

A CONGRUENCE FOR $c\phi_{h,k}(n)$

LOUIS WORTHY KOLITSCH

ABSTRACT. This paper is a sequel to a recent paper [2] on congruences for generalized Frobenius partitions. With the aid of some congruence properties for compositions, we will derive a congruence, modulo h^2 , for $c\phi_{h,k}(n)$, the number of generalized Frobenius partitions of n with h colors and at most k repetitions, provided $(h, k + 1) = 1$.

Introduction. Let $c\phi_{h,k}(n)$ be the number of generalized Frobenius partitions, F-partitions for short, of n with h colors and (at most) k repetitions as introduced in [3]. These combinatorial objects are an extension of two classes of F-partitions introduced by Andrews [1]. In two recent papers [3, 4] the generating functions and the Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher expansions for $c\phi_{h,k}(n)$ were derived. In this paper we will prove two congruences for $c\phi_{h,k}(n)$ which are similar to congruences for two other classes of F-partitions.

It has been shown [2] that $\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d)c\phi_{h/d}(n/d) \equiv 0 \pmod{h^2}$ and $\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d)k\phi_{h/d}(n/d) \equiv 0 \pmod{h^2}$ where $c\phi_h(n)$ ($k\phi_h(n)$) are the number of F-partitions of n with h colors without (with unrestricted) repetitions. In this paper we will prove the following.

Theorem 1.

$$\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d)c\phi_{h/d,k}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$$

Theorem 2.

$$\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d)c\phi_{h/d,k}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{hH}$$

Received by the editors on October 30, 1989, and in revised form on January 8, 1990.

Copyright ©1992 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

where H is the product of all the prime power factors of h which are relatively prime to $k + 1$.

As an immediate corollary, we have

Corollary.

$$\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d) c\phi_{h/d,k} \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{h^2}$$

provided $(h, k + 1) = 1$.

We begin by introducing the idea of a color chart associated with a colored F-partition. Let

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_s \dots \lambda_s \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_t \dots \beta_t \end{array}$$

be an arbitrary F-partition using h colors where in each row if the colors are ignored the λ_i 's and the β_j 's represent distinct nonnegative integers with $0 \leq \lambda_s < \dots < \lambda_1$ and $0 \leq \beta_t < \dots < \beta_1$. The color chart associated with this colored F-partition is an h -column, $(s + t)$ -rowed array where the i th row, $1 \leq i \leq s$, gives the color distribution for λ_i and the $(s + j)$ th row, $1 \leq j \leq t$, gives the color distribution for β_j .

For example, the color chart associated with

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 2_4 & 2_2 & 2_1 & 1_3 & 0_3 & 0_1 \\ 3_1 & 3_1 & 1_3 & 1_3 & 1_2 & 1_2 \end{array}$$

an F-partition of 23 using 4 colors (the colors are designated by subscripts) is

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0. \end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 1 is easy since if $d|(h, n)$ then the F-partitions enumerated by $c\phi_{h/d,k}(n/d)$ can be viewed as

F-partitions of n with h colors and k repetitions in the following way: repeat each entry d times and increment the color by h/d each time. This amounts to just repeating the color chart of an F-partition enumerated by $c\phi_{h/d,k}(n/d)$ d times to form a color chart having h columns. Thus the F-partitions of n with h colors and k repetitions enumerated by $c\phi_{h/d,k}(n/d)$ have order dividing h/d under cyclic permutation of the columns of its color chart.

A simple inclusion/exclusion argument shows that $\sum_{d|(h,n)} \mu(d) \cdot c\phi_{h/d,k}(n/d)$ enumerates the F-partitions of n with h colors and k repetitions whose order is h under cyclic permutation of the columns of its color chart. Therefore, this sum is congruent to zero modulo h . \square

Before we begin our proof of Theorem 2, we need to introduce some intermediate results concerning the number of compositions of a positive integer into positive parts.

Intermediate results. Let $c(r, s; n)$ be the number of compositions of n into exactly r positive parts each less than or equal to s . Define $b(r, s, t; n)$ to be the number of compositions of n into exactly r positive parts each less than or equal to s whose order under cyclic permutation is t . When $t = r$, we will simply write $b(r, s; n)$.

Properties of $b(r, s; n)$.

- (1) For d a positive integer $b(r, s; n) = b(dr, s, r; dn)$.
- (2) The number of compositions of n into exactly r nonnegative parts each less than or equal to s whose order under cyclic permutation is r is $b(r, s + 1; n + r)$.

(3) $b(r, s; n) = \sum_{d|(r,n)} \mu(d)c(r/d, s; n/d)$.

(4) For $D|r$ with $(D, s) = 1$,

$$b(r, s; n) \equiv 0 \begin{cases} \text{mod } \frac{r(D,n)}{(2,D)} & n \equiv r - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \text{mod } r(D, n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(5) If $n \equiv r - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $(2, s) = 1$,

$$\frac{1}{r}b(r, s; n) + \frac{2}{r}b\left(\frac{r}{2}, s; \frac{n}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

The first and second properties for $b(r, s; n)$ are obvious and the third follows by observing that $c(r/d, s; n/d)$ is the number of compositions of n into exactly r parts each less than or equal to s whose order under cyclic permutation divides r/d .

In order to prove the fourth property, it is sufficient to show that if $(p, s) = 1$, $c(R, s; N) \equiv c(R/p, s; N/p) \pmod{p^{t+j+c}}$ where p^t exactly divides R , p^j divides (R, N) and $c = 0$ unless $p = 2$, $t = 1$, and 4 divides N in which case $c = -1$. The proof of this will be based on the following four theorems in which \sum_i represents a sum of terms q^j with $(p^t, j) = p^i$.

Theorem 1. *If $(m, p) = 1$, then $(1 + q)^{mp^t} = (1 + q^p)^{mp^{t-1}} + p^t \sum_0 + p^{t+1} \sum_1 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t$ for all odd primes p , $t \geq 1$ and for $p = 2$, $t = 1$.*

Theorem 2. *If $(m, p) = 1$, then $(1 - q)^{mp^t} = (1 - q^p)^{mp^{t-1}} + p^t \sum_0 + p^{t+1} \sum_1 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t$ for all odd primes p , $t \geq 1$ and for $p = 2$, $t \geq 2$.*

Theorem 3. *If $(m, p) = 1$, then $(q + q^2 + \dots)^{mp^t} = (q^p + q^{2p} + \dots)^{mp^{t-1}} + p^t \sum_0 + p^{t+1} \sum_1 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t$ for all odd primes p , $t \geq 1$ and for $p = 2$, $t \geq 2$.*

Theorem 4. *If $(m, 2) = 1$, then $(q + q^2 + \dots)^{2m} = (q^2 - q^4 + q^6 - \dots)^m + 2 \sum_0 + 4 \sum_1$.*

The generating function for $c(r, s; n)$ is given by $(q + q^2 + \dots + q^s)^r$. Thus we have $\sum [c(R, s; N) - c(R/p, s; N/p)] q^N = (q + \dots + q^s)^R - (q^p + \dots + q^{ps})^{R/p} = (1 - q^s)^R (q + q^2 + \dots)^R - (1 - q^{ps})^{R/p} (q^p + q^{2p} + \dots)^{R/p} = [(1 - q^s)^R - (1 - q^{ps})^{R/p}] (q + q^2 + \dots)^R + (1 - q^{ps})^{R/p} [(q + q^2 + \dots)^R - (q^p + q^{2p} + \dots)^{R/p}] = (p^t \sum_0 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t) \sum \binom{j-1}{R-1} q^j + \sum (-1)^{j/p} \binom{R/p}{j/p} q^{sj} (p^t \sum_0 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t) = p^t \sum_0 + \dots + p^{2t} \sum_t$ provided p is an odd prime, $t \geq 1$ or $p = 2$, $t \geq 2$ and $(p, s) = 1$.

If 2 exactly divides R and $(2, s) = 1$, we have $\sum [c(R, s; N) + (-1)^{N/2} c(R/2, s; N/2)] q^N = (q + \dots + q^s)^R + (-q^2 + q^4 - \dots - q^{2s})^{R/2} =$

$$(1 - q^s)^R(q + q^2 + \dots)^R - (1 + q^{2s})^{R/2}(q^2 - q^4 + \dots)^{R/2} = [(1 - q^s)^R - (1 + q^{2s})^{R/2}](q + q^2 + \dots)^R + (1 + q^{2s})^{R/2}[(q + q^2 + q^3 + \dots)^R - (q^2 - q^4 + \dots)^{R/2}] = (2 \sum_0 + 4 \sum_1) \sum \binom{j-1}{R-1} q^j + \sum \binom{R/2}{j/2} q^{sj} (2 \sum_0 + 4 \sum_1) = 2 \sum_0 + 4 \sum_1.$$

From the above results, the congruence for $c(R, s; N)$ follows immediately and hence property four is verified.

The fifth property follows by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|(r,n)} db \left(\frac{r}{d}, s; \frac{n}{d} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{f|(r,n)} d \sum_{e|(r/d, n/d)} \mu(e) c \left(\frac{r}{de}, s; \frac{n}{de} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{f|(r,n)} c \left(\frac{r}{f}, s; \frac{n}{f} \right) \sum_{d|f} d \mu \left(\frac{f}{d} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{f|(r,n)} \phi(f) c \left(\frac{r}{f}, s; \frac{n}{f} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{f|(r/2, n/2)} \phi(f) \left[c \left(\frac{r}{f}, s; \frac{n}{f} \right) + c \left(\frac{r}{2f}, s; \frac{n}{2f} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the last result, we see that the expression in brackets is divisible by 4 when 2 exactly divides r , 4 divides n and $(2, s) = 1$ since in this situation f will be odd. This sum will therefore be even.

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|(r,n)} db \left(\frac{r}{d}, s; \frac{n}{d} \right) = \sum_{d|(r/2, n/2)} \left[\frac{d}{r} b \left(\frac{r}{d}, s; \frac{n}{d} \right) + \frac{2d}{r} b \left(\frac{r}{2d}, s; \frac{n}{2d} \right) \right]$$

is even and since d is odd in this last sum we see that 2 exactly divides r/d and 4 divides n/d . Hence, we can proceed by induction on the size of $R = r/d$ and $N = n/d$ so that $(1/R)b(R, s; N) + (2/R)b(R/2, s; N/2)$ will be even for $d > 1$ and since the whole sum is even we must have $(1/r)b(r, s; n) + (2/r)b(r/2, s; n/2)$ is even.

Proof of Theorem 2. To extend the divisibility to hH , we begin by considering an arbitrary uncolored F-partition of n

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_s \dots \lambda_s \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_t \dots \beta_t \end{array}$$

where λ_i appears $f_i > 0$ times and β_j appears $f_{s+j} > 0$ times. We note that $f_1 + \dots + f_s = f_{s+1} + \dots + f_{s+t}$ since the lines of the array are of equal length. We will now count the number of ways of coloring this F-partition using h colors and (at most) k repetitions so that its order is h under cyclic permutation of the h colors.

It is easy to see that the number of ways of coloring this F-partition using h colors and k repetitions so that h is the order of its color chart under cyclic permutation of the columns is $\sum \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b(h, k+1, h/d_i; h+f_i)$ where the sum is over all sets of positive integers $\{d_1, \dots, d_{s+t}\}$ such that d_i divides both h and f_i and $\text{lcm}(h/d_1, h/d_2, \dots, h/d_{s+t}) = h$. By property 1 we immediately see that

$$\sum \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b\left(h, k+1, \frac{h}{d_i}; h+f_i\right) = \sum \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b\left(\frac{h}{d_i}, k+1; \frac{h+f_i}{d_i}\right).$$

Now we fix $\{d_1, \dots, d_{s+t}\}$ and consider the prime factorization of h . Let p^e be the highest power of a prime dividing H . Since $\text{lcm}(h/d_1, \dots, h/d_{s+t}) = h$, there exists d_j such that p does not divide d_j . Let p^a , $a \geq 0$, be the highest power of p which divides $(d_1, \dots, d_{j-1}, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{s+t})$. Then p^a divides f_j since p^a divides all f_i , $i \neq j$, and $f_1 + \dots + f_s = f_{s+1} + \dots + f_{s+t}$. Furthermore, p^a divides f_j/d_j since p does not divide d_j . Also, p^{e-a} divides some h/d_i , $i \neq j$. Unless $p = 2$, $e = 1$, $a = 1$ and 2 exactly divides f_j , we have p^{e+a} divides $b(h/d_j, k+1; (h+f_j)/d_j)$ and p^{e-a} divides $\prod_{i \neq j} b(h/d_i, k+1; (h+f_i)/d_i)$. Hence, p^{2e} divides $\prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b(h/d_i, k+1; (h+f_i)/d_i)$.

In the exceptional case if 4 divides $b(h/d_j, k+1; (h+f_j)/d_j)$ we are done; so we assume $b(h/d_j, k+1; (h+f_j)/d_j)/2$ is odd. Now let $\{f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{2u}}\}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2u}$, be the set of f_i 's such that 2 exactly divides f_i . The cardinality of this set is even since $f_1 + \dots + f_s = f_{s+1} + \dots + f_{s+t}$ and in the situation we are considering all of the f_i 's are even. Suppose $f_j = f_{i_r}$. Consider $b(h/d_{i_r}, k+1; (h+f_{i_r})/d_{i_r})$

where $r' = r + 1$ if r is odd and $r' = r - 1$ if r is even. If $b(h/d_{i_{r'}}, k + 1; (h + f_{i_{r'}})/d_{i_{r'}})$ is even we have the needed factor of 2; so we assume $b(h/d_{i_{r'}}, k + 1; (h + f_{i_{r'}})/d_{i_{r'}})$ is odd.

The set $\{d'_1, \dots, d'_{s+t}\}$ where $d'_i = d_i$, $i \neq j$, $i_{r'}$, $d'_j = 2d_j$, and $d'_{i_{r'}} = d_{i_{r'}}/2$ is among the set of d_i 's over which we are summing and we have $b(h/d'_j, k + 1; (h + f_j)/d'_j)$ and $b(h/d'_{i_{r'}}, k + 1; (h + f_{i_{r'}})/d'_{i_{r'}})/2$ are odd by property 4 for $b(r, s; n)$. Hence, $\prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b(h/d_i, k + 1; (h + f_i)/d_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b(h/d'_i, k + 1; (h + f_i)/d'_i)$ is divisible by 4.

Noting that the pairing of sets as described above is a well-defined operation, we can therefore conclude that $\sum \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} b(h/d_i, k + 1; (h + f_i)/d_i)$ where the sum extends over all sets of positive integers $\{d_1, \dots, d_{s+t}\}$ such that d_i divides both h and f_i and $\text{lcm}(h/d_1, \dots, h/d_{s+t}) = h$ is congruent to zero modulo hH . This is sufficient to prove Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

1. G.E. Andrews, *Generalized Frobenius partitions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **301**, Providence, RI, 1984.
2. Louis W. Kolitsch, *An extension of a congruence by Andrews for generalized Frobenius partitions*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A. **45** (1987), 31–39.
3. Padmavathamma, *On Andrew's generalized Frobenius partitions*, Fibonacci Quart., to appear.
4. ———, *The Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher expansion for $c\phi_{m,m'}(n)$* , J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **3** (1988), 161–180.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT MARTIN, MARTIN, TN 38238