .

By Lemma 1,  $A_i'$  can be rearranged into  $\{A_1,\,A_2,\,\cdots,\,A_N\}$ , say, such that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} g \,d\mu \right\|^2 \leq \sum_1^N \left\|\int_{A_i} g \,d\mu \right\|^2 \leq \sum_1^N \eta \int_{A_i} \left\|g\right\| d\mu \leq \frac{1}{4} \,\epsilon^2 \qquad (1 \leq n \leq N) \,.$$

Hence each partial sum satisfies the inequality

(2) 
$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{i}} g d\mu \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon.$$

For each index i (i = 1, 2, ..., N), we choose a function  $\phi_i$ :  $A_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as in Lemma 2. Set

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{i-1}{N} I_{A_i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \phi_i(x),$$

where  $I_F$  is the characteristic function of F and  $\phi_i(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin A_i$ . Now we can write the set  $E(\lambda)$  as

(3) 
$$E(\lambda) = \left\{ x: \Phi(x) < \lambda \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{\substack{i-1 \\ N} \le \lambda} A_i \cup \left\{ A_{[N\lambda]+1} \cap \left\{ x: \phi_{[N\lambda]+1}(x) < N\left(\lambda - \frac{[N\lambda]}{N}\right) \right\} \right\},$$

where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the greatest integer not exceeding  $\alpha$ .

The sets whose union we take in (3) are disjoint, so that

$$\left\| \int_{E(\lambda)} g d\mu \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor N\lambda \rfloor} \int_{A_i} g d\mu \right\| + \int_{A_{\lfloor N\lambda \rfloor+1}} \|g\| d\mu.$$

The first partial sum is less than  $\varepsilon/2$ , by (2). The integral is less than  $\varepsilon/2$ , by (1). The result now follows.

We proceed to prove the theorem. It is enough to show that if E and F are two measurable subsets of X, then for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a measurable set  $C(\lambda)$  such that

(4) 
$$\left\| \int_{C(\lambda)} f d\mu - \lambda \int_{E} f d\mu - (1 - \lambda) \int_{F} f d\mu \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

We select  $\Phi \in K(fI_{E-F}, \epsilon/2)$  and  $\psi \in K(fI_{F-E}, \epsilon/2)$  (the sets K are defined by Lemma 3) and put

$$C(\lambda) = \{E \cap F\} \cup \{x \in E - F: \Phi(x) < \lambda\} \cup \{x \in F - E: \psi(x) < 1 - \lambda\}.$$

Since the sets above are disjoint, we obtain the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{C(\lambda)} - \lambda \left[ \int_{E \cap F} + \int_{E-F} \right] + (1 - \lambda) \left[ \int_{E \cap F} + \int_{F-E} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\{\Phi < \lambda\}} f I_{E-F} d\mu - \lambda \int_{F-E} f I_{E-F} d\mu \right\| \\ & + \left\| \int_{\{\Psi < 1 - \lambda\}} f I_{F-E} d\mu + (1 - \lambda) \int_{F-E} f I_{F-E} d\mu \right\| < \epsilon \ . \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Blackwell, The range of certain vector integrals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 390-395.
- 2. H. Halkin, Some further generalizations of a theorem of Lyapounov. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 17 (1964), 272-277.
- 3. P. R. Halmos, The range of a vector measure. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), 416-421.
- 4. A. A. Lyapunov, Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives. (Russian. French summary) Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR] 4 (1940), 465-478.
- 5. ——, Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives. (Russian. French summary) Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR] 10 (1946), 277-279.

State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14226