

## METRIC SPACES AND MULTIPLICATION OF BOREL SETS

ROY A. JOHNSON, ELIZA WAJCH AND WŁADYSŁAW WILCZYŃSKI

**ABSTRACT.** Let us say that the Borel sets of spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  multiply if each Borel set in the product space  $X \times Y$  is a member of the product  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by Borel rectangles. We show that the Borel sets of a space  $X$  and a metric space  $Y$  multiply if and only if the Borel sets of  $X$  and  $D$  multiply, where  $D$  is a discrete space having the same weight as  $Y$ .

**1. Introduction.** The Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of a topological space  $X$  are the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open sets of  $X$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces, then  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  denotes the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X \times Y$  containing sets of the form  $E \times F$ , where  $E$  and  $F$  are Borel sets of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Always  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y) \subset \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$ ; if  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$ , we say that the *Borel sets of  $X$  and  $Y$  multiply*. Notice that the Borel sets of  $X$  and  $Y$  multiply if and only if each open set in  $X \times Y$  is a member of  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $Z$  is a subset of  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Z) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* (Compare with [6, Theorem 7.1].) It is easily seen that since  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Z)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X \times Z$ , the family

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M \subset X \times Y : (X \times Z) \cap M \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Z)\}$$

forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X \times Y$ . If  $U \times V$  is an open rectangle in  $X \times Y$ , then  $(X \times Z) \cap (U \times V) = U \times (Z \cap V)$  is an open rectangle in  $X \times Z$ , so  $U \times V \in \mathcal{M}$  and, consequently,  $\mathcal{M}$  contains  $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$ .

Now suppose  $W^*$  is open in  $X \times Z$ . There exists an open subset  $W$  of  $X \times Y$  such that  $W^* = (X \times Z) \cap W$ . Since  $W \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have that  $W^* \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Z)$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Z) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Z)$ .  $\square$

---

Received by the editors on February 11, 1990 and, in revised form, on November 12, 1990.

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $Y$  is the union of a sequence of Borel subsets  $Y_n$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  if and only if for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y_n) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_n)$ . Hence, if  $Y$  is the union of a finite family of Borel sets  $Y_i$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  if and only if for each  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y_i) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_i)$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity follows from Lemma 1.1. To prove sufficiency, suppose  $W \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$ . Then  $W = \bigcup (W \cap (X \times Y_n))$ . For each  $n$ , the set  $W \cap (X \times Y_n) \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y_n) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ . Hence,  $W \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$  be Borel measurable functions, and let  $(f \otimes g)$  be defined on  $X \times Y$  by  $(f \otimes g)(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$ . Then  $(f \otimes g)^{-1}(M) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  for each  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X') \times \mathcal{B}(Y')$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $(f \otimes g)^{-1}$  preserves countable unions and complements, we see that

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M \in \mathcal{B}(X') \times \mathcal{B}(Y') : (f \otimes g)^{-1}(M) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X' \times Y'$ . Because  $\mathcal{M}$  contains each Borel rectangle in  $X' \times Y'$ , we have  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(X') \times \mathcal{B}(Y')$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $Z$  is second countable and  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times (Y \times Z)) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y \times Z)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $G$  is open in  $X \times (Y \times Z)$  and that  $\{W_n\}$  is a countable base for  $Z$ . For each  $n$  and for each open set  $U$  in  $X$ , let

$$V(n, U) = \bigcup \{V : V \text{ is open in } Y \text{ and } U \times (V \times W_n) \subset G\}.$$

Then each  $V(n, U)$  is open in  $Y$ , and

$$G = \bigcup_n \bigcup_U U \times V(n, U) \times W_n.$$

For each  $n$ , let

$$H_n = \bigcup_U U \times V(n, U).$$

Since  $H_n$  is open in  $X \times Y$ , we have  $H_n \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  by hypothesis. Hence,  $H_n \times W_n \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y \times Z)$ . Because  $G = \bigcup_n H_n \times W_n$ , we see that  $G \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y \times Z)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.**  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i)$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i \times X) = \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i \times X) = \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$  for each positive integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* Necessity follows from Lemma 1.1. We prove sufficiency. For each  $n \in N$ , define

$$f_n((x, (y_1, y_2, \dots))) = ((x, (y_1, \dots, y_n)), (y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \dots))$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) : f_n^{-1}\left(A \times \prod_{i=n+1}^\infty Y_i\right) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}\left(\prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i\right) \right\}.$$

Using standard computations, one easily checks that  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open rectangles  $U \times V$  where  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i)$ , we obtain that  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}(X \times \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i)$  for any  $n$ . Let  $W$  be open in  $X \times \prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i$ . For each  $n \in N$ , put

$$W_n = \bigcup \left\{ U \subset X \times \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i : U \text{ is open and } U \times \prod_{i=n+1}^\infty Y_i \subset f_n(W) \right\}.$$

Without any difficulties one can show that  $W = \bigcup_n f_n^{-1}(W_n \times \prod_{i=n+1}^\infty Y_i)$ . Since  $W_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for each  $n$ , we see that  $W \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^\infty Y_i)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**2. Main Theorem.** In this section we prove the following main theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a space, and let  $Y$  be a metrizable space with weight  $\kappa$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}(X \times D) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  for the discrete space  $D$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ .*

We shall, in fact, prove in Theorem 2.5 that the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds for a slightly larger class of spaces called quasi-developable spaces.

**Definition 2.2.** (Compare with [4, Definition 8.1, 12, Definition 3].) A collection  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$  of open sets in  $Y$  is a  $\theta$ -base if and only if for each open set  $V$  and each  $y \in V$ , there exist  $n$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$  such that  $y \in G \subset V$  and such that  $y$  belongs to only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ .

**Definition 2.3.** (Compare with [1, Definition 2.1, 4, Definition 8.4].) A space  $Y$  is said to be *quasi-developable* if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$  of collections of open sets such that for each open set  $V$  and each  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $y \in \bigcup\{G \in \mathcal{G}_n : y \in G\} \subset V$ .

*Remark 2.4.* (Compare with [2, Theorem 8].) A space  $Y$  has a  $\theta$ -base if and only if  $Y$  is quasi-developable.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space, and let  $Y$  be a quasi-developable space with weight  $\kappa$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}(X \times D) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  for the discrete space  $D$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$  be a  $\theta$ -base for  $Y$ . For each pair of natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$ , let

$$G_{n,m} = \{y \in Y : y \text{ belongs to at least } m \text{ distinct members of } \mathcal{G}_n\}.$$

It is easy to see that each  $G_{n,m}$  is open. Let  $Y_{n,m} = G_{n,m} \setminus G_{n,m+1}$ . Notice that

$$Y_{n,m} = \{y \in Y : y \text{ belongs to exactly } m \text{ distinct members of } \mathcal{G}_n\},$$

and notice that  $Y_{n,m}$  is a Borel set since it is the difference of two open sets. Because  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$  is a  $\theta$ -base, we see that  $Y = \bigcup_{n,m} Y_{n,m}$ . For

each pair of natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  be the trace in  $Y_{n,m}$  of the family of all intersections of  $m$  distinct sets in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Equivalently, for each  $y \in Y_{n,m}$ , let  $V_{y,n,m} = \bigcap \{G \in \mathcal{G}_n : y \in G\} \cap Y_{n,m}$ , and let  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  be the family of all sets of the form  $V_{y,n,m}$  for some  $y \in Y_{n,m}$ . Notice that the members of  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  are relatively open sets in  $Y_{n,m}$  and that  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  is a partition of  $Y_{n,m}$ . Let  $\pi_{n,m}$  be the quotient mapping of  $Y_{n,m}$  onto the quotient space  $D_{n,m}$  obtained by identifying the points belonging to the same  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}$ . Of course,  $\pi_{n,m} : Y_{n,m} \rightarrow D_{n,m}$  is continuous and, thus, Borel measurable. Because the weight of  $Y$  equals  $\kappa$ , each  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and, hence, each  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  has cardinality less than or equal to  $\kappa$ . Hence, each  $D_{n,m}$  has cardinality less than or equal to  $\kappa$ .

Suppose now that  $W$  is open in  $X \times Y$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}$ , let  $U_V$  be the union of all open sets  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $U \times V \subset W$ . In other words,  $U_V$  is the largest open set in  $X$  such that  $U_V \times V \subset W$ . Let  $W_{n,m} = \bigcup \{U_V \times V : V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}\}$ , and let

$$W_{n,m}^* = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}} U_V \times \{\pi_{n,m}(V)\}.$$

Clearly,  $W_{n,m}^*$  is open in  $X \times D_{n,m}$ . Hence,  $W_{n,m}^* \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  by hypothesis. Because  $W_{n,m} = (\delta \times \pi_{n,m})^{-1}(W_{n,m}^*)$ , where  $\delta$  is the identity mapping, we have  $W_{n,m} \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_{n,m}) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  by Lemma 1.3. That  $W \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  follows from the fact that  $W$  is a countable union of the sets  $W_{n,m}$ .

Since the weight of  $X$  equals  $\kappa$ , at least one  $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ . The corresponding set  $Y_{n,m}$  thus contains a discrete subspace with cardinality  $\kappa$ , and necessity follows from Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5, we obtain

**Corollary 2.6.** (Compare with [11, Théorème 1].) *Let  $\kappa \leq 2^\omega$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}(D \times D) = \mathcal{B}(D) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  for the discrete space  $D$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  for each pair of quasi-developable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of weight  $\leq \kappa$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  for each pair of metrizable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of weight  $\leq \kappa$ .

(iv)  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$  for every quasi-developable space  $X$  of weight  $\leq \kappa$ .

(v)  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$  for every metrizable space  $X$  of weight  $\leq \kappa$ .

In Corollary 2.6, condition (i) is clearly the same as the statement that  $\mathcal{P}(D \times D) = \mathcal{P}(D) \times \mathcal{P}(D)$  if  $D$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ . This equation holds if  $\kappa \leq \omega_1$  ([8, Theorem 12.5(ii), 9, Theorem 2]), does not hold if  $\kappa > 2^\omega$  ([8, Lemma 12.2(ii), 10]), and depends on one's set theory if  $\omega_1 < \kappa \leq 2^\omega$  ([8, Theorem 12.8]). Assuming Martin's Axiom, Theorem 2.5 tells us that if  $X$  is any quasi-developable space with weight less than  $c$  and  $Y$  is any quasi-developable space, then  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ . This follows from Lemma 1.3 and the fact that under Martin's Axiom,  $2^\kappa \leq c$  whenever  $\kappa < c$ .

We caution that quasi-developability (or something similar) is needed in Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6. That is, it is possible that  $\mathcal{B}(D \times D) = \mathcal{B}(D) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  for a discrete space  $D$  with cardinality equal to weight of  $X$  even though  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X) \neq \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X$  be the set of ordinals less than or equal to the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$ , and let  $X$  have the order topology. Clearly the weight of  $X$  equals  $\omega_1$ . By the remarks following Corollary 2.6,  $\mathcal{B}(D \times D) = \mathcal{B}(D) \times \mathcal{B}(D)$  if  $D$  is a discrete space with cardinality  $\omega_1$ . However,  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X) \neq \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(X)$  (cf. [6, Theorem 2]).

It is interesting that Theorem 2.1 has a fairly easy proof in terms of a universal metric space. We close with that proof.

*Alternate Proof of Theorem 2.1.* The most familiar universal metrizable space is the product of countably many copies of the hedgehog space  $\mathcal{J}(\kappa)$  of spininess  $\kappa$  (cf. [3, Example 4.1.5 and Theorem 4.4.3]). Since  $Y$  is topologically equivalent to some subspace of  $\mathcal{J}(\kappa)^\omega$ , it suffices by virtue of Lemma 1.1 to prove that  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \mathcal{J}(\kappa)^\omega) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}(\kappa)^\omega)$ .

Since there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathcal{J}(\kappa)$  such that  $\mathcal{J}(\kappa) \setminus \{z_0\}$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, 1] \times D$ , it follows that each  $\mathcal{J}(\kappa)^n$  can be expressed as

the union of finitely many Borel sets  $Y_i$ , where for each  $Y_i$ , there exists  $m \leq n$  such that  $Y_i$  is homeomorphic to  $\{z_0\}^{n-m} \times ((0, 1]^m \times D^m)$ . Because  $[0, 1]^m$  is second countable and  $D^m$  is a discrete space of cardinality  $\kappa$ , we have  $\mathcal{B}(X \times Y_i) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y_i)$  by Lemma 1.4. Then Lemma 1.2 says that  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \mathcal{J}(\kappa)^n) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}(\kappa)^n)$  for each positive integer  $n$ . Lemma 1.5 implies that  $\mathcal{B}(X \times \mathcal{J}(\kappa)^\omega) = \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}(\kappa)^\omega)$ .

Because a metrizable space  $Y$  contains a discrete subspace whose cardinality equals the weight of  $Y$  [5, Theorem 8.1(d)], necessity follows from Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. H.R. Bennett, *On quasi-developable spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **1** (1971), 253–262.
2. H.R. Bennett and D.J. Lutzer, *A note on weak  $\theta$ -refinability*, *Topology Appl.* **2** (1972), 49–54.
3. R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
4. G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, in: K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds., *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 423–501.
5. R. Hodel, *Cardinal functions I*, in: K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds., *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 1–61.
6. R.A. Johnson, *On product measures and Fubini's theorem in locally compact spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 112–129.
7. J.L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
8. K. Kunen, *Inaccessibility properties of cardinals*, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford Univ., Palo Alto, August, 1968.
9. B.V. Rao, *On discrete Borel spaces and projective sets*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 614–617.
10. ———, *On discrete Borel spaces*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **22** (1971), 197–198.
11. M. Talagrand, *Est-ce que  $\ell^\infty$  est un espace mesurable?*, *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* **103** (1979), 255–258.
12. J.M. Worrell, Jr. and H.H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* **17** (1965), 820–830.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, PULLMAN,  
WA 99164-3113, USA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, UL BANACHA 22, 90-238  
ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, UL BANACHA 22, 90-238  
ŁÓDŹ, POLAND