

CONCERNING THE POSTULATE-SYSTEMS OF
 SUBTRACTIVE ABELIAN GROUPS

BOLESŁAW SOBOCINSKI

It is rather well-known, *cf.*, e.g., [5], p. 256, that in the field of Groups Theory, instead of operation $a + b$, the inverse operation $a - b$, which is defined as follows: $a - b = c \Leftrightarrow a = b + c$ for all elements a, b , and c of the given algebra, can be accepted as a sole primitive notion for Abelian Groups. Throughout this paper the Abelian Groups based on this inverse operation will be called the Subtractive Abelian Groups. In [5] A. Tarski has established two postulate systems for such algebras. And, recently, in [1], R. Güting constructed another axiomatization for these systems. In [2] and [3] S. Leśniewski accepted a ternary functor $\varphi(a b c)$ defined as follows: $\varphi(a b c) \Leftrightarrow a + b = c$ for all elements a, b , and c of the given algebra, as a sole primitive functor and has used it to construct two single axioms for Groups Theory and Abelian Groups Theory, respectively. Analogously, a ternary functor defined as follows: $\rho(a b c) \Leftrightarrow a - b = c$ for all elements a, b , and c of the given algebra, can be accepted as a sole primitive notion for Subtractive Abelian Groups. And, in [5], p. 256, Tarski announced without a proof that formulas T_1 and T_2 , presented in section 1 below, can be accepted as an axiom-system for such algebras.

A main purpose of this paper is to present a proof that formula S_1 , see section 1, which I constructed in the style of Leśniewski's axioms mentioned above, can be accepted as a single axiom of the Subtractive Abelian Groups. As a by-product of the deductions given below it will also be shown that all postulate-systems mentioned above are inferentially equivalent.¹

1 Although it is not necessary, in order to present the deductions which follow in the next sections in a uniform way, we accept here that the carrier sets which occur in two formalizations \mathbf{G}_1 and \mathbf{G}_2 of Subtractive Abelian Groups represent the same unempty arbitrary set.

1. This paper is written in the style of Leśniewski's works [2] and [3].

1.1 Any algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 = \langle \mathbf{A}, - \rangle$$

where $-$ is a binary operation defined on the carrier set \mathbf{A} , is a subtractive Abelian Group, if it satisfies one of the following sets of postulates:

- a) the first postulate-system of Tarski, cf. [5], i.e.:

$$A1 \quad [AB]: A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - B \in \mathbf{A}$$

$$A2 \quad [ABC]: A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (B - (C - (A - B))) = C$$

- b) the second postulate-system of Tarski, cf. [5], i.e., the formula A1 and

$$B1 \quad [AB]: A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (A - B) = B$$

$$B2 \quad [ABC]: A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (B - C) = C - (B - A)$$

- c) the postulate-system of Gütting, cf. [1], i.e., the formulas A1, B1, and

$$C1 \quad [ABC]: A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq (A - B) - C = (A - C) - B$$

1.2 Any algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{G}_2 = \langle \mathbf{A}, \rho \rangle$$

where ρ is a ternary functor defined on the carrier set \mathbf{A} , is a Subtractive Abelian Group, if it satisfies one of the following sets of postulates:

- d) Tarski's postulate-system for ρ , cf. [5], i.e.:

$$T1 \quad [AB]: A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq [\exists C]. C \in \mathbf{A}. \rho(ABC)$$

$$T2 \quad [ABCDEFG]: A, B, C, D, E, F, G \in \mathbf{A}. \rho(ABD). \rho(CDE). \rho(BEF). \rho(AFG) \supseteq C = G$$

- e) my axiom for ρ , i.e.,

$$S1 \quad [ABC] :: \rho(BAC) :::: [\exists DERS]. \rho(DBE). \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) :::: [\exists KLMN]. \rho(IKL). \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH). \rho(BPI) \supseteq O = P$$

1.3 It will be proved below in sections 2, 3, and 4 that

$$\{A1; B1; C1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; B2\} \rightarrow \{A1; A2\} \rightarrow \{T1; T2\} \rightarrow \{S1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; C1\}$$

Remark: Since in [5] Tarski announced his system $\{T1; T2\}$ without a proof, the deductions presented in section 3 below are entirely mine. The deductions given in section 4 differ considerably from those which Leśniewski presented in [3] in order to establish his single axiom for Abelian Groups.

1.4 Expressions of the form “ $a \in A$ ” and similar ones, which guarantee that an algebraic formula belonging to, say, algebra \mathfrak{U} is closed with respect to the carrier set of \mathfrak{U} , do not occur in axiom S1. The elimination of such formulas from S1 is possible due to an application of a method which is discussed, e.g., in [2], pp. 319–320. In the case of the systems under consideration in this paper, the following particular form of this method is used:

Clearly, formulas of the form “ $a \in A$ ” are not algebraic formulas, but

they belong to this or that system of logic or set-theory on which the algebraic systems under consideration are based. Hence, we are able to eliminate the membership constant ϵ from the formulas under discussion by introducing to the accepted system of logic or set-theory the following definition:

$$Df1 \quad [aA] : a \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}\langle A \rangle \{a\}$$

Obviously, the definiendum of $Df1$ has a form of many-link expressions in the sense of Leśniewski, but, since the carrier set \mathbf{A} in the systems considered in this paper is fixed, functor $\mathcal{I}\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ can be used, as a constant. Then, we introduce to the system $\{T1; T2\}$, cf. section 2.3 below, the following definition:

$$DI \quad [ABC] : A \in \mathbf{A} \cdot B \in \mathbf{A} \cdot C \in \mathbf{A} \cdot A - B = C \Leftrightarrow \rho(ABC)$$

and, moreover, we prove that the following formula

$$[A] : \mathcal{I}\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle \{A\} \Leftrightarrow [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA)$$

is a thesis of system $\{T1; T2\}$. Hence, in the fields of systems $\{T1; T2\}$ and $\{S1\}$ we are able to introduce the definition

$$D1 \quad [A] : \mathcal{I}\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle \{A\} \Leftrightarrow [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA)$$

which together with definition $Df1$ implies at once:

$$[A] : A \in \mathbf{A} \Leftrightarrow [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA)$$

Furthermore, since in the field of $\{S1\}$ the following formula

$$[ABC] : A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \cdot A - B = C \Leftrightarrow \rho(ABC)$$

is provable, cf. section 4, we will know that the use of definitions DI and $D1$ is correct.

2 *The proof that: $\{A1; B1; C1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; B2\} \rightarrow \{A1; A2\} \rightarrow \{T1; T2\}$.* Remark: In subsections 2.1 and 2.2 the use of axiom $A1$ is assumed tacitly.

2.1 Let us assume axioms $A1$, $B2$, and $C1$. Then:

$$C2 \quad [ABC] : A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq B - C = (A - C) - (A - B)$$

$$\text{PR} \quad [ABC] : \text{Hp}(3) \supseteq$$

$$B - C = (A - (A - B)) - C = (A - C) - (A - C)$$

$$[A1; 1; 2; 3; B1; C1, B/A - B]$$

$$B2 \quad [ABC] : A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (B - C) = C - (B - A)$$

$$\text{PR} \quad [ABC] : \text{Hp}(3) \supseteq$$

$$A - (B - C) = ((B - (B - C)) - (B - A)) = C - (B - A)$$

$$[A1; 1; 2; 3; C2, A/B, B/A, C/B - C; B1, A/B, B/C]$$

Thus, $\{A1; B1; C1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; B2\}$.

2.2 Let us assume axioms $A1$, $B1$, and $B2$. Then:

$$A2 \quad [ABC] : A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (B - (C - (A - B))) = C$$

$$\text{PR} \quad [ABC] : \text{Hp}(3) \supseteq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A - (B - (C - (A - B))) &= A - (B - (B - (A - C))) \\
 &\quad [A1; 1; 2; 3; B2, A/C, B/A, C/B] \\
 &= A - (A - C) = C \\
 &\quad [B1, A/B, B/A - C; B1, B/C]
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{A1; B1; B2\} \rightarrow \{A1; A2\}$.

2.3 Let us assume axioms *A1* and *A2*. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D1 \quad [ABC] : A \in \mathbf{A} . B \in \mathbf{A} . C \in \mathbf{A} . A - B = C \equiv \rho(ABC) \\
 A3 \quad [ABX] : A, B, X \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - B = C \equiv \rho(ABC) \\
 &\quad [DI, C/X; cf. D2 in section 4] \\
 A4 \quad [A] : \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\} \supseteq [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) \\
 \mathbf{PR} \quad [A] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq \\
 2. \quad A \in \mathbf{A}. &\quad [Df1, a/A, A/\mathbf{A}; 1, cf. section 1.4] \\
 3. \quad A - A \in \mathbf{A}. &\quad [A1, B/A; 2] \\
 4. \quad A - A = A - A. &\quad [3] \\
 &[\exists DE]. \\
 5. \quad \rho(ADE) : &\quad [DI, B/A, C/A - A; 2; 3; 4] \\
 &[\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) &\quad [5] \\
 A5 \quad [A] : [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) \supseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\} \\
 \mathbf{PR} \quad [A] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq \\
 2. \quad A \in \mathbf{A}. &\quad [1; DI, B/D, C/E; D1, A/D, B/A, C/E; D1, A/D, B/E, C/A] \\
 &\quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\} &\quad [Df1, a/A, A/\mathbf{A}; 1] \\
 A6 \quad [A] : \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\} \equiv [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) \\
 &\quad [A4; A5; cf. section 1.4] \\
 T1 \quad [AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq [\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ABC) \\
 \mathbf{PR} \quad [AB] : \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq \\
 3. \quad A - B \in \mathbf{A}. &\quad [A1; 1; 2] \\
 4. \quad A - B = A - B. &\quad [3] \\
 &[\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ABC) &\quad [DI; 1; 2; 3; 4] \\
 T2 \quad [ABCDEFG] : A, B, C, D, E, F, G \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ABD) . \rho(CDE) . \\
 &\quad \rho(BEF) . \rho(AFG) \supseteq C = G \\
 \mathbf{PR} \quad [ABCDEFG] : \text{Hp}(11) \supseteq \\
 12. \quad A - B = D. &\quad [DI, C/D; 8] \\
 13. \quad C - D = E. &\quad [DI, A/C, B/D, C/E; 9] \\
 14. \quad B - E = F. &\quad [DI, A/B, B/E, C/F; 10] \\
 15. \quad A - F = G. &\quad [DI, B/F, C/G; 11] \\
 &C = A - (B - (C - (A - B))) = A - (B - (C - D)) = A - (B - E) \\
 &= A - F = G &\quad [A1; A2; 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 12; 13; 14; 15]
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{A1; A2\} \rightarrow \{T1; T2\}$. Furthermore, $\{A1; A2\} \rightarrow \{A3; A6\}$.

3 Let us assume axioms *T1* and *T2*. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D1 \quad [A] : [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\} \\
 T3 \quad [A] : A \in \mathbf{A} \equiv [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) \vee \rho(DAE) \vee \rho(DEA) [D1; Df1, a/A, A/\mathbf{A}] \\
 T4 \quad [ABC] : \rho(ABC) \supseteq A \in \mathbf{A} . B \in \mathbf{A} . C \in \mathbf{A} \\
 &\quad [T3, D/B, E/C; T3, A/B, D/A, E/C; T3, A/C, D/A, E/B]
 \end{aligned}$$

- T5 $[ABCDEFG] : \rho(ABD) . \rho(CDE) . \rho(BEF) . \rho(AFG) \supseteq C = G$
 $[T2; T4, C/D; T4, A/C, B/D, C/E; T4, A/B, B/E, C/F;$
 $T4, B/F, C/G; 1; 2; 3; 4]$
- T6 $[ABCDEF] : \rho(ABD) . \rho(CDE) . \rho(BEF) \supseteq \rho(AFC)$
- PR $[ABCDEF] : \text{Hp}(3) \supseteq$
 $[\exists G].$
4. $\rho(AFG) . [T4, C/D; 1; T4, A/B, B/E, C/F; 3; T1, B/F]$
5. $C = G . [T5; 1; 2; 3; 4]$
 $\rho(AFC) [4; 5]$
- T7 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq [\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ACB)$
- PR $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq$
 $[\exists C] ::$
3. $\rho(ABC) : [T1; 1; 2]$
 $[\exists D] :$
4. $\rho(BCD) . [T4, C/D; 3; T1, B/C; 1]$
 $[\exists E] .$
5. $\rho(BDE) [T4, A/B, B/C, C/D; 4; T1, A/B, B/D]$
6. $\rho(AEB) :: [T6, D/C, C/B, E/D, F/E; 3; 4; 5]$
 $[\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ACB) [T4, A/B, B/D, C/E; 5; 6]$
- T8 $[ABCKH] : \rho(ABC) . \rho(KCH) . \rho(HKI) . \rho(BIR) \supseteq A = R$
- PR $[ABCKH] : \text{Hp}(4) \supseteq$
 $[\exists D] .$
5. $\rho(BHD) . [T4; 1; T4, A/K, B/C, C/H; 2; T1, A/B, B/D]$
6. $\rho(ADK) . [T6, D/C, C/K, E/H, F/D; 1; 2; 5]$
 $A = R [T5, A/B, B/H, C/A, E/K, F/I, G/R; 5; 6; 3; 4]$
- T9 $[ABCHKV] : \rho(CBK) . \rho(ABC) . \rho(KCH) . \rho(CAV) \supseteq H = V$
- PR $[ABCHKV] :: \text{Hp}(4) \supseteq$
 $[\exists I] :$
5. $\rho(HKI) . [T4, A/K, B/C, C/H; 3; T1, A/H, B/K]$
 $[\exists R] .$
6. $\rho(BIR) . [T4; 2; T4, A/H, B/K, C/I; 5; T1, A/B, B/I]$
7. $A = R : [T8; 2; 3; 5; 6]$
8. $\rho(BIA) : [6; 7]$
 $H = V [T5, A/C, D/K, C/H, E/I, F/A, G/V; 1; 5; 8; 4]$
- T10 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq [\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(CAB)$
- PR $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq$
 $[\exists C] ::$
3. $\rho(ACB) :: [T7; 1; 2]$
 $[\exists D] ::$
4. $\rho(CDA) : [T4, B/C, C/B; 3; T7, A/C, B/A]$
 $[\exists E] :$
5. $\rho(ADE) . [T4, A/C, B/D, C/A; 4; T1, B/D]$
 $[\exists F] .$
6. $\rho(EAF) . [T4, B/D, C/E; 5; T1, A/E, B/A]$
 $F = B . [T9, C/A, B/D, K/E, A/C, H/F, V/B; 5; 4; 6; 3]$

8.	$\rho(EAB) ::$	[6; 7]
$T11$	$[\exists C] . C \in A . \rho(CAB)$	$[T4, A/E, B/A, C/B; 8]$
PR	$[AB] : \rho(AAB) \supseteq \rho(ABA)$	
	$[AB] :: \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq :$	
	$[\exists C] ::$	
2.	$\rho(ACA) .$	$[T4, B/A, C/B; T7, B/A]$
	$[\exists E] .$	
3.	$\rho(EBC) .$	$[T4, B/A, C/B; 1; T4, B/C, C/A; 2; T10, A/B, B/C]$
4.	$E = B .$	$[T5, B/A, D/B, C/E, E/C, F/A, G/B; 1; 3; 2; 1]$
5.	$\rho(BBC) :$	[3; 4]
	$[\exists D] :$	
6.	$\rho(ABD) .$	$[T4, B/A, C/B; 1; T1]$
	$[\exists F] .$	
7.	$\rho(FDB) .$	$[T4, C/D; 6; T10, A/D]$
8.	$F = A .$	$[T5, C/F, E/B, F/C, G/A; 6; 7; 5; 2]$
9.	$\rho(ADB) .$	[7; 8]
10.	$A = D ::$	$[T5, B/A, D/B, C/A, E/D, F/B, G/D; 1; 6; 9; 6]$
	$\rho(ABA) .$	[6; 10]
$T12$	$[ABC] : \rho(AAB) . \rho(AAC) \supseteq B = C$	
PR	$[ABC] : \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq .$	
3.	$\rho(ABA) .$	[T11; 1]
	$B = C$	$[T9, C/A, K/A, H/B, V/C; 3; 3; 1; 2]$
$T13$	$[AB] : \rho(AAB) \supseteq \rho(BBB)$	
PR	$[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq .$	
2.	$\rho(ABA) .$	[T11; 1]
	$[\exists C] .$	
3.	$\rho(CBB) .$	$[T4, B/A, C/B; 1; T10, A/B]$
4.	$C = B .$	$[T5, B/A, D/B, E/B, F/A, G/B; 1; 3; 2; 1]$
	$\rho(BBB)$	[3; 4]
$T14$	$[AB] : \rho(ABA) \supseteq \rho(AAB)$	
PR	$[AB] :: \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq :$	
	$[\exists C] :$	
2.	$\rho(AAC) .$	$[T4, C/A; 1; T1, B/A]$
3.	$\rho(CCC) .$	[T13, B/C; 2]
	$[\exists D] .$	
4.	$\rho(DCB) .$	$[T4, B/A; 2; T4, C/A; 1; T10, A/C]$
5.	$D = C .$	$[T5, B/A, D/C, C/D, E/B, F/A, G/C; 2; 4; 1; 2]$
6.	$\rho(CCB) .$	[4; 5]
7.	$B = C :$	[T12, A/C; 6; 3]
	$\rho(AAB)$	[2; 7]
$T15$	$[ABCD] : \rho(AAC) . \rho(BBD) \supseteq C = D$	
PR	$[ABCD] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq ::$	
3.	$\rho(ACA) .$	[T11, B/C; 1]
4.	$\rho(CCC) ::$	[T13, B/C; 1]
	$[\exists E] ::$	
5.	$\rho(BCE) .$	$[T4, A/B, C/D; 2; T4, B/A; 1; T1, A/B, B/C]$
	$[\exists F] .$	

6. $\rho(FEC)$. [T4, A/B, B/C, C/E; 5; T10, A/E, B/C]
 7. $F = E$. [T5, A/B, B/C, D/E, C/F, E/C, F/C, G/E; 5; 6; 4; 5]
8. $\rho(EEC)$: [6; 7]
 $[\exists G]$:
9. $\rho(AEG)$. [T4, B/A; 1; T4, A/E, B/E, C/G; 8; T1, B/E]
 $[\exists H]$.
10. $\rho(HGE)$. [T4, B/E, C/G; 9; T10, A/G, B/E]
 11. $H = A$. [T5, B/E, D/G, C/H, F/C, G/A; 9; 10; 8; 3]
12. $\rho(AGE)$: [10; 11]
 13. $B = E \therefore$ [T5, B/A, D/C, C/B, F/G, G/E; 1; 5; 9; 12]
14. $\rho(BCB)$. [5; 13]
 15. $\rho(BBC)$. [T14, A/B, B/C; 14]
 $C = D$ [T12, A/B, B/C, C/D; 15; 2]
- T16 [ABC] : $\rho(ABC) \supseteq \rho(ACB)$
- PR [ABC] : Hp(1) \supseteq
 $[\exists D]$:
2. $\rho(AAD)$. [T4; 1; T1, B/A]
 3. $\rho(ADA)$. [T11, B/D; 2]
 $[\exists F]$.
4. $\rho(BBF)$. [T4; 1; T1, A/B]
 5. $D = F$. [T15, C/D, D/F; 2; 4]
6. $\rho(BBD)$. [4; 5]
 $[\exists G]$.
7. $\rho(GCB)$. [T4; 1; T10, A/C]
 8. $G = A$: [T5, D/C, C/G, E/B, F/D, G/A; 1; 7; 6; 3]
- $\rho(ACB)$ [7; 8]
- T17 [ABCHIOP] : $\rho(BAC) \cdot \rho(AHI) \cdot \rho(OCH) \cdot \rho(BPI) \supseteq O = P$
- PR [ABCHIOP] : Hp(4) \supseteq
5. $\rho(BIP)$. [T16, A/B, B/P, C/I; 4]
 $O = P$ [T5, A/B, B/A, D/C, C/O, E/H, F/I, G/P; 1; 3; 2; 5]
- T18 [ABCHIKLMN] : $\rho(BAC) \cdot \rho(IKL) \cdot \rho(MNH) : [OP] : \rho(OCH) \cdot \rho(BPI)$.
 $\supseteq O = P \supseteq \rho(AHI)$
- PR [ABCHIKLMN] :: Hp(4) \supseteq
5. $\rho(BCA)$:: [T16, A/B, B/A; 1]
 $[\exists T]$::
6. $\rho(TCH)$. [T4, A/B, B/A; 1; T4, A/M, B/N, C/H; 3; T10, A/C, B/H]
7. $\rho(THC)$. [T16, A/T, B/C, C/H; 6]
 $[\exists V]$.
8. $\rho(BVI)$. [T4, A/B, B/A; 1; T4, A/I, B/K, C/L; 2; T7, A/B, B/I]
9. $T = V$. [4, O/T, P/V; 6; 8]
10. $\rho(BTI)$: [8; 9]
 $[\exists Z]$:

11. $\rho(ZIC)$.
 [$T4, A/B, B/A; 1; T4, A/I, B/K, C/L; 2; T10, A/I, B/C$]
12. $\rho(BHZ)$. [$T6, A/B, B/T, D/I, C/Z, E/C, F/H; 10; 11; 6$]
13. $\rho(BZH)$. [$T16, A/B, B/H, C/Z; 12$]
 [$\exists R$].
14. $\rho(RIH)$.
 [$T4, A/I, B/K, C/L; 2; T4, A/M, B/N, C/H; 3; T10, A/I, B/H$]
15. $R = A$:.
 [$T5, A/B, B/T, D/I, C/R, E/H, F/C, G/A; 10; 14; 7; 5$]
16. $\rho(AIH)$. [14; 15]
 $\rho(AHI)$
- T19** $[ABC] : \rho(BAC) \supseteq [\exists DERS] . \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC)$
PR $[ABC] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq$
 [$\exists E$].
2. $\rho(BBE)$. [$T4, A/B, B/A; 1; T1, A/B$]
 [$\exists DERS] . \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC)$ [2; 1]
- T20** $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) \supseteq [\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH)$
PR $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq$
 [$\exists L$]:
2. $\rho(ILL)$. [$T4, B/H, C/I; 1; T1, A/I, B/I$]
 [$\exists N$].
3. $\rho(HNH)$: [$T4, B/H, C/I; 1; T7, A/H, B/H$]
 [$\exists KLMN] : \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH)$ [2; 3]
- T21** $[ABC] :: \rho(BAC) \supseteq :: [\exists DERS] . \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) ::$
 [$\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) \supseteq O = P$
 [$T19; T17; T20; T18$]
- T22** $[ABC] :: A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} : [HIOP] : \rho(AHI) . \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) \supseteq O = P ::$
 $\rho(BAC)$
PR $[ABC] : \text{Hp}(4) ::$
 [$\exists K$]:
5. $\rho(AKC)$. [$T7, B/C; 1; 3$]
 6. $\rho(ACK)$. [$T16, B/K; 5$]
 [$\exists D$].
7. $\rho(BDC)$. [$T7, A/B, B/C; 2; 3$]
 8. $A = D$: [4, $H/K, I/C, O/A, P/D; 5; 6; 7$]
 $\rho(BAC)$ [7; 8]
- T23** $[BCOPRSV] : \rho(RCS) . \rho(SRV) . \rho(OCV) . \rho(BPB) \supseteq O = P$
PR $[BCOPRSV] : \text{Hp}(4) \supseteq$
5. $\rho(BBP)$. [$T14, A/B, B/P; 4$]
 6. $\rho(OVC)$. [$T16, A/O, B/C, C/V; 3$]
 7. $\rho(SSO)$. [$T6, A/S, B/R, D/V, C/O, E/C, F/S; 2; 6; 1$]
 $O = P$ [$T15, A/S, C/O, D/P; 7; 5$]
- T24** $[BCRSV] :: \rho(RCS) . \rho(SRV) \supseteq : [OP] : \rho(OCV) . \rho(BPB) \supseteq O = P$ [$T23$]
- T25** $[ABC] :: B, C \in \mathbf{A} :: [HIKLMN] :: \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) .$
 $\rho(BPI) \supseteq O = P : \supseteq \rho(AHI) :: \supseteq A \in \mathbf{A}$
PR $[ABC] :: \text{Hp}(3) :: ::$
 [$\exists R$] ::

4. $\rho(CCR) :: [T1, A/C, B/C; 2]$
 $[\exists S] ::$
5. $\rho(RCS) :: [T4, A/C, B/C, C/R; 4; T1, A/R, B/C]$
 $[\exists V] ::$
6. $\rho(SRV) :: [T4, A/R, B/C, C/S; 5; T1, A/S, B/R]$
7. $[\rho(OP) : \rho(OCV) . \rho(BPB) . \supset O = P : [T24; 5; 6]]$
 $[\exists W].$
8. $\rho(BBW) . [T1, A/B; 1]$
9. $\rho(AVB) :: [3, H/V, I/B, K/B, L/W, M/S, N/R; 8; 6; 7]$
 $A \in \mathbf{A} [T4, B/V, C/B; 9]$
- T26 $[ABCDERS] :: \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) . \equiv : [\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) .$
 $\rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset O = P :: \supset . \rho(BAC)$
- PR $[ABCDERS] :: \text{Hp}(3) :: \supset :$
4. $B \in \mathbf{A} . [T4, A/D, C/E; 1]$
5. $C \in \mathbf{A} :: [T4, A/R, B/S; 2]$
6. $[HIOP] : \rho(AHI) . \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset O = P :: [3]$
7. $[HIKLMN] :: \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset O = P : \supset .$
 $\rho(AHI) :: [3]$
8. $A \in \mathbf{A} . [T25; 4; 5; 7]$
 $\rho(BAC) [T22; 8; 4; 5; 6]$
- S1 $[ABC] :: \rho(BAC) . \equiv : [\exists DERS] . \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) . \equiv :.$
 $[\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset O = P$
 $[T21; T26]$

Thus, $\{T1; T2\} \rightarrow \{S1\}$.

4 Let us assume axiom S1. Then:

- S2 $[ABC] : \rho(BAC) . \supset . [\exists DE] . \rho(DBE) [S1]$
- S3 $[ABCHI] : \rho(BAC) . \rho(AHI) . \supset . [\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) [S1]$
- S4 $[ABCHIOP] : \rho(BAC) . \rho(AHI) . \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset O = P [S1]$
- S5 $[ABCHIKLMN] :: \rho(BAC) . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset .$
 $O = P : \supset . \rho(AHI) [S1]$
- S6 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) . \supset . [\exists MN] . \rho(MNH)$
- PR $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists DE].$
2. $\rho(DAE) . [S2, B/A, A/H, C/I; 1]$
 $[\exists MN] . \rho(MNH) [S3, B/D, C/E; 2; 1]$
- S7 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) . \supset . [\exists KL] . \rho(IKL)$
- PR $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists DE].$
2. $\rho(DAE) . [S2, B/A, A/H, C/I; 1]$
 $[\exists KL] . \rho(IKL) [S3, B/D, C/E; 2; 1]$
- S8 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) . \supset . [\exists VW] . \rho(VIW)$
- PR $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists KL].$
2. $\rho(IKL) . [S7; 1]$
 $[\exists VW] . \rho(VIW) [S2, B/I, A/K, C/L; 2]$

- S9 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) \supset [\exists QT] . \rho(HQT)$
- PR** $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) \supset [\exists MN] .$
2. $\rho(MNH) .$ [S6; 1]
- $[\exists QT] . \rho(HQT)$ [S7, A/M, H/N, I/H; 2]
- S10 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) \supset [\exists RS] . \rho(RSA)$
- PR** $[AHI] : \text{Hp}(1) \supset [\exists DE] .$
2. $\rho(DAE) .$ [S2, B/A, A/H, C/I; 1]
- $[\exists RS] . \rho(RSA)$ [S6, A/D, H/A, C/E; 2]
- S11 $[AHI] : \rho(AHI) \supset [\exists DEKLMN] . \rho(ADE) . \rho(KAL) . \rho(MNA)$
[1; S2, B/A, A/H, C/I; 1; S10; 1]
- S12 $[AHI] : \rho(HAI) \supset [\exists DEKLMN] . \rho(ADE) . \rho(KAL) . \rho(MNA)$
[S9, A/H, H/A; 1; 1; S6, A/H, H/A; 1]
- S13 $[AHI] : \rho(HIA) \supset [\exists DEKLMN] . \rho(ADE) . \rho(KAL) . \rho(MNA)$
[S7, A/H, H/I, I/A; 1; S8, A/H, H/I, I/A; 1; 1]
- S14 $[AHI] :: \rho(AHI) .v. \rho(HAI) .v. \rho(HIA) \supset [\exists DEKLMN] . \rho(ADE) .$
 $\rho(KAL) . \rho(MNA)$ [S11; S12; S13]
- D1 $[A] :: [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) .v. \rho(DAE) .v. \rho(DEA) :=. \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{A}) \{A\}$
- S15 $[A] :: A \in \mathbf{A} =: [\exists DE] : \rho(ADE) .v. \rho(DAE) .v. \rho(DEA)$ [D1; Df1]
- S16 $[ABC] : \rho(ABC) \supset A \in \mathbf{A} . B \in \mathbf{A} . C \in \mathbf{A}$
[S15, D/B, E/C; S15, D/A, A/B, E/C; S15, D/A, E/B, A/C]
- S17 $[A] : A \in \mathbf{A} \supset [\exists DEKLMN] . \rho(ADE) . \rho(KAL) . \rho(MNA)$
[S15; S14, H/D, I/E]
- S18 $[A] : A \in \mathbf{A} \supset [\exists C] . \rho(CAA)$
- PR** $[A] :: \text{Hp}(1) \supset ::$
 $[\exists DEMN] ::$
2. $\rho(ADE) .$ [S17; 1]
3. $\rho(MNA) :$ [4]
4. $[\exists C] . \rho(CAA) .v. \rho(NAA) ::$
[S5, B/M, A/N, C/A, I/A, K/D, L/E, H/A; 3; 2; 3]
- $[\exists C] . \rho(CAA)$ [4]
- S19 $[AB] : \rho(BBB) . \rho(ABB) \supset A = B$
[S4, A/B, C/B, H/B, I/B, O/A, P/B; 1; 1; 2; 1]
- S20 $[ABNVWQQT] :: \rho(NBA) . \rho(BVW) . \rho(QTB) \supset: [\exists C] . \rho(CAB) .v. \rho(BBB)$
[S5, B/N, A/B, C/A, I/B, K/V, L/W, M/Q, N/T, H/B; 1; 2; 3]
- S21 $[ABVWQQTZ] :: \rho(ZAA) . \rho(BVW) . \rho(QTB) \supset: [\exists C] . \rho(CAB) .v. \rho(ABB)$
[S5, B/Z, C/A, I/B, K/V, L/W, M/Q, N/T, H/B; 1; 2; 3]
- S22 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supset [\exists C] . \rho(CAB)$
- PR** $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supset ::$
 $[\exists Z] ::$
3. $\rho(ZAA) ::$ [S18; 1]
4. $[\exists MNK] ::$ [S17; 1]
5. $\rho(MNA) .$ [S17; 1]
- $\rho(AKL) ::$
- $[\exists VWQ] ::$

6. $\rho(BVW) . \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(QTB) : \\ \rho(QTB) : \end{array} \right.$ [S17, A/B; 2]
7. $[\exists C] . \rho(CAB) . v . \rho(NBA) :$ [S5, B/M, A/N, C/A, I/A, M/Q, N/T, H/B; 4; 5; 7]
8. $[\exists C] . \rho(CAB) . v . \rho(BBB) :$ [8; S20; 6; 7]
9. $[\exists C] . \rho(CAB) . v . \rho(ABB) ::$ [S21; 3; 6; 7]
10. $[\exists C] . \rho(CAB)$ [9; 10; S19]
- S23 $[AB] : \rho(BBA) . \supset . \rho(BAB)$
- PR** $[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists C].$
2. $\rho(CAB).$ [S16, A/B, C/A; 1; S22]
3. $C = B.$ [S4, A/B, C/A, I/A, H/B, O/C, P/B; 1; 1; 2; 1]
- $\rho(BAB)$ [2; 3]
- S24 $[AB] : \rho(BBA) . \supset . \rho(AAA)$
- PR** $[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
2. $\rho(BAB).$ [S23; 1]
- $[\exists C].$
3. $\rho(CAA).$ [S16, A/B, C/A; 1; S18]
4. $C = A.$ [S4, A/B, C/A, H/A, I/B, O/C, P/A; 1; 2; 3; 2]
- $\rho(AAA)$ [3; 4]
- S25 $[A] : A \in \mathbf{A} . \supset . [\exists C] . \rho(ACA)$
- PR** $[A] :: \text{Hp}(1) . \supset ::$
 $[\exists DE] ::$
2. $\rho(ADE) ::$ [S17; 1]
- $[\exists MN] ::$
3. $\rho(MND) :$ [S16, B/D, C/E; 2; S17, A/D]
4. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACA) . v . \rho(DDA) ::$ [S5, B/A, A/D, C/E, I/A, K/D, L/E, H/D; 2; 2; 3]
5. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACA) . v . \rho(AAA) :$ [4; S24, B/D]
- $[\exists C] . \rho(ACA)$ [5]
- S26 $[ABC] : \rho(ADA) . \rho(DBB) . \rho(DDB) . \rho(DAB) . \supset . \rho(ADB)$
- PR** $[ABD] : \text{Hp}(4) . \supset .$
5. $\rho(BBB) .$ [S24, A/B, B/D; 3]
6. $D = B.$ [S19, A/D; 5; 2]
7. $\rho(BAB) .$ [4; 6]
8. $\rho(ABA) .$ [1; 6]
9. $A = B.$ [S4, A/B, C/B, H/A, I/B, O/A, P/B; 5; 7; 8; 5]
- $\rho(ADB)$ [1; 9]
- S27 $[ABPMNVW] :: \rho(APA) . \rho(BVW) . \rho(MNA) . \supset : [\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PAB)$
 $[S5, B/A, A/P, C/A, I/B, K/V, L/W, H/A; 1; 2; 3]$
- S28 $[ABPQTVW] :: \rho(APA) . \rho(BVW) . \rho(QTB) . \supset : [\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PBB)$
 $[S5, B/A, A/P, C/A, I/B, K/V, L/W, M/Q, N/T, H/B; 1; 2; 3]$
- S29 $[ABPVWXY] :: \rho(APA) . \rho(BVW) . \rho(XYP) . \supset : [\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PPB)$
 $[S5, B/A, A/P, C/A, I/B, K/V, L/W, M/X, N/Y, H/P; 1; 2; 3]$
- S30 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} . \supset . [\exists C] . \rho(ACB)$
- PR** $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(2) . \supset ::$
 $[\exists MN] ::$

3. $\rho(MNA) :: [\exists QTVW] ::$ [S17; 1]
4. $\rho(BVW) . \left. \begin{array}{l} \rho(QTB) :: \\ [\exists P] :: \end{array} \right\}$ [S17, A/B; 2]
5. $\rho(APA) :: [\exists XY] ::$ [S25; 1]
7. $\rho(XYP) :$
[S16, B/P, C/A; 6; S17, A/P]
8. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PAB) :$ [S27; 6; 4; 3]
9. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PBB) :$ [S28; 6; 4; 5]
10. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(PPB) :$ [S29; 6; 4; 7]
11. $[\exists C] . \rho(ACB) . v . \rho(APB) ::$ [9; 10; 8; S26, D/P; 6]
 $[\exists C] . \rho(ACB)$ [11]
- S31 $[ABCHIO] : \rho(BAC) . \rho(AHI) . \rho(OCH) . \supset . \rho(BOI)$
- PR $[ABCHIO] : \text{Hp}(3) . \supset .$
 $[\exists P] .$
4. $\rho(BPI) .$ [S16, A/B, B/A; 1; S16, B/H, C/I; 2; S30, A/B, B/I]
5. $O = P .$ [S4; 1; 2; 3; 4]
- $\rho(BOI)$ [4; 5]
- S32 $[A] : A \in \mathbf{A} . \supset . [\exists C] . \rho(AAC)$
- PR $[A] :: \text{Hp}(1) . \supset ::$
 $[\exists B] ::$
2. $\rho(ABA) :: [\exists C] ::$ [S25; 1]
3. $\rho(BCB) :: [\exists D] ::$ [S16, C/A; 2; S25, A/B]
4. $\rho(DAC) .$ [S16, A/B, B/C, C/B; 3; S22; 1]
5. $\rho(ADB) .$ [S31, B/A, A/B, C/A, H/C, I/B, O/D; 2; 3; 4]
 $[\exists E] .$
6. $\rho(AEC) .$ [S16, A/B, B/C, C/B; 3; S30, B/C; 1]
7. $A = E :$
[S4, B/A, A/D, C/B, H/A, I/C, O/A, P/E; 5; 4; 2; 6]
8. $\rho(AAC) ::$ [6; 7]
- $[\exists C] . \rho(AAC)$ [8]
- S33 $[AB] : \rho(ABA) . \supset . \rho(AAB)$
- PR $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(1) . \supset :$
 $[\exists C] :$
2. $\rho(AAC) .$ [S16, C/A; 1; S32]
 $[\exists D] .$
3. $\rho(DCB) .$ [S16, B/A; 2; S16, C/A; 1; S22, A/C]
4. $D = B .$ [S4, B/A, H/B, I/A, O/D, P/B; 2; 1; 3; 1]
5. $\rho(BCB) :$ [3; 4]
- $\rho(AAB)$ [S31, B/A, A/B, C/A, H/C, I/B, O/A; 1; 5; 2]
- S34 $[ABCD] : \rho(ABC) . \rho(ACD) . \supset . B = D$

- PR** $[ABCD] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq [\exists E] ::$
3. $\rho(AAE) .$ [S16; 1; S32]
 4. $\rho(EEE) .$ [S24, A/E, B/A; 3]
 $[\exists F] .$
5. $\rho(FEC) .$ [S16, B/A, C/E; 3; S16; 1; S22, A/E, B/C]
 6. $F = C .$ [S4, B/A, C/E, H/C, I/D, O/F, P/C; 3; 2; 5; 2]
 7. $\rho(CEC) .$ [5; 6]
 $[\exists G] .$
8. $\rho(GDE) .$ [S16, B/C, C/D; 2; S16, B/A, C/E; 3; S22, A/D, B/E]
 9. $G = B .$ [S4, B/A, A/C, C/D, H/E, I/C, O/G, P/B; 2; 7; 8; 1]
10. $\rho(BDE) .$ [8; 9]
 $[\exists H] .$
11. $\rho(HEB) .$ [S16, B/A, C/E; 3; S16; 1; S22, A/E]
 12. $H = B .$ [S4, B/A, C/E, H/B, I/C, O/H, P/B; 3; 1; 11; 1]
13. $\rho(BEB) .$ [11; 12]
 14. $\rho(BBE) :$ [S33, A/B, B/E; 13]
 $[\exists K] :$
15. $\rho(DKE) .$ [S16, B/C, C/D; 2; S16, B/A, C/E; 3; S30, A/D, B/E]
 $[\exists L] .$
16. $\rho(LEK) .$ [S16, A/D, B/K, C/E; 15; S22, A/E, B/K]
 17. $L = D :$ [S4, A/D, C/E, H/K, I/E, O/L, P/D; 10; 15; 16; 10]
 18. $\rho(DEK) :$ [16; 17]
19. $\rho(DDE) .$ [S31, B/D, A/E, C/K, H/E, I/E, O/D; 18; 4; 15]
 20. $\rho(DED) ::$ [S23, A/E, B/D; 19]
 $B = D$ [S4, A/D, C/E, H/D, I/E, O/D, P/B; 10; 19; 20; 14]
- S35 $[ABC] : \rho(ABC) \supseteq \rho(ACB)$
- PR** $[ABC] : \text{Hp}(1) \supseteq [\exists D] .$
2. $\rho(ADB) .$ [S16; 1; S30]
 3. $D = C .$ [S34, B/D, C/B, D/C; 2; 1]
 $\rho(ACB) .$ [3; 4]
- S36 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq [\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ABC)$
- PR** $[AB] : \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq [\exists C] .$
3. $\rho(ACB) .$ [S30; 1; 2]
 4. $\rho(ABC) .$ [S35, B/C, C/B; 3]
 5. $C \in \mathbf{A} .$ [S16; 4]
 $[\exists C] . C \in \mathbf{A} . \rho(ABC)$ [5; 4]
- S37 $[ABCD] : \rho(ABC) . \rho(ABD) \supseteq C = D$
- PR** $[ABCD] : \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq .$

3. $\rho(ADB)$. [S35, C/D; 2]
 $C = D$ [S34, B/D, C/B, D/C; 3; 1]
- S38 $[ABCDEF] : \rho(ABD) . \rho(DCE) . \rho(ACF) . \rho(FBG) \supseteq E = G$
- PR** $[ABCDEF] : \text{Hp}(4) \supseteq$
5. $\rho(ADB)$. [S35, C/D; 1]
6. $\rho(AFC)$. [S35, B/C, C/F; 3]
 $[\exists H]$.
7. $\rho(HBC)$. [S16, C/D; 1; S16, A/D, B/E; 2; S22, A/B, B/C]
8. $\rho(AHE)$. [S31, B/A, A/D, C/B, H/C, I/E, O/H; 5; 2; 7]
9. $\rho(HCB)$. [S35, A/H; 7]
10. $\rho(AHG)$. [S31, B/A, A/F, H/B, I/G, O/H; 6; 4; 9]
 $E = G$ [S37, B/H, C/E, D/G; 8; 10]

Since theses S36 and S37 are the consequences of S1, we can introduce into the system the following definition:

$$D2 \quad [ABX] : A \in \mathbf{A} . B \in \mathbf{A} . X \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - B = X \equiv \rho(ABX) \quad [S36; S37]$$

Then:

- S39 $[ABC] : A \in \mathbf{A} . B \in \mathbf{A} . C \in \mathbf{A} . A - B = C \equiv \rho(ABC)$
 $[D2, X/C; D2, X/C; S16]$
- A1 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - B \in \mathbf{A}$
- PR** $[AB] : \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq$
 $[\exists C].$
3. $C \in \mathbf{A} . \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \rho(ABC) . \end{array} \right\} \quad [S36; 1; 2]$
4. $\rho(ABC) .$ [S39; 4]
5. $A - B = C .$ [5; 3]
- A - B ∈ A
- B1 $[AB] : A, B \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq A - (A - B) = B$
- PR** $[AB] :: \text{Hp}(2) \supseteq$
 $[\exists C] :$
3. $\rho(ABC) .$ [S36; 1; 2]
 $[\exists D].$
4. $\rho(ACD) .$ [S16; 3; S36, B/C]
5. $B = D .$ [S34; 3; 4]
6. $A - C = D .$ [S39; B/C, C/D]
7. $A - C = B .$ [6; 5]
8. $A - B = C :$ [S39; 3]
 $A - (A - B) = B$ [7; 8]
- C1 $[ABC] : A, B, C \in \mathbf{A} \supseteq (A - B) - C = (A - C) - B$
- PR** $[ABC] :: \text{Hp}(3) \supseteq ::$
 $[\exists D] ::$
4. $\rho(ABD) .$ [S36; 1; 2]
5. $A - B = D ::$ [S39, C/D; 4]
 $[\exists E] ::$
6. $\rho(DCE) .$ [S16, C/D; 4; S36, A/D, B/C; 3]
7. $D - C = E .$ [S39, A/D, B/C, C/E; 6]

8. $(A - B) - C = E :$ [7; 5]
 $[\exists F].$
9. $\rho(ACF) .$ [S36, B/C; 1; 3]
10. $A - C = F .$ [S39, B/C, C/F; 9]
 $[\exists G].$
11. $\rho(FBG) .$ [S16, B/C, C/F; 10; 2; S36, A/F]
12. $F - B = G .$ [S39, A/F, C/G; 11]
13. $(A - C) - B = G .$ [12; 10]
14. $E = G ::$ [S38; 4; 6; 9; 11]
 $(A - B) - C = (A - C) - B$ [8; 13; 14]

Thus, $\{S1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; C1\}$. Furthermore, $\{S1\} \rightarrow \{S39\}$.

5 It follows from sections 2, 3, and 4 that

$$\{A1; B1; C1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; B2\} \rightarrow \{A1; A2\} \rightarrow \{T1; T2\} \rightarrow \{S1\} \rightarrow \{A1; B1; C1\}$$

Moreover, it was proved in section 2.3 that formulas A3 and A6 which correspond to definitions D1 and D2 respectively, cf. section 4, are the consequences of axioms A1 and A2. And, vice versa, in section 4 it has been shown that S1 implies S39, i.e., the formula which corresponds to definition D1 in section 2.3. Hence, the proof that the systems under consideration are inferentially equivalent is complete.

6 Clearly, the axioms

$$\text{I } [ABC] :: \phi(ABC) . \equiv . [\exists DEF] . \phi(ADE) . \phi(CFG) :: [HI] :: \phi(HBI) . \equiv . [\exists KLMN] . \phi(KHL) . \phi(MNI) :: [OP] : \phi(OCI) . \phi(PAH) . \supset . O = P$$

and

$$\text{II } [ABC] :: \phi(BAC) . \equiv . [\exists DEF] . \phi(ADE) . \phi(FGC) :: [HI] :: \phi(HBI) . \equiv . [\exists KLMN] . \phi(HKL) . \phi(MIN) :: [OP] : \phi(OCI) . \phi(PAH) . \supset . O = P$$

of Leśniewski for theory of Groups and theory of Abelian Groups respectively, cf. [2], p. 321, and [3], p. 243, and axiom

$$S1 \quad [ABC] :: \rho(BAC) . \equiv . [\exists DERS] . \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) . \equiv . [\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . \supset . O = P$$

have a very similar structure. But, while both axioms of Leśniewski are compact, cf. [4], p. 62, point (d), axiom S1 does not possess this important property. Namely, an inspection of the deductions presented in section 4 shows at once that all theorems proven there are the consequences of the theses S2, S3, S4, and S5 which are derived from axiom S1 in virtue of propositional calculus and the quantification theory. Whence, the sixth component of S1 obtainable by the same means, viz.

$$S40 \quad [ABCDERS] :: \rho(DBE) . \rho(RSC) :: [HI] :: \rho(AHI) . \equiv . [\exists KLMN] . \rho(IKL) . \rho(MNH) :: [OP] : \rho(OCH) . \rho(BPI) . O = P :: \supset . \rho(BAC) \quad [S1]$$

follows from the theses S2-S5, cf. section 5. Therefore the components of

$S1$ are not mutually independent, and, consequently, axiom $S1$ is not compact in the sense of Leśniewski. On the other hand, it is easy to prove the mutual independency of the formulas $S2-S5$. As yet, I was unable to find a compact formula of the same length as $S1$ which could be accepted as a single axiom of Subtractive Abelian Groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] Güting, R., "Subtractive Abelian groups," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XVI (1975), pp. 425-428.
- [2] Leśniewski, S., "Über Funktionen, deren Felder Gruppen mit Rücksicht auf diese Funktionen sind," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. XIII (1929), pp. 319-332.
- [3] Leśniewski, S., "Über Funktionen, deren Felder Abelssche Gruppen in bezug auf diese Funktionen sind," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. XIV (1929), pp. 243-251.
- [4] Sobociński, B., "On well constructed axiom systems," *Yearbook of the Polish Society of Arts and Sciences Abroad*, vol. VI (1956), pp. 54-65.
- [5] Tarski, A., "Ein Beitrag zur Axiomatik der Abelschen Gruppen," *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. XXX (1938), pp. 253-256.

*University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana*