

THE FOURIER SERIES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED pTH POWER VARIATION

Fu Cheng Hsiang

1. A function $f(x)$ is said to be of bounded p th power variation in $[a, b]$, or to be of Wiener class $W_p(a, b)$, provided the upper bound $V_p(f; a, b)$ of the expression

$$\left(\sum_r |f(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

taken with respect to all subdivisions $a = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n = b$, is finite. We state some relevant known facts as lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (see [3, p. 259]). *The quantity $V_p(f; a, b)$ is a decreasing function of p , and for all $q \geq p$,*

$$V_p(f; a, b) \leq V_p^{q/p}(f; a, b) [\text{Osc}(f; a, b)]^{(q-p)/q},$$

where $\text{Osc}(f; a, b)$ denotes the oscillation of $f(x)$ in (a, b) .

In connection with Stieltjes integration and functions of class W_p , L. C. Young has established the following inequality of Hölder type [3, p. 266].

LEMMA 2. *Let $f \in W_p(a, b)$ and $g \in W_q(a, b)$, where $p > 1$, $q > 1$, and $s = 1/p + 1/q > 1$. If f and g have no common discontinuity in (a, b) , then the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(x) dg(x)$ exists, and for each η in $[a, b]$,*

$$\left| \int_a^b [f(x) - f(\eta)] dg(x) \right| \leq [1 + \zeta(s)] V_p(f; a, b) V_p(g; a, b),$$

where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.

LEMMA 3. *If $p > 1$, $f \in W_p(a, b)$, $g \in W_p(a, b)$, and $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$, then $h(x) \in W_p(a, b)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, $|f(x)|$ and $|g(x)|$ are bounded by some constant K . On writing

$$f(x_r)g(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})g(x_{r-1}) = [f(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})]g(x_r) + f(x_{r-1})[g(x_r) - g(x_{r-1})]$$

and applying Minkowski's inequality, we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_r |f(x_r)g(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})g(x_{r-1})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \left(\sum_r |f(x_r) - f(x_{r-1})|^p \right)^{1/p} + K \left(\sum_r |g(x_r) - g(x_{r-1})|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows on taking the upper bound on both sides.

Received July 10, 1957.

2. We suppose further that f is an L -integrable function, periodic with period 2π . Let

$$f(t) \sim a_0/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum A_n(t),$$

and write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+t) + f(x-t)] - s, \quad \Phi(t) = \int_0^t \phi(u) du.$$

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the question whether $\sum A_n(t)$ is Cesàro summable $(C, -\alpha)$ at the point $t = x$ does not depend solely on the local properties of f near x . But Bosanquet and Offord [1, p. 274] have established the following proposition.

LEMMA 4. *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the series $\sum A_n(x)$ to be summable $(C, -\alpha)$ to s are that*

- (i) $A_n = o(n^{-\alpha}),$
- (ii) $\Phi(t) = o(t) \quad (t \rightarrow +0),$
- (iii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| n^\alpha \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \phi(t) (1 - t/\delta) \frac{\sin(n, t)}{t^{1-\alpha}} dt \right| = 0,$

where δ is an arbitrary positive constant, and $\sin(n, t) = \sin((n + 1/2 - \alpha/2)t + \alpha\pi/2)$.

3. The purpose of the present note is to improve the following theorem of Bosanquet and Offord [1, p. 280].

THEOREM A. *Let $\eta > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1$. If (i) and*

$$(iv) \quad \int_0^t |d\{u\phi(u)\}| = O(t) \quad (0 < t < \eta)$$

are satisfied, and if $\sum A_n(t)$ is summable (A) to s at $t = x$, then it is summable $(C, -\alpha)$ to s at $t = x$.

Our result is as follows.

THEOREM. *Let $p > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1, \alpha p < 1$. If*

- (1) $A_n = o(n^{-\alpha}),$
- (2) $V_p(\phi; t, 2t) = O(1)$

as $t \rightarrow +0$, and the limit $s = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+0) + f(x-0)]$ exists, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable $(C, -\alpha)$ to s .

(For $p = 1$, condition (2) is equivalent to (iv); see [2]).

Proof. Since the limit $f(x+0) + f(x-0)$ exists, condition (ii) of Lemma 4 holds. In order to prove the theorem, we need only to establish condition (iii) of the same lemma. We write

$$J = n^\alpha \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta}\right) \frac{\sin(n, t)}{t^{1-\alpha}} dt = \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta}\right) dg_n^\alpha(t),$$

where

$$g_n^\alpha(t) = n^\alpha \int_0^t \frac{\sin(n, u)}{u^{1-\alpha}} du.$$

We shall now show that if $q > 1/(1 - \alpha)$, then there exists a positive number $C = C(q, \alpha)$ such that $V_q(g_n^\alpha; 0, \pi) \leq C$. Let

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_m = \frac{(m - \alpha/2)\pi}{n - \alpha/2 + 1/2} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad a_{n+1} = \pi.$$

Then $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{n+1} = \pi$, and $\sin(n, t)$ has constant sign, in each of the intervals (a_{m-1}, a_m) ; therefore, if $a_{m-1} \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_r \leq a_m$, then

$$\sum_k |g_n^\alpha(x_k) - g_n^\alpha(x_{k-1})|^q \leq (\sum |g_n^\alpha(x_k) - g_n^\alpha(x_{k-1})|)^q = n^\alpha \left| \int_{a_{m-1}}^{a_m} \frac{\sin(n, t)}{t^{1-\alpha}} dt \right|^q.$$

It follows that

$$V_q^q(g_n^\alpha; 0, \pi) = O(\sum (m+1)^{q(\alpha-1)}).$$

In particular, for any subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[0, \pi]$,

$$V_q^q(g_n^\alpha; a, b) \leq K \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} m^{q(\alpha-1)},$$

where $m_1 = [na/\pi] - 1$, $m_2 = [nb/\pi] - 1$ and K is a numerical constant.

To each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds a $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{Osc}(\phi; 0, \delta) < \varepsilon$ and $\max(|\phi|; 0, \delta) < \varepsilon$. Fixing δ , we increase p slightly so that $p > 1$, but so that the inequality $\alpha p < 1$ still holds. On applying Lemmas 1 and 3, we see that

$$V_p(\phi(t)(1 - t/\delta); t, 2t) < \varepsilon_1$$

for $0 \leq 2t \leq \delta$, where $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Having chosen p , we choose q so that

$$q(1 - \alpha) > 1, \quad s = 1/p + 1/q > 1.$$

If $2a = 2^{\nu+1}/n \leq \delta$, then, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu &= \left| \int_a^{2a} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta}\right) dg_n^\alpha(t) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_a^{2a} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta}\right) - \phi(\eta_\nu) \left(1 - \frac{\eta_\nu}{\delta}\right) dg_n^\alpha(t) \right| + \left| \phi(\eta_\nu) \left(1 - \frac{\eta_\nu}{\delta}\right) \int_a^{2a} dg_n^\alpha(t) \right| \\ &\quad (a \leq \eta_\nu \leq 2a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 + \zeta(s)) V_p \left(\phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta} \right); a, 2a \right) V_q(g_n^\alpha; a, 2a) + \varepsilon O(2^{\nu(\alpha-1)}) \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 (1 + \zeta(s)) V_q(g_n^\alpha; a, 2a) + \varepsilon O(2^{\nu(\alpha-1)}) \\
&\leq \varepsilon_1 (1 + \zeta(s)) \left(\sum_{m > 2^\nu} m^{q(\alpha-1)} \right)^{1/q} + \varepsilon O(2^{\nu(\alpha-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let N be the greatest integer such that $2^{N+1}/n \leq \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta} \right) dg_n^\alpha(t) \right| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{N+1} I_\nu + \left| \int_{\frac{2^{N+1}}{n}}^{\delta} \phi(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{\delta} \right) dg_n^\alpha(t) \right| \\
&= \varepsilon_1 O \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{N+1} 2^{\nu Q} \right) + \varepsilon O \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^N 2^{\nu(\alpha-1)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q = 1/q - (1 - \alpha) < 0$. It follows immediately that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup J = 0$. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. L. S. Bosanquet and A. C. Offord, *A local property of Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 40 (1936), 273-280.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Notes on the theory of series (VII): On Young's convergence criterion for Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 28 (1928), 301-311.
3. L. C. Young, *An inequality of Hölder's type connected with Stieltjes integration*, Acta Math. 67 (1936), 251-282.

National Taiwan University
 Taipeh, China