

A RECURSIVE MODEL FOR THE EXTENDED SYSTEM \mathcal{A}
OF B. SOBOCIŃSKI

VLADETA VUČKOVIĆ

In this note we construct a model in the recursive arithmetic of words over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{S_0, S_1\}$ for the extended system \mathcal{A} , which was introduced by B. Sobociński in [1], as a complete extension of author's original system \mathbf{A} from [2]. With this, an error which appeared in [2], as pointed by B. Sobociński in [1], will now be eliminated.

As Sobociński's system \mathcal{A} is not covered by I. Thomas's general construction in [4], we have to construct the model for \mathcal{A} differently as in [3]. However, the principle is the same.

Presupposing the knowledge of our paper [3], we construct the model as follows. Interpret

- (1) Cpq as $[I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot Y$;
 (2) Np as $S_1 \div X$;
 (3) Kpq as $\alpha(S_1 \div X) \cdot (X + Y) + [1 \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot S_1$

and

- (4) Apq as
 $[I \div \alpha(I \div X)] \cdot \{[I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] \cdot S_1 + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot S_0\}$
 $+ [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot \{[I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] \cdot S_0 + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot S_1\}.$

We show that under this interpretation all axioms of \mathcal{A} become provable equations of **RAW**; as to the rules of inference of \mathcal{A} , RI is the rule of substitution of **RAW** and RII is interpreted as (2.22) of [3], i.e. is provable in **RAW**.

We now interpret every axiom. The numeration of axioms is the numeration of [1]; primed numbers denote equations of **RAW** corresponding to axioms of \mathcal{A} with the same unprimed number.

(F1). The corresponding equation in **RAW** is the equation (3.3) of [3], and was proved there.

(F2) $CNpCpq.$

(F2)' $[I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot Y = 0.$

The easy proof of this equation is by recursion in X .

(F3) $CCNppNNp$

$$(F3)' \{ [I \div [I \div \alpha(S \div X)] \cdot \ast] \} \cdot [S_1 \div (S_1 \div X)] = 0.$$

(We have used the obvious equations

$$(5) \alpha(X \cdot Y) = \alpha(X) \cdot \alpha(Y)$$

and

$$(6) \alpha[I \div \alpha(X)] = I \div \alpha(X)$$

to simplify (F3)'. To prove (F3)' denote its left side by $F(X)$. Then $F(0) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$, $F(S_0X) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$ and $F(S_1X) = (I \div I) \cdot S_1 = 0$. So $F(X) = 0$ for all X .

(F4) $CpCNqNCpq$.

$$(F4)' [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot \{S_1 \div [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot Y\} = 0.$$

$F(0, Y) = \{I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)\} \cdot [S_1 \div Y] = 0$ by the formula (2.20) of [3]. $F(S_\mu X, Y) = 0$ as $I \div \alpha(S_\mu X) = 0$ for $\mu = 0, 1$.

(F5) $CNCpqNq$.

$$(F5)' (I \div \alpha\{S_1 \div [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot Y\}) \cdot (S_1 \div Y) = 0.$$

To shorten the proofs for equations corresponding to the axioms for conjunction we introduce the function

$$(7) K(X, Y) = \alpha(S_1 \div X) \cdot (X+Y) + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div S)] \cdot S_1$$

We note that

$$(8) \begin{cases} K(0, Y) = Y, \\ K(S_0X, Y) = S_0X+Y, \\ K(S_1X, Y) = S_1. \end{cases}$$

(F6) $CKpqp$.

$$(F6)' [I \div \alpha(K(X, Y))] \cdot X = 0.$$

$F(0, Y) = 0$ as the second factor is 0; $F(S_0X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_0X + Y)] \cdot S_0X$. Let $\psi(Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_0X + Y)] \cdot S_0X$. Then $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(S_\mu Y) = [I \div \alpha\{S_0 \cdot (S_0X + Y)\}] \cdot S_0X = 0$. So $F(S_0X, Y) = 0$. At last, $F(S_1X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1)] \cdot S_1X = 0$.

(F7) $CKpqq$.

$$(F7)' [I \div \alpha(K(X, Y))] \cdot Y = 0.$$

Here, $F(0, Y) = [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot Y = 0$. Other cases as for (F6)'.

(F8) $CpCqKpq$

$$(F8)' [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot K(X, Y) = 0.$$

$F(0, Y) = [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot Y = 0$, $F(S_\mu X, Y) = 0$ as $I \div \alpha(S_\mu X) = 0$.

(F9) $CNpNKpq$.

$$(F9)' [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot [S_1 \div K(X, Y)] = 0.$$

$F(0, Y) = F(S_0X, Y) = 0$ as the first factor is 0. $F(S_1X, Y) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$.

(F10) $CNqNKpq.$ (F10)' $[I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot [S_1 \div K(X, Y)] = 0.$

$F(0, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot [S_1 \div Y] = 0$; $F(S_0X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot [S_1 \div (S_0X + Y)] = \psi(Y)$. Now $\psi(0) = \psi(S_0Y) = 0$ as the first factor is 0, and $\psi(S_1Y) = 0$ as the second factor is 0. At last, $F(S_1X, Y) = 0$ as the last factor is $S_1 \div S_1 = 0$.

(F11) $CNNpCNNqNNKpq.$ (F11)' $(I \div \alpha\{S_1 \div [S_1 \div X]\}) \cdot (I \div \alpha\{S_1 \div [S_1 \div Y]\}) \cdot \{S_1 \div [S_1 \div K(X, Y)]\} = 0.$

$F(0, Y) = [I \div \alpha\{S_1 \div (S_1 \div Y)\}] \cdot [S_1 \div (S_1 \div Y)] = 0$; $F(S_0X, Y) = [I \div \alpha\{S_1 \div (S_1 \div Y)\}] \cdot \{S_1 \div [S_1 \div (S_0X + Y)]\} = \psi(Y)$.

Now $\psi(0) = S_1 \div (S_1 \div S_0X) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$, $\psi(S_0Y) = 0$ as the last factor is 0, and $\psi(S_1Y) = 0$ as the first factor becomes $I \div \alpha(S_1) = 0$. So $F(S_0X, Y) = 0$. At last, $F(S_1X, Y) = 0$ as then the first factor in (F11)' becomes $I \div \alpha(S_1) = 0$.

To shorten the proofs for equations corresponding to axioms for disjunction, we introduce the function

$$(9) \quad A(X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(I \div X)] \cdot \{[I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] \cdot S_1 + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)]\} + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot \{[I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot S_1\}.$$

We note:

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} A(0, Y) = 0; \\ A(S_0X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] \cdot S + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] ; \\ A(S_1X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot S_1 ; \end{cases}$$

$$(11) \quad A(X, 0) = 0.$$

(F12) $CpApq.$ (F12)' $[I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot A(X, Y) = 0$

$F(0, Y) = A(0, Y) = 0$. $F(S_\mu X, Y) = 0$ as the first factor is 0 for $\mu = 0, 1$.

(F13) $CqApq$ (F13)' $[I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot A(X, Y) = 0.$

The easy proof by recursion in Y is omitted.

(F14) $CApqCCprCCqrr.$ (F14)' $\{I \div \alpha[A(X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[(I \div \alpha(X)) \cdot Z]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[(I \div \alpha(Y)) \cdot Z]\} \cdot Z = 0.$

or, using (5) and (6),

(F14)'' $\{I \div \alpha[A(X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = 0.$

First, we have $F(0, Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha(Z)\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = \psi(Y, Z)$. Now $\psi(Y, 0) = 0$, as the last factor is 0, and $\psi(Y, S_\mu Z) = 0$ as $I \div \alpha(S_\mu Z) = 0$. Therefore, $F(0, Y, Z) = 0$. Also, $F(S_0X, Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha[A(S_0X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = \psi_1(Y, Z)$. Now $\psi_1(0, Z) = \{I \div \alpha[S_1]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = 0$, $\psi_1(S_0Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot Z = 0$ and $\psi_1(S_1Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha(I)\} \cdot Z = 0$. So

$F(S_0X, Y, Z) = 0$. At last $F(S_1X, Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha[A(S_1X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = \varphi(Y, Z)$. Further, $\varphi(0, Z) = \{I \div [I \div 0] \cdot \alpha(Z)\} \cdot Z = (I \div \alpha(Z)) \cdot Z = 0$; $\varphi(S_0Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha(I)\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot Z = 0$ and $\varphi(S_1Y, Z) = \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot Z = 0$. Therefore $F(S_1X, Y, Z) = 0$.

(F15) $CN\alpha p q CN p N q$.

(F15)' $\{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div A(X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)\} \cdot (S_1 \div Y) = 0$.

$F(0, Y) = \{I \div \alpha[S_1]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot (S_1 \div Y) = 0$; $F(S_0X, Y) = \{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div A(S_0X, Y)]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha(S_1 \div S_0X)\} \cdot (S_1 \div Y) = \{\dots\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot (\dots) = 0$; $F(S_1X, Y) = (I \div \alpha[S_1 \div \{[I \div \alpha(I \div Y)] + [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot S_1\}]) \cdot (S_1 \div Y) = \psi(Y)$. Then $\psi(0) = (I \div \alpha[S_1]) \cdot S_1 = 0$, $\psi(S_0Y) = (I \div \alpha[S_1 \div \{0\}]) \cdot S_1 = 0$ and $\psi(S_1Y) = () \cdot (S_1 \div S_1Y) = 0$. Therefore $F(S_1X, Y) = 0$ too.

(F16) $CN\alpha p q CN q N p$.

(F16)' $\{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div A(X, Y)]\} \cdot [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot (S_1 \div X) = 0$.

The proof is similar to the proof of (F15)'.

(F17) $CN p CN q N \alpha p q$.

(F17)' $[I \div \alpha(S_1 \div X)] \cdot [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot [S_1 \div A(X, Y)] = 0$.

$F(0, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1)] \cdot [\dots] \cdot [\dots] = 0$;
 $F(S_0X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1)] \cdot [\dots] \cdot [\dots] = 0$.
 $F(S_1X, Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1 \div Y)] \cdot [S_1 \div A(S_1X, Y)] = \psi[Y]$.

Now $\psi(0) = [I \div \alpha(S_1)] \cdot [\dots] = 0$, $\psi(S_0Y) = [I \div \alpha(S_1)] \cdot [\dots] = 0$ and at last $\psi(S_1Y) = S_1 \div A(S_1X, S_1Y) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$. So $F(S_1X, Y) = 0$ too.

(F18) $CC p N p CC q N q CNN p CNN q N \alpha p q$.

Using (5) and (6) we can write the corresponding equation as

(F18)' $\{I \div [I \div \alpha(X)] \cdot \alpha(S_1 \div X)\} \cdot \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(S_1 \div Y)\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div (S_1 \div X)]\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div (S_1 \div Y)]\} \cdot [S_1 \div A(X, Y)] = 0$.

First, $F(0, Y) = \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot [\dots] = 0$. Secondly, $F(S_0X, Y) = \{I \div [I \div \alpha(Y)] \cdot \alpha(S_1 \div Y)\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[S_1 \div (S_1 \div Y)]\} \cdot [S_1 \div A(S_0X, Y)] = \psi(Y)$. Now $\psi(0) = \{I \div \alpha(S_1)\} \cdot \{\dots\} \cdot [\dots] = 0$, $\psi(S_0Y) = S_1 \div A(S_0X, S_0Y) = S_1 \div S_1 = 0$ and $\psi(S_1Y) = \{I \div 0\} \cdot \{I \div \alpha[S_1]\} \cdot [\dots] = 0$. Therefore $F(S_0X, Y) = 0$. At last $F(S_1X, Y) = 0$ as the third factor in (F18)' becomes 0 in this case.

This brings to the end the proof that all axioms (F1)-(F18) become provable equations in the model. Therefore, every thesis of the system \mathcal{A} is verified in the model.

LITERATURE

- [1] B. Sobociński: On the Propositional System \mathcal{A} of Vučković and its Extension. I. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 5 (1964), 141-153.
- [2] V. Vučković: Recursive Models of Some Non-Classical Propositional Calculi. *Filosofija* (Yugoslav J. of Philosophy), 4 (1960), 69-84. (Serbian; German summary).

- [3] V. Vučković: Recursive Models for Three-Valued Propositional Calculi with Classical Implication. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, v. VIII (1967), pp. 148-153.
- [4] I. Thomas: Three-Valued Propositional Calculus with Classical Implication. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, v. VIII (1967), pp. 145-147.

University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana