

A NOTE TO MY PAPER:
ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE FIRST-ORDER
FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

JULIUSZ REICHBACH

In [1] I have presented two characterizations of theses of the first-order functional calculus; the first characterization may be modified in the following way:¹

D.0. $Q(k) \equiv . Q$ is a non-empty set of tables of the rank k .

D.1. $Q/T, i_1, \dots, i_m \equiv . (\exists T_1) (\exists j_1) \dots (\exists j_m) \{ (T_1 \in Q) \wedge ([T_1 | j_1, \dots, j_m] = [T | i_1, \dots, i_m]) \}$.

$Q/T, i_1, \dots, i_m$ asserts that $[T | i_1, \dots, i_m]$ is a submodel of some $T_1 \in Q$ in the meaning of homomorphism.

D.2. $T, Q/T_1, i_1, \dots, i_m; i \equiv . ([T | i_1, \dots, i_m] = [T_1 | i_1, \dots, i_m]) \wedge Q/T_1, i_1, \dots, i_m, i$.

D.3. $Q\{r, k\} \equiv . (r \leq k) \wedge Q(k) \wedge (i_1) \dots (i_{m+1}) (T) \{ (m < r) \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} \text{ are different numbers } \leq k) \wedge Q/T, i_1, \dots, i_m \wedge Q/T, i_{m+1} \rightarrow (\exists T_1) (T, Q/T_1, i_1, \dots, i_m; i_{m+1} \wedge (j_1) \dots (j_{m-1}) \{ (j_1, \dots, j_s \text{ is a subsequence of } i_1, \dots, i_m) \wedge Q/T, j_1, \dots, j_s, i_{m+1} \rightarrow ([T_1 | j_1, \dots, j_s, i_{m+1}] = [T | j_1, \dots, j_s, i_{m+1}]) \}) \}$.

The meaning of D.2. and D.3. is clear, see D.1.

For an arbitrary T of the rank k , for an arbitrary Q such that $Q(k)$ and for an arbitrary formula E whose indices of free variables occurring in it are $\leq k$, we introduce the inductive definition of the functional V :

(1d) $V\{T, Q, f_j^m(x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_m})\} = 1 \equiv . F_j^m(r_1, \dots, r_m)$,

(2d) $V\{T, Q, F\} = 1 \equiv . \sim V\{T, Q, F\} = 1 \equiv . V\{T, Q, F\} = 0$,

(3d) $V\{T, Q, F + G\} = 1 \equiv . V\{T, Q, F\} = 1 \vee V\{T, Q, G\} = 1$,

(4d) $V\{T, Q, \Pi aF\} = 1 \equiv . (i) (T_1) \{ (i \leq k) \wedge T, Q/T_1, i_1, \dots, i_{w(F)}; i \rightarrow V\{T_1, Q, F(x_i/a)\} = 1 \}$.

D.4. $E \in P(Q) \equiv . (T) \{ (H) \{ (H \in A \{E\}) \rightarrow Q/T, i_1, \dots, i_{w(H)} \} \rightarrow V\{T, Q, E\} = 1 \}$.

Received April 28, 1961

D.5. $E \in P | r, k | \cdot \equiv \cdot (Q) \{ Q \{ r, k \} \rightarrow (E \in P(Q)) \}$.

D.6. $E \in P \cdot \equiv \cdot E \in P \{ n(E), \Sigma(E) \}$.

The meaning of the above definitions is analogous to the given in [1]. Analogously to the proof given in [1] we may also prove that P is the class of all theses: the whole proof is given in [2].

The theorem remains true, if in D.3. we assume $k = r$, $m + l = r$, but then in the definitions (1d) - (4d), D.4.-6. the table T has not the rank of elements of Q , but has the rank $n(E)$ and elements of Q have the rank $\Sigma(E)$, see [3]. One gives an interesting connection between the considered calculus and \aleph_0 propositional calculus, see [4].

NOTE

1. We use the notation given in [1].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. Reichbach: On characterizations of the first-order functional calculus, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, Vol. II, 1, (1961), pp. 1-15.
- [2] J. Reichbach: On characterizations of theses of the first-order functional calculus, sent to *Indagationes Mathematicae*.
- [3] J. Reichbach: On characterizations and undecidability of the first-order functional calculus, sent to *Fundamenta Mathematicae*.
- [4] J. Reichbach: On the connection of the first-order functional calculus with \aleph_0 propositional calculus. To appear in v. III (1962) of *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*.

Tel Aviv, Israel