

FURTHER EXTENSIONS OF S3\*

IVO THOMAS

In [1] S3\* was extended by 1.  $\mathcal{C}Kp q \mathcal{C} \mathcal{C} p q \mathcal{C} L p L q$  to give S3\*\* which is factorable in the sense of Zeman. By adding 2.  $\mathcal{C} L p L L p$  either to S3\* or S3\*\* we get of course into the area of S4. The weaker system should perhaps be chosen as S4\*, the stronger one as S4\*\*. Neither is factorable but if we add 3.  $\mathcal{C} K p L p L K p L p$  to S4\*\* we obtain again a factorable system S4\*\*\*. A still stronger system is given by adding 4.  $\mathcal{C} L p L K p L p$  to S3\*\*. This we call S4 $\Delta$ . It is obvious that we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S4 & \rightarrow & S4^\Delta & \rightarrow & S4^{***} & \rightarrow & S4^{**} & \rightarrow & S4^* \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & S3^{**} & \rightarrow & S3^* \end{array}$$

That the containments are proper is shown by the following matrices, to be taken with the usual Boolean four or eight valued matrices for C, N, K.

- ¶1. L(\*1\*234) = 1333
- ¶2. L(\*1\*234) = 1334
- ¶3. L(\*1\*2\*3\*45678) = 15555778
- ¶4. L(\*1\*234) = 2444
- ¶5. L(\*1\*2\*3\*45678) = 15565556.

Then,  $\mathcal{C} L p p$  is not in S4 $\Delta$  by ¶1; 4 is not in S4\*\*\* by ¶2; 3 is not in S4\*\* by ¶3; 2 is not in S3\*\* or S3\* by ¶4; 1 is not in S3\* or S4\* by ¶5.

In the field of S3\*, 5.  $\mathcal{C} K L p L q L K p q$  and 6.  $\mathcal{C} K \mathcal{C} p q \mathcal{C} q r \mathcal{C} p r$  are inferentially equivalent. ¶2 shows that 5 is not in S4\*\*\*, but it is not known whether it is in S4 $\Delta$ . Assuming that it is not, then since {S3\*\*, 5} evidently contains S4 $\Delta$  and by ¶1 lacks  $\mathcal{C} L p p$ , this system is properly intermediate between S4 and S4 $\Delta$ . It can evidently be thought of as {S4 $^0$ ,  $\mathcal{C} L p p$ } and so should be called R4 $^0$  on the analogy of Canty's R-systems in [2], but it should be noted that it lacks the rule to infer  $L\alpha$  from  $\alpha$ .

REFERENCES

[1] Thomas, Ivo, "Unusual feature of S3\*," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XIV (1973), p. 276.

Received December 1, 1972

- [2] Canty, J. T., "Systems classically axiomatized and properly contained in Lewis's S3," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. VI (1965), pp. 309-318.

*University of Notre Dame*  
*Notre Dame, Indiana*