# TWO IDENTITIES FOR LATTICES, DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES AND MODULAR LATTICES WITH A CONSTANT

### SABURO TAMURA

In his paper [3] J. A. Kalman has defined lattices using two identities and six variables. We shall define lattices using two identities and five variables in Theorem 1. In Theorem 2 we shall give an axiom system for lattices with 0 consisting of two identities. J. Sholander's axiom system for distributive lattices with 0 contains three identities (cf., [5]), but our axiom system in Theorem 3 consists of two identities. In Theorem 4 we shall give a definition for distributive lattices with 1 in the Croisot-Sobociński style (cf., [1] and [7]). Finally, as axiom system for modular lattices with 0 shall be given in Theorem 5. In the remarks, axiom systems for lattices, distributive lattices and modular lattices with two constants are given by three identities.

**Theorem 1.** Any algebraic system  $\langle A; \cdot; + \rangle$  with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and +, which satisfies the following two identities

L1. a = ba + aL2. ((ab)c + d) + e = ((bc)a + e) + (b + d)d

is a lattice

*Proof:* We can prove it as Kalman has shown in [3] (*cf.*, Theorem 2 in this paper).

**Theorem 2.** Any algebraic system  $\langle A; \cdot; +, 0 \rangle$  with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and +, and with a constant 0, which satisfies the following two identities

L1. a = ba + aL2'. (((0 + a)b)c + d) + e = ((bc)a + e) + (b + d)d

is a lattice with 0.

 Proof:

 3.
 c + a = (((0 + a)b)c + c) + a = ((bc)a + a) + (b + c)c = a + (b + c)c 

 [L1, L2', L1]

 4.
 c + a = a + (bc + c)c = a + cc 

 [3, L1]

Received May 24, 1974

## SABURO TAMURA

5. 
$$a = aa + a = a + (aa)(aa) = (aa)(aa) + aa = aa$$
 [L1, 4, 4, L1]  
6.  $c + a = a + cc = a + c$  [4, 5]  
7.  $a + a = aa + a = a$  [5, L1]  
8.  $(b + c)c = (b + c)c + (b + c)c = c + (b + c)c = (b + c)c + c = c$  [7, 3, 6, L1]  
9.  $(((0 + a)b)c + d) + e = ((bc)a + e) + (b + d)d = ((bc)a + e) + d$  [L2', 8]  
10.  $(d + a) + e = (a + d) + e = (aa + d) + e = (((0 + a)a)a + d) + e$  [6, 5, 8, 9, 5, 6]  
11.  $0 + a = 0 + ((a + a) + a) = ((0 + a) + a) + a$  [6, 5, 8, 9, 5, 6]  
11.  $0 + a = 0 + ((a + a) + a) = ((0 + a) + a) + a$  [6, 5, 8, 9, 5, 6]  
11.  $0 + a = 0 + ((a + a) + a) = ((0 + a) + a) + a$  [11, 6, 8]  
12.  $a = (((0 + a)(0 + a))(0 + a) + a) + a = a + a = a$  [7, 10, 5, 9, L1, 7]  
13.  $((ab)c + d) + e = ((((0 + a)b)c + d) + e = ((bc)a + e) + (b + d)e$  [11, L2']

We can prove the remaining part of this proof as Kalman has shown in [3].

Remark 1. We define lattices with 1 as the dual of postulates in Theorem 2.

 $L*1. \quad a = (b + a)a$ L\*2'. (((1a + b) + c)d)e = (((b + c) + a)e)(bd + d)

Remark 2. If the system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0; 1 \rangle$  satisfies L1, L2', and

L3. a1 = a,

then it is a lattice with 0 and 1 (cf., [5]).

**Theorem 3.** Any algebraic system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0 \rangle$  with two binary operations and +, and with a constant 0, which satisfies the following two identities

P1. a = a(a + b)P2'. a(b + c) = c(a + 0) + b(a + 0)

is a distributive lattice with 0.

Proof:

3.	a = a(a + a) = a(a + 0) + a(a + 0) = a + a	[ <i>P1, P2</i> *, <i>P1</i> ]
4.	a = a(a + a) = aa	[ <i>P1</i> , 3]
5.	ab = a(b + b) = b(a + 0) + b(a + 0) = b(a + 0)	[3, <i>P2</i> ′, 3]
6.	a = a(a + 0) = (a + 0)(a + 0) = a + 0	[P1, 5, 4]
7.	a(b + c) = c(a + 0) + b(a + 0) = ca + ba	[ <i>P2'</i> , 6]
8.	a0 = a0 + 0 = a0 + 00 = 0(0 + a) = 0	[6, 4, 7, <i>P1</i> ]

We can prove the remaining part of this proof as Sholander has shown in [5].

Remark 3. We define distributive lattices with 1 as the dual of postulates in Theorem 3:

 $P*1. \quad a = a + ab$  $P*2'. \quad a + bc = (c + a1)(b + a1)$ 

Remark 4. If the system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0, 1 \rangle$  satisfies P1, P2', and

*P3.* a1 = a,

then it is a distributive lattice with 0 and 1 (cf., [5]).

**Theorem 4.** Any algebraic system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 1 \rangle$  with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and +, and with a constant 1, which satisfies the following two identities

D1'. a = a(b + 11)D2'. a(bb + c1) = ca + ba

is a distributive lattice with 1.

Proof: [D1', D2']3. a = a(bb + 11) = 1a + ba4. 1 = 11 + b1[3] [4, D1']5. a1 = a(11 + 11) = a[4, 5]6. 1 = 11 + b1 = 1 + b7. a + 1 = (a + 1)(bb + 11) = 1(a + 1) + b(a + 1) = 1(a + 11) + b(a + 11)= 1 + b = 1[D1', D2', 5, D1', 6]8. a(bb + c) = a(bb + c1) = ca + ba[5, D2']

We can prove the remaining part of this proof as Sobociński has shown in [7].

Remark 5. We define distributive lattices with 0 as the dual of postulates in Theorem 4.

D\*1'. a = a + b(0 + 0)D\*2'. a + (b + b)(c + 0) = (c + a)(b + a)Remark 6. If the system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0; 1 \rangle$  satisfies D1', D2', and

 $D3. \qquad a+0=a,$ 

then it is a distributive lattice with 0 and 1 (cf., [6]).

**Theorem 5.** Any algebraic system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0 \rangle$  with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and +, and with a constant 0, which satisfies the following two identities

M1. (a + bb)b = bM2'. ((0 + a)b)c + ad = (da + cb)a

is a modular lattice with 0.

Proof:

3.	a = (da + aa)a = ((0 + a)a)a + ad	[M1, M2']
4.	aa = (((0 + a)a)a + aa)a = a	[3, M1]
5.	(a+b)b = (a+bb)b = b	[4, M1]
6.	a = ((0 + a)a)a + ad = aa + ad = a + ad	[3, 5, 4]
7.	a + a = a + aa = a	[4, 6]

#### SABURO TAMURA

8. ad = ad + ad = ((0 + a)a)d + ad = (da + da)a = (da)a[7, 5, *M2*', 7] 9. a(a + b) = ((a + b)a)a = ((aa + bb)a)a = (((0 + a)b)b + aa)a = a[8, 4, M2', M1][8, 9, 9] 10. (a + b)a = (a(a + b))(a + b) = a(a + b) = a(0 + a) + a = ((0 + a)(0 + a))(0 + a) + aa = (aa + (0 + a)(0 + a))a11. [4, M2', 4, 10]= (a + (0 + a))a = a12. 0 + a = (0 + a) + (0 + a)a = (0 + a) + a = a[6, 5, 11]13. 0a = 0(0 + a) = 0[12, 9]14. (ab)c + ad = ((0 + a)b)c + ad = (da + cb)a[12, M2']

We can prove the remaining part of this proof as Kolibiar has shown in [4].

Remark 7. We define modular lattices with 1 as the dual of postulates in Theorem 5:

 $M*1. \quad a(b+b) + b = b$ M\*2'. ((1a+b) + c)(a+d) = (d+a)(c+b) + a

Remark 8. If the system  $\langle A; \cdot; +; 0; 1 \rangle$  satisfies M1, M2', and

*M3.* 
$$a1 = a$$
,

then it is a modular lattice with 0 and 1.

#### REFERENCES

- Croisot, R., "Axiomatique des lattices distributives," Canadian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 3 (1951), pp. 24-27.
- [2] Grätzer, G., Lattice Theory, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco (1971).
- [3] Kalman, J. A., "Two axiom definition for lattices," Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, vol. 13 (1968), pp. 669-670.
- [4] Kolibiar, M., "On the axiomatic of modular lattices," (in Russian), Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, vol. 6 (1956), pp. 381-386.
- [5] Sholander, J., "Postulates for distributive lattices," Canadian Journal of Mathématics, vol. 3 (1951), pp. 28-30.
- [6] Sobociński, B., "Certain sets of postulates for distributive lattices with constant elements," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XIII (1972), pp. 119-123.
- [7] Sobociński, B., "An abbreviation of Croisot's axiom-system for distributive lattices with 1," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XIII (1972), pp. 139-141.

Yamaguchi University Yamaguchi, Japan

140