

VARIABLE BINDING TERM OPERATORS IN λ -CALCULUS

M. W. BUNDER

A variable binding term operator (vbto) is any operator which binds one or more variables in a term or well formed formula. We will represent an arbitrary vbto by ν and the result of applying it to a term or wf $F(x)$ by $\nu xF(x)$. Examples are proper integrals ($\nu xF(x) = \int_a^b F(x)dx$), all integral transforms, λ abstraction ($\nu xF(x) = \lambda xF(x)$), the quantifiers ($\nu xF(x) = \forall xF(x)$ or $\exists xF(x)$), the class forming operator ($\nu xF(x) = \{x: F(x)\}$), and the description operators \mathfrak{L} and ε ($\nu xF(x) = \mathfrak{L}x F(x)$ or $\varepsilon x F(x)$).

Most references such as [4] and [6] give two characteristic axioms for vbtoS:

$$A1 \quad \nu xF(x) = \nu yF(y)$$

where y is free in $F(y)$ exactly where x was free in $F(x)$ and vice versa,

and

$$A2 \quad \forall x(F(x) \equiv G(x)) \supset \nu xF(x) = \nu xG(x).$$

Clearly, however, about half of our examples, as they concern terms rather than well formed formulas, do not fit A2, so we use as an alternative the weaker:

$$A2' \quad \forall x(F(x) = G(x)) \supset \nu xF(x) = \nu xG(x).$$

This version of A2 is given for a particular vbto in [4] and was mentioned in [7].

Clearly λ -abstraction is a vbto which satisfies A1 and A2', but conversely any vbto satisfying A1 and A2 can be represented using λ -abstraction and another operator that is not variable binding. If we replace $\nu xF(x)$ by $\mathbf{V}(\lambda xF(x))$ in Axioms A1 and A2', these become theorems of the $\lambda\beta$ -calculus, which includes the axioms:

- (α) If y does not occur free in X , $\lambda y[y/x]X = \lambda xX$
 (β) $(\lambda xX)Y = [Y/x]X$

Received April 24, 1978

- (μ) $X = Y \Rightarrow ZX = ZY$
- (ξ) $X = Y \Rightarrow \lambda xX = \lambda xY$.¹

The stronger version A2 can be obtained in an illative combinatory logic such as that of [1] in which first order predicates and sets of classes are identified² and which has extensionality.

If FAHX and FAHY (i.e., if X and Y are sets (or classes) and also first order predicates) we have by extensionality:

$$Au \supset_u (Xu \equiv Yu) \supset. X = Y^3$$

If we add to our pure λ -calculus the axiom:

- (η) $\lambda x(Mx) = M$, where x is not free in M ,

we obtain by (ξ) and (μ):

$$Au \supset_u (Xu \equiv Yu) \supset. \mathbf{V}(\lambda u(Xu)) = \mathbf{V}(\lambda u(Yu)),$$

which is exactly A2.

We now show that for two particular \mathbf{v} btos which satisfy A2 the \mathbf{V} can also be defined in terms of illative combinatory logic.

$\{x: F(x)\}$, the class of all x such that $F(x)$, may be characterized simply as $\lambda xF(x)$, or as F , thus $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.

The Hilbert symbol \mathbf{l} is a description operator, $\mathbf{l}x F(x)$ is the unique x such that $F(x)$ if there is one. For the special case of a system where all individuals are sets (i.e., there are no "ur-elementen"), \mathbf{l} becomes simply the sum set operator defined in [1] by:

$$\{\mathbf{Un}\} = \lambda y \lambda x . \Sigma \mathbf{A}(\lambda u(ux \wedge yu))^4$$

Clearly, if the u such that yu is unique, $\{\mathbf{Un}\}y = u$.

NOTES

1. See [5] for these and the other axioms of λ -calculus.
2. The identification of sets (and classes) and first order predicates was made in [1] and is discussed in [2] and [3].
3. \mathbf{A} is the class of individuals over which quantification ranges. " $\mathbf{A}u \supset_u \dots$ " thus means "for all u in $\mathbf{A} \dots$ ". [1] uses " \sim " instead of " \equiv " for "if and only if".
4. " $\Sigma \mathbf{A}(\lambda u \dots)$ " is interpreted as " $\exists u \dots$ ".

REFERENCES

[1] Bunder, M. W., "Various systems of set theory based on combinatory logic," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. XV (1974), pp. 192-206.

- [2] Bunder, M. W., "An introduction to trends in combinatory logic," *Proceedings of the First Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics* (1972): The University of Newcastle Research Association Ltd., Australia (1972), pp. 183-191.
- [3] Bunder, M. W., "Predicate calculus of arbitrarily high finite order," Not yet published.
- [4] Corcoran, J., W. Hatcher, and J. Herring, "Variable binding term operators," *Zeitschrift für mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, vol. 18 (1972), pp. 177-182.
- [5] Curry, H. B. and R. Feys, *Combinatory Logic*, North Holland Co., Amsterdam (1958).
- [6] da Costa, N. C. A. and I. F. Druck, "Sur les "vbtos" selon M. Hatcher," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, série A*, vol. 281 (1975), pp. 741-743.
- [7] da Costa, N. C. A., "The theory of variable binding term operators," A paper at the annual Conference of the Australasian Association of Philosophy, Wollongong, N.S.W. Australia (1977).

*The University of Wollongong
Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia*