

INTEGRAL CLOSURES, LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND IDEAL TOPOLOGIES

R. NAGHIPOUR

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a formally equidimensional local ring of dimension d . Suppose that Φ is a system of nonzero ideals of R such that, for all minimal prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R , $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}$ is \mathfrak{m} -primary for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. In this paper, the main result asserts that for any ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , the integral closure $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$ of \mathfrak{b} with respect to the Artinian R -module $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ is equal to \mathfrak{b}_a , the classical Northcott-Rees integral closure of \mathfrak{b} . This generalizes the main result of [13] concerning the question raised by D. Rees.

1. Introduction. Let R denote a commutative Noetherian ring (with identity) of dimension d , and let A be an Artinian R -module. We say that the ideal \mathfrak{a} of R is a *reduction* of the ideal \mathfrak{b} of R with respect to A if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ and there exists an integer $s \geq 1$ such that $(0 :_A \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^s) = (0 :_A \mathfrak{b}^{s+1})$. An element x of R is said to be *integrally dependent on \mathfrak{a} with respect to A* if \mathfrak{a} is a reduction of $\mathfrak{a} + Rx$ with respect to A , see [12]. Moreover, the set $\mathfrak{a}^{*(A)} := \{x \in R \mid x \text{ is integrally dependent on } \mathfrak{a} \text{ with respect to } A\}$ is an ideal of R , called the *integral closure of \mathfrak{a} with respect to A* .

In [13] the dual concepts of reduction and integral closure of the ideal \mathfrak{b} with respect to a Noetherian R -module N were introduced; we shall use $\mathfrak{b}_a^{(N)}$ to denote the integral closure of \mathfrak{b} with respect to N . If $N = R$, then $\mathfrak{b}_a^{(N)}$ reduces to that the usual Northcott-Rees integral closure \mathfrak{b}_a of \mathfrak{b} .

The purpose of the present paper is to show that, for any system of ideals Φ of a formally equidimensional local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension d , if $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ for all minimal primes \mathfrak{p} of R and for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$, then $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$, the integral closure of \mathfrak{b} with respect to $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$, is equal

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D45, 13B20, 13E05.
Key words and phrases. Integral closures, local cohomology, formally equidimensional rings.

This research was been in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 81130020).
Received by the editors on May 25, 2004, and in revised form on Feb. 5, 2005.

to \mathfrak{b}_a , the classical Northcott-Rees integral closure of \mathfrak{b} , for each ideal \mathfrak{b} of R .

Throughout this paper, all rings considered will be commutative and Noetherian and will have nonzero identity elements. Such a ring will be denoted by R , and a typical ideal of R will be denoted by \mathfrak{a} . Moreover, throughout this paper, let Φ denote a system of nonzero ideals of R , i.e., for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \Phi$, there exists an $\mathfrak{c} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$.

Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . The n th (S)-symbolic power of \mathfrak{a} , denoted by $S(\mathfrak{a}^n)$, is defined to be the ideal $\cup_{s \in S} (\mathfrak{a}^n :_R s)$ of R . In the case $S = R \setminus \cup \{\mathfrak{p} \in m\text{Ass}_R R/\mathfrak{a}\}$, where $m\text{Ass}_R R/\mathfrak{a}$ is the set of the minimal primes of $\text{Ass}_R R/\mathfrak{a}$, the n th (S)-symbolic power of \mathfrak{a} is denoted by $\mathfrak{a}^{(n)}$. Let $\dim R = d$, and let $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} = d - 1$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Then the sets Φ and $\Phi^{(1)} := \{\mathfrak{a}^{(1)} : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$ induce topologies on R , which are called the Φ -adic and $\Phi^{(1)}$ -symbolic topology, respectively. These topologies are said to be *equivalent* if, for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Equivalence of some topologies have been studied in [5, 8, 9] and has led to some interesting results. We shall use $\mathcal{C}(R)$ to denote the category of all R -modules and all R -homomorphisms between them. The system of ideals Φ determines the Φ -torsion functor $\Gamma_\Phi : \mathcal{C}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(R)$. This is the subfunctor of the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}(R)$ for which $\Gamma_\Phi(N) = \{x \in N : \mathfrak{a}x = 0 \text{ for some } \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$ for each R -module N . For each $i \geq 0$, the i th right derived functor of Γ_Φ is denoted by H_Φ^i . Moreover, for any R -module N and for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the ideal $\cap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ of R , respectively submodule $\cup_{n \geq 1} (0 :_N \mathfrak{p}^{(n)})$ of N , is denoted by $c(\mathfrak{p})$, respectively $\Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(N)$. We denote $\cup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} V(\mathfrak{a})$ by $V(\Phi)$, where $V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$. Finally, if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local, then \hat{R} , respectively E , denotes the *completion of R* with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, respectively *injective envelope* of the simple R -module R/\mathfrak{m} .

In the second section we give a generalization of Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne theorem in the context of general local cohomology modules, whose applications will be used in the proof of the main theorem. More precisely we prove the following:

(1.1) Theorem. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local of dimension d . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \neq 0$.
- (ii) *There exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ and $\dim \widehat{R}/(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$.*

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Then R is said to be *equidimensional* if, for any minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim R$. Also, R is called *formally equidimensional* if its completion \widehat{R} is equidimensional, see [6, p. 251].

In the third section, for any ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , we examine the equality of the classical Northcott-Rees’ integral closure \mathfrak{b}_a and the integral closure $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$ of \mathfrak{b} with respect to the Artinian R -module $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$, by using the applications of the Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne theorem. More precisely, we shall show that:

(1.2) Theorem. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a formally equidimensional local ring of dimension d such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \in m\text{Ass } R$, $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Then $\mathfrak{b}_a = \mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$ for every ideal \mathfrak{b} of R .*

2. Ideal topologies and the Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne theorem. The main point of this section is to establish a generalization of the Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne theorem in the context of general local cohomology modules. Some applications are given. The following lemma plays a key role in this section.

(2.1) Lemma. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Gorenstein local ring of dimension d , and let $V := \{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Phi) : \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d - 1\}$. Then $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \mathfrak{p}, E)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} : 0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{d-1} \rightarrow E^d \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal injective resolution of R . Then, by [6, Theorems 18.1 and 18.8], we have $E^i \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = i}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$. Moreover, in view of [6, Theorem 18.4],

$$\Gamma_{\Phi} \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \right) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\Phi}(E) = E.$$

Consequently, the exact sequence \mathcal{E} induces an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H_{\Phi}^d(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in V$ so that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d - 1$. Since each element of $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is annihilated by some symbolic power of \mathfrak{p} , it follows that $\Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E^{d-1}) = \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$. Hence, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_i \text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}, R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary it follows that $\text{Ass}_R R/\mathfrak{p}^{(i)} = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, and so $\text{depth}_R R/\mathfrak{p}^{(i)} > 0$, note that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d - 1$. Consequently, from [3, Lemma 8.1.8] we get $\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}, R) = 0$. Therefore, the sequence $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and so $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \cong E/\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E)$. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$E/\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E) = \text{Hom}_R \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}), E \right).$$

To this end, as R is complete, by using the notations of [14, Section 5.4] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{p})}(E) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \sum_{n \geq 1} (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}) \\ &= \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}^{(n)\lambda}) \right)^{\mu} \\ &= \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}^{(n)} 0^{\lambda} \right)^{\mu} \\ &= \left(0 :_E \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}), E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V} c(\mathfrak{p}), E) \rightarrow 0$, and the desired result now follows. \square

The following corollary will establish the equivalence between the topologies defined by Φ and $\Phi^{(1)}$ in terms of vanishing the local cohomology module $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$. Recall that for any ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , we use $\mathfrak{a}^{(1)}$ to denote the ideal $\cup_{s \in S} (\mathfrak{a} :_R s)$, where $S = R \setminus \cup \{ \mathfrak{p} \in m\text{Ass}_R R / \mathfrak{a} \}$ and $\Phi^{(1)} := \{ \mathfrak{b}^{(1)} : \mathfrak{b} \in \Phi \}$.

(2.2) Corollary. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Gorenstein local (not necessarily complete) ring of dimension d , and let $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} = d - 1$ for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\Phi}^d(R) = 0$.
- (ii) *The $\Phi^{(1)}$ -symbolic topology is equivalent to the Φ -adic topology.*

Proof. First we show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). To do this, suppose that $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Then, we can write $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^{(1)} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ for some \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{q} . In view of [11, Lemma 1.3], there exists a parameter ideal \mathfrak{q}_0 of R such that $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. By virtue of [6, Theorem 18.1], \mathfrak{q}_0 is irreducible; and hence there exists $x \in E$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \text{Ann}(x)$. By assumption and the proof of (2.1), there is an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x \in \Sigma_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Phi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}^{(n)})$.

Hence, we can write $x = e_1 + \dots + e_r$, where $e_i \in (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)})$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \in V(\Phi)$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}_i = d - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. There exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i$. Since $\text{ht } \mathfrak{b} = d - 1$, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ are minimal prime ideals of \mathfrak{b} . Now, let $b \in \mathfrak{b}^{(n)}$. Then, $sb \in \mathfrak{b}^n$ for some $s \in R \setminus \cup \{ \mathfrak{p} \in m\text{Ass}_R R / \mathfrak{b} \}$. Since $\mathfrak{b}^n \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}$, it follows that $sb \in \cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}$, and therefore $b \in \cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{(n)}$. Consequently $bx = be_1 + \dots + be_r = 0$, so that $b \in \mathfrak{q}_0$. Accordingly $\mathfrak{b}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Moreover, it is easily seen that there exists $\mathfrak{c} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{c}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{(n)}$, (note that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} = d - 1$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$). Therefore we have $\mathfrak{c}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. On the other hand, there is an $\mathfrak{d} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{d} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$; hence, $\mathfrak{d}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{(1)} \cap \mathfrak{c}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{(1)} \cap \mathfrak{q}$, as required.

In order to prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (i) suppose that $x \in E$. Then, there is $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{a}x = 0$. Therefore, by assumption, there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \Phi$ such that $\mathfrak{b}^{(1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Next, let $m\text{Ass}_R R / \mathfrak{b} = \{ \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \}$. Then, it is easy to see that $\cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{(l)} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^{(1)}$ for sufficiently large l . Therefore, $(\cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{(l)})x = 0$, and hence $x \in \Sigma_{i=1}^r (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}_i^{(l)})$ by [10, Lemma 2.2].

Consequently $E = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Phi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} \cup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} (0 :_E \mathfrak{p}^{(j)})$; so that, by the proof of
 (2.1) $H_{\Phi}^d(R) = 0$, as desired. \square

Before obtaining the main result of this section we recall some useful properties of the local cohomology functors $H_{\Phi}^i(\cdot)$ in the following remark.

(2.3) Remark. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

(i) For any system of ideals Φ of R , let $\Phi S := \{\mathfrak{a}S : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$. Then it is easy to see that ΦS is a system of ideals of S and for any $i \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $H_{\Phi S}^i(N) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(N)$, for any S -module N , see [3, Theorem 4.2.1].

(ii) Suppose that $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is surjective and Φ is a system of ideals of S . Then one can check easily that $\Phi^\varphi := \{(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}))^n : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a system of ideals of R . Consequently, for any S -module N and $i \in \mathbf{N}_0$, there is an isomorphism of R -modules $H_{\Phi^\varphi}^i(N) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(N)$ as Φ and $\Phi^\varphi S$ introduce the same topology on S .

Now we are ready to state and prove the main theorem of this section.

(2.4) Theorem (Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne theorem). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local of dimension d . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $H_{\Phi}^d(R) = 0$.

(ii) *For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}$ with $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$, there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p} > 0$.*

Proof. First we show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose the contrary is true. Then there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ but $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Suppose that $\Psi = \Phi\widehat{R} := \{\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$ and $\Theta = \Psi(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) := \{\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$ are systems of ideals of \widehat{R} and \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} , respectively. Then, in view of (2.3), we have $H_{\Phi}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\Psi}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\Theta}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that two systems of ideals Θ and $\{(\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p})^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ are ‘comparable’ in sense [3, Proposition 3.1.1]. It follows that $H_{\Phi}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p})$, and so by [3, Theorem 6.1.4], $H_{\Phi}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$.

Moreover, in view of [1, Proposition 2.1], $H_{\Phi}^d(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\Psi}^d(\widehat{R}) \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}$ and by virtue of (2.3) we have $H_{\Psi}^d(\widehat{R}) \cong H_{\Phi}^d(R) \otimes_R \widehat{R}$. Now, putting this together with the above isomorphism, we obtain a contradiction.

In order to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose that $\Phi\widehat{R} := \{\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$. Then by virtue of (2.3), $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \otimes_R \widehat{R} \cong H_{\Phi\widehat{R}}^d(\widehat{R})$. Since \widehat{R} is faithfully flat over R , we may assume that R is complete. Now, we use the Cohen structure theorem to see that there is a complete Gorenstein local ring A of dimension d and a surjective ring homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow R$. Let $\Psi = \Phi^{\psi} := \{(\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}))^n : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, then by (2.3) $H_{\Psi}^i(R) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(R)$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $H_{\Psi}^d(R) = 0$. To achieve this, suppose $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Ker } \psi$. Then A/\mathfrak{b} and R are isomorphic A -modules, and therefore, it follows from [1, Proposition 2.1] that $H_{\Psi}^d(R) \cong H_{\Psi}^d(A) \otimes_A R$. On the other hand, in view of (2.1),

$$H_{\Psi}^d(A) \cong \text{Hom}_A \left(\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Psi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} c(\mathfrak{p}), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) \right).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$H_{\Psi}^d(R) \cong \text{Hom}_A \left(\bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Psi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} c(\mathfrak{p}), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) \right) \otimes_A R.$$

From this and [3, Lemma 10.2.16], it is sufficient for us to show that

$$\text{Ass}_A \text{Hom}_A \left(R, \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Psi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} c(\mathfrak{p}) \right) = \emptyset.$$

To this end, by [2, Section 2.1, Proposition 10], an associated prime ideal of the A -module $\text{Hom}_A(R, \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Psi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} c(\mathfrak{p}))$ must contain \mathfrak{b} , and belong to $\text{Ass } A$ (and so have dimension d), and cannot be contained in any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $V(\Psi)$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d - 1$. Therefore, the hypotheses show that there is no such associated prime ideal, and so the proof is complete. \square

(2.5) Corollary. *Suppose that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local and has dimension d and that for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \widehat{R} with $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) > 0$. Then $H_{\Phi}^i(N) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$ and for every R -module N .*

Proof. As $H_{\Phi}^i(N) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$, the result follows from Grothendieck's vanishing theorem, [3, Exercise 6.1.9], and (2.4). \square

(2.6) Corollary. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local, and let N be a nonzero finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Assume that for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N$ with $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) > 0$. Then $H_{\Phi}^i(N) = 0$ for all $i \geq d$.*

Proof. In view of [1, Lemma 2.3], we may assume that R is complete. Let $\Psi = \Phi(R/\text{Ann}_R N) := \{\mathfrak{a}(R/\text{Ann}_R N) : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$, then, by (2.3) and [1, Proposition 2.1], we have

$$H_{\Phi}^d(N) \cong H_{\Psi}^d(N) \cong H_{\Psi}^d(R/\text{Ann}_R N) \otimes_{R/\text{Ann}_R N} N.$$

The result now follows by using (2.4) and [3, Theorem 6.1.2]. \square

The following proposition will be one of our main tools in Section 3. Before we state it, let us recall that, if R is local with dimension d , then the general local cohomology module $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ is an Artinian R -module, see [1, Theorem 3.1], and so has a natural structure as an \widehat{R} -module.

(2.7) Proposition. *Suppose that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local of dimension d . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\Phi}^d(R)) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R} : \dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d, \\ &\text{and } \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of (2.3) without loss of generality, we may assume that R is complete. First, suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\Phi}^d(R))$. Then, by

virtue of [3, Proposition 7.2.11], we have $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \neq \mathfrak{p}H_{\Phi}^d(R)$, whence $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$. Therefore $H_{\Phi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$ by [1, Proposition 2.1]. Let $\Psi = \Phi(R/\mathfrak{p}) := \{\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$, then by (2.3), $H_{\Psi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. It follows that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. On the other hand, in view of (2.4), we have $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. That is $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$, as desired.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ be such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ and $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Let $\Psi = \Phi(R/\mathfrak{p}) := \{\mathfrak{a}(R/\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$, then in view of (2.3), $H_{\Psi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\Phi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$, and it is straightforward to check that two systems of ideals Ψ and $\{(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p})^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ introduce the same topology on R/\mathfrak{p} . Consequently, we have $H_{\Psi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p}}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$. Thus, by [3, Theorems 6.1.4 and 7.1.3], $H_{\Psi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is a nonzero Artinian R/\mathfrak{p} -module which is 0-secondary. Therefore, $H_{\Phi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is a nonzero Artinian R -module with $\text{Rad}(0 :_R H_{\Phi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$. But, by virtue of [1, Proposition 2.1], $H_{\Phi}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is a homomorphic image of $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$, and so it follows that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\Phi}^d(R))$. This completes the proof. \square

(2.8) Remark. Let the situation be as in (2.7). Then

$$\text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\Phi}^d(R)) = \text{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} D((H_{\Phi}^d(R))) = \text{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \left(\text{Hom}_A \left(R, \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\Psi) \\ \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = d-1}} c(\mathfrak{p}) \right) \right),$$

in which the second equation follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 and $D := \text{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(-, E(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}))$ represents Matlis duality.

(2.9) Corollary. *Let the situation be as in (2.7). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Att}_R(H_{\Phi}^d(R)) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \cap R : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}, \dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d, \\ &\text{and } \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Local cohomology and integral closures. The purpose of this section is to prove that, for any system of ideals Φ of a formally equidimensional local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension d , the integral closure $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$ of \mathfrak{b} with respect to the Artinian R -module $H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ is equal to the classical Northcott-Rees integral closure \mathfrak{b}_a for every ideal \mathfrak{b} of R provided $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ for all minimal primes \mathfrak{p} of R and for every

$\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. The main goal of this section is Theorem 3.3. Before we state Theorem 3.3, we give a couple of lemmas that we will use in the proof of this theorem.

(3.1) Lemma. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring of dimension d . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Ann}_R H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ is a nilpotent ideal.
- (ii) R is equidimensional and $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{mAss } \widehat{R}$ and for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$.

Proof. First we show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). To do this, suppose \mathfrak{p} is an arbitrary minimal prime of R . In view of [3, Proposition 7.2.11] and (2.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rad}(\text{Ann}_R(H_{\Phi}^d(R))) &= \cap \{ \mathfrak{q} \cap R : \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}, \dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{q} = d, \\ &\text{and } \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \widehat{R}$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{q} = d$, $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$; and, for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$, $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$. Now, it is easy to see that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$, and so (ii) holds.

In order to prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose that R is equidimensional and \mathfrak{p} is an arbitrary minimal prime of R . Then, there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}$ such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{q} = \dim \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R} = \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. Therefore, by assumption $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Moreover, by using the Going Down theorem it follows that $\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$. Consequently, in view of (2.9) and [3, Proposition 7.2.11], we deduce that $\text{Ann}_R H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ is nilpotent, as desired. \square

(3.2) Lemma. *Suppose that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and that A is an Artinian R -module. Then, for any ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , $(\mathfrak{b}\widehat{R})^{*(A)} \cap R = \mathfrak{b}^{*(A)}$.*

Proof. Follows from the definition and [6, Theorem 7.11]. \square

We are now ready to state and prove the main theorem of this paper.

(3.3) Theorem. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a formally equidimensional local ring of dimension d such that, for all minimal primes \mathfrak{p} of R , $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{m}$ for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Then, for every ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))} = \mathfrak{b}_a$.*

Proof. First of all, let us assume \mathfrak{q} is an arbitrary minimal prime ideal of \widehat{R} . Then it is readily checked that $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{q} \cap R$ is a minimal prime ideal of R , so that we have $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R} + \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$, for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$. Therefore, in view of [7, Lemma 3.15] and (3.2), without loss of generality we may assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is complete. Let $D := \text{Hom}_R(-, E)$, then according to the Matlis' duality theorem [3, Theorem 10.2.12], $D(H_{\Phi}^d(R))$ is a Noetherian R -module and $H_{\Phi}^d(R) \cong DD(H_{\Phi}^d(R))$. Now, let \mathfrak{b} be an arbitrary ideal of R , then by [13, Theorem 2.1] we have $(\mathfrak{b})_a^{D(H_{\Phi}^d(R))} = \mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$. Furthermore, because $\text{Ann}_R D(H_{\Phi}^d(R)) = \text{Ann}_R H_{\Phi}^d(R)$ from (3.1), it follows that $\text{Ann}_R D(H_{\Phi}^d(R))$ is a nilpotent ideal. Thus using [13, Remark 1.6], it is straightforward to check that $\mathfrak{b}_a = (\mathfrak{b})_a^{D(H_{\Phi}^d(R))}$. Therefore now the assertion follows. \square

As an application of Theorem 3.3, we provide the following result which generalizes the main theorem of [13] concerning the question raised by D. Rees.

(3.4) Corollary (see [13, Corollary 3.5]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a formally equidimensional local ring of dimension d and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R such that, for every minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}$ is \mathfrak{m} -primary. Then, for each ideal \mathfrak{b} of R , $\mathfrak{b}^{*(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(R))} = \mathfrak{b}_a$.*

Acknowledgments. The author is deeply grateful to the referee for his or her valuable suggestions on the paper and for drawing the author's attention to Remarks 2.3 and 2.8. Also, I would like to thank Prof. P. Schenzel for his useful suggestions and the many helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. M.H. Bijan-Zadeh, *On the Artinian property of certain general local cohomology modules*, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1985), 399–403.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.

3. M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
4. F.W. Call, *On local cohomology modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **43** (1986), 111–117.
5. K. Divaani-Aazar and P. Schenzel, *Ideal topologies, local cohomology and connectedness*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **131** (2001), 211–226.
6. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
7. S. McAdam, *Asymptotic prime divisors*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1023, Springer, New York, 1983.
8. L.J. Ratliff, Jr., *Topology determined by the symbolic powers of primary ideals*, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), 2073–2104.
9. P. Schenzel, *Explicit computations around the Lichtenbaum-Hartshorne vanishing theorem*, Manuscripta Math. **78** (1993), 57–68.
10. R.Y. Sharp, *Secondary representations for injective modules over commutative Noetherian rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **20** (1976), 143–151.
11. ———, *Cohen-Macaulay properties for balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **90** (1981), 229–238.
12. R.Y. Sharp and A.J. Taherizadeh, *Reductions and integral closures of ideals relative to an Artinian module*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1988), 203–218.
13. R.Y. Sharp, Y. Tiras and M. Yassi, *Integral closures of ideals relative to local cohomology modules over quasi-unmixed local rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 385–392.
14. D.W. Sharpe and P. Vámos, *Injective modules*, University Press, Cambridge, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TABRIZ, POST CODE 51664, TABRIZ, IRAN AND INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: naghipour@tabrizu.ac.ir, naghipou@informatik.uni-halle.de