

ON SUBFACTORS OF FACTORS OF TYPE II_1

Malcolm Goldman

1. INTRODUCTION

In the series of papers entitled *On Rings of Operators*, Murray and von Neumann study certain classes of operator algebras on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Among the more remarkable types of algebras are the factors of type II_1 [3, p. 172] which, although they have infinitely many orthogonal nonzero projections (self-adjoint idempotents), have a unique linear functional tr such that

- (1) $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$,
- (2) $\text{tr}(A^*A) \geq 0$, and $\text{tr}(A^*A) = 0$ only if $A = 0$,
- (3) $\text{tr}(I) = 1$, where I is the identity operator.

An $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\text{tr}(A) = \alpha(Af, f)$ for $\alpha > 0$ will be called a trace vector. Although Murray and von Neumann assume \mathcal{H} to be separable, subsequent work has shown this assumption to be unnecessary, and most proofs in [3], [4], [5] do not assume separability of \mathcal{H} . Therefore, the definitions and notation of [3] will be used, except that factors will be designated by script letters. All isomorphisms mentioned will preserve the adjoint operation.

In [5, Section 5.3] it is shown that any (countable) group G whose non-identity conjugate classes contain infinitely many elements will lead to a factor of type II_1 on a (separable) Hilbert space. In this paper, we study relationships between a II_1 -factor and a II_1 -subfactor which are reminiscent of group and subgroup relationships. The work was motivated by the factors generated in the manner of [5] by the group of all finite permutations of the integers and the subgroup of all even permutations.

First we select the factors to be studied. In [4, Theorem II], it is shown that a II_1 -factor \mathcal{M} with a vector cyclic under \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' (we use the superscript $'$ to denote the commutant [3, p. 117]) possesses a trace vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Associated with f , which will now be fixed, is an anti-isomorphism $A \rightarrow A'$ for $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $A' \in \mathcal{M}'$ defined by $Af = A'f$. Hence, $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}'}(A') = (A'f, f)$. The details of the anti-isomorphism are in [4, Chapter IV]. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}'$ be a II_1 -subfactor such that \mathcal{A}' is finite. Let

$$c_1 = \dim_{\mathcal{A}'}([\mathcal{A}f]),$$

where $[\mathcal{P}f] = \text{closure of } \{Sf: S \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Let $\mathcal{N}' = \{A' \in \mathcal{M}': A'f = Af \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}\}$. Then \mathcal{N}' is anti-isomorphic to \mathcal{A} , and is weakly closed by [5, p. 728]. Let $c_2 = \dim_{\mathcal{N}'}([\mathcal{N}'f])$. We shall show that $c_1 = c_2$. Since $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$, any trace vector for \mathcal{M} will be a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , but \mathcal{A} will have trace vectors which are not trace vectors for \mathcal{M} . We shall study trace vectors g for \mathcal{A} which lie in the "smallest" subspaces $\eta \mathcal{M}'$ in which such trace vectors can lie, that is, in subspaces of dimension c_1 by [3, Lemma 9.3.3]. Theorem 1 shows that $g = \alpha Vf$, where $V \in \mathcal{M}$ is a partial isometry with $\dim(V^*V) = c_1$. If $c_1 = 1/n$ for integral n and there are "enough" different V 's giving trace vectors for \mathcal{A} , then there is a coset-like decomposition of $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{A}f_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus [\mathcal{A}f_n]$, where f_k is a trace vector for \mathcal{M} . If

$c_1 = 1/2$, there always are enough V 's; and if \mathcal{A} , in a suitable representation, has a complete, orthonormal set of trace vectors, then the same is true of \mathcal{M} . This is a very special case of a conjecture of Singer.

In Section 3, we obtain a representation theorem for \mathcal{M} in terms of \mathcal{A} . Here, too, no special hypotheses are needed if $c = 1/2$, but conditions akin to normality of subgroups are needed if $c = 1/n$ ($n > 2$).

2. TRACE VECTORS FOR SUBFACTORS

We shall deal with the factors $\mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{A}$ and their commutants $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}'$. Since f is a trace vector for \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' , by [3, pp. 142-143] and [4, Lemma 4.1.2], every vector $g' \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$g' = VBf = CWf = B'V'f = W'C'f,$$

where $V, W \in \mathcal{M}$, $V', W' \in \mathcal{M}'$ are partial isometries and $B, C \eta \mathcal{M}$, $B', C' \eta \mathcal{M}'$ are possibly unbounded, densely defined and defined on f , closed by [3, Theorem XV] and self-adjoint. Therefore they have a unique resolution of unity.

THEOREM 1. *Let g be a trace vector for \mathcal{A} with the property that for some projection $E' \in \mathcal{M}'$, $\dim(E') = c_1$ and $E'g = g$. Then there is a partial isometry $V \in \mathcal{M}$ and a scalar $\alpha > 0$ such that $g = \alpha Vf$.*

The proof will need a sequence of lemmas. It should be noted that every projection $E' \in \mathcal{M}'$ with $\dim(E') = c_1$ has a trace vector for \mathcal{A} in the subspace $E'\mathcal{H}$. Indeed, since $\dim_{\mathcal{A}}([Af]) = c_1$ and \mathcal{M}' is contained in the factor \mathcal{A}' , there is a partial isometry $W' \in \mathcal{A}'$ [3, Theorem VII] such that $W'[Af] = E'\mathcal{H}$. Clearly, $W'f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$; let V_1 and V_2 be partial isometries in \mathcal{M} ; and let $B_1, B_2 \eta \mathcal{M}$ be positive and defined on f . Then*

$$(AV_1B_1f, V_2B_2f) = (A'V_2^*B_2'f, V_1^*B_1'f),$$

where $A'f = Af$ and $A' \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. By a well-known spectral theorem, $B_jf = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{nj}f$, where $j = 1, 2$ and $C_{nj} = \int_0^n \lambda dE_{\lambda j}$. Since $A \rightarrow A'$ gives an anti-isomorphism of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' , the lemma is immediate for bounded B_j . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (AV_1B_1f, V_2B_2f) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (AV_1C_{n1}f, V_2B_2C_{n2}f) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A'V_2^*C_{n2}f, V_1^*C_{n1}f) = (A'V_2^*B_2'f, V_1^*B_1'f). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. *If V_1B_1f is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , then $V_1^*B_1'f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{N}' .*

Proof. Lemma 2.1, together with the anti-isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{N}' and the uniqueness of the trace subject to conditions (1) to (3) of Section 1, gives the corollary.

LEMMA 2.2 *If \mathcal{A}' is finite, then \mathcal{A} is finite and $c_1 = c_2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the subspaces $[\mathcal{A}V_j B_j f]$ are pairwise orthogonal if and only if the subspaces $[\mathcal{N}'V_j^* B_j f]$ are orthogonal. Hence if there are at most q orthogonal subspaces of the form $[\mathcal{A}V_j B_j f]$, where $V_j B_j f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , then there are at most q orthogonal subspaces of the form $[\mathcal{N}'V_j^* B_j f]$, where $V_j^* B_j f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{N}' by the corollary. By [3, Chapter VII], a Π_1 -factor with at most finitely many orthogonal, equivalent nonzero projections is finite, and by [3, Lemma 9.3.3] all subspaces of the form $[\mathcal{N}'f']$, where f' is faithful under \mathcal{N}' , are equivalent under \mathcal{N} .

Now let $E' \in \mathcal{M}'$ with $\dim E' = c_1$. By a previous remark, there is a trace vector $g = E'g$ for \mathcal{A} . For suitable $V' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $B' \eta \mathcal{M}'$, $g = V' B' f$. Since $E'g = g$, $E'V' = V'$ by [4, Chapter IV]. But $\dim_{\mathcal{A}'}([\mathcal{A}g]) = c_1 = \dim E'$, and therefore the projection on the range of V' cannot have dimension less than c_1 . By the finiteness of \mathcal{A}' , E' is the projection on the range of V' . Dually, by the corollary, $V^* B f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{N}' , and since $E'V' = V'$, it follows that $VE = V$ and $EV^* = V^*$. Moreover, E is the smallest projection such that $EV^* = V^*$. By [3, Lemma 6.2.1] and the fact that dimension is invariant under anti-isomorphism, E , the projection on the range of V^* , has dimension c_1 . But $E\mathcal{H} \supset [\mathcal{N}'V^* B f] \eta \mathcal{N}$, so that $c_1 \geq c_2$. By duality, $c_2 \geq c_1$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $g = V' B' f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , where V', B' are as above, $B' f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. $(AV' B' f, V' B' f) = (AB' f, V'^* V' B' f) = (AB' f, B' f)$ by the structure of the canonical (polar) decomposition of an operator $\eta \mathcal{M}'$.

Proof of the theorem. Let $E_1^1, E_2^1, \dots, E_q^1 \in \mathcal{M}'$ be orthogonal projections of dimension $\leq c_1$ such that $E_1^1 + \dots + E_q^1 = I$. (We can choose $q = [c_1^{-1}] + 1$ for definiteness). By [4, pp. 234-5] and previous remarks, there exist vectors f_1, \dots, f_q with $E_j^1 f_j = f_j$ and $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{A}'}(A') = \sum_{j=1}^q (A' f_j, f_j)$. But $f_j = V_j B_j f$ as above, and since $E_j^1 f_j = f_j$, it follows that $E_j^1 V_j B_j f = V_j B_j f$. By the cyclicity of f under \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' , and since $E_j^1 \in \mathcal{M}'$, $B_j(I - E_j^1) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3 and [4, Theorem III], we can choose $f_1 = B_1 f$ with $\|f_1\|^2 = c_1$ to be a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , since $E_1^1 \mathcal{A}' E_1^1$ is anti-isomorphic to \mathcal{A} when $\dim(E_1^1) = c_1$. Now by the orthogonality of the E_j^1 and the consequent orthogonality of their anti-isomorphic images E_j , the closure of $B_j B_k$ is 0 whenever $j \neq k$. Therefore, for any $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^q B_j f$, a computation shows that

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}'}(M') = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{A}'}(M') = \sum_{j=1}^q (M' V_j B_j f, V_j B_j f) = \sum_{j=1}^q (M' B_j f, B_j f) = (M' g, g).$$

Similarly, using $g_j = V_j^* B_j f$ instead of f_j and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ instead of M' , we see that $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) = (Mg, g)$, since $B_j^1 f = B_j f$. Hence g is a joint trace vector for \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' , and by [4, Lemma 4.2.3.], it must be of the form Uf , for some unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence each B_j has a bounded extension which is positive, and $(\sum B_j)^2 = I$. This implies that $B_j = E_j$, and in particular that $B_1 = E_1$. Returning to any $g = E'g$ which is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} with $\dim E' = c_1$, we have $g = V_1^1 E_1 f = E_1 V_1 f$ up to a normalization. But E_1 is the projection on the range of V_1 , so that $g = V_1 f$ except for normalization.

The proof of Theorem 1 shows that there is always a projection $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\dim(E) = c_1$ such that Ef is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} . If $c_1 = 1/n$ for some positive integer n , we can ask whether there exist n orthogonal projections E_1, \dots, E_n such that $E_j f$ is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). In the case where $c_1 = 1/2$, it is clear that if Ef is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} , then $(I - E)f$ is also such a trace vector. A fallacious induction led the author to assert in [2] the existence of such projections

for $n > 2$.) In the case of general integral n , it can be shown that there is a unitary $W \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F = WEW^* \neq E$ but Ef and Ff are both trace vectors for \mathcal{A} . However, it is not clear whether $EF = 0$.

With E_1, \dots, E_n as above, we set $U = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega^j E_j$, where ω is a principal n th root of unity. A computation reveals that the subspaces $[\mathcal{A}U^j f]$ and $[\mathcal{A}U^k f]$ are orthogonal if $j \neq k \pmod n$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = [\mathcal{A}f]$, and let \mathcal{A}_1 be the restriction of \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}_1 . Then f is cyclic (in \mathcal{H}_1) under \mathcal{A} , and therefore, by [4, Lemma 4.2.3], there exists, corresponding to each trace vector h for \mathcal{A} which is cyclic in \mathcal{H}_1 , a unitary $V \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h = Vf$, up to a scalar factor. We say that a factor of type II_1 has the C.O.N. property on a Hilbert space if there exists a C.O.N. set of cyclic trace vectors for it.

THEOREM 2. *If $c_1 = 1/n$, if \mathcal{A} has the C.O.N. property on \mathcal{H}_1 , and if there are projections $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that the $E_k f$ are trace vectors for \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{M} has the C.O.N. property on \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha f\}$, where the $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ are unitary, be a C.O.N. set of trace vectors for \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{H}_1 . Then the sets $\{V_\alpha U^k f\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are orthonormal and $\text{span } [\mathcal{A}Uf] \oplus \dots \oplus [\mathcal{A}U^{n-1}f] \oplus [\mathcal{A}f] = \mathcal{H}$. Since V_α and U^k are unitary, $V_\alpha U^k \in \mathcal{M}$ is unitary and $(V_\alpha U^k f, V_\beta U^j f) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{jk}$ for $k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

3. STRUCTURE OF FACTORS

In this section we derive, under suitable hypotheses, a structure theorem for the factor \mathcal{M} in terms of the subfactor \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $U \in \mathcal{M}$ be a unitary operator such that $UAU^* f \in [\mathcal{A}f]$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $UAU^* \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. $UAU^* f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n f$ for suitable $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, by [5, p. 728], $UAU^* \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is clear that if $U^n = I$ and $UAU^* f \in [\mathcal{A}f]$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A \rightarrow UAU^*$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} . In the case where $c = 1/2$, the $U = E_1 - E_2$ of Section 2 satisfies $U^2 = I$ and $(Af, BUf) = 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

$$(UAUf, BUf) = (UAf, Bf) = (UAB^* f, f) = (B^* f, A^* Uf) = 0$$

and $UAUf = UAU^* f \in [\mathcal{A}f]$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{M}', f$ and $U \in \mathcal{M}$ be as above, with $c_1 = 1/2$. Then each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ can be written uniquely as $M = A_1 + A_2 U$ with $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. The multiplication is characterized by the automorphism of \mathcal{A} given by $A \rightarrow UAU$.*

Proof. Since $c = 1/2$, $(Af, BUf) = 0$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $[\mathcal{A}f] \oplus [\mathcal{A}Uf] = \mathcal{H}$. Thus the vectors $A_1 f + A_2 Uf$ are dense in \mathcal{H} , and by [5, p. 728] the operators $A_1 + A_2 U$ are weakly dense in \mathcal{M} .

Since $c = 1/2$, any $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$ can be written as $B' = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 E_i^1 A_{ij}^1 E_j^{1*}$, where $E_1^1 \in \mathcal{A}'$ is the projection on $[\mathcal{A}f]$, and where $E_2^1 \in \mathcal{A}'$ is defined by $E_2^1 A f = AUf$, $E_2^1 A Uf = 0$, so that it is a partial isometry carrying $[\mathcal{A}f]$ onto $[\mathcal{A}Uf]$. The $A_{ij}^1 \in E_1^1 \mathcal{A}' E_1^1$, and since $[\mathcal{A}f] = E_1^1 \mathcal{H}$, $A_{ij}^1 f = A_{ij} f$ for a unique $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$. We now ascertain which $B' = \sum E_i^1 A_{ij}^1 E_j^{1*}$ are elements of \mathcal{M}' . For this purpose, we note that

$$B' f = E_1^1 A_{11}^1 f + E_2^1 A_{21}^1 f = A_{11} f + A_{21} Uf$$

and

$$B'Uf = E_1'A_{12}'f + E_2'A_{22}'f = A_{12}f + A_{22}Uf.$$

$B' \in \mathcal{M}'$ if and only if $B'(A + BU) = (A + BU)B'$ for each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, since the $A + BU$ are weakly dense in \mathcal{M} and since $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$, $B'A = AB'$; therefore we need only require that $B'U = UB'$. In fact, we need only require that $B'Uf = UB'f$, since upon setting $A_1 = UAU$ and $B_1 = UBU$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B'U(A + BU)f &= B'A_1Uf + B'B_1f = A_1UB'f + B_1B'f = UAB'f + UBUB'f \\ &= UB' Af + UB'BUf = UB'(A + BU)f. \end{aligned}$$

By the boundedness of U and B' and the density of the $(A + BU)f$, $B'U = UB'$.

In order that $B'Uf = UB'f$, we need $A_{12}f + A_{22}Uf = UA_{11}f + UA_{21}Uf$. By the orthogonality of $[\mathcal{A}f]$ and $[\mathcal{A}Uf]$, we must therefore have $A_{12}f = UA_{21}Uf$ and $A_{22}Uf = UA_{11}f$. Hence

$$(i) \quad A_{12} = UA_{21}U \text{ and } UA_{22}U = A_{11},$$

since f is faithful for \mathcal{M} .

Moreover, it is clear that if equations (i) hold, the induced B' is a member of \mathcal{M}' .

Now $Bf = B'f = A_{11}f + A_{21}Uf$ for $A_{11}, A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}$, and the theorem is proved.

When $c = 1/n$, we could hope for a representation of this type. Certainly the existence of a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with $U^n = I$, $U\mathcal{A}U^* \subset \mathcal{A}$, and $[\mathcal{A}U^j f]$ orthogonal to $[\mathcal{A}U^k f]$ if $j \neq k \pmod n$ would allow us to carry through the above proof. However, a counterexample due to J. E. McLaughlin shows that there are factors \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A} such that for any $U \in \mathcal{M}$, $U\mathcal{A}U^* \subset \mathcal{A}$ implies $U \in \mathcal{A}$. These factors are generated as in [5] by discrete groups whose nontrivial conjugate classes are infinite. Let G be the group of 2-by-2 unimodular matrices with integral coefficients. Let G_1 be the subgroup of all matrices whose lower left-hand entry is even. Let Z be the center of G , that is, $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$. Let $\overline{G} = G/Z$ and $\overline{G}_1 = G_1/Z$. Let \mathcal{M} be the factor associated with \overline{G} , and \mathcal{A} the factor associated with \overline{G}_1 . It is known that \overline{G}_1 has index 3 in \overline{G} , so that $c = 1/3$. The proof of Lemma 3 in [1] shows that if \overline{G}_1 satisfies conditions 1 and 2 below, then each $A \in \mathcal{M}$ for which $A\mathcal{A}A^* \subset \mathcal{A}$ must be in \mathcal{A} .

Our conditions are essentially (ii) of [1], namely: if for each finite set $B \subset \overline{G}$ and for every $x \in \overline{G} - \overline{G}_1$ there is a $y \in \overline{G}_1$ such that

1. $x^{-1}yx \notin \overline{G}_1$ for all $x \in \overline{G} - \overline{G}_1$,
2. $z \in B, w \in B$ and $z^{-1}yw = y$ implies $z = y$.

If y is the coset containing $\begin{pmatrix} r & 1 \\ r^2 - 1 & r \end{pmatrix}$ where r is an odd number much larger than the entries of the (finitely many) matrices in the cosets of B , we see that \overline{G} and \overline{G}_1 satisfy the conditions.

4. CONCLUSION

There appear to be many open questions in this area. A characterization of the projections $E \in \mathcal{M}$ for which $\dim E = c_1$ and Ef is a trace vector for \mathcal{A} would be desirable. Do there always exist n such orthogonal projections, if $c_1 = 1/n$? If not, what is the supremum of those projections?

In connection with Section 3, one can ask whether \mathcal{M} is approximately finite ([5]) if \mathcal{A} is so. This would be the case if one could show that there are finite-dimensional rings $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{A} is the smallest ring of operators containing all of them, and $U\mathcal{A}_k U^* \subset \mathcal{A}_{k+p}$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Sous-anneaux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini*, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 279-286.
2. M. Goldman, *A coset-like decomposition relative to certain rings of operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1957), 226 (Abstract).
3. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) 56 (1936), 116-229.
4. ———, *On rings of operators, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 208-248.
5. ———, *On rings of operators, IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) 44 (1943), 716-808.

The University of Michigan