

**SOME REMARKS ON THE LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMAN
METHOD FOR NON-DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONALS
INVARIANT WITH RESPECT TO
A FINITE GROUP ACTION**

W. KRAWCEWICZ AND W. MARZANTOWICZ

1. Introduction. A variational problem with symmetries may have multiple solutions. The problem of finding the best possible estimate on the number of orbits of critical points of an invariant functional was studied by many authors, see for example, [10, 1, 2, 7–9, 12, 13, 20, 11, 22].

In our previous paper [14] we presented a general and explicit formula for the number of critical points of a functional of class C^1 , invariant with respect to a finite group action (cf. [14, Theorem (1.3)]). The purpose of this paper is to extend this variational minimax method to the class of locally Lipschitzian functionals.

Many nonlinear partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities can be reduced to nondifferentiable variational problems. The Lusternik-Schnirelman method, which is a very efficient tool in finding multiple solutions to differentiable variational problems, was recently applied to some nondifferentiable functionals: see [5, 16, 21, 23]. The generalized gradient of F. H. Clarke (cf. [6]) is used to extend the concepts of critical point and the Palais-Smale condition.

We would like to emphasize that our lower-estimation on the number of critical points of a locally Lipschitzian functional, invariant with respect to a finite group action (Theorem 3), even in the case of C^1 -functionals, is an improvement (compare [14] with [10] and [3]).

2. Locally Lipschitzian G -invariant functionals on Banach manifolds. Let M be a Banach manifold of class C^2 on which a finite group G acts by diffeomorphisms, i.e., M is a G -manifold. Suppose that M is endowed with an invariant Finsler structure $\|\cdot\| : TM \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Such a manifold M will be called a *Finsler G -manifold*. It is known

Research of first author supported by grant from NSERC Canada.

Copyright ©1990 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

(cf. [14]) that any paracompact G -manifold M admits a structure of a Finsler G -manifold, and, therefore, M is a G -metrizable space.

Let M be a Finsler G -manifold modelled on a Banach space \mathbf{E} and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a G -invariant locally Lipschitzian function. We define, following the definition of F. H. Clarke (cf. [6]), the *generalized gradient* of f at x , denoted $\partial f(x) \subset T_x M$, as follows: First, we consider the case where $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $U \subset \mathbf{E}$ is an open set. The *generalized directional derivative* $f^0(x, v)$ of the function f at $x \in U$ is defined as

$$f^0(x, v) = \overline{\lim}_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda} [f(x + h + \lambda v) - f(x + h)], \quad x \in U, v \in \mathbf{E}.$$

We have supposed that f is locally Lipschitzian on U ; this means that, for every $x \in U$, there exists a neighborhood $V_x \subset U$ of x and a constant $k_x > 0$ depending on V_x such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq k_x \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in V_x.$$

The function $v \rightarrow f^0(x, v)$ is a subadditive, positively homogeneous, and, thus, convex, continuous function. Moreover, $|f^0(x, v)| \leq k_x \|v\|$, $|f^0(x, u) - f^0(x, v)| \leq k_x \|u - v\|$ and $f^0(x, -v) = (-f)^0(x, v)$ (cf. [5, 6]). Let $\varphi : U' \rightarrow U$ be a C^1 -diffeomorphism, where $U' \subset \mathbf{E}$. Then

$$(1) \quad (f \circ \varphi)^0(x, v) = f^0(\varphi(x), D\varphi(x)v).$$

Indeed, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f \circ \varphi)^0(x, v) - f^0(\varphi(x), D\varphi(x)v)| \\ &= \left| \overline{\lim}_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda} [f(\varphi(x + h + \lambda v)) - f(\varphi(x + h))] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda} [f(\varphi(x + h) + \lambda D\varphi(x)v) - f(\varphi(x + h))] \right| \\ & \leq k_x \cdot \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{1}{\lambda} |\varphi(x + h + \lambda v) - \varphi(x + h) - \lambda D\varphi(x)v| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The *generalized gradient* of f at x , denoted $\partial f(x)$, is defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \{w \in \mathbf{E}^* : \langle w, v \rangle \leq f^0(x, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{E}\}.$$

It is well known that the generalized gradient $\partial f(x)$ is a nonempty convex and w^* -compact subset of \mathbf{E}^* such that, for each $w \in \partial f(x)$, $\|w\| \leq k_x$. If $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are two locally Lipschitzian functionals, then $\partial(f+g)(x) \subset \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$ and $\partial(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda \partial f(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ (cf. [6] and [5]). Moreover, we have

$$(2) \quad [D\varphi(x)]^{-1*}(\partial f(\varphi(x))) = \partial(f \circ \varphi)(x).$$

Indeed, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(f \circ \varphi) &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}^* : \langle w, v \rangle \leq (f \circ \varphi)^0(x, v) \forall v \in \mathbf{E}\} \\ &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}^* : \langle w, v \rangle \leq f^0(\varphi(x), D\varphi(x)v) \forall v \in \mathbf{E}\} \\ &= \{w \in \mathbf{E}^* : \langle w, [D\varphi(x)]^{-1}v^1 \rangle \leq f^0(\varphi(x), v^1) \forall v^1 \in \mathbf{E}\} \\ &= \{[(D\varphi(x))^{-1}]^*w^1 : \langle w^1, v^1 \rangle \leq f^0(\varphi(x), v^1) \forall v^1 \in \mathbf{E}\} \\ &= [(D\varphi(x))^{-1}]^*(\partial f(\varphi(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now return to the case where $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a locally Lipschitzian function on a Finsler G -manifold modelled on \mathbf{E} . Suppose that $x \in M$ and that (U, φ) is a chart at x . We define

$$\partial f(x) = (T^*\varphi)_{\varphi(x)}(\partial(f \circ \varphi)(\varphi(x))),$$

where $T^*\varphi : T^*U \rightarrow T^*M|_U$ denotes the co-tangential map for φ . By (2), this definition doesn't depend on the choice of local coordinates (U, φ) . Let us recall that, for each $g \in G$, there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $g(x) = g \cdot x$, $x \in M$. The G -action on T^*M is defined as follows: if $(x, w) \in T^*M$, i.e., $w \in T_x^*M$, then $g \cdot (x, w) = (gx, w')$, where $w' = (T_{g(x)}g^{-1})^*w$. Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a G -invariant functional, i.e., $f(gx) = f(x)$ for every $g \in G$, $x \in M$. Then, by (2),

$$g \cdot \partial f(x) = (T^*g^{-1})(\partial f(x)) = (\partial(f \circ g)^{-1})(gx) = \partial f(gx),$$

i.e.,

$$(3) \quad g \cdot \partial f(x) = \partial f(gx).$$

This means that the generalized gradient $\partial f : M \rightarrow T^*M$ of the invariant functional f is also invariant.

The function $\lambda(x) = \min\{\|w\| : w \in \partial f(x)\}$ is lower semi-continuous (cf. [5]) and if f is invariant, then λ is also invariant. A point $x \in M$ is called a *critical point* of f if $\lambda(x) = 0$, and, since there is always a $w_0 \in \partial f(x_0)$ such that $\|w_0\| = \lambda(x_0)$, this is equivalent to $0 \in \partial f(x)$. The set of all critical points of f is denoted by K . We put

$$K_c = K \cup f^{-1}(c)$$

$$f_c = \{x \in M : f(x) \leq c\},$$

where $c \in \mathbf{R}$.

DEFINITION . A locally Lipschitzian function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies the *Palais-Smale* ((P.S.) for abbreviation) *condition* if any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset M$ such that

- (i) $\{f(x_n)\}$ is bounded;
- (ii) $\lambda(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

possesses a convergent subsequence.

The following equivariant version of the *Deformation Lemma* can be easily obtained by using standard constructions and the “averaging” techniques (cf. [5, 11, 1]).

LEMMA 1. *Let M be a complete Finsler G -manifold modelled on a reflexive Banach space \mathbf{E} and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a G -invariant, locally Lipschitzian, functional satisfying the (P.S.) condition. Suppose that $c \in \mathbf{R}$, $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$ and U is an equivariant neighborhood of K_c . Then there exist $\epsilon \in (0, \bar{\epsilon})$ and $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times M, M)$ such that*

- (1°) $\eta(0, x) = x$ for every $x \in M$;
- (2°) $\eta(t, x) = x$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, whenever $f(x) \in [c - \bar{\epsilon}, c + \bar{\epsilon}]$;
- (3°) $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is a G -homeomorphism of M onto M for each $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\eta(t, \cdot)(M^H) = M^H$ for every subgroup H of G , where $M^H = \{x \in M : x = hx \ \forall h \in H\}$.
- (4°) $f(\eta(t, x)) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.
- (5°) $\eta(1, f_{c+\epsilon} \setminus U) \subset f_{c-\epsilon}$.

Suppose now that G is a compact Lie group and M is a G -manifold of class C^2 . Let x be a *symmetric* point of M , i.e., $x \in M^G$. There is a natural linear representation of G on $T_x M$ given by $g \mapsto Dg(x)$. The action G is called *linearizable* at x if there is a diffeomorphism φ of an open set U of M , containing x and G -invariant, onto an open equivariant set $\varphi(U)$ in $T_x M$ and a mapping x to the origin such that the map

$$\varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1} : \varphi(U) \rightarrow T_x M$$

is the restriction to $\varphi(U)$ of the linear map $Dg(x)$; i.e., φ *linearizes* the action of G about x (cf. [18]). Let us remark that, if (U, ψ) is a chart at x such that U is equivariant and $\psi(x) = 0$, where $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E} \cong T_x M$, by identifying \mathbf{E} with $T_x M$, we can define

$$\varphi(y) = \int_G (Dg(y) \cdot \psi)(g^{-1}y) dg, \quad g \in U,$$

where dg is a normalized Haar measure on G . The map φ linearizes the action of G about x . This implies that any action of a compact Lie group G by diffeomorphisms on a Banach manifold M is linearizable at symmetric points. Since \mathbf{E}^G is a closed linear subspace of \mathbf{E} and φ maps $U \cap M^G$ onto $\varphi(U) \cap \mathbf{E}^G$, M^G is a submanifold.

The following *Principle of Symmetric Criticality* is valid for G -invariant locally Lipschitzian functional (see [18]):

Let $x \in M^G$ and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a locally Lipschitzian G -invariant functional. Then x is a critical point of f if and only if x is a critical point of $f^G := f|_{M^G} : M^G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Indeed, since the action of G is linearizable at x , it is sufficient to show the Principle of Symmetric Criticality (P.S.C. for abbreviation) for a special case where $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $U \subset \mathbf{E}$, is a locally Lipschitzian G -invariant function, $x = 0$ and \mathbf{E} is a linear representation of G . Let $A : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ be the *averaging operator* over G , defined by

$$Av = \bar{v}; \quad \bar{v} = \int_G g \cdot v dg, \quad v \in \mathbf{E}.$$

A is a continuous projection on \mathbf{E}^G . Since the function $f^0(0, \cdot)$ is a continuous convex function,

$$\begin{aligned} f^0(0, Av) &\leq \int_G f^0(0, g \cdot v) dg = \int_G (f \circ g)^0(0, v) dg \\ &= \int_G f^0(0, v) = f^0(0, v). \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that $(f^G)^0(0, v) \leq f^0(0, v)$ for $v \in \mathbf{E}^G$ and $A^*\mathbf{E}^* = (\mathbf{E}^*)^G \cong (\mathbf{E}^G)^*$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \partial f^G(0) &= \{w \in (\mathbf{E}^*)^G : \langle w, v \rangle \leq (f^G)^0(0, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{E}^G\} \\ &\subset \{w \in (\mathbf{E}^*)^G : \langle w, v \rangle \leq f^0(0, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{E}^G\} \\ &= \{w \in A^*\mathbf{E}^* : \langle w, v \rangle = \langle w, Av \rangle \leq f^0(0, Av) \\ &\hspace{15em} \leq f^0(0, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{E}\} \\ &\subset A^*\partial f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $0 \in \partial f^G(0)$, then $0 \in A^*\partial f(0)$, and, since $A^*(\partial f(0)) \subset \partial f(0)$, this implies that $0 \in \partial f(0)$ and the (P.S.C.) is satisfied.

3. Multiplicity result for nondifferentiable functionals. The following lemma was proved in [14].

LEMMA 2. *Let G be a finite group acting freely on a metric space A . Assume that S is a G -invariant subset of A , of dimension n , which is also an n -dimensional cohomological sphere over \mathbf{Z} or \mathbf{Z}_p , where p is a prime number dividing $|G|$. Then*

$$\text{cat}(A/G) \geq n + 1,$$

where cat denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelman category.

Let G be a finite group and X a G -space. By $\xi(X)$ we denote a complete list of representatives of all conjugacy classes of isotropy subgroups $H = G_x$ for some $x \in X \setminus X^G$, where $X^G = \{s \in X : gx = x \quad \forall g \in G\}$. The set $\xi(X)$ is ordered by the following relation (cf. [4]):

$$H_1 \leq H_2 \iff \exists_{g \in G} g^{-1}H_1g \supset H_2,$$

where $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$. By $\mu(X)$ we denote the set of all minimal elements H in $\xi(X)$ such that the Weyl group $W(H) = N(H)/H$ is nontrivial, and, by $\mu_0(X)$, we denote the set of those minimal elements H in $\xi(X)$ for which $W(H) = \{e\}$.

We consider a complete Finsler G -manifold M of class C^2 . In what follows we put $\mu := \mu(M)$, $\mu_0 := \mu_0(M)$. Suppose that $S \subset M \setminus M^G$ is a G -invariant subset G -homeomorphic to a sphere $S(V)$ of an orthogonal finite-dimensional representation V of G . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0(S, M) &= \sum_{H \in \mu} \dim V^H + |\mu_0(S) \cap \mu_0| \\ \nu(S, M) &= \sum_{H \in \mu} \frac{|G|}{|H|} \dim V^H + \sum_{H \in \mu_0(S) \cap \mu_0} \frac{|G|}{|H|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can state our Main Result.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be a finite group, M a complete Finsler G -manifold of class C^2 , modelled on a reflexive Banach space, and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a G -invariant locally Lipschitzian, bounded below, functional satisfying the (P.S.) condition. Suppose that*

(i) *there is a G -invariant subset $S \subset M \setminus M^G$ such that S is G -homeomorphic to a sphere $S(V)$ of a finite dimensional orthogonal representation V of G ;*

(ii) *there is a number $r \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$f(s) < r < f(p)$$

for all $s \in S$ and $p \in M^G$.

Then f has at least $\nu_0(S, M)$ distinct critical orbits in f_r , i.e., f has at least $\nu(S, M)$ critical points in f_r .

PROOF. We put $A = \{x \in M : f(x) < r\}$ and consider the function $\tilde{f} = a \circ f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where $a(t) = t - 1/(t - r)$. Let us remark that \tilde{f} is locally Lipschitzian, bounded below, satisfies the (P.S.) condition and has exactly the same critical points as the restriction $f|_A$. Suppose that $H \in \mu$ and $V^H \neq \{0\}$. Then $W(H) = N(H)/H \neq \{e\}$ acts freely

on $A^H \neq \phi$. Let ϵ^H denote the class of closed $W(H)$ -sets contained in A^H . We define

$$\gamma_j := \{Y \in \epsilon^H : \text{cat}(Y/W(H), A^H/W(H)) > j\}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, \dim V^H$.

Since $S^H \subset A^H$ is a cohomological sphere of dimension $\dim V^H - 1$, by Lemma 2, $\text{cat}(A^H/W(H)) \geq \dim V^H$, all classes γ_j , $j = 1, \dots, \dim V^H =: n_H$ are nonempty and $\gamma_1 \supset \gamma_2 \supset \dots \supset \gamma_{n_H}$. We put

$$c_j = \inf_{Y \in \gamma_j} \sup_{x \in Y} \tilde{f}^H(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_H.$$

Using the Deformation Lemma, Lemma 1, one can show in the standard way that all numbers c_j , $1 \leq j \leq n_H$, are critical values of \tilde{f}^H and that \tilde{f}^H has at least $\text{cat}(A^H/W(H)) \geq \dim V^H$ distinct critical orbits in the set $A^{(H)}$. Let $A^{(H)} = G \cdot A^H = \cup_{g \in G} gA^H$. Since $A^H/N(H) = A^{(H)}/G$, this means that \tilde{f} has at least $\dim V^H$ distinct critical orbits in the set $A^{(H)}$. Since $A^{(H_1)}$ and $A^{(H_2)}$ are disjoint for $H_1, H_2 \in \mu$, we have at least $\sum_{H \in \mu} \dim V^H$ distinct critical orbits of \tilde{f} .

If we suppose that $H \in \mu_0 \cap \mu_0(S)$, i.e., $S^H \neq \phi$ and $W(H) = \{e\}$, then we can state only the existence of at least one critical point of \tilde{f}^H in A^H ; thus, \tilde{f} has at least one critical orbit in $A^{(H)}$. This shows that the function \tilde{f} , and, consequently, f , has at least $\nu_0(S, M)$ distinct critical orbits. \square

REFERENCES

1. V. Benci, *Geometrical index for the group S^1 and some applications to research of periodic solutions of ODE's*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 393–432.
2. ———, *On critical point theory for indefinite functional in the presence of symmetries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 533–572.
3. ——— and F. Pacella, *Morse theory for symmetric functionals on the sphere and an application to a bifurcation problem*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **9** (8) (1985).
4. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
5. K. C. Chang, *Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **80** (1981), 102–129.

6. F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and nonsmooth analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, 1983.
7. D. G. Costa and M. Willem, *Lusternik-Schnirelman theory and asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems*, Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, **47**, Diff. Equ. Qual. Theory. Szeged (Hungary) (1984).
8. ——— and ———, *Multiple critical points of invariant functionals and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA (1984).
9. ——— and ———, *Points critique multiple de fonctionnelles invariantes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **298** (1984), 381–384.
10. I. Ekeland and J. M. Lasry, *Sur de points critiques de fonctions invariantes par des groupes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **282** (1976), 841–844.
11. E. R. Fadell and S. Husseini, *Relative cohomological index theories*, Adv. in Math. **64** (1987), 1–87.
12. ——— and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Bifurcation for odd potential operators and an alternative topological index*, J. Funct. Anal. **26** (1977), 48–67.
13. ——— and ———, *Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems*, Invent. Math. **45** (1978), 134–174.
14. W. Krawcewicz and M. Marzantowicz, *Lusternik-Schnirelman method for functionals invariant with respect to a finite group action*, J. Differential Equations **85** (1990), 105–124.
15. W. Marzantowicz, *A G -Lusternik-Schnirelman category of spaces with an action of a compact Lie group*, Topology **28** (1989), 403–412.
16. D. Pascali, *Nonlinear variational eigenvalue inclusions*, Proceedings of Conference on Differential Equations (Equadiff 87, Xanthi (Greece)) 1987.
17. R. Palais, *Lusternik-Schnirelman theory on Banach manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 115–132.
18. ———, *The principle of symmetric criticality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **69** (1979), 19–39.
19. P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Regional Conference in Math. **65**, CBMS, AMS (1984).
20. ———, *Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 225–251.
21. A. Szulkin, *Minimax principles for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary problems*, Analyse Non Linéaire **3** (1986), 77–109.
22. M. Willem, *Bifurcation, symmetry and Morse theory*, Bollettino U.M.I. **7** 3-B (1989), 17–27.
23. E. Zeidler, *Lusternik-Schnirelman theory on general level sets*, Math. Nachr. **129** (1986), 235–259.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON,
CANADA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, GDAŃSK, POLAND