

LOCALLY PROJECTIVE SPACES OF DIMENSION ONE

Nicholaas H. Kuiper

Let P be the real projective line, and let \tilde{P} be the universal covering space of P with the lifted projective structure. Topologically, \tilde{P} is an interval. Every projective mapping of a neighborhood in \tilde{P} onto another neighborhood is the restriction of a unique projective homeomorphism of \tilde{P} onto \tilde{P} . A projective transformation of P can be expressed with respect to homogeneous or nonhomogeneous preferred coordinates as follows:

homogeneous coordinates: $(X, Y) \rightarrow (X^*, Y^*) = (aX + bY, cX + dY)$, $ad - bc \neq 0$;

nonhomogeneous coordinates: $(X = xY) \ x \rightarrow x^* = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}$.

A *locally projective space* Z of dimension 1 is a manifold and a complete (that is, not properly contained in a larger) *atlas* of mutually compatible homeomorphisms, called *maps*, of neighborhoods in Z onto neighborhoods in \tilde{P} . Two maps $f: U \rightarrow U'$ and $g: V \rightarrow V'$ are *compatible* if, for each connected component W of the intersection $U \cap V$, the mapping gf^{-1} restricted to $f(W)$ is a projective transformation in \tilde{P} .

Two maps in the atlas, both covering the point z in Z , are said to be equivalent at z if their restrictions to some neighborhood of z coincide. Following Ehresmann [2], we call an equivalence class of maps in the atlas, all covering z , a *local jet*, and we denote it by j_z . A topology in the set of jets can be introduced by giving a base for the open sets as follows: the set of jets (that is, of equivalence classes of maps) which contain a map is an open set in the space of jets.

A connected component of the space of jets is a covering space of Z , with the projection $j: j_z \rightarrow z$. The mapping which sends a jet j_z of this covering space into the image in \tilde{P} of the point z under each of the maps of the equivalence class j_z is a homeomorphism onto an interval in \tilde{P} . This interval can therefore be considered as the universal covering space \tilde{Z} with lifted locally projective structure of Z .

An analogous theorem and proof, given in [2], exist in the case of the locally homogeneous spaces, where the homogeneous space with a transitive Lie group of transformations takes the place of the projective line in the present article (see [1] to [9]).

There exist only two manifolds of dimension 1, in the topological sense: the open interval and the circle. We consider them separately.

Case A. Z is *topologically an interval*. Here \tilde{Z} is projectively equivalent with an interval in \tilde{P} . The classification into projectively different cases is as follows:

A1. $Z = \tilde{P}$.

A2. Z is one of the two parts into which a point in \tilde{P} divides its complement in \tilde{P} .

A3. Z is an interval in \tilde{P} which under the covering map: projection $\tilde{P} \rightarrow P$ covers some open interval in P n times, and its complement in P $n-1$ times, this complement being one point.

A4. Idem, but the complement being more than one point.

In the cases A3 and A4, the integer n is the only numerical projective invariant of Z .

Case B. Z is topologically a circle. The universal covering space \tilde{Z} is an interval in \tilde{P} . The fundamental group (Poincaré group) operates in \tilde{Z} as a group of projective transformations generated by one element, say h . This element h is represented under the covering map $\tilde{P} \rightarrow P$ by a projective transformation in P . The projective classification of projective orientation-preserving transformations of the real projective line is known. Each class is a property which a projective structure in a topological circle may or may not have. Canonical expressions in terms of nonhomogeneous coordinates are as follows:

$$B1. \quad x^* = r x, \quad r > 1;$$

$$B2. \quad x^* = x + 1;$$

$$B3. \quad x^* = \frac{x \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha}{-x \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha}.$$

We now have to lift these projective transformations into \tilde{P} ; in each case, this can be done in many ways. In the cases B1 and B2 there exists an invariant point $x = \infty$ in P . In the lifted transformation there will either be a corresponding invariant point (we will indicate this case by $n = 0$); or a corresponding point in \tilde{P} and its image under the generator of the fundamental group will bound an interval, of the kind described in A3, with the invariant $n > 0$. The nonnegative integer n is a projective invariant of the space Z . If $n > 0$, then $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{P}$. If $n = 0$, then \tilde{Z} can be identified as follows: in case B1, with the part $x > 0$ of the affine line; in case B2, with the affine line. In these cases, Z admits a compatible locally affine and a locally euclidean structure, respectively.

In case B3, it is advantageous to represent the projective line as the pencil of lines on a point in a euclidean plane, and the transformation B3 as induced by a rotation over an angle α of the plane about the center of the pencil. In the universal covering space \tilde{P} we can then introduce a coordinate ϕ ($-\infty < \phi < \infty$) which corresponds to angular measure in the euclidean plane. The coordinate system with coordinate ϕ covers \tilde{P} completely, but it is not a projectively preferred coordinate system. In terms of these coordinates the generator of the fundamental group is expressed by

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi^* = \phi + \alpha.$$

A fundamental domain in \tilde{P} is an interval, and it has that invariant n , defined in A3 and A4, which is determined by the relation

$$(n-1)\pi < \alpha \leq n\pi.$$

We summarize our results:

THEOREM. *A complete classification of locally projective spaces of dimension one is given by A1-A4, B1-B3 and the invariant n . A space has a compatible locally*

affine structure in the cases A3, A4, B1, B2, provided $n = 0$, and in no other case. It has a compatible locally euclidean structure in the cases A3, A4, B2, provided $n = 0$, and in no other case.

REFERENCES

1. C. Ehresmann, *Sur les espaces localement homogènes*, Enseignement Math. 35 (1936), 317-333.
2. ———, *Structures locales*, Conférence polycopiée, Rome, 1952.
3. H. Hopf, *Zum Clifford-Kleinschen Raumproblem*, Math. Ann. 95 (1925), 313-339.
4. N. H. Kuiper, *On conformally-flat spaces in the large*, Ann. of Math. (2) 50 (1949), 916-924.
5. ———, *On compact conformally euclidean spaces of dimension > 2* , Ann. of Math. (2) 52 (1950), 478-490.
6. ———, *Compact spaces with a local structure determined by the group of similarity transformations in E^n* , Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc. 53 (1950), 1178-1185 = Indagationes Math. 12 (1950), 411-418.
7. ———, *Sur les espaces localement affines*, Colloque intern. de géom. diff. Strasbourg, 1953.
8. ———, *On convex locally projective surfaces*, Convengo intern. geom. diff. Italy, 1953.
9. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Locally homogeneous spaces in differential geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2), 33 (1932), 681-687.

University of Michigan
 Agricultural University of Wageningen, Netherlands

