AN EXTENSION OF A THEOREM OF T. ANDÔ

D. Gaspar and A. Rácz

In [1], T. Andô proved the following result: Every commutative pair of contractions $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2\}$ in a Hilbert space H has a unitary dilation.

We recall that the pair $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_1\,,\,U_2\right\}$ is a unitary dilation (in a Hilbert space $K\supset H$) for the pair \mathscr{T} if the U_j $(j=1,\,2)$ are unitary operators in K, $U_1\,U_2=U_2\,U_1$, and

$$PU_1^{n_1}U_2^{n_2}h = T_1^{n_1}T_2^{n_2}h$$
 $(n_1, n_2 \ge 0, h \in H),$

where P is the orthogonal projection of K onto H.

The aim of this note is to extend this theorem.

We say that a family $\mathscr{T} = \{T_1, \dots, T_p\}$ of linear bounded operators (in a Hilbert space H) is *cyclic commutative* if

(c)
$$T_1 T_2 \cdots T_p = T_p T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{p-1} = \cdots = T_2 T_3 \cdots T_p T_1$$
.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathscr{T} = \{T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_p\}$ be a cyclic commutative family of contractions in the Hilbert space H. There exists a cyclic commutative family $\mathscr{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_p\}$ of isometries in a Hilbert space $K \supset H$, with the property that

(1)
$$PV_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots V_{i_p}^{n_p} h = T_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots T_{i_p}^{n_p} h \quad (n_j \geq 0, h \in H),$$

where (i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_p) is an arbitrary permutation of $(1,2,\cdots,p)$, and where P is the orthogonal projection of K onto H.

Proof. Let $K = \ell^2(H)$; that is, let K be the space of sequences $\{h_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ $(h_i \in H)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|h_i\|^2 < \infty$. For $j = 1, 2, \cdots$, p, we define $S_j \in \mathscr{L}(K)$ by the equation

$$S_{j}\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \dots, h_{n}, \dots\} = \{T_{j}h_{0}, 0, D_{T_{j}}h_{0}, 0, h_{1}, \dots, h_{n}, \dots\},$$

where $D_{T_{j}} = (I - T_{j}^{*}T_{j})^{1/2}$.

It is obvious that the S_i are isometries in K. We consider the products

$$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_p \{h_0, h_1, \cdots\}$$

$$= \{ \mathbf{T}_{1} \mathbf{T}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}} \mathbf{T}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, 0, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{2}} \mathbf{T}_{3} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, 0, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p-1}} \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, 0, \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p}} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, 0, \, \mathbf{h}_{1}, \, \mathbf{h}_{2}, \, \cdots \},$$

Received March 26, 1969.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_2 \, \mathbf{S}_3 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{S}_p \, \mathbf{S}_1 \, \left\{ \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \, \mathbf{h}_1 \, , \, \cdots \right\} \\ &= \, \left\{ \mathbf{T}_2 \, \mathbf{T}_3 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_p \, \mathbf{T}_1 \, \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_2} \, \mathbf{T}_3 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_p \, \mathbf{T}_1 \, \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_3} \, \mathbf{T}_4 \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_p \, \mathbf{T}_1 \, \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_p} \, \mathbf{T}_1 \, \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \, \mathbf{h}_0 \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{h}_1 \, , \, \mathbf{h}_2 \, , \, \cdots \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{S}_{1} &\cdots \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \left\{ \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{h}_{1}, \, \cdots \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{T}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}}} \mathbf{T}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}} \mathbf{T}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \\ &\cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1}} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{h}_{1}, \, \cdots \right\}. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p}}\mathbf{T}_{1}\cdots\mathbf{T}_{p-1}\mathbf{h}_{0}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}}\mathbf{T}_{2}\cdots\mathbf{T}_{p-1}\mathbf{h}_{0}\|^{2}+\cdots+\|\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p-1}}\mathbf{h}_{0}\|^{2}=\|\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p}}\mathbf{T}_{1}\cdots\mathbf{T}_{p-1}\mathbf{h}_{0}\|^{2}\,.$$

By cyclic commutativity of the family $\mathcal{J}_i = \{T_1, \dots, T_p\}$, all of these expressions are equal.

Consequently, we can define isometric operators W_j (j = 1, ..., p - 1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{j} \{ \mathbf{D}_{T_{j+1}} \mathbf{T}_{j+2} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{j+p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{T_{j+2}} \mathbf{T}_{j+3} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{j+p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{T_{j+p}} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{D}_{T_{1}} \mathbf{T}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{D}_{T_{2}} \mathbf{T}_{3} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{T_{p}} \mathbf{h}_{0}, \, \mathbf{0} \} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, where T_k is defined by periodicity:

$$T_k = T_r$$
 if $k = qp + r$ $(1 \le r \le p)$.

Now, by an argument of [1] (see also [3]), the operators W_j can be extended to unitary operators in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{G} = H \oplus H \oplus \cdots \oplus H,$$

$$2p \text{ times}$$

which we denote also by Wi.

We denote by \mathfrak{B}_{i} the operators in K, defined by the equation

$$\mathfrak{B}_{j}\{h_{0}, (h_{1}, \dots, h_{2p}), (h_{2p+1}, \dots, h_{4p}), \dots\}$$

$$= \{h_{0}, W_{j}(h_{1}, \dots, h_{2p}), W_{j}(h_{2p+1}, \dots, h_{4p}), \dots\}.$$

Let V_i (j = 1, ..., p), the operators in K, be defined by the equations

$$V_1 = S_1 \mathfrak{W}_1^{-1}, \quad V_2 = \mathfrak{W}_1 S_2 \mathfrak{W}_2^{-1}, \quad \cdots, \quad V_{p-1} = \mathfrak{W}_{p-2} S_{p-1} \mathfrak{W}_{p-1}^{-1}, \quad V_p = \mathfrak{W}_{p-1} S_p,$$

and observe that each V_i is an isometry in K.

We shall prove that $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j=1}^p$ is cyclic commutative. For this, we note that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_1 \, \mathbf{v}_2 &\cdots \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \{ \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{h}_1 \,,\, \cdots \} = \mathbf{S}_1 \, \mathfrak{W}_1^{-1} \, \mathfrak{W}_1 \, \mathbf{S}_2 \cdots \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \{ \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{h}_1 \,,\, \cdots \} = \mathbf{S}_1 \, \mathbf{S}_2 \cdots \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \{ \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{h}_1 \,,\, \cdots \} \\ &= \, \big\{ \mathbf{T}_1 \, \mathbf{T}_2 \cdots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_1} \, \mathbf{T}_2 \cdots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{0},\, \cdots ,\, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}}} \, \mathbf{h}_0 \,,\, \mathbf{0},\, \mathbf{h}_1 \,,\, \mathbf{h}_2 \,,\, \cdots \big\} \,\,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{2} \, \mathbf{v}_{3} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{v}_{p} \, \mathbf{v}_{1} \, \{ \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \cdots \} &= \, \mathfrak{M}_{1} \, \mathbf{S}_{2} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{S}_{p} \, \mathbf{S}_{1} \, \mathfrak{M}_{1}^{-1} \, \{ \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \cdots \} \\ &= \, \{ \mathbf{T}_{2} \, \mathbf{T}_{3} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{p} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{W}_{1} (\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{2}} \, \mathbf{T}_{3} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{p} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}), \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{2} \, , \, \cdots \} \\ &= \, \{ \mathbf{T}_{2} \, \mathbf{T}_{3} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{p} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}} \, \mathbf{T}_{2} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{p} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots, \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{p}} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{2} \, , \, \cdots \} \, , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{v}_{1} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \{ \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \cdots \} &= \, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{S}_{1} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{p}-1}^{-1} \, \{ \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \cdots \} \\ &= \, \{ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, (\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}}} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots , \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1}} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}), \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \mathbf{h}_{2} \, , \, \cdots \} \\ &= \, \{ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{T}_{1} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{1}} \, \mathbf{T}_{2} \, \cdots \, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \cdots , \, \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{p}}} \, \mathbf{h}_{0} \, , \, \mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{h}_{1} \, , \, \cdots \} \, ; \end{split}$$

therefore, by cyclic commutativity of \mathcal{F}_j , it follows that $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^P = \mathcal{V}$ is also cyclic commutative. The relation (1) follows in a natural way.

PROPOSITION. Every cyclic commutative family $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j=1}^p$ of isometries in a Hilbert space K can be extended to a cyclic commutative family $\mathscr{U} = \{U_j\}_{j=1}^p$ of unitary operators in a Hilbert space $K_1 \supset K$.

This proposition is a variant of a theorem of T. Itô (see [2], [3]). Its proof can be obtained in the same way.

Combining Theorem 1 and the preceding proposition, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2. For every cyclic commutative family $\mathcal{I} = \left\{T_j\right\}_{j=1}^P$ of contractions in the Hilbert space H, there exists a cyclic commutative family $\mathscr{U} = \left\{U_j\right\}_{j=1}^P$ of unitary operators in a Hilbert space $K \supset H$, such that

$$P_{H}U_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}}U_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}}\cdots U_{i_{p}}^{n_{p}}h = T_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}}T_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}}\cdots T_{i_{p}}^{n_{p}}h \qquad (n_{j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2, \cdots, p; h \in H)$$

for every permutation (i_1, \dots, i_p) of $(1, 2, \dots, p)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. Andô, On a pair of commutative contractions. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 24 (1963), 88-90.
- 2. T. Itô, On a commutative family of subnormal operators. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 14 (1958), 1-15.
- 3. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaș, Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert. Masson, Paris; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1967.

University of Timișoara Timișoara, S. R. Romania