

MINIMUM CONVEXITY OF A HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTION, II

J. E. McMillan

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $w = f(z)$ be a nonconstant holomorphic function defined in the open unit disc D . An *arc* at $e^{i\theta}$ is a curve $A \subset D$ such that $A \cup \{e^{i\theta}\}$ is a Jordan arc. Let A be an arc at $e^{i\theta}$, parametrized by $z(t)$ ($0 \leq t < 1$), and define a family \mathcal{H}_A as follows: $H \in \mathcal{H}_A$ if and only if H is a closed half-plane in the finite w -plane W and there exists a t_0 ($0 \leq t_0 < 1$) such that $f(z(t)) \in H$ if $t_0 \leq t < 1$. If $\mathcal{H}_A = \emptyset$, set $F_A = W$; otherwise, set $F_A = \bigcap H$, where the intersection is taken over all $H \in \mathcal{H}_A$. Note that if $f(z)$ is bounded on A , then F_A is the convex hull of the cluster set of $f(z)$ on A at $e^{i\theta}$. Our first result is the following improvement of an earlier theorem [5, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 1. *For each $e^{i\theta}$ there exists an arc α at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $F_\alpha \subset F_A$ for each arc A at $e^{i\theta}$.*

If $F_\alpha = \emptyset$, $f(z)$ has the limit ∞ on α at $e^{i\theta}$, and, to be sure, in a rather special way. If $F_\alpha = \{a\}$, $f(z)$ has the limit a on α at $e^{i\theta}$.

Write $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$, where $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ are the real and imaginary parts of $f(z)$. A real or complex-valued function $g(z)$ defined in D is said to have the (finite or infinite) *asymptotic value* a at $e^{i\theta}$ provided there exists an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ on which $g(z)$ has the limit a at $e^{i\theta}$. For each $e^{i\theta}$, we shall be concerned with the validity of the following proposition:

$P(\theta)$: *If $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ have the finite asymptotic values a and b , respectively, at $e^{i\theta}$, then $f(z)$ has the asymptotic value $a + bi$ at $e^{i\theta}$.*

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is that for each $e^{i\theta}$, either $f(z)$ has the asymptotic value ∞ at $e^{i\theta}$, or $P(\theta)$ holds. This result contains a theorem of Gehring and Lohwater [4]. We shall prove a considerably stronger theorem, which we proceed to state.

Let \mathcal{L} be the family of straight lines L in W such that $f(z) \notin L$ if $f'(z) = 0$. Note that for each $L \in \mathcal{L}$, $f(z)$ is one-to-one on each component of the preimage $f^{-1}(L)$. Let \mathcal{L}^* be the family of all half-lines L^* in W ,

$$L^* = \{w + \rho e^{i\phi} : \rho \geq 0\} \quad (w \in W, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi),$$

such that $L^* \subset L$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$. A subset \mathcal{C} of the unit circumference C is defined as follows: $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if there exists an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one onto some L^* in \mathcal{L}^* . Clearly, on such an arc $f(z)$ has the limit ∞ at $e^{i\theta}$.

THEOREM 2. *With the possible exception of at most countably many $e^{i\theta}$, $P(\theta)$ holds. Any exceptional $e^{i\theta}$ is in \mathcal{C} .*

Received August 1, 1968.

The author is an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (N.S.F. grant GP-6538).

The modular function has the property that $P(\theta)$ fails to hold for each $e^{i\theta}$ in the countable dense set of points at which the function has the asymptotic value ∞ (for a related discussion, see Bagemihl [2]).

2. PROOFS

We first prove three independent lemmas, which will be used in the proofs of both theorems.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $f(z)$ has a finite asymptotic value w_0 at $e^{i\theta}$. Then either $e^{i\theta} \in \mathfrak{S}$ or $w_0 \in F_A$ for each arc A at $e^{i\theta}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{S}$ and that there exists an arc A at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $w_0 \notin F_A$. We can choose A so that for some closed half-plane H , $f(A) \subset H$ and $w_0 \notin H$. Let A_0 be an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ on which $f(z)$ has the limit w_0 at $e^{i\theta}$, and such that $f(A_0) \cap H = \emptyset$. Let β be a Jordan arc lying in D that joins the initial points (that is, the endpoints in D) of A and A_0 and intersects $A \cup A_0$ only at these initial points. Let Δ be the bounded domain whose boundary is $\beta \cup A \cup A_0 \cup \{e^{i\theta}\}$. It follows from an elementary theorem on cluster sets that $f(\Delta) - H$ is dense in $W - H$ (see, for example, [3, p. 91]). Therefore there exists a $z^* \in \Delta$ such that the point $w^* = f(z^*)$ is the finite endpoint of a half-line L^* in \mathcal{L}^* that intersects neither H nor the bounded set $f(\beta) \cup f(A_0)$.

Let ϕ be such that $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$ and $L^* = \{w^* + \rho e^{i\phi}; \rho \geq 0\}$. Let Δ' be Δ minus the set of points z at which $f'(z) = 0$. Let γ be a Jordan arc such that $z^* \in \gamma \subset \Delta'$, $f(z)$ maps γ one-to-one onto a rectilinear segment Γ that is perpendicular to L^* at w^* , and such that for each $w \in \Gamma$ the half-line $L(w) = \{w + \rho e^{i\phi}; \rho \geq 0\}$ intersects neither H nor $f(\beta) \cup f(A_0)$. Then in particular $L(w)$ ($w \in \Gamma$) does not intersect $f(\beta \cup A \cup A_0)$. For each $w \in \Gamma$, let α_w be the component of the set

$$\{z: z \in \Delta', f(z) \in L(w)\}$$

that intersects γ . Note that with the possible exception of at most countably many $w \in \Gamma$, $L(w) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, and that if $L(w) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, then α_w is an arc at $e^{i\theta}$.

For each $w \in \Gamma$, $f(z)$ maps α_w one-to-one onto a half-open segment (which may be a half-line) on $L(w)$, and $w \in f(\alpha_w)$. Since $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{S}$, we see that the length $|f(\alpha_w)|$ of $f(\alpha_w)$ is finite if $L(w) \in \mathcal{L}^*$ ($w \in \Gamma$; here and in the sequel, "length" means Euclidean length). By the standard Baire-category argument, there exist a positive number M and an open segment Γ_0 on Γ such that the set

$$\{w: w \in \Gamma_0, |f(\alpha_w)| \leq M\}$$

is dense on Γ_0 . For each $w \in \Gamma_0$, let α_w^0 denote α_w minus its endpoint on γ , and set $U = \bigcup \alpha_w^0$ and $V = \bigcup f(\alpha_w^0)$, where both unions are taken over all $w \in \Gamma_0$. It is easy to see that V and U are open, and that $f(z)$ maps U one-to-one onto V . It is now clear that $|f(\alpha_w)| \leq M$ for every $w \in \Gamma_0$, and consequently that V is bounded.

We now use the well-known method of proof of the classical Gross star theorem [6, p. 292]. Choose a positive number r_0 such that $\{|z - e^{i\theta}| \leq r_0\}$ does not intersect γ , and for each r ($0 < r \leq r_0$), set

$$c_r = U \cap \{|z - e^{i\theta}| = r\}.$$

Let $s(r)$ denote the length of $f(c_r)$ ($0 < r \leq r_0$). Then, by Schwarz's inequality,

$$s(r)^2 = \left(\int_{c_r} |f'(z)| |dz| \right)^2 \leq 2\pi r \int_{c_r} |f'(z)|^2 |dz|.$$

Thus, since V is bounded,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_r^{r_0} \frac{s(r)^2}{r} dr \leq \iint_U |f'(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty \quad (0 < r < r_0; z = x + iy).$$

Therefore $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} s(r) = 0$, since $\int_0^{r_0} \frac{s(r)^2}{r} dr < \infty$.

On the other hand, $s(r) \geq |\Gamma_0|$ ($0 < r \leq r_0$), because if $L(w) \in \mathcal{L}^*$, then $\alpha_w \cap c_r \neq \emptyset$ and consequently $f(\alpha_w) \cap f(c_r) \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction, and the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that there exist an $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ and an arc A_0 at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A_0) \cap L_0 = \emptyset$. Let H_0 be the closed half-plane bounded by L_0 and containing $f(A_0)$. Let A be an arbitrary arc at $e^{i\theta}$, and let S be any connected subset of L_0 that contains $f(A) \cap L_0$. Then either*

- (1) *there exists an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one into L_0 ,*
- or
- (2) *there exists an arc A' at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A') \subset (f(A) \cap H_0) \cup S$.*

Proof. Suppose that (1) does not hold. Let J be a Jordan curve such that $e^{i\theta} \in J$ and $J \subset D \cup \{e^{i\theta}\}$, and such that the interior domain Δ of J contains A_0 and A . Then each component of the set

$$\Lambda = \{z: z \in \Delta, f(z) \in L_0\}$$

is a crosscut of Δ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one onto an open connected subset of L_0 . No such component has $e^{i\theta}$ as an endpoint, because (1) does not hold.

Let U be the component of $\Delta - \Lambda$ that contains A_0 . Then $f(U) \subset H_0$. Note that each arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that is contained in Δ intersects U , since otherwise a component of Λ would have $e^{i\theta}$ as an endpoint. In particular, A intersects U , and we can let A'' be an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $A'' \subset A$ and the initial point of A'' is in U . If $A'' \subset U$, set $A' = A''$. Otherwise, let γ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be the finitely or infinitely many components of Λ that are on the boundary of U and intersect A'' . Note that if there are infinitely many γ_k , the diameter of γ_k tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For each k , let γ'_k be the (possibly degenerate) closed subarc of γ_k such that the endpoints of γ'_k are on A'' and $A'' \cap \gamma_k \subset \gamma'_k$. It is easy to see that there exists an arc A' at $e^{i\theta}$ such that

$$A' \subset (A'' \cap U) \cup \left(\bigcup \gamma'_k \right).$$

Note that $f(z)$ maps each γ'_k one-to-one onto a segment whose endpoints are in $f(A'') \cap L_0$, and consequently that $f(\gamma'_k) \subset S$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Thus (2) holds, and the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Set $\mathfrak{A} = \{e^{i\theta} : f(z) \text{ has a finite asymptotic value at } e^{i\theta}\}$.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{A}$. Let $\{V_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of domains in W such that for each n

(i) either V_n is bounded and its boundary ∂V_n is a Jordan curve, or else $\{\infty\} \cup \partial V_n$ is a Jordan curve in the extended plane,

(ii) $f(z) \in \partial V_n$ if $f'(0) = 0$,

(iii) there exists an arc A_n at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A_n) \subset V_n$.

Then one of the following three statements holds.

- (3) For some n there exists an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one into ∂V_n and on which $f(z)$ has the limit ∞ at $e^{i\theta}$.
- (4) $e^{i\theta} \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $f(z)$ is bounded on some arc at $e^{i\theta}$.
- (5) There exists an arc α at $e^{i\theta}$, described by $z(t)$ ($0 \leq t < 1$), and with the property that for each n there exists a t_0 ($0 \leq t_0 < 1$) such that $f(z(t)) \in V_n$ if $t_0 \leq t < 1$.

Proof. If for each n there is one and only one component U_n of the set

$$U_n^* = f^{-1}(V_n) \cap \{|z - e^{i\theta}| < 1/n\}$$

that contains an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ (there is at least one by hypothesis), then $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), and it follows readily that (5) holds.

Suppose now that (5) does not hold. Then there exist an n and distinct components U' and U'' of U_n^* such that for some arcs A' and A'' at $e^{i\theta}$, $A' \subset U'$ and $A'' \subset U''$. Let β be a Jordan arc lying in D , joining the initial points of A' and A'' , and intersecting $A' \cup A''$ only at these initial points. Let Δ be the bounded domain whose boundary is $\beta \cup A' \cup A'' \cup \{e^{i\theta}\}$. Since $U' \cap U'' = \emptyset$, there exists an arc λ at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $\lambda \subset \Delta$ and $f(\lambda) \subset \partial V_n$.

Suppose now that (3) does not hold. Then since $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{A}$, we see that V_n is bounded and that $f(z)$ assumes as a value on λ every point of ∂V_n infinitely many times. Choose $L^* \in \mathcal{L}^*$ such that if w^* denotes the finite endpoint of L^* , then $L^* \cap \bar{V}_n = \{w^*\}$ (the bar denotes closure). Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence of distinct points of λ such that $f(z_k) = w^*$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Let α_k be the component of $f^{-1}(L^*)$ that contains z_k . Then $\alpha_k \cap \alpha_{k'} = \emptyset$ if $k \neq k'$. Clearly $\alpha_k \cap (A' \cup A'') = \emptyset$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). By routine arguments, at most finitely many α_k intersect β . Thus some α_k is an arc at $e^{i\theta}$, and since $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{A}$, $e^{i\theta} \in \mathfrak{S}$. Therefore, since $f(z)$ is bounded on λ , (4) holds. The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $e^{i\theta} \in \mathfrak{S}$, we let α be an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one onto some $L^* \in \mathcal{L}^*$, and we note that $F_\alpha = \emptyset$. Suppose now that $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{S}$. If there exists an arc α at $e^{i\theta}$ on which $f(z)$ has a finite limit w_0 at $e^{i\theta}$, then $F_\alpha = \{w_0\}$, and by Lemma 1, $F_\alpha \subset F_A$ for each arc A at $e^{i\theta}$. Thus we may also suppose that $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{A}$. Define a family \mathcal{H} as follows: $H \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if H is a closed half-plane in W and there exists an arc A at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A) \subset H$. We only need to consider the case where $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$, for otherwise $F_A = W$ for each arc A at $e^{i\theta}$. The intersection of any family of closed sets in W is the intersection of some countable subfamily. Thus we readily see that there exists a sequence $\{H_n\}$ of closed half-planes in W such that for each n , $\partial H_n \in \mathcal{L}$ and the interior H_n^0 of

H_n contains some $H \in \mathcal{H}$, and such that $\bigcap H_n = \bigcap H$, where the last intersection is taken over all $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Set

$$V_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^n H_j^0.$$

We prove by induction that for each n there exists an arc A at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A) \subset V_n$. This clearly holds for $n = 1$. Suppose now that there exists an arc A at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A) \subset V_{n-1}$ ($n > 1$). Choose an $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $H \subset H_n^0$, and let A_0 be an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A_0) \subset H$. Choose $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L_0 \subset H_n^0 - H$, and let H_0 be the closed half-plane bounded by L_0 and containing $f(A_0)$. Then $H_0 \subset H_n^0$. We set $S = V_{n-1} \cap L_0$, and apply Lemma 2. The possibility (1) cannot occur, because $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{A}$; therefore there exists an arc A' at $e^{i\theta}$ such that

$$f(A') \subset (f(A) \cap H_0) \cup S \subset V_{n-1} \cap H_0 \subset V_n.$$

The induction is complete.

We now apply Lemma 3. Since $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $\partial H_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), we readily see that (3) cannot hold. Clearly, (4) cannot hold. Thus (5) holds, and it follows that $F_\alpha \subset H_n$ for each n . Consequently $F_\alpha \subset F_A$ for each arc A at $e^{i\theta}$, and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Define a subset \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{C} by the rule that $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{D}$ provided there exist finite numbers a and b that are asymptotic values (at $e^{i\theta}$) of $u(z)$ and $v(z)$, respectively, while $a + ib$ is not an asymptotic value of $f(z)$ at $e^{i\theta}$. To prove Theorem 2, we prove that $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$ are both countable subsets of \mathcal{S} .

First consider $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}$, and let a , b , and w_0 be finite asymptotic values of $u(z)$, $v(z)$, and $f(z)$, respectively, at $e^{i\theta}$. Then $a + bi \neq w_0$, and there exists an arc A at $e^{i\theta}$ (on which either $u(z)$ has the limit a at $e^{i\theta}$ or $v(z)$ has the limit b at $e^{i\theta}$) such that $w_0 \notin F_A$. Thus, by Lemma 1, $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{S}$, and we have shown that $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$. By Bagemihl's ambiguous-point theorem [1], $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}$ is countable. Thus $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is a countable subset of \mathcal{S} .

Now consider $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{L}_1 be a countable family of horizontal lines in \mathcal{L} whose union is dense in W , and let \mathcal{L}_2 be a countable family of vertical lines in \mathcal{L} whose union is dense in W . Define a subset \mathcal{E} of \mathbb{C} as follows: $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$ and there exists an arc at $e^{i\theta}$ that $f(z)$ maps one-to-one into some $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$. Since $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{S}$; and since there exist altogether only countably many components of $f^{-1}(L)$ ($L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$), \mathcal{E} is countable. Thus \mathcal{E} is a countable subset of \mathcal{S} , and it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{D} - (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{E})$ is a countable subset of \mathcal{S} . Fix $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{D} - (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{E})$, and let a and b be finite real numbers such that $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ have the asymptotic values a and b , respectively, at $e^{i\theta}$. Choose a sequence $\{h_n\}$ such that $0 < h_{n+1} < h_n < 1/n$, and such that if we set

$$V_n = \{ |w - (a + bi)| < h_n \},$$

then $f(z) \notin \partial V_n$ if $f'(z) = 0$.

We prove that for each n there exists an arc A^* at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A^*) \subset V_n$. Fix n . Choose distinct lines L_1' and L_1'' in \mathcal{L}_1 and distinct lines L_2' and L_2'' in \mathcal{L}_2 such that if Ω_1 and Ω_2 denote the open strips whose boundaries are $L_1' \cup L_1''$ and $L_2' \cup L_2''$, respectively, then $a + bi \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ and $\overline{\Omega}_1 \cap \overline{\Omega}_2 \subset V_n$ (the bar denotes

closure). Since $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ have the asymptotic values a and b , respectively, at $e^{i\theta}$, there exist arcs A_0 and A_1 at $e^{i\theta}$ such that $f(A_0) \subset \Omega_2$ and $f(A_1) \subset \Omega_1$. Let H_2' and H_2'' be the closed half-planes bounded by L_2' and L_2'' , respectively, and containing $f(A_0)$. We apply Lemma 2 with $L_0 = L_2'$, $H_0 = H_2'$, $A = A_1$, and $S = \Omega_1 \cap L_2'$. Since $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{E}$, (1) cannot hold; therefore there exists an arc A_1' at $e^{i\theta}$ such that

$$f(A_1') \subset (f(A_1) \cap H_2') \cup (\Omega_1 \cap L_2') \subset \Omega_1 \cap H_2'.$$

We now apply Lemma 2 again, this time with $L_0 = L_2''$, $H_0 = H_2''$, $A = A_1'$, and $S = \Omega_1 \cap L_2''$, and we see that there exists an arc A^* at $e^{i\theta}$ such that

$$f(A^*) \subset (f(A_1') \cap H_2'') \cup (\Omega_1 \cap L_2'') \subset \Omega_1 \cap \overline{\Omega}_2 \subset V_n.$$

Next we apply Lemma 3. Clearly, (3) cannot hold, because each V_n is bounded. If (5) holds, then $f(z(t)) \rightarrow a + bi$ as $t \rightarrow 1$, contrary to the assumption $e^{i\theta} \notin \mathfrak{A}$. Thus (4) holds. Again by Bagemihl's theorem, the set of points $e^{i\theta}$ such that (4) holds is countable. Thus $\Omega - (\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{E})$ is a countable subset of \mathfrak{S} , and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

REFERENCES

1. F. Bagemihl, *Curvilinear cluster sets of arbitrary functions*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 41 (1955), 379-382.
2. ———, *The Lindelöf theorem and the real and imaginary parts of normal functions*. Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 15-20.
3. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.
4. F. W. Gehring and A. J. Lohwater, *On the Lindelöf theorem*. Math. Nachr. 19 (1958), 165-170.
5. J. E. McMillan, *Minimum convexity of a holomorphic function*. Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 141-144.
6. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1953.

University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201