

**AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE INTEGRAL GROUP  
RING OF THE WREATH PRODUCT  
OF A  $p$ -GROUP WITH  $S_n$**

ANTONIO GIAMBRUNO AND SUDARSHAN K. SEHGAL

**Introduction.** Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $n$  symbols, and let  $G = H \text{ wr } S_n$  be the wreath product of a finite  $p$ -group  $H$  and  $S_n$ . Then  $G$  is the semidirect product  $H^n \rtimes S_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma) \mid a_i \in H, \sigma \in S_n\}$  with the product rule

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma)(b_1, \dots, b_n; \tau) = (a_1 b_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, a_n b_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}; \sigma\tau).$$

Let  $\mathbf{Z}G$  be the integral group ring and  $\mathbf{Q}G$  the rational group algebra of  $G$ . If  $u$  is a unit of  $\mathbf{Q}G$ , denote by  $\tau_u$  the inner automorphism of  $\mathbf{Q}G$  induced by  $u$ . In this paper, we verify a conjecture of Zassenhaus for these groups  $G$  by proving the

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be the wreath product  $H \text{ wr } S_n$  of a finite  $p$ -group  $H$  and  $S_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Then every normalized automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathbf{Z}G$  can be written in the form  $\theta = \tau_u \circ \lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is an automorphism of  $G$  and  $u$  is a suitable unit of  $\mathbf{Q}G$ .*

This result is known if  $G = A \text{ wr } S_n$  where  $A$  is abelian [1] and if  $G = S_k \text{ wr } S_n$  [5]. In order to prove the theorem, it suffices [4, Proposition III.7.2] to find an automorphism  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\theta(C_g) = C_{\mu(g)}$ . Here, by  $C_g$  is understood the sum of elements in the conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  of  $g$  in  $G$ . We shall find in Section 3, as a consequence of a Theorem of Weiss [6],  $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(H^n)$  such that  $\theta(C_h) = C_{\lambda(h)}$  for all  $h \in H^n$ . However, it is not at all clear if one can extend  $\lambda$  to an automorphism of  $G$ . Due to the special structure of  $G$ , it is possible to use  $\lambda$  to construct an automorphism of  $G$  having the desired effect on the classes in  $H^n$ . Then we invoke a result of Valenti [5] to complete the proof.

---

Research supported by NSERC Canada and MPI Italy.  
Received by the editors on September 5, 1990.

**2. Some basic lemmas.** We describe the conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{C}_G(g)$ , of elements  $g$  of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  and let  $c(\sigma)$  denote the number of disjoint cycles of  $\sigma$ , including 1-cycles, and  $s_\mu$  their lengths ( $\mu = 1, \dots, c(\sigma)$ ). If  $i_\mu$  is the smallest number appearing in the  $\mu^{\text{th}}$  cycle, then we can write

$$\sigma = \prod_{\mu=1}^{c(\sigma)} (i_\mu \sigma(i_\mu) \cdots \sigma^{s_\mu-1}(i_\mu)).$$

For each  $\mu$ , define the product

$$b^\mu = a_{i_\mu} a_{\sigma(i_\mu)} \cdots a_{\sigma^{s_\mu-1}(i_\mu)},$$

and if  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_t$  are the distinct conjugacy classes of  $H$ , for every  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , set

$$x_{jk}(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma) = |\{b^\mu \mid s_\mu = k \text{ and } b^\mu \in \mathcal{C}_j\}|.$$

These elements determine the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , in fact, by [2, Theorem 4.2.8] two elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n; \tau)$  are conjugate in  $G$  if and only if the matrices  $x_{jk}(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma)$  and  $x_{jk}(b_1, \dots, b_n; \tau)$  are equal. In particular for an element  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n; I)$  to be conjugate to  $h' = (h'_1, \dots, h'_n; I)$  it is necessary and sufficient that for every  $g \in H$ , the number of  $h_i \sim g$  equals the number of  $h'_i \sim g$ . We shall often write  $(h_1, \dots, h_n)$  for  $(h_1, \dots, h_n; I)$ . The next three lemmas are obvious. All automorphisms we consider are normalized, i.e., they preserve augmentation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in H^n$  and suppose  $h_1 \sim h_2 \cdots \sim h_{t_1}$ ,  $h_{t_1+1} \sim \cdots \sim h_{t_2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $h_{t_{m-1}+1} \sim \cdots \sim h_{t_m} = h_n$  belong to  $m$  distinct conjugacy classes in  $H$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_G(a)| &= \binom{n}{t_1} \binom{n-t_1}{t_2} \cdots \binom{n-(t_1+\cdots+t_{m-1})}{t_m} \\ &\quad \cdot |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m} \\ &= \frac{n!}{t_1! \cdots t_m!} |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_m \geq 0$  be integers such that  $t_1 + \dots + t_m = n > 1$ . If  $m > 1$ , then  $n \leq n!/(t_1! \dots t_m!)$  and equality holds if and only if  $t_1 = (n - 1)$ ,  $t_2 = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $x, y \in G$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}_G(xy)| \leq |\mathcal{C}_G(x)||\mathcal{C}_G(y)|$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ . Then we have*

- (i)  $g \in G \Rightarrow \theta(C_g) = C_x$  for some  $x \in G$  with  $0(g) = 0(x)$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}(g)| = |\mathcal{C}(x)|$ .
- (ii)  $\theta(C_g) = C_x \Rightarrow \theta(C_{g^k}) = \theta(C_{x^k})$  for all integers  $k$ .
- (iii) If  $\theta(C_g) = C_x$ ,  $\theta(C_h) = C_y$  then for some  $t, z \in G$ , we have  $\theta(C_{gh}) = C_{xy^t} = C_{x^z y}$ .

*Proof.* See [3]. □

Denote by  $\zeta(G)$  the center of  $G$  and let  $\theta$  be a normalized automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $a \in \zeta(H^n)$ , then  $\theta(C_a) = C_b$  for some  $b \in \zeta(H^n)$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that if  $g \in G$  then  $|\mathcal{C}_G(g)| = n$  if and only if  $g \sim (z, z', \dots, z')$  with  $z, z' \in \zeta(H)$ ,  $z \neq z'$ . As a consequence, if we write  $a = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $z_i \in \zeta(H)$ , then for all  $i$ ,

$$C_{(z_i, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(z'_i, s_i, \dots, s_i)}, \quad \text{for some } z'_i, s_i \in \zeta(H).$$

Thus, since  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, 1, \dots, 1)(1, z_2, \dots, 1) \dots (1, 1, \dots, z_n)$ , we have  $C_a = C_{(z_1, \dots, z_n)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_b$  when  $b = (z'_1, s_1, \dots, s_1)(z'_2, s_2, \dots, s_2)^{x_2} \dots (z'_n, s_n, \dots, s_n)^{x_n} \in \zeta(H^n)$  by (2.4), proving the result. □

For a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , denote by  $\Delta(G, N)$  the kernel of the natural map  $\mathbf{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(G/N)$ . Thus,  $\Delta(G, N)$  is the ideal generated by all  $1 - x$ ,  $x \in N$ . We have

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $H$  is nilpotent and  $\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{Z}G$ ,  $G = H \text{ wr } S_n$ . Then*

- (i)  $\theta(\Delta(G, H^n)) = \Delta(G, H^n)$ .
- (ii) if  $\theta(C_{(a_1, \dots, a_n; \sigma)}) = C_{(b_1, \dots, b_n; \tau)}$  then  $\sigma$  is conjugate to  $\tau$  ( $\sigma \sim \tau$ ).

*Proof.* (i) We use induction on  $|H|$ . If  $H = 1$ , then  $\Delta(G, 1) = \Delta(G)$ , the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ , and  $G = S_n$ . Since  $\theta$  is normalized we have nothing to prove. Now let  $\zeta = \zeta(H)$ ,  $\overline{H} = H/\zeta$ ,  $\overline{G} = G/\zeta^n = (H/\zeta) \text{ wr } S_n = \overline{H} \text{ wr } S_n$ . Let  $h \in H^n$ . We know by (2.5) that  $\theta(\Delta(G, \zeta^n)) = \Delta(G, \zeta^n)$ . This gives an induced automorphism  $\bar{\theta}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}\overline{G}$ . Then, by induction,  $\bar{\theta}(\bar{h} - 1) \in \Delta(\overline{G}, \overline{H}^n)$ . So  $\theta(h - 1) \in \Delta(G, H^n) + \Delta(G, \zeta^n) = \Delta(G, H^n)$  as desired.

(ii) Since  $\theta$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}S_n$  by (i), the claim follows by Peterson [3].  $\square$

**3. A consequence of a theorem of Weiss.** We fix notation and recall some known facts. For an element  $\alpha = \sum \alpha(g)g$  of a group ring  $RG$  of  $G$  over a commutative ring  $R$ , we set  $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \sim g} \alpha(h)$ , the sum of coefficients of  $\alpha$  over the conjugacy class of  $g$ . We denote by  $[RG, RG]$  the additive group generated by the Lie products  $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in RG$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad [RG, RG] = \left\{ \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(x)x \in RG \mid \tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in G \right\}.$$

Moreover, if we pick a set of representatives  $T$  of the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , then we have as modules

$$(3.2) \quad RG/[RG, RG] \cong \sum_{x \in T}^{\oplus} Rx.$$

Let  $M = (a_{ij}) \in (RG)_m$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix over, the not necessarily commutative ring,  $RG$ . Then the trace, namely the sum of diagonal elements modulo  $[RG, RG]$ ,

$$\text{tr}(M) = \sum_i \overline{a_{ii}} \in RG/[RG, RG]$$

is well defined and has the expected properties

$$(3.3) \quad \text{tr}(M_1 + M_2) = \text{tr}(M_1) + \text{tr}(M_2)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{tr}(rM) = r\text{tr}(M), \quad r \in R$$

$$(3.5) \quad \text{tr}(M_1M_2) = \text{tr}(M_2M_1).$$

Now, let  $G$  be a split extension  $A \rtimes X$  where  $|X| = m$  and  $A$  is not necessarily abelian. Then there is an imbedding  $\beta$  of  $RG$  into  $(RA)_m$ . We shall describe this map. We have  $RG = \sum_{i=1}^m RAx_i$ , where  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  and  $x_1 = 1$ . Let  $u = \sum u(g)g \in RG$ . Suppose

$$x_i u = \sum_j f_{ij}(u)x_j.$$

Then  $u \xrightarrow{\beta} U = [f_{ij}(u)] \in (RA)_m$ . In particular, for  $a \in A$ ,

$$a \xrightarrow{\beta} \begin{bmatrix} a^{x_1} & & & \\ & a^{x_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a^{x_m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We write  $u = \sum_g u(g)g \equiv \sum_{g \in T} \tilde{u}(g)g \pmod{[RG, RG]}$  by (3.1). We need a formula for  $\text{tr} U$ :

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $T'$  be a set of class representatives of  $A$ . Then*

$$\text{tr} U = \sum_{h \in T'} s_h \tilde{u}(h)h$$

where  $s_h$  is the index of the centralizers  $(C_G(h) : C_A(h))$ .

*Proof.* We have  $u \equiv \sum_{g \in T} \tilde{u}(g)g \pmod{[RG, RG]}$ . Further,  $\text{tr} \beta[RG, RG] \subseteq [RA, RA]$  as  $\text{tr} \beta[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \text{tr} \beta(\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\alpha_1) = \text{tr}(\beta(\alpha_1)\beta(\alpha_2) - \beta(\alpha_2)\beta(\alpha_1)) = 0$  by (3.5). To prove the lemma, it suffices to do so for  $u = g \in G$ ,  $U = \beta(g)$ . Since both sides of the formula are zero for  $g \notin A$ , we may assume that  $u = a \in A$ . Then

$$u = a \rightarrow U = \begin{bmatrix} a^{x_1} & & & \\ & a^{x_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_1 = 1$  and  $\text{tr } U = \sum_{x \in X} a^x$ . Suppose that  $\{a^{x_1}, \dots, a^{x_{r_1}}\}$  are  $A$ -conjugates of  $a$  among the  $a^x$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $\{a^{x_{r_1+1}}, \dots, a^{x_{r_1+r_2}}\}$  are  $A$ -conjugates of  $a^{x_{r_1+1}}$  among the  $a^x$ ,  $x \in G$ , etc. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } U &= (a + a^{x_2} + \dots + a^{x_{r_1}}) + (a^{x_{r_1+1}} + \dots + a^{x_{r_1+r_2}}) + \dots \\ &= r_1 a + r_2 a^{x_{r_1+1}} + \dots \\ &= r_1 \tilde{u}(a)a + r_2 \tilde{u}(a^{x_{r_1+1}})a^{x_{r_1+1}} + \dots, \quad \text{as } \tilde{u}(a^x) = \tilde{u}(a) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to observe that  $r_1 = (C_G(a) : C_A(a))$  and similarly for the other  $r_i$ . This we do now.

(3.7) The number of conjugates of a fixed element  $a \in A$  by  $x \in X$  which are conjugate in  $A$  is  $(C_G(a) : C_A(a))$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to set up a 1–1 correspondence between  $S_1 = \{\text{right cosets of } C_A(a) \text{ in } C_G(a)\}$  and  $S_2 = \{x \in X \mid a^x = a^h \text{ some } h \in A\} = \{x \in X \mid x \in C_G(a)h \text{ some } h \in A\}$ . Let  $C_A(a)b \in S_1$ . Write  $b = x_1 h_1 \in C_G(a)$  with  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $h_1 \in A$ . Then set  $\phi : C_A(a)b \rightarrow x_1$ . Notice that  $x_1 = b h_1^{-1} \in C_G(a)h_1^{-1}$  and thus  $x_1 \in S_2$ . One now verifies easily that indeed  $\phi$  is 1–1 and onto.  $\square$

We need the strong form of a Theorem by Weiss [6]. Let  $\varepsilon$  be the augmentation map of a group ring. We may extend it to matrices over the group ring by applying it to the entries and call it  $\varepsilon^*$ . An invertible matrix  $M$  such that  $\varepsilon^*(M)$  is the identity matrix is called an  $I$ -unit. The result then is

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{Z}_p P$  be the group ring of a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  over the  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Suppose that  $Q$  is a finite  $p$ -group of  $I$ -units contained in  $(\mathbf{Z}_p P)_m$ . Then there exists a matrix  $M \in (\mathbf{Z}_p P)_m$  such that every element  $M^{-1}qM$ ,  $q \in Q$  is a diagonal matrix  $(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ ,  $g_i \in P$ .*

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $G = P \rtimes X$  where  $P$  is a  $p$ -group. Suppose that  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}G$  such that  $\theta(\Delta(G, P)) = \Delta(G, P)$ . Then there is a group automorphism  $\lambda$  of  $P$  such that*

$$\theta(C_g) = C_{\lambda(g)} \quad \text{for all } g \in P.$$

*Proof.* Write  $|X| = m$  and let  $\beta$  be as defined. We know  $\theta(P) \in 1 + \Delta(G, P)$ . It follows by the definition of  $\beta$  that  $\beta\Delta(G, P) \subseteq (\Delta P)_m$ . Thus,  $\beta\theta(P) \subseteq I + (\Delta P)_m$  and we can apply Weiss's theorem. It follows that there exists  $M \in (\mathbf{Z}_p P)_m$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad M\beta\theta(P)M^{-1} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} P & & & \\ & P & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & P \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $g \in P$ , we have  $M\beta\theta(g)M^{-1} = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ . Moreover,

$$\theta(g) = u = \sum_{x \in G} u(x)x \equiv \sum_{x \in T} \tilde{u}(x)x \pmod{[\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G]}.$$

We observe that  $\text{tr}(\beta\theta(g)) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i$  and, by (3.6),  $\text{tr}(\beta\theta(g)) = \sum_{x \in T'} s_x \tilde{u}(x)x$ ,  $s_x$  natural numbers,  $T'$  representatives of classes of  $P$ .

Compare the two expressions for  $\text{tr}(\beta\theta(g))$  and use (3.2) to conclude that  $\tilde{u}(x)$  are natural numbers for  $x \in P$ . Thus we can write

$$\theta(g) \equiv \sum_{x \in P} \tilde{u}(x)x + \sum_{x \notin P} \tilde{u}(x)x \pmod{[\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G]}.$$

Since  $\theta(g) \in 1 + \Delta(G, P)$ , it follows that the augmentation contribution from the second sum,  $\sum_{x \notin P} \tilde{u}(x)x$ , is zero. We conclude that there is a unique  $\tilde{u}(x_0)$ ,  $x_0 \in T$ ,  $x_0 \in P$  which equals one and the other  $\tilde{u}(x)$  for  $x \in T$ ,  $x \in P$  are zero. We have thus

$$\theta(g) = x_0 + \sum_{y \notin P} \tilde{u}(y)y + \lambda_0, \quad \lambda_0 \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G].$$

Writing  $x^G = \sum_{g \in G} x^g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(g^G) &= \theta(g)^{\theta(G)} = x_0^{\theta(G)} + \sum_{y \notin P} \tilde{u}(y)y^{\theta(G)} + \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_1 \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G] \\ &= |G|x_0 + \sum_{y \notin P} |G|\tilde{u}(y)y + \lambda_2, \quad \lambda_2 \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G]. \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side is a multiple of a class sum  $\theta(C_g)$ ; so should be the right hand side. Also,  $x_0 \in P$  and its class does not disappear from the right hand side. Therefore,  $\theta(C_g) = C_{x_0}$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{y \notin P} |G| \tilde{u}(y)y \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G]$  which implies that  $\tilde{u}(y) = 0$  for all  $y \notin P$ . Hence, we may write

$$\theta(g) = x_0 + \lambda_0, \quad \lambda_0 \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G], \quad \theta(C_x) = C_{x_0}.$$

We may replace  $x_0$  by any element conjugate to it. We shall fix this choice by

$$M^{-1}\beta\theta(g)M = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

namely, we take  $x_0$  to be the first entry in the matrix  $M^{-1}\beta\theta(g)M$ . Define

$$\lambda : P \rightarrow P \quad \text{by} \quad \lambda(g) = x_0.$$

Then  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism. Suppose  $\lambda(g) = 1$ , then  $\lambda(g) - 1 \in [\mathbf{Z}G, \mathbf{Z}G]$  and  $\theta(g) = \sum \alpha_g g$ ,  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . It follows by [4, p. 45] that  $\theta(g) = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ . Thus,  $\lambda$  is an automorphism of  $P$  and  $C_{\lambda(g)} = C_{x_0} = \theta(C_g)$  for all  $g \in P$  as desired.  $\square$

**4. Proof of the theorem.** We need to find  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(G)$  such that  $\theta(C_g) = C_{\mu(g)}$ . This we proceed to do in a number of steps. We shall let  $\theta$  denote a normalized automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}G$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $h \in H$ , we have  $\theta(C_{(h,1,\dots,1)}) = C_{(h',x,\dots,x)}$  for some  $h', x \in H$ .*

*Proof.* We may clearly assume that  $h \neq 1$  and  $n > 2$ . Let  $C_{(h,1,\dots,1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h_1,\dots,h_n)}$ ; since  $|\mathcal{C}_G(h,1,\dots,1)| = |\mathcal{C}_G(h_1,\dots,h_n)|$ , by (2.1), we get

$$n|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| = \frac{n!}{t_1! \cdots t_m!} |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}.$$



If  $m = 1$ , then  $h_1 \sim h_2 \sim \dots \sim h_n$  and we are done. If  $m > 1$ , then  $n!/(t_1! \cdots t_m!) \geq n$  and this implies  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| \geq |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}$ . If we prove that  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| = |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}$ , then  $n!/(t_1! \cdots t_m!) = n$ , and so, by (2.2), it will follow that  $t_1 = n - 1$ ,  $t_2 = 1$ ,  $t_3 = \dots = t_n = 0$ , the desired conclusion. The proof will be by induction on  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)|$ . If  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| = 1$ , then  $h \in \zeta(H)$  and, by Lemma 2.5,  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h_1)| = \dots = |\mathcal{C}_H(h_n)| = 1$ . Since  $|\mathcal{C}_G(h, 1, \dots, 1)| = n$ , we are done in this case. Suppose now that  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| > 1$  and assume, by contradiction, that for some  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ ,  $m > 1$  and

$$|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| \not\geq |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}.$$

This implies that  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_i})| \not\leq |\mathcal{C}_H(h)|$ , for all  $i$ ; hence, by induction,  $C_{(h_{t_i}, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\eta} C_{(h'_{t_i}, x_{t_i}, \dots, x_{t_i})}$  for all  $i$ , for all  $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ , where  $h'_{t_i}, x_{t_i}$  depend upon  $\eta$ . Thus, in particular, by taking  $\eta = \theta^{-1}$ , one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(h_1, 1, \dots, 1)} &\xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h_1, \dots, h_n)} = C_{(h_1, 1, \dots, 1)(1, h_2, 1, \dots, 1) \cdots (1, \dots, 1, h_n)} \\ &\xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h'_1, x_1, \dots, x_1)(h'_2, x_2, \dots, x_2)^{k_2} \cdots (h'_n, x_n, \dots, x_n)^{k_n}} \end{aligned}$$

for suitable elements  $h'_i, x_i \in H, k_i \in H^n$ . Thus,

$$(h'_1, x_1, \dots, x_1)(h'_2, x_2, \dots, x_2)^{k_2} \cdots (h'_n, x_n, \dots, x_n)^{k_n} \sim (h, 1, \dots, 1)$$

and this implies that  $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n \sim h$  where, for all  $i$ , either  $u_i \sim h'_i$  or  $u_i \sim x_i$ . Also, notice that, since  $C_{(h_i, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h'_i, x_i, \dots, x_i)}$ , then  $|\mathcal{C}_G(h_i, 1, \dots, 1)| = |\mathcal{C}_G(h'_i, x_i, \dots, x_i)|$ . Now, if  $h'_i \sim x_i$ , then we get  $n|\mathcal{C}_H(h_i)| = |\mathcal{C}_H(x_i)|^n$ , whereas if  $h'_i \not\sim x_i$ , then  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h_i)| = |\mathcal{C}_H(h'_i)| |\mathcal{C}_H(x_i)|^{n-1}$ . In any case, since  $n > 2$ ,  $|\mathcal{C}_H(u_i)| \leq |\mathcal{C}_H(h_i)|$ , for all  $i$ . From the above relations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_H(h)| &= |\mathcal{C}_H(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)| \leq |\mathcal{C}_H(u_1)| \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(u_n)| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{C}_H(h_1)| \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_n)| = |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_1})|^{t_1} \cdots |\mathcal{C}_H(h_{t_m})|^{t_m}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $n > 2$ , and suppose that for every  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$  and  $h \in H$ ,  $\theta(C_{(h, \dots, h)}) = C_{(xz_1, xz_2, \dots, xz_m)}$ , for some  $x \in H, z_i \in \zeta(H)$ . Then  $\theta(C_{(h, 1, \dots, 1)}) = C_{(h', z, \dots, z)}$  where  $h' \in H, z \in \zeta(H)$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemma,  $C_{(h,1,\dots,1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h',x,\dots,x)}$ ; notice that if  $h' \sim x$ , then  $C_{(x,\dots,x)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h,1,\dots,1)} = C_{(yz_1,\dots,yz_n)} \Rightarrow yz_i = 1$  for some  $i \Rightarrow y \in \zeta(H) \Rightarrow$  by Lemma 4.1,  $x \in \zeta(H)$  and we are done. Thus, we may assume that  $h' \not\sim x$ , and so, since  $|\mathcal{C}_G(h, 1, \dots, 1)| = |\mathcal{C}_G(h', x, \dots, x)|$ , we get  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| = |\mathcal{C}_H(h')||\mathcal{C}_H(x)|^{n-1}$ . The proof will be by induction on  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)|$ . If  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| = 1$ , then  $h \in \zeta(H)$  and, by Lemma 4.1,  $h', x \in \zeta(H)$ , proving the lemma. Suppose  $|\mathcal{C}_H(h)| > 1$  and write  $(h', x, \dots, x) = (h'x^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)(x, x, \dots)$ . Since  $n > 2$ , by applying (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}_H(h'x^{-1})| &\leq |\mathcal{C}_H(h')||\mathcal{C}_H(x^{-1})| = |\mathcal{C}_H(h')||\mathcal{C}_H(x)| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{C}_H(h')||\mathcal{C}_H(x)|^{n-1} = |\mathcal{C}_H(h)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction we can write

$$C_{(h'x^{-1},1,\dots,1)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h'',z,\dots,z)} \quad \text{for some } z \in \zeta(H), h'' \in H.$$

Also, by hypothesis,  $C_{(x,\dots,x)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(yz_1,\dots,yz_n)}$  where  $y \in H, z_i \in \zeta(H)$ . Thus,

$$C_{(h',x,\dots,x)} = C_{(h'x^{-1},1,\dots,1)(x,\dots,x)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h'',z,\dots,z)(yz_1,\dots,yz_n)^a}.$$

It follows that  $(h, 1, \dots, 1) \sim (h'', z, \dots, z)(yz_1, \dots, yz_n)^a = (h'', z, \dots, z)(y^{a_1}z_{i_1}, \dots, y^{a_n}z_{i_n}) = (h''y^{a_1}z_{i_1}, y^{a_2}zz_{i_2}, \dots, y^{a_n}zz_{i_n})$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in H$  and  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence, since  $n > 2, y^{a_j}zz_{i_j} = 1$ , for some  $j$ ; this forces  $y \in \zeta(H)$ , and so, since  $C_{(x,\dots,x)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(yz_1,\dots,yz_n)}$ , by Lemma 2.5,  $x \in \zeta(H)$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $n > 2$  and suppose that  $H$  is a nilpotent group. Then, for all  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$  and  $h \in H$ ,*

$$\theta(C_{(h,\dots,h)}) = C_{(x,\dots,x)}, \quad \text{for some } x \in H.$$

*Proof.* The proof will be by induction on  $|H|$ . If  $|H| = 1$ , the lemma is trivially true. Suppose then that  $|H| > 1$ . By looking at class orders,

one immediately checks the lemma when  $h \in \zeta(H)$ . Suppose then that  $h \notin \zeta(H) = \zeta$ .

If  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G) = \text{Aut}(H \text{ wr } S_n)$ , then  $\theta$  induces an automorphism  $\bar{\theta}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}[(H \text{ wr } S_n)/(\zeta^n \text{ wr } \{1\})] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/\zeta \text{ wr } S_n]$ : if  $C_{(h, \dots, h)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$  and  $- : H \rightarrow H/\zeta$  is the projection map, then  $C_{(\bar{h}, \dots, \bar{h})} \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} C_{(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)}$ ; also, since  $|H/\zeta| \not\cong |H|$ , by the inductive hypothesis  $C_{(\bar{h}, \dots, \bar{h})} \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} C_{(\bar{y}, \dots, \bar{y})}$  for some  $\bar{y} \in H/\zeta$ . It follows that  $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \sim (\bar{y}, \dots, \bar{y})$ ; hence,  $\bar{x}_1 \sim \bar{x}_2 \sim \dots \sim \bar{x}_n \sim \bar{y}$  and this says that there exist  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \zeta$  such that  $x_i \sim yz_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus,  $C_{(h, \dots, h)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(yz_1, \dots, yz_n)}$ ,  $z_i \in \zeta$ . By the previous lemma, then  $C_{(h, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h', z, \dots, z)}$  where  $h' \in H$ ,  $z \in \zeta$ . Thus

$$C_{(h, \dots, h)} = C_{(h, 1, \dots, 1)(1, h, 1, \dots, 1)(1, \dots, 1, h)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h', z, \dots, z)(h', z, \dots, z)^{a_2} \dots (h', z, \dots, z)^{a_n}}.$$

It follows that

$$(h', z, \dots, z)(h', z, \dots, z)^{a_2} \dots (h', z, \dots, z)^{a_n} \sim (yz_1, \dots, yz_n).$$

Now, if for some  $i$ ,  $yz_i \sim z^n$ , then  $y \in \zeta$  and by Lemma 2.5,  $h \in \zeta$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $yz_i \not\sim z^n$ , for all  $i$ . It follows that  $yz_i \sim h^{b_j} z^{n-1}$  for all  $i$ , for some  $b_j \in H$ . Thus, for all  $i, k$ ,  $yz_i \sim h^{b_j} z^{n-1} \sim h^{b_k} z^{n-1} \sim yz_k$ ; this says that  $(yz_1, \dots, yz_n) \sim (yz_1, \dots, yz_1)$  and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

A consequence of the last two lemmas is the

**Corollary.** *Let  $n > 2$  and suppose that  $H$  is a nilpotent group. Then, for all  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$  and  $h \in H$ ,  $\theta(C_{(h, 1, \dots, 1)}) = C_{(h', z, \dots, z)}$ , for some  $h' \in H$ ,  $z \in \zeta(H)$ .*

We recall

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $H$  be a  $p$ -group. If  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(H^n)$  such that if  $\theta(C_{(h_1, \dots, h_n)}) = C_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ , then  $\lambda(h_1, \dots, h_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  where  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \sim (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .*

Now let  $H$  be a  $p$ -group,  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}G)$  and  $n > 2$ . If  $h \in H$ , by the Corollary above, we can write  $\theta(C_{(h,1,\dots,1)}) = C_{z_h(k,1,\dots,1)}$  where  $k \in H$  and  $z_h \in \zeta(H)$ . Then, by (3.9), there exists  $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(H^n)$  such that  $\lambda(h, 1, \dots, 1) = z_h(1, \dots, 1, h'_i, 1, \dots, 1)$  where  $h' \sim k$ .

We claim that  $i$  is independent of the element  $h$ ; in fact, let  $x, y \in H$ ,  $x \neq 1$ ,  $y \neq 1$  and suppose by contradiction that  $\lambda(x, 1, \dots, 1) = z_x(1, \dots, 1, x'_i, 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\lambda(y, 1, \dots, 1) = z_y(1, \dots, 1, y'_j, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $i \not\sim j$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism we get

$$z_{xy}(1, \dots, 1, (xy)')^i, 1, \dots, 1) = z_x z_y(1, \dots, 1, x'_i, 1, \dots, 1, y'_j, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Since  $n > 2$ ,  $z_{xy} = z_x z_y$  and, therefore, either  $x' = 1$  or  $y' = 1$ . Suppose  $x' = 1$ ; since  $\theta(C_{(x,1,\dots,1)}) = C_{z_x}$ , by Lemma 4.1,  $x = 1$ , a contradiction; same for  $y$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the automorphism of  $H^n$  which switches the first and the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $H^n$ . By working with  $\eta \circ \lambda$  instead of  $\lambda$ , we may assume that

$$\lambda(h, 1, \dots, 1) = z_h(h', 1, \dots, 1)$$

for all  $h \in H$ , where  $z_h \in \zeta(H)$  and  $h' \in H$ .

Let now  $\mu : H^n \rightarrow H^n$  be the map defined by  $\mu(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) = z_{h_1} z_{h_2} \cdots z_{h_n} (h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_n)$  where  $\lambda(h_i, 1, \dots, 1) = z_{h_i} (h'_i, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism,  $\mu$  is also a homomorphism. Also,  $\mu$  is injective; in fact, suppose  $z_{h_1} \cdots z_{h_n} (h'_1, \dots, h'_n) = (1, \dots, 1)$ ; then  $z_{h_1} \cdots z_{h_n} h'_i = 1$  for all  $i$  and this forces  $h'_1 = \cdots = h'_n = h' \in \zeta(H)$ . Hence, because  $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(H^n)$ ,  $z_{h_1} = \cdots = z_{h_n} = z_h$  and  $z_h^n h' = 1$ . Now, since  $\lambda(h, 1, \dots, 1) = z_h(h', 1, \dots, 1)$ , then  $C_{(h,1,\dots,1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{z_h(h',1,\dots,1)}$ , it follows that  $C_{(h,h,\dots,h)} = C_{(h,1,\dots,1)(1,h,1,\dots,1)\cdots(1,\dots,1,h)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{z_h(h',1,\dots,1)z_h(h',1,\dots,1)^{a_2} \cdots z_h(h',1,\dots,1)^{a_n}}$ . Since, by Lemma 4.4,  $C_{(h,\dots,h)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(x,\dots,x)}$ , for some  $x \in H$ , and  $h' \in \zeta(H)$  we get  $z_h^n(h', \dots, h') \sim (x, \dots, x)$ . Thus, since  $z_h^n h' = 1$ ,  $x = 1$ . This implies that  $h = 1$  and the claim is established. We have proved that  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(H^n)$ .

We now extend  $\mu$  to an automorphism of  $H \text{wr} S_n$  by defining  $\bar{\mu}(h_1, \dots, h_n; \sigma) = \mu(h_1, \dots, h_n) \cdot (1, \dots, 1; \sigma)$ . It is easy to check that  $\bar{\mu} \in \text{Aut}(H \text{wr} S_n) = \text{Aut}(G)$ . Also, for each  $h \in H$ ,

$$\bar{\mu}^{-1} \circ \theta(C_{(h,1,\dots,1)}) = \bar{\mu}^{-1}(C_{z_h(h',1,\dots,1)}) = C_{(h,1,\dots,1)}.$$

Therefore, by working with  $\bar{\mu}^{-1} \cdot \theta$  instead of  $\theta$ , we will assume from now on that  $\theta(C_{(h_1, \dots, 1)}) = C_{(h_1, \dots, 1)}$  for all  $h \in H$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $n > 2$  and  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, then  $\theta(C_{(h_1, \dots, h_n)}) = C_{(h_1, \dots, h_n)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be such that for all  $h_1, \dots, h_s \in H$ ,  $\theta(C_{(h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)}) = C_{(h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)}$  and  $\theta^{-1}(C_{(h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)}) = C_{(h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)}$ . The proof will be by induction on  $s$ .

If  $s = 1$ , this is the previous result. So, suppose  $s > 1$  and let  $h_1, \dots, h_s \in H$ . Then, by induction,

$$C_{(h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)} = C_{(h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)(1, \dots, 1, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\theta} C_{(h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)(1, \dots, 1, h_s^x, 1, \dots, 1)}$$

for some  $x \in H$ . Now if  $(h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)(1, \dots, h_s^x, \dots, 1) \sim (h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)$ , we are done; so suppose  $(h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot (1, \dots, h_s^x, \dots, 1) \sim (h_1, \dots, h_i h_s^x, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ . But then, by induction,  $C_{(h_1, \dots, h_i h_s^x, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} C_{(h_1, \dots, h_i h_s^x, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1)}$  which implies that  $(h_1, \dots, h_i h_s^x, \dots, h_{s-1}, 1, \dots, 1) \sim (h_1, \dots, h_s, 1, \dots, 1)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We have proved that  $\theta(C_a) = C_a$  for all  $a \in H^n$ , after  $\theta$  has been modified by a suitable automorphism of  $G$ . It follows by the Proposition in Valenti [5] that  $\theta(C_g) = C_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . The theorem is proved by [4, Proposition III.7.2].

*Added in proof.* The case  $n = 2$  has now been proved by M. Parmenter and S.K. Sehgal and will appear in the same journal.

REFERENCES

1. A. Giambruno, S.K. Sehgal and A. Valenti, *Automorphisms of the integral group ring of some wreath products*, Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), 519–534.
2. G. James and A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1981.

3. G.L. Peterson, *Automorphisms of the integral group ring of  $S_n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1976), 14–18.
4. S.K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
5. A. Valenti, *On the automorphism group of the integral group ring of  $S_k$  wr  $S_n$* , J. Pure and Applied Algebra (to appear).
6. Alfred Weiss, *Rigidity of  $p$ -adic  $p$ -torsion*, Ann. Math. **127** (1988), 317–332.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PALERMO, 90123 PALERMO, ITALY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1