

EXTREME PARTITIONS OF A LEBESGUE SPACE AND THEIR APPLICATION IN TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS

WOJCIECH BUŁATEK — BRUNON KAMIŃSKI — JERZY SZYMAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. It is shown that any topological action Φ of a countable orderable and amenable group G on a compact metric space X and every Φ -invariant probability Borel measure μ admit an extreme partition ζ of X such that the equivalence relation R_ζ associated with ζ contains the asymptotic relation $A(\Phi)$ of Φ . As an application of this result and the generalized Glasner theorem it is proved that $A(\Phi)$ is dense for the set $E_\mu(\Phi)$ of entropy pairs.

1. Introduction

In the paper we consider topological dynamical systems on a compact metric space being actions of a countable amenable and orderable (CAO) group.

The simplest class of CAO groups applied in topological dynamics is formed by the groups \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \geq 1$. One can show that all finitely generated, torsion-free nilpotent groups are CAO ([3], [7]).

In our further considerations we shall assume that the given compact metric space is equipped with a Borel measure invariant with respect to the considered action. Measurable partitions of the space form a useful tool in the theory of dynamical systems.

In particular extreme partitions play an important role in the entropy theory. The existence of extreme partitions for \mathbb{Z}^d -actions has been proved by Rokhlin

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 37B05, 37B40; Secondary: 28D20.
Key words and phrases. Topological G -action; relative entropy; entropy pair; asymptotic relation; relative Pinsker σ -algebra.

and Sinai ($d = 1$) and by the second author (for arbitrary $d \geq 2$) (cf. [12], [8]). Golodets and Sinelshchikov ([7]) have shown this for actions of an arbitrary finitely generated, torsion-free nilpotent group.

Blanchard, Host and Ruelle ([1]) proved a strengthened form of the Rokhlin-Sinai theorem for Lebesgue spaces being compact metric spaces equipped with a Borel probability invariant measure. Namely, they showed the existence of an extreme partition (the authors used the name “excellent”) such that the equivalence relation associated with this partition is a subset of the asymptotic relation.

The aim of our paper is to extend the results of [1] to the case of any CAO group. In [2] we applied measurable partitions which are “almost” extreme to study the asymptotic relation of a topological dynamical system (X, Φ) where Φ is a topological action of a CAO group G on a compact metric space X . It is shown among other things that Φ is deterministic if $A(\Phi)$ is a diagonal and that $A(\Phi)$ is dense if Φ admits an invariant measure with full support and completely positive entropy.

Our first main result, Theorem 3.6, says that for any topological action Φ and any Φ -invariant measure μ there exists an extreme partition ζ of X such that the equivalence relation R_ζ associated with ζ is contained in $A(\Phi)$. Applying this and the generalized Glasner theorem (Proposition 3.8) we relate $A(\Phi)$ to the set $E_\mu(\Phi)$. Namely, we show (Theorem 3.9) that $E_\mu(\Phi)$ is contained in the closure of $A(\Phi)$. As consequences of this we obtain the two results of [2] mentioned above.

It is worth to point out that $A(\Phi)$ may be used to characterize zero entropy actions (see [4] for $G = \mathbb{Z}$).

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and suppose μ is a Borel probability measure on X . We assume X is equipped with the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} being the completion of the Borel σ -algebra with respect to μ . The extension of μ to \mathcal{B} will be also denoted by μ . We associate with μ its support $\text{Supp } \mu$ and the set $S(\mu) = \{(x, x) : x \in \text{Supp } \mu\}$.

For a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ we denote by $\mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu$ the relative self product of μ with respect to \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$(\mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu)(A \times B) = \int_X \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A | \mathcal{A}) \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_B | \mathcal{B}) d\mu, \quad \text{for } A, B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the lattice of measurable partitions of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . For the definition and basic properties of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ we refer the reader to [10].

Let $\mathcal{F}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the set of finite partitions. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ we denote by $R_\xi \subset X \times X$ the equivalence relation determined by ξ and by $\widehat{\xi}$

the σ -algebra of ξ -sets, i.e. measurable unions of elements of ξ . We denote by \mathcal{N} the σ -algebra corresponding to the trivial partition ν_X of X .

Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. The relation $\xi \prec \eta$ means that any atom of η is included in some atom of ξ . If $\xi \prec \eta$ then obviously $\widehat{\xi} \subset \widehat{\eta}$.

For a countable family $\{\xi_t : t \in T\} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ we denote by $\bigvee_{t \in T} \xi_t$ its join. It is known ([10]) that $\bigvee_{t \in T} \xi_t \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Moreover, if the elements of $\xi_t, t \in T$ are Borel sets then the elements of $\bigvee_{t \in T} \xi_t$ are so.

Let $\langle G, \cdot \rangle$ be a countable amenable group equipped with a set $\Gamma \subset G$ called an algebraic past satisfying the following conditions:

- $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{-1} = \emptyset,$
- $\Gamma \cup \Gamma^{-1} \cup \{e\} = G,$
- $\Gamma \cdot \Gamma \subset \Gamma,$
- $g\Gamma g^{-1} \subset \Gamma,$

where e is the unity element of $G, g \in G$.

For a finite set $A \subset G$ we denote by $|A|$ the number of elements of A .

It is well known that the amenability of G is equivalent to the existence of a Følner sequence (A_n) of finite subsets of G , i.e. a sequence satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|g \cdot A_n \cap A_n|}{|A_n|} = 1 \quad \text{for any } g \in G.$$

It is also known (cf. [9]) that every countable amenable group has a Følner sequence (A_n) such that

$$A_n^{-1} = A_n, \quad A_n \subset A_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = G.$$

The existence of an algebraic past in G is equivalent to the fact that G is orderable, i.e. there exists in G a linear order $<$ compatible with the group operation. We have $\Gamma = \{g \in G : g < e\}$. It is well-known that all free groups are orderable and abelian groups are orderable if and only if they are torsion free ([5]).

Let $\mathcal{H}(X)$ be the group of all homeomorphisms of X and let Φ be a topological action of G on X , i.e. a homomorphism of G into $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Let $\Phi^g, g \in G$ be the homeomorphism corresponding to g . We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ the set of all Φ -invariant probability measures. Given a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ and a partition $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we denote by $H_\mu(\xi)$ the entropy of ξ and we use the symbols $h_\mu(\Phi)$ and $\pi_\mu(\Phi)$ for the entropy and the Pinsker σ -algebra of Φ , respectively.

We call a pair of points $(x, x') \in X \times X, x \neq x'$ a measure-theoretic entropy pair for Φ if for any Borel partition $\xi = \{F, F^c\}$ of X such that $x \in \text{Int}(F) \neq \emptyset \neq \text{Int}(F^c) \ni x'$ it holds $h_\mu(\Phi, \xi) > 0$. We denote by $E_\mu(\Phi)$ the set of measure-theoretic entropy pairs for Φ .

Let us denote $\lambda_\mu = \mu \times \mu$ and $\Lambda_\mu = \text{Supp } \lambda_\mu$. For a given topological G -action Φ on X the relation

$$\mathbf{A}(\Phi) = \left\{ (x, x') \in X \times X : \lim_{g \in \Gamma^{-1}} d(\Phi^g x, \Phi^g x') = 0 \right\}$$

is said to be the asymptotic relation of Φ , where the above limit has the following meaning:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists g_0 \in \Gamma^{-1} \forall g > g_0 \quad d(\Phi^g x, \Phi^g x') < \varepsilon.$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{A}(\Phi)$ is an equivalence relation.

3. Main results

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ be fixed. From now on, up to Proposition 3.8, we omit the subscript μ in the notation of entropies H_μ, h_μ and the Pinsker σ -algebra π_μ . For a partition $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and a set $A \subset G$ we define

$$\xi(A) = \bigvee_{g \in A} \Phi^g \xi.$$

We put $\xi^- = \xi(\Gamma), \xi_\Phi = \xi(G)$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be totally invariant, i.e. $\Phi^g \sigma = \sigma, g \in G$. We will make use of the following result given in [2].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For any Følner sequence (A_n) in G and any $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|A_n|} H(\xi(A_n) | \hat{\sigma}) = H(\xi | \hat{\xi}^- \vee \hat{\sigma}).$$

We call this limit the *mean σ -relative entropy of ξ with respect to Φ* and we denote it by $h(\xi, \Phi | \sigma)$.

By $\pi(\Phi | \sigma)$ we denote the relative Pinsker σ -algebra of Φ with respect to σ , i.e. the join of all partitions $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $h(\xi, \Phi | \sigma) = 0$. For the trivial partition $\sigma = \nu_X$ of X we have $h(\xi, \Phi | \nu) = h(\xi, \Phi)$ and $\pi(\Phi | \sigma) = \pi(\Phi)$.

Applying the methods given in [2] to the relative mean entropy instead of the mean entropy one can prove the following relative version of the generalized Pinsker formula ([2, Lemma 2]) and its corollary.

LEMMA 3.2 (Relative Pinsker formula). *For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ we have*

$$h(\xi \vee \eta, \Phi | \sigma) = h(\xi, \Phi | \sigma) + H(\eta | \hat{\eta}^- \vee \hat{\xi}_\Phi \vee \sigma).$$

COROLLARY 3.3. *For any $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\xi \preceq \eta$ we have*

$$\lim_{g \in \Gamma} H(\xi | \hat{\eta}^- \vee \Phi^g \hat{\zeta}^- \vee \hat{\sigma}) = H(\xi | \hat{\eta}^- \vee \hat{\sigma}).$$

In the sequel we shall also need the following

REMARK 3.4. $\pi(\Phi | \pi(\Phi)) = \pi(\Phi)$.

PROOF. If $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ is measurable with respect to $\pi(\Phi)$ then $H(\xi|\pi(\Phi)) = 0$. Hence $0 = H(\xi|\xi^- \vee \pi(\Phi)) = h(\xi, \Phi|\pi(\Phi))$, i.e. ξ is measurable with respect to $\pi(\Phi|\pi(\Phi))$.

Let now $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ be measurable with respect to $\pi(\Phi|\pi(\Phi))$, i.e. $h(\xi, \Phi|\pi(\Phi)) = 0$. Let $\eta_n \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that $\eta_n \nearrow \pi(\Phi)$. Therefore $h(\eta_n, \Phi) = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$H(\eta_n|\eta_n^- \vee \xi_\Phi) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying the generalized Pinsker formula we get, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi, \Phi) &= h(\xi, \Phi) + H(\eta_n|\eta_n^- \vee \xi_\Phi) = h(\xi \vee \eta_n, \Phi) + H(\xi \vee \eta_n|\xi^- \vee \eta_n^-) \\ &= H(\xi|\xi^- \vee \eta_n^-) + H(\eta_n|\eta_n^- \vee \xi \vee \xi^-) = H(\xi|\xi^- \vee \eta_n^-). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get by the assumption

$$h(\xi, \Phi) = H(\xi|\xi^- \vee \pi(\Phi)) = h(\xi, \phi|\pi(\Phi)) = 0,$$

i.e. ξ is measurable with respect to $\pi(\Phi)$ which finishes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. A partition $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is said to be *extreme* for Φ if

- (a) $\Phi^g \zeta \preceq \zeta$, $g \in \Gamma$,
- (b) $\bigvee_{g \in G} \Phi^g \widehat{\zeta} = \mathcal{B}$,
- (c) $\bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g \widehat{\zeta} = \pi(\Phi)$.

Now we shall show our first main result.

THEOREM 3.6. For any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ there exists an extreme partition $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with

- (d) $R_\zeta \subset \mathbf{A}(\Phi)$.

PROOF. We start (as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 from [2]) with a sequence $(\alpha_n) \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ of Borel measurable partitions such that

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_n \preceq \alpha_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam } \alpha_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a totally invariant partition. Applying a relativized technique of Rokhlin (cf. [2]) with respect to $\widehat{\sigma}$, we get a new sequence $(\xi_p) \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ given by $\xi_p = \bigvee_{k=1}^p \Phi^{g_k^{-1}} \alpha_k$ where $(g_k) \subset G$ is chosen in such a way that

$$(3.2) \quad H(\xi_p|\widehat{\xi}_p^- \vee \widehat{\sigma}) - H(\xi_p|\widehat{\xi}_{p+t}^- \vee \widehat{\sigma}) < \frac{1}{p} \quad \text{for any } p, t \geq 1.$$

We consider the following measurable partitions

$$\xi = \bigvee_{p=1}^{+\infty} \xi_p, \quad \eta = \xi^-.$$

Taking in (3.2) limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad H(\xi_p | \widehat{\xi}_p^- \vee \widehat{\sigma}) - H(\xi_p | \widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \leq \frac{1}{p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

It is clear that

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi^g \eta \preceq \eta, \quad g \in \Gamma.$$

As a consequence of (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \bigvee_{g \in G} \Phi^g \widehat{\eta} = \mathcal{B}$$

in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 from [2].

Now we shall show that

$$(3.6) \quad \bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g(\widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \subset \pi(\Phi|\sigma).$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ be measurable with respect to $\bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g(\widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma})$. Applying Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha \vee \xi_p, \Phi|\sigma) &= h(\alpha, \Phi|\sigma) + H(\xi_p | \widehat{\xi}_p^- \vee \widehat{\alpha}_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \\ &= h(\xi_p, \Phi|\sigma) + H(\alpha | \widehat{\alpha}^- \vee (\widehat{\xi}_p)_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$h(\alpha, \Phi|\sigma) = h(\xi_p, \Phi|\sigma) - H(\xi_p | \widehat{\xi}_p^- \vee \widehat{\alpha}_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}) + H(\alpha | \widehat{\alpha}^- \vee (\widehat{\xi}_p)_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}).$$

Using the inclusion $\widehat{\alpha}_\Phi \subset \bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g(\widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \subset \widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}$ and applying the inequality (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha, \Phi|\sigma) &\leq h(\xi_p, \Phi|\sigma) - H(\xi_p | \widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}) + H(\alpha | \widehat{\alpha}^- \vee (\widehat{\xi}_p)_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \\ &= H(\xi_p | \widehat{\xi}_p^- \vee \widehat{\sigma}) - H(\xi_p | \widehat{\eta} \vee \widehat{\sigma}) + H(\alpha | \widehat{\alpha}^- \vee (\widehat{\xi}_p)_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} + H(\alpha | \widehat{\alpha}^- \vee (\widehat{\xi}_p)_\Phi \vee \widehat{\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and applying (3.5) we obtain $h(\alpha, \Phi|\sigma) = 0$, i.e. α is measurable with respect to $\pi(\Phi|\sigma)$, which proves (3.6). Now, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 from [2], we get $R_\eta \subset A(\Phi)$.

Let now $\sigma = \pi(\Phi)$ and $\zeta = \eta \vee \sigma = \eta \vee \pi(\Phi)$. From (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that (a) and (b) are satisfied and

$$\pi(\Phi) = \sigma \subset \bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g \widehat{\zeta} \subset \pi(\Phi|\sigma) = \pi(\Phi|\pi(\Phi)) = \pi(\Phi),$$

i.e. (c) is also true. Since $R_\zeta \subset R_\eta$ we have $R_\zeta \subset A(\Phi)$ which finishes the proof. \square

To prove Proposition 3.8 we shall need the following

REMARK 3.7. For any σ -algebra \mathcal{A} and a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ we have

$$\Delta \cap \text{Supp } \mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu = S(\mu).$$

PROOF. Assume first that $(x, x) \in \Delta \cap \text{Supp } \mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu$ and $(x, x) \notin S(\mu)$, i.e. $x \notin \text{Supp } \mu$. Therefore there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that $\mu(U) = 0$. Therefore

$$0 = \mu(U) = \int_X \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_U | \mathcal{A}) d\mu,$$

thus $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_U | \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for μ -almost every $x \in X$. But by the assumption we have

$$0 < (\mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu)(U \times U) = \int_X \mathbb{E}^2(\mathbf{1}_U | \mathcal{A}) d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_U | \mathcal{A}) d\mu.$$

This contradiction gives $x \in \text{Supp } \mu$.

Now let $(x, x) \in S(\mu)$ and $(x, x) \notin \text{Supp } \mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu$. Then there exists an open set $G \subset X \times X$ such that $(x, x) \in G$ and $(\mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu)(G) = 0$. Let $U \subset X$ be an open neighbourhood of x such that $U \times U \subset G$. Thus

$$0 = (\mu \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mu)(U \times U) = \int_X \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_U | \mathcal{A}) d\mu = \mu(U)$$

which gives us a contradiction since $x \in \text{Supp } \mu$. □

PROPOSITION 3.8. For any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$

$$\Lambda_\mu = E_\mu(\Phi) \cup S(\mu).$$

PROOF. The idea of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [6]. We give here the sketch of the proof for the convenience of the reader.

First one shows the inclusions

$$(3.7) \quad \Lambda_\mu \subset E_\mu(\Phi) \cup \Delta \subset \Lambda_\mu \cup \Delta.$$

The proof of the first inclusion is based on the observation that if Q is a Borel set and $\xi = \{Q, Q^c\}$ is the partition of X induced by Q then the equality $h_\mu(\xi, \Phi) = 0$ is equivalent to the measurability of Q with respect to the Pinsker σ -algebra $\pi_\mu(\Phi)$.

In order to show the second inclusion one proves that if $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and A, B are Borel sets such that $x \in A$, $y \in B$, $\lambda_\mu(A \times B) = 0$ then one can find a Borel set Q with

$$A \subset Q, \quad B \subset Q^c, \quad h_\mu(\eta, \Phi) = 0, \quad \text{where } \eta = \{Q, Q^c\}.$$

Namely, one takes $Q = A$ in the case $\mu(A) = 0$ and $Q = A \cup (F \setminus B)$ when $\mu(A) > 0$ where $F = \{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A | \pi_\mu(\Phi)) > 0\}$.

Proposition 3.8 easily follows now from (3.7) and Remark 3.7 for $\mathcal{A} = \pi_\mu(\Phi)$. □

THEOREM 3.9. *For any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ the set $A(\Phi)$ of asymptotic pairs is dense in the set $E_\mu(\Phi)$ of entropy pairs, i.e. $E_\mu(\Phi) \subset \overline{A(\Phi)}$.*

PROOF. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be an extreme partition given in Theorem 3.6. By (c) we have

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} \Phi^g \widehat{\zeta} = \pi_\mu(\Phi).$$

Let $g \in G$ and let λ_g denote the relative product measure $\lambda_g = \mu \times_{\Phi^g \widehat{\zeta}} \mu$. Since the net $(\Phi^g \widehat{\zeta})_{g \in G}$ of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{B} is decreasing, the martingale convergence theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 3.4]) implies that the measure $\lambda_\mu = \mu \times_{\pi_\mu(\Phi)} \mu$ is the weak limit of $(\lambda_g, g \in G)$, i.e.

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_\mu = \lim_{g \in G} \lambda_g.$$

Since we deal with a closed set, we have

$$\lambda_\mu(\overline{A(\Phi)}) \geq \limsup_{g \in G} \lambda_g(\overline{A(\Phi)}).$$

Applying Lemma 6 of [1] we obtain $(\mu \times_{\widehat{\zeta}} \mu)(R_\zeta) = 1$. Therefore applying (d) we get $(\mu \times_{\widehat{\zeta}} \mu)(\overline{A(\Phi)}) = 1$. The fact that $A(\Phi)$ is $\Phi \times \Phi$ -invariant implies

$$(\mu \times_{\Phi^g \widehat{\zeta}} \mu)(\overline{A(\Phi)}) = 1, \quad \text{for any } g \in G.$$

Thus (3.8) gives $\lambda_\mu(\overline{A(\Phi)}) = 1$, i.e. $\Lambda_\mu \subset \overline{A(\Phi)}$. Hence by Proposition 3.8 we get $E_\mu(\Phi) \subset \overline{A(\Phi)}$ which completes the proof. \square

As an easy consequence of Theorem 3.9 we have the following

COROLLARY 3.10 ([2, Proposition 3]). *If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X, \Phi)$ has full support and the dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \Phi)$ has completely positive entropy, i.e. $\pi_\mu(\Phi) = \mathcal{N}$ then $A(\Phi)$ is a dense subset of $X \times X$.*

PROOF. The assumptions and Remark 3.7 imply

$$\Lambda_\mu = \text{Supp}_{\pi_\mu(\Phi)} \mu \times \mu = \text{Supp } \mu \times \mu = X \times X \quad \text{and} \quad S(\mu) = \Delta.$$

Therefore Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 3.8 give

$$\overline{A(\Phi)} \supset E_\mu(\Phi) \cup \Delta = E_\mu(\Phi) \cup S(\mu) = \Lambda_\mu = X \times X. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. BLANCHARD, B. HOST AND S. RUETTE, *Asymptotic pairs in positive entropy systems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **22** (2002), 671–686.
- [2] W. BULATEK, B. KAMIŃSKI AND J. SZYMAŃSKI, *On the asymptotic relation of amenable group actions*, Topol.Methods Nonlinear Anal. **41** (2016), 1–17.
- [3] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN AND M. COORNAERT, *Cellular Automata and Groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [4] T. DOWNAROWICZ AND Y. LACROIX, *Topological entropy zero and asymptotic pairs*, Israel J. Math. **189** (2012), 323–336.
- [5] L. FUCHS, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.–Palo Alto, Calif.–London, 1963.
- [6] E. GLASNER, *A simple characterization of the set of μ -entropy pairs and applications*, Israel J. Math. **102** (1997), 13–27.
- [7] V.YA. GOLODETS AND S.D. SINELSHCHIKOV, *On the entropy theory of finitely-generated nilpotent group actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **22** (2002), 1747–1771.
- [8] B. KAMIŃSKI, *On regular generator of \mathbb{Z}^2 -actions in exhaustive partitions*, Studia Math. **85** (1987), no. 1, 17–26.
- [9] I. NAMIOKA, *Følner’s conditions for amenable semi-groups*, Math. Scand. **15** (1964), 18–28.
- [10] V.A. ROKHLIN, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, Mat. Sb. **25** (1949), no. 67, 107–150.
- [11] V.A. ROKHLIN, *Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), 3–56.
- [12] V.A. ROKHLIN AND Y.G. SINAI, *Construction and properties of invariant measurable partitions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **141** (1961), 1038–1041.

Manuscript received October 31, 2017

accepted February 15, 2018

WOJCIECH BULATEK AND JERZY SZYMAŃSKI
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nicolaus Copernicus University
ul. Chopina 12/18
87-100 Toruń, POLAND

E-mail address: bulatek@mat.umk.pl, jerzy@mat.umk.pl

BRUNON KAMIŃSKI
Toruń School of Banking
ul. Młodziejowa 31a
87-100 Toruń, POLAND

E-mail address: bkam@mat.umk.pl