

SINGLE AXIOMS FOR ATOMISTIC AND ATOMLESS MEREOLGY

ROBERT E. CLAY

It is part of the folk-lore of the subject, that Leśniewski's mereology is neutral with respect to the existence of atoms. It has long been known that one could have a system of atomless mereology by adding the following axiom or its equivalent to any mereological axiom system.

$$[A]: A \varepsilon A \supset (\exists B). B \varepsilon \text{pr}(A).$$

Similarly one could have a system of atomistic (completely atomic) mereology by adding

$$[A]: A \varepsilon A \supset (\exists B): B \varepsilon \text{el}(A) : [C]: C \varepsilon \text{el}(B) \supset C = B.$$

If we define the name "atm" by

$$[B]: B \varepsilon \text{atm} \equiv B \varepsilon B : [C]: C \varepsilon \text{el}(B) \supset C = B$$

this simplifies to

$$[A]: A \varepsilon A \supset (\exists B). B \varepsilon \text{el}(A) . B \varepsilon \text{atm}.$$

Rickey, *cf.* [4], p. 90, introduced the functor "at" defined by

$$[AB]: B \varepsilon \text{at}(A) \equiv B \varepsilon \text{el}(A) . B \varepsilon \text{atm}$$

which further reduces the characteristic axiom of atomistic mereology to

$$[A]: A \varepsilon A \supset (\exists B). B \varepsilon \text{at}(A).$$

Using Rickey's functor "at" Sobociński axiomatized atomistic mereology in [4]. Lejewski gave the first single axioms for atomistic and atomless mereology in [2]. In this paper we shall give shorter single axioms for both systems.

Lejewski's single axiom for atomistic mereology is

$$\begin{aligned} L1 \quad [AB] &:: A \varepsilon \text{at}(B) \equiv B \varepsilon B :: [CDa]:: [E]: E \varepsilon C \equiv [F]: F \varepsilon \text{at}(E) . \\ &\equiv [\exists G]. F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a :: D \varepsilon \text{at}(B) . B \varepsilon a \supset \text{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \text{at}(C) . \end{aligned}$$

This contains ten occurrences of ε . We shall show that the shorter (nine occurrences of ε) proposition

C1 $[AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) . \equiv :: B \varepsilon B :: [CDa] :: [E] : E \varepsilon C . \equiv : [F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(E) .$
 $\equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a : D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) . B \varepsilon a : \supset . \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(C)$

is also a single axiom for atomistic mereology.

In *L1* it is easy to derive that if *A* is an atom of something then *A* is identical with $\mathbf{at}(A)$. In *C1* it is easy to derive that if *A* is an atom of something then $\mathbf{at}(A)$ is an individual, but the difficulty arises in proving that that individual is in fact the individual, *A*.

We begin with *L1* and derive *C1*.

- L2* $[AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) . \supset . B \varepsilon B$ [L1]
L3 $[B] : B \varepsilon B . \supset . [\exists D] . D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B)$ [L1, by contradiction]
DL $[Aa] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a) . \equiv : A \varepsilon A : [F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a$
L4 $[ABa] : B \varepsilon a : [F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a : \supset . A \varepsilon A$
PR $[ABa] : \text{Hp}(2) : \supset .$
 $[\exists D] .$
 3. $D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) .$ [L3; 1]
 4. $D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) .$ [2; 3; 1]
 $A \varepsilon A$ [L2; 4]
L5 $[Ba] : B \varepsilon a . \supset : [A] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a) . \equiv : [F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) .$
 $G \varepsilon a$ [DL; L4]
L6 $[ABa] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) . B \varepsilon a . \supset . \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(\mathbf{Kl}(a))$ [L1, C/Kl(a); L5]
L7 $[Ba] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . \mathbf{Kl}(a) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a)$
PR $[Ba] : \text{Hp}(1) : \supset .$
 $[\exists D] .$
 2. $D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) .$ [L3; 1]
 3. $D \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(\mathbf{Kl}(a)) .$ [L6; 2; 1]
 $\mathbf{Kl}(a) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a)$ [L2; 3]
L8 $[AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(B) . \supset . \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A$
PR $[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) : \supset .$
 2. $B \varepsilon B .$ [L2; 1]
 $\mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A$ [L6; 1; 2]
L9 $[A] : A \varepsilon A . \supset . A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(A)$ [DL]
L10 $[AC] : \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(C) . \supset . \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(C)$
PR $[AC] : \text{Hp}(1) : \supset :$
 2. $\mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) .$ [1]
 3. $A \varepsilon A .$ [L2; 2]
 4. $\mathbf{at}(\mathbf{at}(A)) \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) .$ [L8, A/at(A); B/A; 2]
 5. $\mathbf{at}(\mathbf{at}(A)) = \mathbf{at}(A) :$ [4; 2]
 6. $[F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) . \equiv . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(\mathbf{at}(A)) :$ [5]
 7. $[F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(\mathbf{at}(A)) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) . G \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) :$ [2]
 8. $[F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(G) . G \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(A) :$ [6; 7]
 9. $A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(\mathbf{at}(A)) .$ [DL; 3; 8]
 10. $\mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(\mathbf{at}(A)) .$ [L9; 2]
 11. $\mathbf{Kl}(\mathbf{at}(A)) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(\mathbf{at}(A)) .$ [L7; 2]
 12. $\mathbf{at}(A) = A .$ [10; 9; 11]
 13. $\mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A .$ [12]

14. $A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$. [1; 12]
 $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$ [13; 14]
L11 $[AC] : \text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(C) . \equiv . \text{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$ [L10]
L12 $[= CI]$ [L1; L11]

Next we derive *L1* from *CI*.

- C2* $[AB] : A \varepsilon \text{at}(B) . \supset . B \varepsilon B$ [C1]
C3 $[B] : B \varepsilon B . \supset . [\exists D] . D \varepsilon \text{at}(B)$ [C1]
DC $[Aa] : A \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \equiv . A \varepsilon A : [F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a$
C4 $[ABa] : B \varepsilon a : [F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a : \supset . A \varepsilon A$ [C3; C2]
C5 $[Ba] : B \varepsilon a . \supset : [A] : A \varepsilon \text{KI}(a) . \equiv : [F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(A) . \equiv : [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon a$
[DC; C4]
C6 $[ABa] : A \varepsilon \text{at}(B) . B \varepsilon a . \supset . \text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{KI}(a))$ [C1, C/KI(a); C5]
C7 $[Ba] : B \varepsilon a . \supset . \text{KI}(a) \varepsilon \text{KI}(a)$ [C6; C3; C2]

Note: For proofs of *C4* and *C7* see *L4* and *L7*.

- C8* $[AB] : A \varepsilon \text{at}(B) . \supset . \text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(A)$
PR $[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
2. $B \varepsilon B$. [C2; 1]
3. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{KI}(B))$. [C6; 1; 2]
 $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(A)$ [3]
C9 $[A] : A \varepsilon A . \supset . A \varepsilon \text{KI}(A)$ [DC]
C10 $[A] : \text{at}(\text{at}(A)) = \text{at}(A) . \supset . \text{at}(A) = A$
PR $[A] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset :$
2. $[F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(A) . \equiv . F \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{at}(A)) :$ [1]
3. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(A)$. [1]
4. $[F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{at}(A)) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon \text{at}(A) :$ [3]
5. $[F] : F \varepsilon \text{at}(A) . \equiv . [\exists G] . F \varepsilon \text{at}(G) . G \varepsilon \text{at}(A) :$ [2; 4]
6. $A \varepsilon A$. [L2; 3]
7. $A \varepsilon \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [DC; 6; 5]
8. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [C9; 3]
9. $\text{KI}(\text{at}(A)) \varepsilon \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [C7; 3]
 $\text{at}(A) = A$ [8; 7; 9]
C11 $[AC] : \text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(C) . \supset . \text{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$
PR $[AB] : \text{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
2. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{at}(A)$. [1]
3. $\text{at}(\text{at}(A)) \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{at}(A))$. [C8, A/at(A), B/C; 1]
4. $\text{at}(\text{at}(\text{at}(A))) \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{KI}(\text{at}(A)))$. [C6, A/at(at(A)), B/at(A), a/at(A); 3; 2]
5. $\text{KI}(\text{at}(A)) \varepsilon \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [C7; 2]
6. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [C9; 2]
7. $\text{at}(A) = \text{KI}(\text{at}(A))$. [5; 6]
8. $\text{at}(\text{at}(\text{at}(A))) \varepsilon \text{at}(\text{at}(A))$. [4; 7]
9. $\text{at}(\text{at}(\text{at}(A))) = \text{at}(\text{at}(A))$. [8; 3]
10. $\text{at}(\text{at}(A)) = \text{at}(A)$. [C10; A/at(A); 9]
11. $\text{at}(A) = A$. [C10; 10]
12. $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon A$. [11]
13. $A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$. [1; 11]
 $\text{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \text{at}(C)$ [12; 13]

$$C12 \quad [AC] : \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(C) \equiv \mathbf{at}(A) \varepsilon A . A \varepsilon \mathbf{at}(C) \quad [C11]$$

$$C13 \quad (= LI) \quad [C1; C12]$$

Since the only definition used, namely that of “**KI**”, is the same in both derivations, the two systems are interderivable. Therefore, *C1* is a single axiom for atomistic mereology.

We now turn to atomless mereology. Lejewski gave the following single axiom for atomless mereology in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(B) \equiv B \varepsilon B . \sim \langle B \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(A) \rangle :: [CDa] :: [E] :: E \varepsilon C \equiv [F] :: \\ F \varepsilon a \supset E \varepsilon F . \vee . F \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(E) :: [F] : F \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(E) \supset . [\exists GH] . G \varepsilon a . H \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(F) . \\ H \varepsilon \mathbf{pr}(G) :: D \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(B) . B \varepsilon a \supset . A \varepsilon \mathbf{pt}(C) . \end{aligned}$$

This axiom uses part, “**pt**”, as primitive and has fourteen occurrences of ε .

The new axiom, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} E1 \quad [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) \equiv [f] :: [Ca] :: C \varepsilon f(a) \equiv C \varepsilon C :: [D] :: D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(C) \equiv : \\ [E] : E \varepsilon a \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E) \supset :: [F] :: A \varepsilon F . \vee . B \varepsilon F . \vee : [\exists b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : \\ [d] : F \varepsilon d \supset . \sim \langle f(b) \varepsilon f(d) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

uses exterior, “**ex**”, as primitive. It is shorter (twelve occurrences of ε), but it has the added complexity of requiring quantification over a semantical category of functors in addition to quantification over names. *E1* is a modification of the shortest known single axiom for mereology, which is due to Lejewski [1] and appears as *X1* in the following axiom system for atomless mereology.

$$\begin{aligned} X1 \quad [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) \equiv [f] :: [Ca] :: C \varepsilon f(a) \equiv C \varepsilon C :: [D] :: D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(C) \equiv : \\ [E] : E \varepsilon a \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E) \supset :: [F] :: [\exists b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : [d] : F \varepsilon d \supset . \\ \sim \langle f(b) \varepsilon f(d) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$DX2 \quad [AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) \equiv A \varepsilon A . B \varepsilon B : [D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A)$$

$$X15 \quad [A] : A \varepsilon A \supset . [\exists F] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) . \sim \langle A \varepsilon F \rangle$$

This rather curious numbering is designed to facilitate the second half of the proof of the equivalence of the two systems.

First we shall derive *X1*, *DX2*, and *X15* from *E1*.

$$E2 \quad [A] . \sim \langle \wedge \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) \rangle \quad [[a] . \sim \langle \wedge \varepsilon a \rangle]$$

$$E3 \quad [A] : \wedge \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) \equiv A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) \quad [X1][b] . \sim \langle \wedge \varepsilon b \rangle$$

$$E4 \quad [A] . \sim \langle A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) \rangle \quad [E2; E3]$$

$$DE1 \quad [Aa] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(a) \equiv A \varepsilon A : [D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) \equiv [E] : E \varepsilon a \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E5 \quad [f] :: [Ca] :: C \varepsilon f(a) \equiv C \varepsilon C : [D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(C) \equiv [E] : E \varepsilon a \supset . \\ D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E) \supset . f \circ \mathbf{KI} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{PR} \quad [f] :: \mathbf{Hp}(1) \supset :$$

$$2. \quad [Ca] : C \varepsilon f(a) \equiv C \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(a) : \quad [DE1; 1]$$

$$3. \quad [a] . f(a) \circ \mathbf{KI}(a) . \quad [2]$$

$$f \circ \mathbf{KI} \quad [3]$$

- E6* $[AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \equiv :: [F] :: A \varepsilon F . \vee . B \varepsilon F . \vee :: [\exists b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b :$
 $[d] : F \varepsilon d . \supset . \sim(\mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d))$ [E1; DE1; E5]
- E7* $[AB] :: \sim(A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B)) . \equiv :: [\exists F] :: \sim(A \varepsilon F) . \sim(B \varepsilon F) :: [b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee B \varepsilon b :$
 $\supset . [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$ [E6]
- E8* $[A] :: [\exists F] :: \sim(A \varepsilon F) : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \supset . [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$
[E7, B/A; E4]
- E9* $[Aa] : A \varepsilon a . \supset . \mathbf{Kl}(a) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a)$
- PR** $[Aa] : \mathbf{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists d] .$
2. $\mathbf{Kl}(a) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) .$ [E8, b/a; 1]
 $\mathbf{Kl}(a) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a)$ [2]
- DE2* $[AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . \equiv :: A \varepsilon A . B \varepsilon B : [D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A)$
- E10* $[ACDa] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a) . D \varepsilon a . C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) . \supset . C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(D)$
- PR** $[ACDa] :: \mathbf{Hp}(3) . \supset :$
4. $[E] : E \varepsilon a . \supset . C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E) :$ [DE1; 1; 3]
 $C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(D) .$ [4; 2]
- E11* $[ADa] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(a) . D \varepsilon a . \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A)$
- PR** $[ADa] :: \mathbf{Hp}(2) . \supset :$
3. $[C] : C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) . \supset . C \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(D) :$ [E10; 1; 2]
 $D \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A)$ [DE2; 2; 1; 3]
- E12* $[A] : A \varepsilon A . \supset . A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(A)$ [DE1]
- E13* $[AB] :: A \varepsilon A . B \varepsilon B : [D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) . \equiv . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) : \supset . A = B$
- PR** $[AB] :: \mathbf{Hp}(3) . \supset .$
4. $A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(A) .$ [E12; 1]
5. $B \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(A) .$ [DE1; 2; 3]
6. $\mathbf{Kl}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(A) .$ [E9; 1]
 $A = B$ [4; 5; 6]
- E14* $[AB] : A \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(B) . B \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) . \supset . A = B$
- PR** $[AB] :: \mathbf{Hp}(2) . \supset :$
3. $[D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) :$ [DE2; 1]
4. $[D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) . \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) :$ [DE2; 2]
5. $[D] : D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(A) . \equiv . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) :$ [3; 4]
 $A = B$ [E13; 1; 2; 5]
- E15* $[A] : A \varepsilon A . \supset . [\exists F] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) . \sim(A \varepsilon F)$
- PR** $[A] : \mathbf{Hp}(1) . \supset .$
 $[\exists Fd] .$
2. $\sim(A \varepsilon F) .$
3. $F \varepsilon d .$
4. $\mathbf{Kl}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) .$ [E8; 1]
5. $A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) .$ [E12; 1; 4]
6. $E \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) .$ [E11; 5; 3]
 $[\exists F] . F \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(A) . \sim(A \varepsilon F)$ [6; 2]
- E16* $[DFGa] :: [E] : E \varepsilon a . \supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E) : F \varepsilon a . G \varepsilon \mathbf{el}(F) : \supset : [E] : E \varepsilon a \cup G .$
 $\supset . D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(E)$
- PR** $[DFGa] :: \mathbf{Hp}(3) . \supset :$
4. $D \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(F) .$ [1; 2]

5. $D \varepsilon \text{ex}(G) :$ [DE2; 3; 4]
 $[E] : E \varepsilon a \cup G \therefore D \varepsilon \text{ex}(E) :$ [1; 5; 3]
- E17 $[FGa] : F \varepsilon a . G \varepsilon \text{el}(F) \therefore \mathbf{Kl}(a) \circ \mathbf{Kl}(a \cup G)$ [DE1; E16]
- E18 $[AF] : A \varepsilon A : [b] : A \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) \therefore F \varepsilon \text{el}(A)$
- PR $[AF] : \text{Hp}(2) \therefore$
 $[\exists d] .$
3. $F \varepsilon d .$ }
4. $\mathbf{Kl}(A) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) .$ } [2; 1]
5. $A \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) .$ [E12; 1; 4]
- $F \varepsilon \text{el}(A)$ [E11; 5; 3]
- E19 $[ABF] : A \varepsilon A . B \varepsilon B : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) \therefore$
 $[\exists G] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon G \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon G \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . G \varepsilon d .$
 $\mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$
- PR $[ABF] : \text{Hp}(3) \therefore \therefore$
4. $F \varepsilon \text{el}(A) .$ [E18; 1; 3]
5. $F \varepsilon \text{el}(B) :$ [E18; 3; 2]
 $[\exists G] :$
6. $G \varepsilon \text{el}(F) .$ }
7. $\sim \langle F \varepsilon G \rangle :$ } [E15; 4]
8. $A \varepsilon G . \vee . B \varepsilon G \therefore A = G . \vee . B = G :$ [6]
9. $A \varepsilon G . \vee . B \varepsilon G \therefore F \varepsilon \text{el}(G) :$ [8; 4; 5]
10. $A \varepsilon G . \vee . B \varepsilon G \therefore F = G :$ [E14; 9; 6]
11. $\sim \langle A \varepsilon G . \vee . B \varepsilon G \rangle :$ [10; 7]
12. $[b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d \cup G) :$ [3; 6; E17]
13. $[b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . G \varepsilon d \cup G . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d \cup G) :$ [12; 6]
14. $[b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . G \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) :$ [13]
 $[\exists G] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon G \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon G \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . G \varepsilon d .$
 $\mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$ [11; 14]
- E20 $[AB] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon A \rangle . B \varepsilon B \therefore [\exists G] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon G \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon G \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b .$
 $\vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . G \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$
- PR $[AB] : \text{Hp}(2) \therefore \therefore$
 $[\exists G] :$
3. $G \varepsilon \text{el}(B) .$ }
4. $\sim \langle B \varepsilon G \rangle .$ } [E15; 2]
5. $\sim \langle A \varepsilon G \rangle :$ [1]
6. $[b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore B \varepsilon b . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(b) :$ [E9; 1]
7. $[b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore G \varepsilon b \cup G . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(b \cup G) :$ [6; E17; 3]
 $[\exists G] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon G \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon G \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] .$
 $G \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$ [5; 4; 7]
- E21 $[AB] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon A \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon B \rangle \therefore \sim \langle A \varepsilon \wedge \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon \wedge \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore$
 $\wedge \varepsilon \wedge . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(\wedge)$ [Ontology]
- E22 $[AB] : [\exists F] : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d) \therefore \equiv : [\exists F] :$
 $\sim \langle A \varepsilon F \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon F \rangle : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$
[E19; E20; E20; E21]
- E23 $[AB] : \sim \langle A \varepsilon \text{ex}(B) \rangle \therefore \equiv : [\exists F] : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b \therefore [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d .$
 $\mathbf{Kl}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{Kl}(d)$ [E7; E22]

- $E24 \quad [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \equiv :: [F] :: [\exists b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : [d] : F \varepsilon d . \supset .$
 $\sim \langle \mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d) \rangle$ [E23]
 $E25 \quad (= X1)$ [E24; E5]

$E25$, $DE2$, $E15$ are $X1$, $DX2$, $X15$ respectively. Therefore atomless mereology is derivable from $E1$.

Next we derive $E1$ from $X1$, $DX2$, $X15$. The proof closely parallels the one we have just completed. Let $X2$ through $X25$ be identical to $E2$ through $E25$ with the following modifications:

- $X6 \quad [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \equiv :: [F] :: [\exists b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : [d] : F \varepsilon d . \supset .$
 $\sim \langle \mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d) \rangle$
 $X7 \quad [AB] :: \sim \langle A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) \rangle . \equiv :: [\exists F] :: [b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : \supset . [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d .$
 $\mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d)$
 $X8 \quad [A] :: [\exists F] : [b] : A \varepsilon b . \supset . [\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d)$
 $X23 \quad [AB] :: \sim \langle A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) \rangle . \equiv :: [\exists F] :: \sim \langle A \varepsilon F \rangle . \sim \langle B \varepsilon F \rangle : [b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : \supset .$
 $[\exists d] . F \varepsilon d . \mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d)$
 $X24 \quad [AB] :: A \varepsilon \mathbf{ex}(B) . \equiv :: [F] :: A \varepsilon F . \vee . B \varepsilon F . \vee . [\exists b] :: A \varepsilon b . \vee . B \varepsilon b : [d] :$
 $F \varepsilon d . \supset . \sim \langle \mathbf{KI}(b) \varepsilon \mathbf{KI}(d) \rangle$
 $X25 \quad (= E1) .$

Replacement of E theses by the corresponding X theses yields proofs of $X2$ through $X25$ except for $X15$ which is an axiom and so has no need of proof. The definitions used in both systems are identical so $E1$ is derivable from atomless mereology and the two systems are interderivable. Therefore $E1$ is a single axiom for atomless mereology.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lejewski, C., "A new axiom of mereology," *Yearbook of the Polish Society of Arts and Sciences Abroad*, vol. VI (1956), pp. 65-70.
- [2] Lejewski, C., "A contribution to the study of extended mereologies," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XIV (1973), pp. 55-67.
- [3] Lejewski, C., "A note on a problem concerning the axiomatic foundations of mereology," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. IV (1962), pp. 135-139.
- [4] Sobociński, B., "Atomistic mereology I," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 89-103.
- [5] Sobociński, B., "Atomistic mereology II," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XII (1971), pp. 203-213.

University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana