

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

We shall show that the trivial estimates $a_n = o(1/\sqrt{n})$ and $b_n = o(1/\sqrt{n})$ for the coefficients of bounded univalent functions and meromorphic univalent functions, respectively, are not essentially best possible.

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$g(z) = z + b_0 + \cdots + b_n z^{-n} + \cdots$$

be analytic and univalent in $1 < |z| < \infty$. Then

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq A \left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{300}} \quad (1 < \rho < \infty),$$

$$(1.2) \quad |b_n| \leq A n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{300}},$$

where A is an absolute constant.

The only previously known estimate, $|b_n| \leq n^{-1/2}$, follows immediately from the area theorem. In the opposite direction, the first nontrivial result was due to Clunie [1], who constructed a univalent function for which $|b_n| > n^{0.02-1}$ for infinitely many n . This was recently improved [8] to $|b_n| > n^{0.139-1}$.

Let γ be the smallest number such that

$$|b_n| \leq A(\varepsilon) n^{\gamma+\varepsilon-1}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. The estimates above imply that

$$(1.3) \quad 0.139 \leq \gamma < 0.497.$$

The true value of γ is unknown.

Remark. We can prove an estimate that is slightly stronger than (1.2): For $5 < \lambda < \infty$,

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^\lambda |b_k|^\lambda \leq A(\lambda) n^{\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{18}{\lambda-1}} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $A(\lambda)$ is independent of g .

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let \mathfrak{S}_p denote the family of functions $f(z) = z + \dots$ that are analytic in $|z| < 1$, satisfy the condition $f'(z) \neq 0$, and assume every value at most p times [7, Section 1.3]. In particular, \mathfrak{S}_1 is then the family of normalized univalent functions in $|z| < 1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let*

$$f(z) = z + \dots + a_n z^n + \dots$$

be a function in \mathfrak{S}_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$), and let

$$(1.5) \quad f(z) = O((1-r)^{-\alpha}) \quad (r \rightarrow 1-0)$$

with $\alpha < 1/2$. Then there exists $\eta = \eta(\alpha, p) > 0$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = O\left((1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\eta}\right) \quad (r \rightarrow 1-0)$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad a_n = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\eta}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

This estimate no longer holds for areally mean p -valent functions. For these functions,

$$(1.8) \quad a_n = o(n^{-1/2})$$

is the best possible estimate for every $\alpha < 1/2$ [6], [9], [3, p. 49]. Hayman [4, p. 392] has raised the question whether (1.8) is best possible also for bounded univalent functions. As Theorem 2 shows, this is false.

In the opposite direction, Littlewood [5] has given an example of a bounded univalent function for which $|a_n| > n^{\sigma-1}$ for some positive σ and infinitely many n . It is possible to choose $\sigma = 0.139$ [8].

The paper [4] of Hayman gives a recent survey of the theory of coefficients of univalent and multivalent functions. As Hayman points out [4, p. 401], one of the conditions satisfied by univalent functions but not by all multivalent functions is $f'(z) \neq 0$, and we use this condition in the proof of Theorem 2.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

1. Throughout this section, we write $z = r^{-1} e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$). By A_1, A_2, \dots we denote absolute constants. Let $0 < \delta < 1/4$ and $r = 1/\rho$. From the Schwarz inequality, we obtain the bound

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the area theorem,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^2 d\theta = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^2 |b_\nu|^2 r^{2\nu+2} \leq \frac{A_1}{1-r}.$$

2. To estimate the last integral in (2.1), we write

$$(2.3) \quad [g'(z)]^\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^{-k} \quad (|z| > 1),$$

where $c_0 = 1$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 r^{2k} \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

It follows that $r\psi'(r) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |c_k|^2 r^{2k}$ and

$$(2.5) \quad r\psi''(r) \leq r\psi''(r) + \psi'(r) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 |c_k|^2 r^{2k-1}$$

A result of Golusin [2, p. 132] shows that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| z \frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{8|z|^2 - 2}{|z|^2 - 1} \leq \frac{3}{|z| - 1} + 8 \quad (1 < |z| \leq 1/r_0),$$

where r_0 is some absolute constant ($0 < r_0 < 1$). (This inequality, with slightly worse constants, also follows from elementary distortion theorems.) From (2.3) and (2.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 |c_k|^2 r^{2k+2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{d}{dz} [g'(z)]^\delta \right|^2 d\theta = \frac{\delta^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)} \right|^2 |g'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta \\ &\leq r^2 \delta^2 \left(\frac{3r}{1-r} + 8 \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5), we find that

$$\psi''(r) \leq 4\delta^2 \left(\frac{3}{1-r} + \frac{8}{r} \right)^2 \psi(r).$$

An application of Hölder's inequality to (2.4) together with (2.2) and $\delta \leq 1/2$ now gives the estimate

$$\psi''(r) \leq \frac{36\delta^2}{(1-r)^2} \psi(r) + \frac{A_2}{(1-r)^{3/2}},$$

for $r_0 \leq r < 1$. Therefore, integrating by parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(r) &\leq \psi'(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{36\delta^2}{(1-t)^2} \psi(t) dt + \frac{A_3}{(1-r)^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{A_4}{(1-r)^{1/2}} + \frac{36\delta^2}{1-r} \psi(r) - \int_{r_0}^r \frac{36\delta^2}{1-t} \psi'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), the last term is negative. Since $\psi(r) \geq |c_0|^2 = 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{\psi'(r)}{\psi(r)} \leq \frac{A_4}{(1-r)^{1/2}} + \frac{36\delta^2}{1-r}$$

and consequently

$$\psi(r) \leq A_5(1-r)^{-36\delta^2} \quad (0 \leq r < 1).$$

Therefore, (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4) imply that

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \leq A_6(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}-18\delta^2}$$

3. We choose $\delta = \frac{1}{72}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{300}$. Let

$$E_1 = E_1(r) = \{\theta: |g'(r^{-1}e^{i\theta})| \leq (1-r)^{-\beta}\}, \quad E_2 = \{\theta: |g'(r^{-1}e^{i\theta})| > (1-r)^{-\beta}\}.$$

Then, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)| d\theta &= \int_{E_1} |g'(z)| d\theta + \int_{E_2} |g'(z)| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{(1-r)^\beta} + (1-r)^{\beta\delta} \int_{E_2} |g'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \\ &\leq 2\pi(1-r)^{-\beta} + A_6(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\beta\delta-18\delta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} - \beta\delta + 18\delta^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 72} + \frac{1}{300 \cdot 72} < \beta$, we have proved (1.1). Applying Cauchy's formula with $\rho = 1 + 1/n$, we immediately obtain (1.2)

4. Finally, we prove (1.4). Let $5 < \lambda < \infty$. The Hausdorff-Young inequality [10, p. 190] implies that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (k |b_k| r^k)^\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |rg'(r^{-1}e^{i\theta})|^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}} d\theta \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

Let $\delta = 1/(\lambda - 1)$. Then $0 < \delta < 1/4$. Hence (2.7) shows that, for $1/2 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (k |b_k| r^k)^\lambda &\leq \left[A_6(1 - r)^{-\frac{1}{2} - 18/(\lambda-1)^2} \right]^{\lambda-1} \\ &\leq A_7(\lambda)(1 - r)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - 18/(\lambda-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (1.4) by taking $r = 1 - 1/2n$. From (1.4), we get (1.2) by discarding the first $n - 1$ terms in the left member and choosing $\lambda = 73$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

1. We use B_1, B_2, \dots to denote constants depending only on p and α , and K_1, K_2, \dots to denote constants that possibly depend also on f . We choose a pair of positive numbers λ and κ such that $2 < \lambda < \frac{\lambda}{1 - \kappa} < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$. From Schwarz's inequality, we obtain (with $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$) the bound

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad J(r) &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(z)|^2}{(1 + |f(z)|)^\lambda} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + |f(z)|)^\lambda |f'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad &\int_0^{2\pi} (1 + |f(z)|)^\lambda |f'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\lambda/(1-\kappa)} d\theta \right)^{1-\kappa} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{2\delta/\kappa} d\theta \right)^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

2. The family \mathfrak{S}_p is linear-invariant: that is, for every mapping $\omega(z)$ of the unit disk onto itself,

$$f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_p \Rightarrow \frac{f(\omega(z)) - f(\omega(0))}{\omega'(0)f'(\omega(0))} \in \mathfrak{S}_p.$$

Also, \mathfrak{S}_p is normal [7, Satz 1.3]. Hence [7, Folgerung 1.1], [7, Lemma 1.2]

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{B_1}{1 - r} \quad (0 \leq r < 1).$$

(In the case $p = 1$ of univalent functions, this follows at once from the distortion theorems.)

To estimate the last integral in (3.2), we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$[f'(z)]^{\delta/\kappa} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k \quad (|z| < 1).$$

Then

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{2\delta/\kappa} d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 r^{2k}.$$

For $1/2 < r < 1$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''(r) &\leq 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 |c_k|^2 r^{2k-2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{d}{dz} [f'(z)]^{\delta/\kappa} \right|^2 d\theta \\ &= \frac{2\delta^2/\kappa^2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|^2 |f'(z)|^{2\delta/\kappa} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.3),

$$\phi''(r) \leq \frac{B_2 \delta^2}{(1-r)^2} \phi(r).$$

This implies

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{2\delta/\kappa} d\theta = \phi(r) \leq B_3 (1-r)^{-B_2} \delta^2$$

3. The inequality

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^{\lambda'} d\theta \leq K_1 + K_1 \int_0^r \left(\max_{|z|=t} |f(z)|^{\lambda'} \right) dt$$

(see [3, Theorem 3.2]) together with (1.5) shows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\lambda/(1-\kappa)} d\theta \leq K_2 + K_2 \int_0^r (1-t)^{-\alpha\lambda/(1-\kappa)} dt \leq K_3,$$

because $\lambda/(1-\kappa) < 1/\alpha$. Therefore it follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that (with $\beta = B_4$)

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + |f(z)|)^{\lambda} |f'(z)|^{2\delta} d\theta \leq K_4 (1-r)^{-\beta\delta^2}.$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.5) that

$$\int_0^1 r(1-r)^{\beta\delta^2} J(r) dr \leq K_5 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(z)|^2}{(1+|f(z)|)^\lambda} r d\theta dr \leq K_6 < \infty$$

(we obtain the last assertion as in [6, p. 291], using the fact that $\lambda > 2$). Since $J(r)$ increases,

$$J(r) \int_r^1 (1-t)^{\beta\delta^2} t dt \leq K_6, \quad J(r) \leq K_7(1-r)^{-1-\beta\delta^2} \quad (1/2 < r < 1).$$

Hence, by (3.1),

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \leq K_8(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}\delta^2}.$$

Let

$$E_1 = E_1(r) = \{ \theta: |f'(re^{i\theta})| \leq (1-r)^{-1/3} \}, \quad E_2 = \{ \theta: |f'(re^{i\theta})| > (1-r)^{-1/3} \}.$$

Then as in the proof of Theorem 1, (3.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)| d\theta &\leq \frac{2\pi}{(1-r)^{1/3}} + (1-r)^{\delta/3} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)|^{1+\delta} d\theta \\ &\leq 2\pi(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{3}} + K_8(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\delta}{3}-\frac{\beta}{2}\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{3} - \frac{\beta}{2}\delta^2 > -\frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we have proved (1.6); the estimate (1.7) follows at once.

REFERENCES

1. J. Clunie, *On schlicht functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959), 511-519.
2. G. M. Golusin, *Geometrische Funktionentheorie*, Deutscher Verlag Wissensch., Berlin, 1957.
3. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 48. Cambridge University Press, 1958.
4. ———, *Coefficient problems for univalent functions and related function classes*, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 385-406.
5. J. E. Littlewood, *On the coefficients of schlicht functions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 9 (1938), 14-20.
6. Ch. Pommerenke, *Über die Mittelwerte und Koeffizienten multivalenter Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 145 (1962), 285-296.
7. ———, *Linear-invariante Familien analytischer Funktionen I*, Math. Ann. 155 (1964), 108-154.

8. Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients of univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 000-000.
9. D. C. Spencer, *On finitely mean valent functions, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1940), 418-435.
10. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Warsaw, 1935.

Imperial College
University of London