

## ON SOME TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION SPACES

MARIAN NOWAK

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $E$  be an ideal of  $L^0$  over a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  with a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\xi$ , and let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space. Let  $E(X)$  be a subspace of the space  $L^0(X)$  of  $\mu$ -equivalence classes of all strongly  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  and consisting of all those  $f \in L^0(X)$  for which the scalar function  $\|f(\cdot)\|_X$  belongs to  $E$ . In this paper we show that a number of topological properties of the spaces  $X$  and  $(E, \xi)$  can be lifted to the space  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$ , where  $\bar{\xi}$  stands for the topology on  $E(X)$  associated with  $\xi$ . We characterize some important topological properties of the space  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  (weak compactness of order intervals, almost reflexivity, weak sequential completeness, semi-reflexivity, relative weak compactness of solid hulls) in terms of the corresponding properties of  $X$  and  $(E, \xi)$ .

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** Let  $E$  be an ideal of  $L^0$  (over a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space) with a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\xi$ , and let  $X$  be a real Banach space. The aim of this paper is to extend some important topological properties of the space  $(E, \xi)$  to the vector-valued function space  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$ , where  $\bar{\xi}$  stands for the topology on  $E(X)$  associated with  $\xi$ . We characterize the following topological properties of the space  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$ : weak compactness of order intervals; Section 2, almost reflexivity; Section 3, weak sequential completeness; Section 4, semi-reflexivity; Section 5, relative weak compactness of solid hull; Section 6, in terms of the corresponding properties of  $X$  and  $(E, \xi)$ .

In the particular case of  $E$  being a Banach function space, over a finite measure space, the problem of characterizing the topological properties of the Köthe-Bochner space  $E(X)$  in terms of the properties of both Banach spaces  $E$  and  $X$  has been considered by Pisier [28], Bombal

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E40, 46E30, 46A20, 46A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Vector-valued function spaces, Köthe-Bochner spaces, locally solid topologies, Lebesgue topologies, order intervals, conditional weak compactness, weak compactness, weak sequential completeness, almost reflexivity, semi-reflexivity, relative weak compactness of solid hulls.

Received by the editors on Feb. 25, 2004, and in revised form on Jan. 26, 2005.

[3], Geuiler and Chubarova [16], Bombal and Hernando [4], Talagrand [30], Bukhvalov and Lozanowskii [6, 7].

For terminology concerning Riesz spaces and function spaces we refer to [1, 18, 33]. Given a topological vector space  $(L, \tau)$  by  $(L, \tau)^*$  or  $L_\tau^*$ , we will denote its topological dual. We denote by  $\sigma(L, K)$  and  $\beta(L, K)$  and  $\tau(L, K)$  the weak topology, the strong topology and the Mackey topology on  $L$  with respect to a dual system  $\langle L, K \rangle$ .

Throughout the paper we assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\Sigma_f = \{A \in \Sigma : \mu(A) < \infty\}$ . Let  $L^0$  denote the corresponding space of  $\mu$ -equivalence classes of all  $\Sigma$ -measurable real valued functions. Then  $L^0$  is a super Dedekind complete Riesz space under the ordering  $u \leq v$  whenever  $u(\omega) \leq v(\omega)$ ,  $\mu$  almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\chi_A$  stand for the characteristic function of a set  $A$ . By  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  we denote the sets of natural and real numbers.

Let  $E$  be an ideal of  $L^0$  with  $\text{supp } E = \Omega$ , and let  $E'$  stand for the Köthe dual of  $E$ , i.e.,

$$E' = \left\{ v \in L^0 : \int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)v(\omega)| \, d\mu < \infty \text{ for all } u \in E \right\}.$$

Throughout the paper we assume that  $\text{supp } E' = \Omega$ . Let  $E^\sim$ ,  $E_n^\sim$  and  $E_s^\sim$  stand for the order dual, the order continuous dual and the singular dual of  $E$ , respectively. Then  $E_n^\sim$  separates points of  $E$  and it can be identified with  $E'$  through the mapping:  $E' \ni v \rightarrow \varphi_v \in E_n^\sim$ , where

$$\varphi_v(u) = \int_{\Omega} u(\omega)v(\omega) \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

Then  $E^\sim = E_n^\sim \oplus E_s^\sim$  and  $E_s^\sim = (E_n^\sim)^d$  (= the disjoint complement of  $E_n^\sim$  in  $E^\sim$ ).

By a locally solid, respectively locally convex-solid, function space  $(E, \xi)$  we mean an ideal  $E$  provided with a locally solid, respectively locally convex-solid, topology  $\xi$ .

Note that in view of the super Dedekind completeness of  $E$ , both types of order convergence in  $E$  for sequences and for nets coincide, so  $E_n^\sim = E_c^\sim$  (= the  $\sigma$ -order continuous dual of  $E$ ). Recall that a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\xi$  on  $E$  is a Lebesgue, respectively  $\sigma$ -Lebesgue, topology if and only if  $E_\xi^* \subset E_n^\sim$ , respectively  $E_\xi^* \subset E_c^\sim$ , see

[1, Theorem 9.1, Theorem 9.2]. This shows that for  $\xi$  the  $\sigma$ -Lebesgue property and the Lebesgue property coincide. Moreover, one can show that for  $\xi$  the  $\sigma$ -Levy and the Levy property coincide, see [13, Proposition 3.2].

For terminology and basic concepts from the theory of vector-valued function spaces  $E(X)$ , in particular Lebesgue-Bochner spaces  $L^p(X)$ , we refer to the three main monographs: Diestel and Uhl’s “vector measures” [12], Cembranos and Mendoza’s “Banach spaces of vector valued functions” [10] and Pei-Kee Lin’s “Köthe-Bochner function spaces” [19].

Now we recall terminology and some basic results concerning the topological properties and the duality theory of vector-valued function spaces  $E(X)$  as set out in [5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 19, 21–23].

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space and let  $X^*$  stand for the Banach dual of  $X$ . Let  $S_X, B_X$  stand for the unit sphere and the unit ball of  $X$ . By  $L^0(X)$  we denote the set of  $\mu$ -equivalence classes of all strongly  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ . For  $f \in L^0(X)$ , let us set  $\tilde{f}(\omega) := \|f(\omega)\|_X$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let

$$E(X) = \{ f \in L^0(X) : \tilde{f} \in E \}.$$

Recall that the algebraic tensor product  $E \otimes X$  is the subspace of  $E(X)$  spanned by the functions of the form  $u \otimes x$ ,  $(u \otimes x)(\omega) = u(\omega)x$ , where  $u \in E, x \in X$ .

A subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$  and  $f_1 \in E(X), f_2 \in H$  imply  $f_1 \in H$ . A linear topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  is said to be *locally solid* if it has a local base at zero consisting of solid sets. A linear topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  that is at the same time locally solid and locally convex will be called a *locally convex-solid* topology on  $E(X)$ . A semi-norm  $\varrho$  on  $E(X)$  is called *solid* if  $\varrho(f_1) \leq \varrho(f_2)$  whenever  $f_1, f_2 \in E(X)$  and  $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$ . It is known that a locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  is locally convex-solid if and only if it is generated by some family of solid semi-norms defined on  $E(X)$ , see [14]. A locally solid topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  is said to be a *Lebesgue topology* whenever for a net  $(f_\alpha)$  in  $E(X)$ ,  $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$  in  $E$  implies  $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ , see [23, Definition 2.2].

Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then one can topologize the space  $E(X)$  as follows, see [14]. Let  $\{p_t : t \in T\}$

be a family of Riesz semi-norms on  $E$  that generates  $\xi$ . By putting

$$\bar{p}_t(f) := p_t(\tilde{f}) \quad \text{for } f \in E(X), \quad t \in T,$$

we obtain a family  $\{\bar{p}_t : t \in T\}$  of solid semi-norms on  $E(X)$  that defines a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\bar{\xi}$  on  $E(X)$ , (called the *topology associated with*  $\xi$ ). Then  $\bar{\xi}$  is a Lebesgue topology whenever  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology, see [14].

Conversely, let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E(X)$ , and let  $\{\varrho_t : t \in T\}$  be a family of solid semi-norms on  $E(X)$  that generates  $\tau$ . By putting, for a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$

$$\tilde{\varrho}_t(u) := \varrho_t(u \otimes x_0) \quad \text{for } u \in E, \quad t \in T,$$

we obtain a family  $\{\tilde{\varrho}_t : t \in T\}$  of Riesz semi-norms on  $E$  that defines a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $E$ .

One can show that  $\tilde{\xi} = \xi$  and  $\tilde{\tau} = \tau$ , see [14]. Thus every Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  can be represented as the topology associated with some Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\xi$  ( $= \tilde{\tau}$ ) on  $E$ .

In particular, for a Banach function space  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  the space  $E(X)$  provided with the norm  $\|f\|_{E(X)} := \|\tilde{f}\|_E$  is usually called a *Köthe-Bochner space*, see [19].

For a linear functional  $F$  on  $E(X)$ , let us put

$$|F|(f) = \sup \{ |F(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq \tilde{f} \} \quad \text{for } f \in E(X).$$

The set

$$E(X)^\sim = \{ F \in E(X)^\# : |F|(f) < \infty \text{ for all } f \in E(X) \}$$

will be called the *order dual* of  $E(X)$  (here  $E(X)^\#$  denotes the algebraic dual of  $E(X)$ ).

For  $F_1, F_2 \in E(X)^\sim$  we will write  $|F_1| \leq |F_2|$  whenever  $|F_1|(f) \leq |F_2|(f)$  for all  $f \in E(X)$ . A subset  $A$  of  $E(X)^\sim$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $|F_1| \leq |F_2|$  with  $F_1 \in E(X)^\sim$  and  $F_2 \in A$  imply  $F_1 \in A$ . A linear subspace  $I$  of  $E(X)^\sim$  will be called an *ideal* of  $E(X)^\sim$  whenever

$I$  is solid. It is known that if  $\tau$  is a locally solid topology on  $\widetilde{E}(X)$ , then  $(E(X), \tau)^*$  is an ideal of  $E(X)^\sim$ , see [21, Theorem 3.2].

A linear functional  $F$  on  $E(X)$  is said to be *order continuous* whenever, for a net  $(f_\alpha)$  in  $E(X)$ ,  $\tilde{f}_\alpha \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$  in  $E$  implies  $F(f_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ . The set consisting of all order continuous linear functionals on  $E(X)$  will be denoted by  $E(X)_n^\sim$  and called the *order continuous dual* of  $E(X)$ , see [5, 21]. Since we assume that  $\text{supp } E' = \Omega$ ,  $E(X)_n^\sim$  separates points of  $E(X)$ . A Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology  $\tau$  on  $E(X)$  has the Lebesgue property if and only if  $E(X)_\tau^* \subset E(X)_n^\sim$ , see [23, Theorem 2.4].

We now recall terminology concerning the spaces of  $w^*$ -measurable functions, see [5, 7, 10].

For a given function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$  and  $x \in X$ , we denote by  $g_x$  the real function on  $\Omega$  defined by  $g_x(\omega) = g(\omega)(x)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ . A function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$  is said to be  $w^*$ -measurable if the functions  $g_x$  are measurable for each  $x \in X$ . We shall say the two  $w^*$ -measurable functions  $g_1, g_2$  are  $w^*$ -equivalent whenever  $g_1(\omega)(x) = g_2(\omega)(x)$ ,  $\mu$  almost everywhere for each  $x \in X$ .

Let  $L^0(X^*, X)$  be the set of weak\*-equivalence classes of all weak\*-measurable functions  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X^*$ . Following [5, 7] one can define the so-called *abstract norm*  $\vartheta : L^0(X^*, X) \rightarrow L^0$  by  $\vartheta(g) := \sup \{|g_x| : x \in B_X\}$ .

Then for  $f \in L^0(X)$  and  $g \in L^0(X^*, X)$  the function  $\langle f, g \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by  $\langle f, g \rangle(\omega) := \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle$  is measurable and  $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \tilde{f}\vartheta(g)$ . Moreover,  $\vartheta(g) = \tilde{g}$  for  $g \in L^0(X^*)$ .

For an ideal  $M$  of  $E'$ , let

$$M(X^*, X) = \{g \in L^0(X^*, X) : \vartheta(g) \in M\}.$$

Then  $M(X^*, X)$  is an ideal of  $E'(X^*, X)$ , i.e., if  $\vartheta(g_1) \leq \vartheta(g_2)$  with  $g_1 \in E'(X^*, X)$  and  $g_2 \in M(X^*, X)$ , then  $g_1 \in M(X^*, X)$ , see [21, Definition 1.2]. Clearly  $M(X^*) \subset M(X^*, X)$ .

Due to Bukhvalov, see [5, Theorem 4.1],  $E(X)_n^\sim$  can be identified with  $E'(X^*, X)$  through the mapping:  $E'(X^*, X) \ni g \mapsto F_g \in E(X)_n^\sim$ , where

$$F_g(f) = \int_\Omega \langle f(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in E(X),$$

and moreover

$$|F_g|(f) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}(\omega) \vartheta(g)(\omega) \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in E(X).$$

It is well known that if  $X$  is reflexive, then  $E'(X^*, X) = E'(X^*)$ .

Let  $F \in E(X)^\sim$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$  be fixed. For  $u \in E^+$ , let us set:

$$\varphi_F(u) := |F|(u \otimes x_0) = \sup\{|F(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq u\}.$$

Then  $\varphi_F : E^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  is an additive mapping and  $\varphi_F$  has a unique positive extension to a linear mapping from  $E$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ , denoted by  $\varphi_F$  again, and given by

$$\varphi_F(u) := \varphi_F(u^+) - \varphi_F(u^-) \quad \text{for all } u \in E,$$

see [7, Lemma 7]. We shall need the following two technical results.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then for  $F \in E(X)^\sim$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  is  $\bar{\xi}$ -continuous.
- (ii)  $\varphi_F$  is  $\xi$ -continuous.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $\xi$  be generated by a family  $\{p_t : t \in T\}$  of Riesz semi-norms on  $E$ , and let  $F$  be  $\bar{\xi}$ -continuous. Then there exist  $t_i \in T$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $a > 0$  such that  $|F(h)| \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(\tilde{h})$  for all  $h \in E(X)$ . Then for  $u \in E^+$ ,

$$\varphi_F(u) = \sup\{|F(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq u\} \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(u).$$

It easily follows that  $\varphi_F(u) \leq 2a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(u)$  for all  $u \in E$ , so  $\varphi_F$  is  $\xi$ -continuous.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that  $\varphi_F \in E_\xi^*$ . Then, there exist  $t_i \in T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $a > 0$  such that for  $f \in E(X)$  we have

$$|F(f)| \leq |F|(f) = \varphi_F(\tilde{f}) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(\tilde{f}) = a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bar{p}_{t_i}(f),$$

and this means that  $F$  is  $\bar{\xi}$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property. Then there exists an ideal  $M_\xi$  of  $E'$  with  $\text{supp } M_\xi = \Omega$  and such that*

$$E_\xi^* = \{\varphi_v : v \in M_\xi\} \quad \text{and} \quad E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^* = \{F_g : g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $E_\xi^* \subset E_n^\sim$ , there exists an ideal  $M_\xi$  of  $E'$  with  $\text{supp } M_\xi = \Omega$  and such that  $E_\xi^* = \{\varphi_v : v \in M_\xi\}$ . Now we shall show that  $E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^* = \{F_g : g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)\}$ .

Indeed, let  $F \in E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*$ . Then by Proposition 1.1,  $\varphi_F \in E_\xi^*$ , so  $\varphi_F = \varphi_{v_0}$  for some  $v_0 \in M_\xi^+$ . On the other hand, since  $F \in E(X)_n^\sim$ , we have  $F = F_g$  for some  $g \in E'(X^*, X)$ . It easily follows that  $\varphi_{F_g} = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}$ , so  $\vartheta(g) = v_0 \in M_\xi$ . This means that  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ .

Now, assume that  $F = F_g$ , where  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ . Then  $\varphi_F = \varphi_{F_g} = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}$ , where  $\vartheta(g) \in M_\xi$ . Hence  $\varphi_F \in E_\xi^*$ , and in view of Proposition 1.1,  $F \in E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*$ .  $\square$

**2. Order intervals in vector-valued function spaces.** We start by recalling a characterization of weak compactness of order intervals in locally convex-solid function spaces  $(E, \xi)$ , see [9, Proposition 5.1], [1, Theorem 22.1].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology.
- (ii) Each order interval in  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -compact.
- (iii)  $E$ , embedded in a natural way, is an ideal of the bidual of  $(E, \xi)$ .

The aim of this section is to extend this result to the vector-valued setting. For this purpose, we first recall terminology and some results concerning the duality theory of the spaces  $E(X)$  as set out in [22].

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $E(X)^\sim$  separating points of  $E(X)$ . For a linear functional  $V$  on  $I$  let us set

$$|V|(F) = \sup\{|V(G)| : G \in I, |G| \leq |F|\} \quad \text{for } F \in I.$$

Then the set

$$I^\sim = \{V \in I^\# : |V|(F) < \infty \text{ for all } F \in I\}$$

will be called the *order dual* of  $I$  (here  $I^\#$  denotes the algebraic dual of  $I$ ).

For  $V_1, V_2 \in I$  we will write  $|V_1| \leq |V_2|$  whenever  $|V_1|(F) \leq |V_2|(F)$  for all  $F \in I$ . A subset  $K$  of  $I^\sim$  is said to be *solid* whenever  $|V_1| \leq |V_2|$  with  $V_1 \in I^\sim, V_2 \in K$  imply  $V_1 \in K$ . A linear subspace  $L$  of  $I^\sim$  is called an *ideal* of  $I^\sim$  if  $L$  is solid.

Let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E(X)$ . Then  $E(X)_\tau^*$  is an ideal of  $E(X)^\sim$ . The strong topology  $\beta(E(X)_\tau^*, E(X))$  is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E(X)_\tau^*$ , see [22, Section 4], and the topological dual  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^* (= (E(X)_\tau^*, \beta(E(X)_\tau^*, E(X))))^*$ , is an ideal of  $(E(X)_\tau^*)^\sim$ , see [22, Theorem 2.1]. The space  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^*$  is called the *bidual* of  $(E(X), \tau)$ .

For  $f \in E(X)$ , let us put

$$\pi_f(F) = F(f) \quad \text{for } F \in E(X)_\tau^*.$$

Then  $|\pi_f|(F) = |F|(f)$  for  $F \in E(X)_\tau^*$  and  $\pi_f \in (E(X)_\tau^*)^\sim$ , see [22, Section 1]. Hence  $|\pi_{f_1}| \leq |\pi_{f_2}|$  whenever  $f_1, f_2 \in E(X)$  with  $\tilde{f}_1 \leq \tilde{f}_2$ . Moreover,  $\pi_f \in (E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^*$ , so we have a *natural embedding*  $\pi : E(X) \ni f \mapsto \pi_f \in (E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^*$ .

Denote by  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)}$  the ideal of  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^*$  generated by the set  $\pi(E(X))$ , that is,  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)}$  is the smallest ideal of  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^*$  containing  $\pi(E(X))$ . Then

$$(E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)} = \{V \in (E(X)_\tau^*)_\beta^* : |V| \leq |\pi_f| \text{ for some } f \in E(X)\}.$$

For each  $f \in E(X)$ , let  $\varrho_f(F) = |F|(f)$  for  $F \in I$ . We define the *absolute weak\* topology*  $|\sigma|(I, E(X))$  on  $I$  as the locally convex-solid topology generated by the family  $\{\varrho_f : f \in E(X)\}$  of solid semi-norms on  $I$ , see [22].

**Theorem 2.2** (see [22, Theorem 3.2]). *Let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E(X)$ . Then  $(E(X)_\tau^*, |\sigma|(E(X)_\tau^*, E(X)))^* = (E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)}$ .*



For  $f \in E(X)$ , let us put

$$I_f = \{ V \in (E(X)_\tau^*)^*_\beta : |V| \leq |\pi_f| \}.$$

**Theorem 2.3** (see [22, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E(X)$ . Then for  $f \in E(X)$ , the set  $I_f$  is  $\sigma((E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)}, E(X)_\tau^*)$ -compact in  $(E(X)_\tau^*)_{E(X)}$ .*

For each  $u \in E^+$  the set  $D_u = \{ f \in E(X) : \tilde{f} \leq u \}$  will be called an *order interval* in  $E(X)$ .

Now we are in a position to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *Each order interval in  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -compact and  $X$  is reflexive.*
- (ii)  *$\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology and  $X$  is reflexive.*
- (iii) *Each order interval in  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -compact.*
- (iv)  *$\pi(E(X))$  is an ideal of  $(E(X)_\xi^*)^*_\beta$ , i.e.,  $\pi(E(X)) = (E(X)_\xi^*)_{E(X)}$ .*
- (v)  *$(E(X)_\xi^*, |\sigma|(E(X)_\xi^*, E(X)))^* = \pi(E(X))$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). See Theorem 2.1.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume that  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology and  $X$  is reflexive. Then  $E(X)_\xi^* \subset E(X)_n^\sim$ , and by [7, Section 4, Corollary 1] each order interval in  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -compact.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that each order interval in  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -compact. First we show that  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology, that is,  $E_\xi^* \subset E_n^\sim$ , see [bf1, Theorem 9.1]. Indeed, assume on the contrary that there exists  $\varphi_0 \in E_\xi^*$  such that  $\varphi_0 \notin E_n^\sim$ . Hence there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a net  $(u_\alpha)$  in  $E$  such that  $u_\alpha \downarrow 0$  in  $E$  and  $|\varphi_0(u_\alpha)| \geq \varepsilon_0$  for all  $\alpha$ . We can assume that  $u_\alpha \leq u$  for some  $u \in E^+$  and all  $\alpha$ . Let  $f_\alpha = u_\alpha \otimes x_0$  for each  $\alpha$  and a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Then  $f_\alpha \in D_u$  for all  $\alpha$ , so one can choose a subnet  $(f_\beta)$  of  $(f_\alpha)$  and  $f_0 \in D_u$  such that  $f_\beta \rightarrow f_0$

for  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ . Choose  $x_0^* \in S_{X^*}$  such that  $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$ , and for each  $\varphi \in E_{\xi}^*$ , let us put

$$F_{\varphi}(f) = \varphi(x_0^* \circ f) \quad \text{for all } f \in E(X).$$

We shall show that  $F_{\varphi} \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$ . Indeed, let  $\{p_t : t \in T\}$  be a family of Riesz semi-norms that generates  $\xi$ . Since  $\varphi \in E_{\xi}^*$ , there exist  $a > 0$  and  $t_i \in T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that for each  $f \in E(X)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\varphi}(f)| &= |\varphi(x_0^* \circ f)| \leq 1 \max_{a \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(x_0^* \circ f) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(f) \\ &= a \max_{a \leq i \leq n} \bar{p}_{t_i}(f), \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $F_{\varphi} \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$ . Hence

$$\varphi(u_{\beta}) = F_{\varphi}(u_{\beta} \otimes x_0) \longrightarrow F_{\varphi}(f_0) = \varphi(x_0^* \circ f_0).$$

This means that  $u_{\beta} \rightarrow x_0^* \circ f_0 \in E$  for  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ , as desired.

On the other hand, since  $u_{\beta} \downarrow 0$  in  $E$ , we conclude that  $u_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$  for  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ , see [18, Corollary 10.2.2]. But  $\varphi_0 \in E_{\xi}^* = (E, \sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*))^*$ , so  $\varphi_0(u_{\beta}) \rightarrow 0$ , which is in contradiction with  $|\varphi_0(u_{\beta})| \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$ . This contradiction establishes that  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology.

Now we shall show that  $X$  is reflexive, i.e., the unit ball  $B_X$  is weakly compact. Indeed, let  $(x_{\alpha})$  be a net in  $B_X$ . Given a fixed  $u \in E^+$  let us put  $h_{\alpha} = u \otimes x_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ . Then  $h_{\alpha} \in D_u$  for each  $\alpha$ , so there exist a subnet  $(h_{\beta})$  of  $(h_{\alpha})$  and  $h_0 \in D_u$  such that  $u \otimes x_{\beta} = h_{\beta} \rightarrow h_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ . Let  $M_{\xi}$  be an ideal of  $E'$  determined by  $\xi$ , see Proposition 1.2. Choose  $v_0 \in M_{\xi}^+$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} u(\omega)v_0(\omega) \, d\mu = 1$ . Hence  $v_0 \otimes x^* \in M_{\xi}(X^*) \subset M_{\xi}(X^*, X)$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(x_{\beta}) &= \int_{\Omega} u(\omega)v_0(\omega)x^*(x_{\beta}) \, d\mu \\ &= F_{v_0 \otimes x^*}(u \otimes x_{\beta}) \rightarrow F_{v_0 \otimes x^*}(h_0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle h_0(\omega), v_0(\omega)x^* \rangle \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} x^*(v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega)) \, d\mu \\ &= x^* \left( \int_{\Omega} v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega) \, d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_{\beta} \rightarrow x_0 = \int_{\Omega} v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega) \, d\mu \in B_X$  for  $\sigma(X, X^*)$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that  $\pi(E(X)) = (E(X)_{\xi}^*)_{E(X)}$ , and let  $u_0 \in E^+$ . Let  $f_0 = u_0 \otimes x_0$  for a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Then in view of [22, Theorem 1.3] for  $f \in E(X)$ , we have that  $|\pi_f| \leq |\pi_{f_0}|$  if and only if  $\tilde{f} \leq \tilde{f}_0 = u_0$ . Hence

$$I_{f_0} = \{\pi_f : f \in E(X), |\pi_f| \leq |\pi_{f_0}|\} = \{\pi_f : f \in E(X), \tilde{f} \leq u_0\}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.3,  $I_{f_0}$  is a  $\sigma(\pi(E(X)), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact subset of  $\pi(E(X))$ . Since  $F(f) = \pi_f(F)$  for  $f \in E(X)$  and  $F \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$ , the mapping

$$\pi^{-1} : (\pi(E(X)), \sigma(\pi(E(X)), E(X)_{\xi}^*)) \longrightarrow (E(X), \sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*))$$

is continuous. Hence, the set  $\pi^{-1}(I_{f_0}) (= D_{u_0})$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume that (ii) holds. We shall show that  $(E(X)_{\xi}^*)_{E(X)} \subset \pi(E(X))$ . Indeed, let  $V \in (E(X)_{\xi}^*)_{E(X)}$ , i.e.,  $V \in (E(X)_{\xi}^*)_{\beta}^*$  and  $|V| \leq |\pi_{f_0}|$  for some  $f_0 \in E(X)$ . Let  $M_{\xi}$  be an ideal of  $E'$  determined by  $\xi$ , see Proposition 1.2. In view of [22, Theorem 1.1], the mapping  $\psi : M_{\xi}(X^*) \ni g \mapsto F_g \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$  is an order continuous bijection, i.e., for a net  $(g_{\alpha})$  in  $M_{\xi}(X^*)$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$  in  $M_{\xi}$  implies  $F_{g_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$  in  $E(X)_{\xi}^*$ , see [22, Definition 1.2]. Hence one can easily show that  $V \circ \psi \in M_{\xi}(X^*)_{\tilde{n}}$ . Since  $X^*$  is reflexive, there is  $h_0 \in M'_{\xi}(X^{**})$ , ( $= M'_{\xi}(X^{**}, X^*)$ ) such that

$$V(F_g) = V(\psi(g)) = \int_{\Omega} \langle g(\omega), h_0(\omega) \rangle \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } g \in M_{\xi}(X^*).$$

Let  $j : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  stand for the canonical isometry. Define  $k_0(\omega) = j^{-1}(h_0(\omega))$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ . One can easily show that the function  $k_0 : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is strongly  $\Sigma$ -measurable and  $\|k_0(\omega)\|_X = \|h_0(\omega)\|_{X^{**}}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $k_0 \in M'_{\xi}(X)$ . We have

$$M'_{\xi}(X)_{\tilde{n}} = \{\bar{F}_g : g \in M''_{\xi}(X^*)\},$$

where

$$\bar{F}_g(k) = \int_{\Omega} \langle k(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle \, d\mu \quad \text{for } k \in M'_{\xi}(X).$$

Hence, for each  $g \in M_\xi''(X^*)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{k_0}(\bar{F}_g) &= \bar{F}_g(k_0) = \int_{\Omega} \langle k_0(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \\
 (+) \qquad &= \int_{\Omega} \langle j^{-1}(h_0(\omega)), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \langle g(\omega), h_0(\omega) \rangle d\mu = V(F_g).
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall now show that  $k_0 \in E(X)$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{k}_0 \in E$ . Indeed, let  $g_0 \in M_\xi''(X^*)$ . Then by [21, Corollary 2.5] for  $g \in M_\xi''(X^*)$ ,  $|F_g| \leq |F_{g_0}|$  if and only if  $\tilde{g} \leq \tilde{g}_0$ . Hence by making use of (+) and [5, Theorem 4.1] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |V|(F_{g_0}) &= \sup\{|V(F_g)| : g \in M_\xi''(X^*), \tilde{g} \leq \tilde{g}_0\} \\
 &= \sup\{|\pi_{k_0}(\bar{F}_g)| : g \in M_\xi''(X^*), \tilde{g} \leq \tilde{g}_0\} \\
 &= \sup\{|\bar{F}_g(k_0)| : g \in M_\xi''(X^*), \tilde{g} \leq \tilde{g}_0\} \\
 &= \sup\left\{ \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle k_0(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| : g \in M_\xi''(X^*), \tilde{g} \leq \tilde{g}_0 \right\} \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}_0(\omega) \tilde{k}_0(\omega) d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|V| \leq |\pi_{f_0}|$  and for each  $g \in M_\xi(X^*) \subset M_\xi''(X^*)$ ,  $|\pi_{f_0}|(F_g) = |F_g|(f_0)$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(\omega) \tilde{k}_0(\omega) d\mu = |V|(F_g) \leq |\pi_{f_0}|(F_g) = |F_g|(f_0) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}_0(\omega) \tilde{g}(\omega) d\mu.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{k}_0 \leq \tilde{f}_0$ , where  $\tilde{k}_0 \in M_\xi'$  and  $\tilde{f}_0 \in E \subset E'' \subset M_\xi'$ . Hence  $\tilde{k}_0 \in E$ , i.e.,  $k_0 \in E(X)$ . Hence, in view of (+) for each  $g \in M_\xi(X^*)$ , we get

$$V(F_g) = \int_{\Omega} \langle k_0(\omega), g(\omega) \rangle d\mu = F_g(k_0) = \pi_{k_0}(F_g).$$

Thus  $V = \pi_{k_0}$ , where  $k_0 \in E(X)$ , i.e.,  $V \in \pi(E(X))$ , as desired.

(iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v). It follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

*Remark.* In [6, Proposition 2] it is shown that every order interval in  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\tilde{n}})$ -compact if and only if  $X$  is reflexive.

**3. Almost reflexivity of vector-valued function spaces.** First we recall some definitions. Let  $\langle L, K \rangle$  be a dual pair. A subset  $A$  of  $L$  is said to be *conditionally  $\sigma(L, K)$ -compact* whenever each sequence in  $A$  contains a  $\sigma(L, K)$ -Cauchy subsequence. Recall that a normed space  $X$  is said to be *almost reflexive* if every norm-bounded subset of  $X$  is conditionally weakly compact, see [11, 17]. The fundamental  $\ell^1$ -Rosenthal theorem [29] says that a Banach space  $X$  is almost reflexive if and only if it contains no isomorphic copy of  $\ell^1$ .

Due to Geuiler and Chubarova [16], see also [4, Proposition 3.2], the Köthe-Bochner space  $E(X)$  is almost reflexive if and only if both Banach spaces  $X$  and  $E$  are almost reflexive. This result is a broad generalization of the corresponding theorems of Pisier [28] and Bombal [3], who proved it in the special cases of  $L^p(X)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and Orlicz-Bochner spaces respectively.

Now we extend the concept of almost reflexivity to the class of locally convex spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A Hausdorff locally convex space  $(L, \xi)$  is said to be *almost reflexive* whenever every  $\sigma(L, L_{\xi}^*)$ -bounded subset of  $L$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L, L_{\xi}^*)$ -compact.

In this section we characterize almost reflexivity of  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  whenever  $(E, \xi)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property and  $X$  is a Banach space.

Let  $M$  be an ideal of  $E'$  with  $\text{supp } M = \Omega$ . Assume that  $B$  is a  $\sigma(E, M)$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . Then  $B$  is also  $|\sigma|(E, M)$ -bounded, see [1, Theorem 6.6], so one can define a Riesz semi-norm  $p_B$  on  $M$  by

$$p_B(v) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)v(\omega)| \, d\mu : u \in B \right\}.$$

Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property and let  $M_{\xi}$  be an ideal of  $E'$  with  $\text{supp } M_{\xi} = \Omega$  such that  $E_{\xi}^* = \{\varphi_v : v \in M_{\xi}\}$ . The following characterization of conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_{\xi})$ -compact sets in  $E$  will be needed, see [26, Theorem 3.2].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property. Then for a subset  $B$  of  $E$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact.
- (ii)  $B$  is  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded and  $p_B$  is order continuous on  $M_\xi$ .

The strong topology  $\beta(M_\xi, E)$  is a locally convex-solid topology on  $M_\xi$  that is generated by a family  $\{p_B : B \in \mathcal{B}_s\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is the collection of all  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded solid subsets of  $E$ , see [1, Section 9].

As a simple consequence of Proposition 3.1, we get the following:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Every  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded set in  $E$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact.
- (ii)  $\beta(M_\xi, E)$  is a Lebesgue topology.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). It follows from Proposition 3.1.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that  $\beta(M_\xi, E)$  is a Lebesgue topology and, let  $B$  be a  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . Then its solid hull  $S(B)$  in  $E$  is also  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded and the semi-norm  $p_{S(B)}$  on  $M_\xi$  is order continuous. Hence by Proposition 3.1  $B$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact.  $\square$

As an application of Proposition 3.2 we have the following characterization of almost reflexivity of  $(E, \xi)$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(E, \xi)$  is almost reflexive.
- (ii)  $\beta(E_\xi^*, E)$  is a Lebesgue topology on  $E_\xi^*$ .

Now we are ready to characterize almost reflexivity of  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is almost reflexive and  $(E, \xi)$  is almost reflexive.
- (ii)  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is almost reflexive.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (i) holds, and let  $H$  be a  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -bounded subset of  $E(X)$ . Then by [25, Proposition 1.3], the set  $\{\tilde{f} : f \in H\}$  is  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded, so it is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact. Hence by [25, Proposition 2.3],  $H$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -compact, so  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is almost reflexive.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is almost reflexive. To show that  $B_X$  is conditionally weakly compact, let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $B_X$ . Given  $u \in E^+$  let  $h_n = u \otimes x_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We shall show that the set  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -bounded. Indeed, for  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ , we have

$$\sup_n \left| \int_\Omega \langle u(\omega)x_n, g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| \leq \int_\Omega u(\omega)\vartheta(g)(\omega) d\mu < \infty.$$

Hence the set  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -compact, so there exists a  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -Cauchy subsequence  $(h_{k_n})$  of  $(h_n)$ . Arguing as in the proof of implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 2.4, we see that  $(x_{k_n})$  is weakly Cauchy.

Now assume that  $Z$  is a  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . Then  $Z$  is also  $|\sigma|(E, M_\xi)$ -bounded, so for each  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$  and a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$ , we get

$$\sup_{u \in Z} \left| \int_\Omega \langle u(\omega)x_0, g(\omega) \rangle d\mu \right| \leq \sup_{u \in Z} \int_\Omega |u(\omega)|\vartheta(g)(\omega) d\mu < \infty.$$

Hence the set  $\{u \otimes x_0 : u \in Z\}$  is  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -bounded, so it is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -compact. By [25, Theorem 2.2], the set  $\{|u| : u \in Z\}$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact, so  $Z$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ -compact, see [8, Theorem 3.4, Proposition 2.2]. This means that  $(E, \xi)$  is almost reflexive.  $\square$

**4. Weak sequential completeness of vector-valued function spaces.** In his celebrated paper Talagrand [30] showed that a Köthe-Bochner space  $E(X)$  is weakly sequentially complete if and only if both Banach spaces  $E$  and  $X$  are weakly sequentially complete. In particular, it is well known that a Banach function space  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  is weakly sequentially complete if and only if  $E$  is KB-space, i.e.,  $\|\cdot\|_E$  has both the  $\sigma$ -Lebesgue property and the  $\sigma$ -Levy property, see [20, Theorem 1.c.4], [18, Theorem 10.4.9]. In this section we study weak sequential completeness of  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  whenever  $(E, \xi)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space and  $X$  is a Banach space.

We start by recalling a characterization of weak sequential completeness of locally convex-solid function spaces. For this purpose, we now establish notation and some results as set out in [33, Exercise 102.24, pp. 331–332].

Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then putting

$$E_{\xi,n}^* := E_\xi^* \cap E_n^\sim \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\xi,s}^* := E_\xi^* \cap E_s^\sim$$

we get  $E_\xi^* = E_{\xi,n}^* \oplus E_{\xi,s}^*$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} E^a(\xi) &:= \{u \in E : |u| \geq u_n \downarrow 0 \text{ in } E \text{ implies } \varphi(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in E_\xi^*\} \\ &= \{u \in E : |u| \geq u_n \downarrow 0 \text{ in } E \text{ implies } u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \xi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\xi$  is a  $\sigma$ -Lebesgue topology (= Lebesgue topology) if and only if  $E^a(\xi) = E$ . Moreover, if  $\text{supp } E^a(\xi) = \Omega$ , i.e.,  $E^a(\xi)$  is order dense in  $L^0$ , then we have

$$E_{\xi,s}^* = E^a(\xi)^\perp = \{\varphi \in E_\xi^* : \varphi(u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in E^a(\xi)\}$$

and  $E_{\xi,n}^*$  separates points of  $E$ .

Now we are in position to state our desired result, see [26, Theorem 2.2].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space such that  $\text{supp } E^a(\xi) = \Omega$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -sequentially complete.



- (ii)  $\xi$  has both the  $\sigma$ -Lebesgue property and the  $\sigma$ -Levy property.
- (iii)  $\xi$  has both the Lebesgue property and the Levy property.

As a simple consequence of Theorem 4.1, we have the following well-known result, see [8, Corollary 4.2], [1, Theorem 20.26]).

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -sequentially complete.
- (ii)  $\xi$  is a  $\sigma$ -Levy topology.

Now we pass on to the vector-valued setting. Recall that a functional  $F \in E(X)^\sim$  is said to be *singular* if there is an ideal  $B$  of  $E$  with  $\text{supp } B = \Omega$  and such that  $F(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in E(X)$  with  $\tilde{f} \in B$ . The set consisting of all singular functionals on  $E(X)$  will be denoted by  $E(X)_s^\sim$  and called the *singular dual* of  $E(X)$ , see [7, 21].

Due to Bukhvalov and Lozanowski, see [7, Section 3, Theorem 2], the following Yosida-Hewitt type decomposition holds:

$$(4.1) \quad E(X)^\sim = E(X)_n^\sim \oplus E(X)_s^\sim$$

and moreover, if  $F = F_g + F_s$ , where  $g \in E'(X^*, X)$  and  $F_s \in E(X)_s^\sim$ , then  $\varphi_F = \varphi_{F_g} + \varphi_{F_s}$ , where  $\varphi_{F_g}(u) = \int_\Omega u(\omega)\vartheta(g)(\omega) \, d\mu$  for  $u \in E$  and  $\varphi_{F_s} \in E_s^\sim$ .

Let us put

$$E(X)_{\xi,n}^* := E(X)_\xi^* \cap E(X)_n^\sim$$

and

$$E(X)_{\xi,s}^* := E(X)_\xi^* \cap E(X)_s^\sim.$$

Then

$$(4.2) \quad E(X)_\xi^* = E(X)_{\xi,n}^* \oplus E(X)_{\xi,s}^*$$

and there is an ideal  $M_\xi$  of  $E'$  with  $\text{supp } M_\xi = \Omega$  such that

$$E_{\xi,n}^* = \{\varphi_v : v \in M_\xi\}.$$

We shall now show that

$$(4.3) \quad E(X)_{\xi,n}^* = \{F_g : g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)\}.$$

Indeed, let  $F \in E(X)_{\xi,n}^*$ , i.e.,  $F = F_g$  for some  $g \in E'(X^*, X)$  and  $F \in E(X)_\xi^*$ . Hence  $\varphi_F = \varphi_{F_g} = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}$ , where  $\vartheta(g) \in E'$  and  $\varphi_F \in E_\xi^*$ , see Proposition 1.1. Hence  $\varphi_F \in E_{\xi,n}^*$ , so  $\vartheta(g) \in M_\xi$ . Thus  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ .

Now, let  $F = F_g$ , where  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ . Then  $F \in E(X)_n^\sim$  and  $\varphi_F = \varphi_{F_g} = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}$ , where  $\vartheta(g) \in M_\xi$ . Hence  $\varphi_F \in E_\xi^*$ , so  $F \in E(X)_\xi^*$ , see Proposition 1.1. Thus  $F \in E(X)_{\xi,n}^*$ .

The following ‘‘topological versions’’ of [25, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.3] will be of importance in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then for a subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -compact.
- (ii) (a) The set  $\{\tilde{f} : f \in H\}$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -compact.  
 (b) For each subset  $A \in \Sigma_f$  with  $\chi_A \in M_\xi$  and each sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $H$  there exists a sequence  $(h_n^A)$  with  $h_n^A \in \text{conv } \{\chi_A f_k : k \geq n\}$  such that  $(h_n^A(\omega))$  is weakly Cauchy in  $X$  for almost every  $\omega \in A$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and the  $\sigma$ -Levy property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then for a subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -sequentially compact.
- (ii) (a) The set  $\{\tilde{f} : f \in H\}$  is relatively  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -sequentially compact.  
 (b) For each  $A \in \Sigma_f$  with  $\chi_A \in M_\xi$  and each sequence

$(f_n)$  in  $H$ , there is a sequence  $(h_n^A)$  with  $h_n^A \in \text{conv} \{f_k : k \geq n\}$  such that  $(h_n^A(\omega))$  is weakly convergent in  $X$  for almost every  $\omega \in A$ .

Now we are in position to state our main result.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with  $\text{supp } E^a(\xi) = \Omega$ , and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially complete.
- (ii)  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially complete and  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially complete.

First, we show that  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially complete. Indeed, let  $(u_n)$  be a  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . For a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$  let  $h_n = u_n \otimes x_0$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We shall show that  $(h_n)$  is a  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E(X)$ . Indeed let  $F \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$ . Then  $F = F_g + F_s$ , where  $g \in M_{\xi}(X^*, X)$  and  $F_s \in E(X)_{\xi, s}^*$ , see (4.2) and (4.3). We have  $\varphi_{F_g} = \varphi_{\vartheta(g)}$ , where  $|g_{x_0}| \leq \vartheta(g) \in M_{\xi}$ . Hence  $g_{x_0} \in M_{\xi}$ , so

$$F_g(h_n) = \int_{\Omega} u_n(\omega)g(\omega)(x_0) \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} u_n(\omega)g_{x_0}(\omega) \, d\mu \longrightarrow a_g \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Now let us set

$$\varphi_s(u) := F_s(u \otimes x_0) \quad \text{for } u \in E.$$

In view of (4.1) and Proposition 1.1, we have that  $\varphi_{F_s} \in E_{\xi, s}^*$ . Moreover, for  $u \in E^+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_s|(u) &= \sup\{|F_s(w \otimes x_0)| : w \in E, |w| \leq u\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|F_s(h)| : h \in E(X), \tilde{h} \leq u\} \\ &= |F_s|(u \otimes x_0) = \varphi_{F_s}(u). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\varphi_s \in E_{\xi, s}^*$ , because  $\varphi_{F_s} \in E_{\xi, s}^*$  and  $E_{\xi, s}^*$  is an ideal of  $E^{\sim}$ . Hence

$$F_s(h_n) = F_s(u_n \otimes x_0) = \varphi_s(u_n) \longrightarrow a_s \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Thus

$$F(h_n) = F_g(h_n) + F_s(h_n) \longrightarrow a_g + a_s \in \mathbf{R},$$

and this means that  $(h_n)$  is a  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -Cauchy sequence. Hence there exists  $h_0 \in E(X)$  such that  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ . Choose  $x_0^* \in S_{X^*}$  such that  $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$ . Then  $u_0 = x_0^* \circ h_0 \in E$ .

We shall show that  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  for  $\sigma(E, E_{\xi}^*)$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi \in E_{\xi}^*$ , i.e.,  $\varphi = \varphi_v + \varphi_s$ , where  $v \in M_{\xi}$  and  $\varphi_s \in E_{\xi,s}^*$ . Then  $g = v \otimes x_0^* \in M_{\xi}(X^*, X)$ , so  $F_g \in E(X)_{\xi,n}^*$ , see (4.3). Hence

$$\varphi_v(u_n) = F_g(h_n) \longrightarrow F_g(h_0) = \int_{\Omega} (x_0^* \circ h_0)(\omega)v(\omega) \, d\mu = \varphi_v(u_0).$$

Let us put

$$G_s(f) := \varphi_s(x_0^* \circ f) \quad \text{for } f \in E(X).$$

We shall first show that  $G_s \in E(X)_{\xi,s}^*$ . Indeed, for  $u \in E^+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|G_s(f)| : f \in E(X), \tilde{f} \leq u\} &\leq \sup\{|\varphi_s(w)| : w \in E, |w| \leq u\} \\ &= |\varphi_s|(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $G_s \in E(X)_{\sim}$ . Since  $\varphi_s \in E_s^{\sim}$ , there exists an ideal  $B$  of  $E$  with  $\text{supp } B = \Omega$  and such that  $\varphi_s(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in B$ . Assume now that  $f \in E(X)$  with  $\tilde{f} \in B$ . Then  $|x_0^* \circ f| \leq \tilde{f}$ , so  $x_0^* \circ f \in B$ . Hence  $G_s(f) = \varphi_s(x_0^* \circ f) = 0$ , so  $G_s \in E(X)_s^{\sim}$ . Let  $\xi$  be generated by a family  $\{p_t : t \in T\}$  of Riesz semi-norms on  $E$ . Since  $\varphi_s \in E_{\xi}^*$  there exist  $a > 0$  and  $t_i \in T, i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that for  $f \in E(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_s(f)| &= |\varphi_s(x_0^* \circ f)| \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(x_0^* \circ f) \leq a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_{t_i}(\tilde{f}) \\ &= a \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bar{p}_{t_i}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $G_s \in E(X)_{\xi}^*$ , so  $G_s \in E(X)_{\xi,s}^*$  and

$$\varphi_s(u_n) = \varphi_s(x_0^* \circ (u_n \otimes x_0)) = G_s(h_n) \longrightarrow G_s(h_0) = \varphi_s(x_0^* \circ h_0) = \varphi_s(u_0).$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(u_n) = \varphi_v(u_n) + \varphi_s(u_n) \longrightarrow \varphi_v(u_0) + \varphi_s(u_0) = \varphi(u_0),$$

i.e.,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  for  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ . Thus  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -sequentially complete.

Making use of Theorem 4.1 we obtain that  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology, so in view of (4.3),  $E(X)_\xi^* = E(X)_{\xi,n}^* = \{F_g : g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)\}$ .

We shall now show that  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete. Indeed, let  $(x_n)$  be a weakly Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Then  $\sup_n \|x_n\|_X = a < \infty$ . Given a fixed  $u \in E^+$ , let us put  $h_n = u \otimes x_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We shall now show that  $(h_n)$  is a  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E(X)$ . In fact, let  $g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)$ . Let  $x'_n = x_n/a$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $|g_{x'_n}| \leq \vartheta(g) \in M_\xi \subset E'$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and since  $g_{x'_n}(\omega) = g(\omega)(x'_n)$ ,  $g_{x'_n}(\omega) \rightarrow v(\omega)$  for some  $v \in M_\xi$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . It follows that  $(g_{x'_n} - v) \xrightarrow{(0)} 0$  in  $E'$ . Since  $u \in E \subset E''$ , we get  $\varphi_u(g_{x'_n} - v) = \int_\Omega u(\omega)(g_{x'_n}(\omega) - v(\omega)) \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$\int_\Omega \langle u_n(\omega)x_n, g(\omega) \rangle \, d\mu = a \int_\Omega u(\omega)g_{x'_n}(\omega) \, d\mu \rightarrow a \int_\Omega u(\omega)v(\omega) \, d\mu \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This means that  $(h_n)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -Cauchy, so there exists  $h_0 \in E(X)$  such that  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ . Choose  $v_0 \in M_\xi^+$  such that  $\int_\Omega u(\omega)v_0(\omega) \, d\mu = 1$ . Then  $v_0 \otimes x^* \in M_\xi(X^*)$  for  $x^* \in X$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(x_n) &= \int_\Omega u(\omega)v_0(\omega)x^*(x_n) \, d\mu \\ &= F_{v_0 \otimes x^*}(u \otimes x_n) \rightarrow F_{v_0 \otimes x^*}(h_0) \\ &= \int_\Omega \langle h_0(\omega), v_0(\omega)x^* \rangle \, d\mu \\ &= \int_\Omega x^*(v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega)) \, d\mu \\ &= x^*\left(\int_\Omega v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega) \, d\mu\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_n \rightarrow x_0 = \int_\Omega v_0(\omega)h_0(\omega) \, d\mu \in X$  for  $\sigma(X, X^*)$ , as desired.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that (ii) holds. In view of Theorem 4.1  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology, so  $E(X)_\xi^* = E(X)_{\xi,n}^* = \{F_g : g \in M_\xi(X^*, X)\}$ , see (4.3). Let  $(f_n)$  be a  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -Cauchy sequence in  $E(X)$ . Then the set  $\{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -compact, so in view of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 it is also relatively

$\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ -sequentially compact. Hence, one can choose a subsequence  $(f_{k_n})$  of  $(f_n)$  and  $f_0 \in E(X)$  such that  $f_{k_n} \rightarrow f_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ . It follows that  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), M_\xi(X^*, X))$ , as desired.  $\square$

We know that  $E^a(\xi) = E$  whenever  $\xi$  has the Lebesgue property, so as a consequence of Theorem 4.5, we get the following vector-valued analogue of Theorem 4.2.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -sequentially complete.
- (ii)  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_\xi^*)$ -sequentially complete and  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete.

Now we apply Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 to two particular cases:  $\xi = \tau(E, E^\sim)$  and  $\xi = \tau(E, E_n^\sim)$ .

Recall that an ideal  $E$  is said to be *perfect* whenever  $E = E''$ . Note that  $E$  is  $\sigma(E, E_n^\sim)$ -sequentially complete if and only if  $E$  is perfect, see Theorem 4.1 and [1, Theorem 9.4].

It is well known that the Mackey topology  $\tau(E, E^\sim)$  is locally solid, see [2]. Let

$$E^a := \{u \in E : |u| \geq u_n \downarrow 0 \text{ in } E \text{ implies } \varphi(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in E^\sim\}.$$

Since the Mackey topology  $\tau(E(X), E(X)^\sim)$  is locally solid and  $\tau(E(X), E(X)^\sim) = \tau(E, E^\sim)$ , see [22, Theorem 3.7], [24, proof of Theorem 3.3], by making use of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.5, we get:

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $E$  be an ideal of  $L^0$  with  $\text{supp } E^a = \Omega$ , and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)^\sim)$ -sequentially complete.
- (ii)  $E^\sim = E_n^\sim$ ,  $E$  is perfect and  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete.

It is well known that the Mackey topology  $\tau(E, E_n^\sim)$  is the finest Hausdorff locally convex-solid topology on  $E$  with the Lebesgue property, see [2, 15]. Since  $(E, \tau(E, E_n^\sim))^* = \{\varphi_v : v \in E'\}$ , by Proposition 1.2 we get  $E(X)_{\tau(E, E_n^\sim)}^* = \{F_g : g \in E'(X^*, X)\} = E(X)_n^\sim$ . Hence, in view of Corollary 4.6, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $E$  be an ideal of  $L^0$ , and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ -sequentially complete.
- (ii)  $E$  is perfect and  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete.

**5. Semi-reflexivity of vector-valued function spaces.** Recall that a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(L, \xi)$  is said to be *semi-reflexive* if the natural embedding of  $L$  into its bidual is onto. It is well known that  $(L, \xi)$  is semi-reflexive if and only if every  $\sigma(L, L_\xi^*)$ -bounded subset of  $L$  is relatively  $\sigma(L, L_\xi^*)$ -compact, see [31, Chapter 10.2]. In particular, a Banach space  $X$  is reflexive (= semi-reflexive) if and only if it is almost reflexive and weakly sequentially complete.

The following characterization of semi-reflexivity of function spaces will be of importance, see [9, Proposition 5.4], [1, Theorem 22.4], [32].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(E, \xi)$  is semi-reflexive.
- (ii)  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue, Levy topology and  $\beta(E_\xi^*, E)$  is a Lebesgue topology.

In this section we extend this characterization to the vector-valued setting. In particular, it is known that if  $E$  is a Banach function space, (over a finite measure space) with an order continuous norm, then the Köthe-Bochner space  $E(X)$  is reflexive if and only if both Banach spaces  $E$  and  $X$  are reflexive, [4, Proposition 3.2].

We will need the following version of the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem for the locally convex space  $(E(X), \sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*))$ .

**Theorem 5.2** (see [25, Theorem 3.2]). *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Assume that the absolute weak topology  $|\sigma|(E, E_{\xi}^*)$  has the  $\sigma$ -Levy property. Then for a subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -compact.
- (ii)  $H$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -sequentially compact.

Now we are in position to state our main result.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is semi-reflexive.
- (ii)  $X$  is reflexive and  $(E, \xi)$  is semi-reflexive.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is semi-reflexive, i.e.,  $\pi(E(X)) = (E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)_{\beta}^*$ . Then, in view of Theorem 2.4,  $X$  is reflexive and  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology. Let  $M_{\xi}$  be an ideal of  $E'$  determined by  $\xi$ , see Proposition 1.2.

To show that  $(E, \xi)$  is semi-reflexive, let  $Z$  be a  $\sigma(E, M_{\xi})$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . It is enough to show that  $Z$  is relatively  $\sigma(E, M_{\xi})$ -compact. Indeed, let  $(u_{\alpha})$  be a net in  $Z$  and  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Then  $\{u \otimes x_0 : u \in Z\}$  is a  $\sigma(E(X), M_{\xi}(X^*, X))$ -bounded subset of  $E(X)$ , see the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 3.4, so it is also relatively  $\sigma(E(X), M_{\xi}(X^*, X))$ -compact. Hence there exist a subnet  $(u_{\beta})$  of  $(u_{\alpha})$  and  $h_0 \in E(X)$  such that  $u_{\beta} \otimes x_0 \rightarrow h_0$  for  $\sigma(E(X), M_{\xi}(X^*, X))$ . Choose  $x_0^* \in S_{X^*}$  such that  $x_0^*(x_0) = 1$ . Then  $v \otimes x_0^* \in M_{\xi}(X^*, X)$  for every  $v \in M_{\xi}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_v(u_{\beta}) &= F_{v \otimes x_0^*}(u_{\beta} \otimes x_0) \longrightarrow F_{v \otimes x_0^*}(h_0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle h_0(\omega), v(\omega)x_0^* \rangle d\mu \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\Omega} (x_0^* \circ h_0)(\omega)v(\omega) \, d\mu \\ &= \varphi_v(x_0^* \circ h_0), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $u_\beta \rightarrow x_0^* \circ h_0 \in E$  for  $\sigma(E, M_\xi)$ , as desired.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that  $X$  is reflexive and  $(E, \xi)$  is semi-reflexive, i.e.,  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue, Levy topology and  $\beta(E_\xi^*, E)$  has the Lebesgue property, see Theorem 5.1. By making use of Theorem 3.4, we obtain that the space  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is almost reflexive. Moreover, by Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.6,  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -sequentially complete. It follows that every  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -bounded subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -sequentially compact. Since  $|\sigma|(E, E_\xi^*)$  is a Levy topology, by Theorem 5.2,  $H$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -compact. This means that  $(E(X), \bar{\xi})$  is semi-reflexive.  $\square$

**6. Relative weak compactness of solid hulls in vector-valued function spaces.** Bukhvalov [6, Proposition 5] has showed that if a Banach function space  $E$  is a KB-space and  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space, then the convex-solid hull of every relatively weakly compact subset of the Köthe-Bochner space  $E(X)$  is again relatively weakly compact. In this section we extend this result to the general setting whenever  $(E, \xi)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space and  $X$  is a Banach space.

By  $S(H)$  we will denote the solid hull of a set  $H$  in  $E(X)$ , i.e., the smallest solid set in  $E(X)$  containing  $H$ . Then  $S(H) = \{f \in E(X) : \tilde{f} \leq \tilde{h} \text{ for some } h \in H\}$ . It is known that the convex hull of a solid subset  $H$  of  $E(X)$  is again solid, see [14, Theorem 1.2].

The following result will be of importance.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Lebesgue property, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is almost reflexive.
- (ii) *The convex solid hull of every conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\bar{\xi}}^*)$ -compact.*

(iii) *The solid hull of every conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). See [6, Corollary 1 of Proposition 4].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It is obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that (iii) holds. It is enough to show that the unit ball  $B_X$  is conditionally weakly compact. Indeed, let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $B_X$ , and let  $u \in E^+$ . Then the order interval  $D_u (= S(\{u \otimes x_0\}))$  for a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$  is conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact. Then  $h_n = u \otimes x_n \in D_u$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , so there exists a  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -Cauchy subsequence  $(h_{k_n})$  of  $(h_n)$ . Arguing similarly as in the proof of implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 2.4, we obtain that  $(x_{k_n})$  is weakly Cauchy, as desired.  $\square$

Now we are in position to state our desired result.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $(E, \xi)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex-solid function space with the Levy property and  $X$  a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $\xi$  is a Lebesgue topology and  $X$  is reflexive.

(ii) *The convex solid hull of every relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact.*

(iii) *The solid hull of every relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (i) holds, and let  $H$  be a relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$ . In view of Theorem 5.2,  $H$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially compact, so it is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -conditionally compact. Hence, by Theorem 6.1,  $\text{conv}(S(H))$  is also conditionally  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact. Since the space  $E(X)$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially complete, see Corollary 4.6,  $\text{conv}(S(H))$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -sequentially compact. Making use of Theorem 5.2, we obtain that  $\text{conv}(S(H))$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_{\xi}^*)$ -compact, as desired.

(ii)⇒(iii). It is obvious.

(iii)⇒(i). Assume that (iii) holds. Then for every  $u \in E^+$  the order interval  $D_u (=S(\{u \otimes x_0\}))$  for a fixed  $x_0 \in S_X$  is  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_\xi^*)$ -compact. In view of Theorem 2.4  $\xi$  has the Lebesgue property and  $X$  is reflexive.  $\square$

Now we consider a particular case whenever  $\xi = \tau(E, E_n^\sim)$ . Since  $(E, \tau(E, E_n^\sim))^* = (E, |\sigma|(E, E_n^\sim))^* = E_n^\sim$ , see [1, Theorem 6.6],  $E$  is perfect, i.e.,  $E = E''$ , if and only if  $\tau(E, E_n^\sim)$  is a Levy topology, see [1, Theorem 9.4]. Moreover,  $E(X)_{\tau(E, E_n^\sim)}^* = E(X)_n^\sim$  holds. Thus as an application of Theorem 6.2 we get:

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $E$  be a perfect ideal, and let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is reflexive.
- (ii) The convex solid hull of every relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ -compact.
- (iii) The solid hull of every relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ -compact subset of  $E(X)$  is relatively  $\sigma(E(X), E(X)_n^\sim)$ -compact.

### REFERENCES

1. C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Locally solid Riesz spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
2. I. Amemiya, *On order topological spaces*, in *Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Linear Spaces* (Jerusalem, 1961) Academic Press, Oxford, Pergamon, 1961, pp. 14–23.
3. F. Bombal, *On  $\ell_1$  subspaces of Orlicz vector-valued function spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **101** (1978), 107–112.
4. F. Bombal and B. Hernando, *On the injection of a Köthe function space into  $L_1(\mu)$* , Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **35** (1995), 49–60.
5. A.V. Bukhvalov, *On an analytic representation of operators with abstract norm*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. **11** (1975), 21–32 (in Russian).
6. ———, *Factorization of linear operators in Banach lattices and in spaces of vector-valued functions*, in *Qualitative and approximate methods for investigating operator equations*, Yaroslav Gos. Univ., Yaroslav, 1982, 168, 34–46 (in Russian).
7. A.V. Bukhvalov and G.Ya. Lozanowskii, *On sets closed in measure in spaces of measurable function*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **2** (1978), 127–148.

8. O. Burkinshaw and P. Dodds, *Weak sequential compactness and completeness in Riesz spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 1332–1339.
9. ———, *Disjoint sequences, compactness and semireflexivity in locally convex Riesz spaces*, *Illinois J. Math.* **21** (1977), 759–775.
10. P. Cembranos and J. Mendoza, *Banach spaces of vector-valued functions*, *Lectures Notes in Math.*, vol. 1676, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
11. R. Cross, *A characterization of almost reflexivity of normed function spaces*, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **92** (1992), 225–228.
12. J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
13. L. Drewnowski and I. Labuda, *Copies of  $c_0$  and  $l_\infty$  in topological Riesz spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 3555–3570.
14. K. Feledziak and M. Nowak, *Locally solid topologies on vector-valued function spaces*, *Collect. Math.* **48** (1997), 487–511.
15. D.H. Fremlin, *Abstract Köthe spaces I*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **63** (1967), 653–660.
16. V.A. Geuiler and L.V. Chubarova, *Spaces of measurable vector-valued functions that do not contain the space  $\ell^1$* , *Math. Z.* **34** (1983), 425–430.
17. J. Howard, *A generalization of reflexive Banach spaces and weakly compact operators*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **13** (1972), 673–684.
18. L.V. Kantorovitch and A.V. Akilov, *Functional analysis*, Nauka, Moscow, 1984.
19. Pei-Kee Lin, *Köthe-Bochner function spaces*, Birkhauser Verlag, Boston, 2003.
20. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II, Function spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
21. M. Nowak, *Duality theory of vector valued function spaces I*, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **37** (1997), 195–215.
22. ———, *Duality theory of vector valued function spaces II*, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **37** (1997), 217–230.
23. ———, *Lebesgue topologies on vector-valued function spaces*, *Math. Japon.* **52** (2000), 171–182.
24. ———, *Strong topologies on vector-valued function spaces*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **50** (2000), 401–414.
25. ———, *Conditional and relative weak compactness in vector-valued function spaces*, *J. Convex Anal.* **12** (2005), 447–463.
26. ———, *Weak sequential completeness and compactness in topological function spaces*, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.*, Tomus Specialis in Honorem Iuliani Musielak (2004), 155–165.
27. ———, *Mackey topologies on vector-valued function spaces*, *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* **24** (2005), 319–332.
28. G. Pisier, *Une propriété de stabilité de la classe des espaces ne contenant  $\ell_1$* , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **284** (1978), 747–749.

- 29.** H. Rosenthal, *A characterization of Banach spaces containing  $\ell_1$* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **71** (1974), 2411–2413.
- 30.** M. Talagrand, *Weak Cauchy sequences in  $L^1(X)$* , Amer. Math. J. **106** (1984), 703–724.
- 31.** A. Wilansky, *Modern methods in topological vector spaces*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
- 32.** Y.C. Wong, *Reflexivity of locally convex Riesz spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **1** (1969), 725–732.
- 33.** A.C. Zaanen, *Riesz spaces II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ECONOMETRICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA, UL. SZAFRANA 4A, 65–516 ZIELONA GÓRA, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* M.Nowak@wmie.uz.zgora.pl