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# NONSTANDARD PROBABILITY 

## S. MICHAEL WEBB

Introduction ${ }^{1}$ If F is a finite set, there is a natural probability measure on $F$ given by $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{A})=\|\mathrm{A}\| /\|\mathrm{F}\|$, where $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{F}$ and $\|\mathrm{X}\|$ denotes the number of elements in a finite set X. In their paper [1], A. R. Bernstein and F. Wattenberg have shown the existence of a *finite set $F$ such that if $A$ is a Lebesgue measurable subset of $[0,1]$, then $\mu_{F}(A)=\|* A \cap F\| /\|F\| \simeq m(A)$, where $m$ is Lebesgue measure. The measure $\mu_{F}$ is called a sample measure. Since Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$ is the measure induced by the uniform distribution on $[0,1]$, it is natural to ask for what other probability distributions on the real numbers can a similar result be shown. If the notion of sample measure is generalized, then the result of [1] may be extended to arbitrary real measures induced by probability distributions. This generalization and extension form the main portion of this paper. As an application of this extended result two nonstandard theorems of the central limit type are stated.

Preliminaries Let ${ }^{2}$ R be an enlargement of the real number system $R$. Each set or concept in $R$ will receive the prefix '*' when denoting the
 on which there is an internal bijection onto an initial segment of $* N$, the enlargement of the natural numbers. (See [3] or [4] for more details.) Let $\left\langle z_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}}$ be a sequence (necessarily external) in $* R$. Then $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{z}$ will mean $z \in R$ and, for every standard $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $m \in N$ such that $n \geqslant m$ implies $\left|z_{n}-z\right|<\epsilon$. Equivalently, $\lim _{n} z_{n}=z$ means the $z_{n}$ are eventually near-standard and $z$ is the limit of the standard parts of these $z_{n}$.

A double sequence $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ will be a collection of random variables with $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}, 1 \leqslant \mathrm{k} \leqslant \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. A double sequence $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ is said to

[^0]be infinitesimal if and only if, for each standard $\epsilon>0$, $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \max _{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}\left\{\left|\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right| \geqslant\right.$ $\epsilon\}=0$. A double sequence is said to be row-wise independent if and only if the random variables $X_{n 1}, \ldots, X_{n k_{n}}$ are independent for each $n$. If $\left\{\left\{X_{n k}\right\}\right\}$ is a double sequence, then the characteristic and distribution functions of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}$ will be denoted by $\varphi_{\mathrm{nk}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{nk}}$, respectively. The normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1 will be denoted by $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$.

Results Let M be the set of real measures induced by probability distribution functions. Let $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$. If $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ is a sample measure such that $\mathbf{F}$ is actually infinite, then

$$
\mu_{F}(\{x\})=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & ; x \notin F \\
1 /\|F\| ; x \in F
\end{array}\right.
$$

But $\mu(\{\mathbf{x}\})$ need not equal 0 . Thus, sample measures are not sufficient for approximating arbitrary elements of $M$. However, if the $*_{\text {finite }}$ set $F$ is replaced by a *finite sequence, the result can be proven.

Definition Let $\mathrm{S}=\left\langle\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \omega$, be a $*_{\text {finite }}$ sequence, define the sequence measure $\mu_{S}(A)=\sum_{\left[x_{i} \in A\right]} 1 / \omega$, for $A$ an internal subset of $* R$. If $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{R}$, let $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{A})=\mu_{\mathrm{S}}(* \mathrm{~A})$.
Theorem 1 If $\mu \in \mathrm{M}$, then there exists a sequence measure $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ such that for each $\mu$-measurable set $\mathrm{A}, \mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{A}) \simeq \mu(\mathrm{A})$.

The proof is given in the following two lemmas.
Lemma 1 There exists a sequence measure $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ such that $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{I}) \simeq \mu(\mathrm{I})$ for each interval I.

Proof: Let F be the distribution function of $\mu$, i.e., $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\mu(\{\mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{y} \leqslant \mathrm{x}\})$. Let $\omega$ be an infinite natural number. Set $\left.x_{k}=\inf \{x \mid(2 k-1)\} 2^{\omega+1} \leqslant F(x)\right\}$ for $k=$ $1, \ldots, 2^{\omega}$. Then, for $S=\left\langle x_{k}\right\rangle, k=1, \ldots, 2^{\omega}, \mu_{S}(\mathrm{I}) \simeq \mu(\mathrm{I})$ for each interval I .
Lemma 2 Let $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ be a sequence measure such that $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{I}) \simeq \mu(\mathrm{I})$ for each interval I ; then $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{A}) \simeq \mu(\mathrm{A})$ for each $\mu$-measurable set A .

Proof: Let a standard $\epsilon>0$ be given. Let A be $\mu$-measurable; then there exist countable collections of intervals $\left\{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ such that $\bigcup_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}} \supseteq \mathrm{A}$, $\bigcup_{i} J_{i} \supseteq(R-A)$, and
(1) $\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)-\epsilon / 4<\mu(\mathrm{A})=1-\mu(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A})<1-\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mu\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\epsilon / 4$.

For each $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$,
(2)

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mu\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4,
$$

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4
$$

Thus, if $T=\left\{n \in{ }^{*} N \mid\right.$ condition (2) holds $\}$, then $T$ must contain an infinite natural number $\omega$. Thus,
(3)

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(J_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{\omega} \mu_{S}\left(J_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4,
$$

$$
\left|\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4 .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \simeq \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mu\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

(4)

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \simeq \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

Combining (1), (3), and (4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(\mathrm{A})-\epsilon / 2 & <1-\sum_{i} \mu\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\epsilon / 4 \simeq 1-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\epsilon / 4 \\
& \leqslant 1-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \leqslant \mu_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{~A}) \leqslant \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\omega} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\epsilon / 4 \simeq \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mu\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\epsilon / 4<\mu(\mathrm{A})+\epsilon / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left|\mu^{\prime}(A)-\mu_{S}(A)\right|<\epsilon$. Since $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, $\mu_{S}(A) \simeq \mu(A)$.
Remark 1 The sample measures $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ constructed in [1] had the additional properties:
(i) $[0,1) \subseteq \mathrm{F}$; (ii) $\mathrm{F}+1 / \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{F}$ for each $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$; (iii) $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{y}) \simeq \mu_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{A})$,
where addition is modulo 1 . Using the methods of Lemma 1 a *finite set F can be constructed which satisfies the properties (ii) and (iii); however, property (i) is not obtained by this method.
Remark 2 Just as in [1] if the integral, $\int \mathrm{fd} \mu_{\mathrm{S}}$, is defined as $\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \omega$, then for $\mu$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ as in Theorem 1, $\int \mathrm{fd} \mu_{\mathrm{S}} \simeq \int \mathrm{fd} \mu$ for each bounded, measurable function f .

Application Let X be a random variable. Then X induces a measure on R via its distribution function. Let $S=\left\langle x_{i}\right\rangle$ be a *finite sequence, and let $\mu$ be the measure induced by $\mathbf{X}$. Then $\mathbf{S} \simeq \mathbf{X}$ will mean $\mu$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{S}}$ satisfy Theorem 1. The symbols $\sum^{\epsilon}$ and $\sum_{\epsilon}$ will denote the sums over the indices i for which $\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \geqslant \epsilon$ and $\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<\epsilon$, respectively.

Theorem 2 Suppose the double sequence $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ is row-wise independent and each $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}$ has mean zero. If there exist $*_{\text {finite }}$ sequences $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{nk}}=\left\langle\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right\rangle$, $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \omega_{\mathrm{nk}}$, such that $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{nk}} \simeq \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}$ and, for every standard $\epsilon>0$,
(5a) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}} \Sigma^{\epsilon}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right)^{2}=1$,
(5b) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\epsilon} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}}=0$,
(5c) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{(\mathrm{i})}=0$,
then $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ is infinitesimal and the sequence $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}$ converges weakly to a random variable which is distributed $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$.

Theorem 3 Suppose $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ is a double sequence which is row-wise inde-
 every standard $\epsilon>0$,
(6a) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \sum^{\epsilon} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}}=0$,
(6b) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}} \sum_{\epsilon}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right)^{2}=1$,
(6c) $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{k}^{k} 1 / \omega_{\mathrm{nk}}\left|\sum_{\epsilon} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right|=0$,
then $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}\right\}$ is infinitesimal and the sequence $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\}$ converges weakly to a random variable distributed $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the following result.
Lemma 3 Let $\left\{\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} k}\right\}\right\}$ be an infinitesimal system of random variables with variances $\sigma_{\mathbf{n k}}^{2}$ and means zero. Let $\beta_{\mathbf{n k}}(\mathrm{t})=\varphi_{\mathbf{n k}}(\mathrm{t})$ - 1. If there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\sum_{k} \sigma_{\mathrm{nk}}^{2}<\mathrm{C}$, then $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \prod_{\mathrm{k}} \varphi_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})=\lim _{\mathrm{n}}$ $\exp \left[\sum_{k} \beta_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})\right]$.
This result is proven using the series expansion of $\log \varphi_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})$, the fact that $\beta_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})=\int(\exp [\mathrm{itx}]-1-\mathrm{itx}) d \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{nk}}$, and $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \max _{\mathrm{k}}\left|\beta_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})\right|=0$. (See [2], pp. 259260.)

If the expression $\sum_{k} \beta_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})$ is written in terms of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{nk}}$ using Remark 2 to replace integrals by ${ }^{k}$ sums, and if exp[itx] is expanded in a Taylor series with two terms and remainder, then it becomes apparent that the conditions (5) are sufficient to imply $\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{k} \beta_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{t})=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}$. But the conditions (5) also imply that for sufficiently large $n$ the $X_{n k}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3 , and Theorem 2 follows.

The original motivation for this work was a nonstandard proof of the Lindeberg Central Limit Theorem. However, Theorem 2 is not sufficient for this purpose. Theorem 3 is similar to a standard theorem in [2] which is known to be sufficient for the Lindeberg theorem. However, the proof of Theorem 3 depends only on Theorem 2 and elementary results in probability, while the theorem in [2] requires a much stronger central limit theorem whose proof depends on the Lévy-Khintchine representation of infinitely divisible characteristic functions. Furthermore, Theorem 3 has as a corollary the Lindeberg theorem which is stated here for completeness.
Central Limit Theorem (Lindeberg) Suppose $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables with means $\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}$ and non-zero variances $\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$. Let $\tau_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}$. If, for every standard $\epsilon>0$, $\lim _{\mathbf{n}} 1 / \tau_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\left|\mathrm{x}-\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}\right|<\epsilon} \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{dF}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$, then the sequence $\left\{\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} 1 / \tau_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right\}$ converges weakly to a random variable which is distributed $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The results announced in this paper form a part of the author's doctoral dissertation, Nonstandard Probability Theory, written under the directorship of Professor E. William Chapin, Jr. with the support of an NDEA Title IV Fellowship and completed August 1972 at the University of Notre Dame.

