

**RELATING DIFFERENT CONDITIONS FOR
THE POSITIVITY OF THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR**

CAROLINE SWEETZY

ABSTRACT. The following article directs proofs that sufficient conditions for the positivity of the Schrödinger operator due to C. Fefferman and Chang, Wilson, and Wolff imply a necessary and sufficient condition of Kerman-Sawyer. The method is by reduction to dyadic case, Calderon-Zygmund decomposition, and, in one case, the use of Orlicz norms.

This article presents some direct proofs between several different conditions which imply the positivity of the Schrödinger operator, $-\Delta - (1/c)v$, where $v \geq 0$. If $L = -\Delta - (1/c)v$ is essentially self-adjoint, then L being a positive operator is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u^2(x)v(x) dx \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty,$$

as can be seen by an integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta - \frac{1}{c}v)u, u \rangle &= \int (-\Delta u)u - \frac{1}{c} \int u^2 v \\ &= \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{c} \int u^2 v \\ &\geq 0 \Leftrightarrow (*) \text{ holds.} \end{aligned}$$

In his paper, "The Uncertainty Principle," [5], C. Fefferman raises the question: What conditions on v imply (*)? In [5] the following condition (a) is shown to be sufficient for (*):

- (a) There exists $p > 1$ for all cubes Q

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{c}{l^2(Q)}$$

Received by the editors on January 11, 1988, and in revised form on August 17, 1992.

AMS *Subject Classification*: 42B25, 47B25.

Key words. Fractional maximal operator, Calderon-Zygmund decomposition, Orlicz norm.

(where $|Q|$ = Lebesgue measure of Q and $l(Q)$ = side length of Q).

The proof in [5] that (a) \Rightarrow (*) uses A_∞ weight theory since if

$$M_p(v)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int v^p \right)^{1/p}$$

then $M_p(v)(x) \in A_\infty$ for any v ; then it is shown that

$$\int |u|^2 v \leq \int |u|^2 M_p(v) \leq \int S^2(u) M_p(v)$$

for $M_p(v) \in A_\infty$, this gives (*).

Two other conditions for (*) were found by Kerman and Sawyer [7] and Chang, Wilson and Wolff [3]¹. The proofs that these conditions imply (*), although differing from each other in method, are both more direct in that A_∞ weights are not used. The Kerman-Sawyer condition (b) (see below) is shown to be necessary and sufficient for (*) by a good λ inequality, while Chang, Wilson, Wolff condition (C) is a sufficient condition for (*) which is weaker than Fefferman's condition (a) (in fact, as is easily seen, (c) \Rightarrow (a)). The proof is by dyadic decomposition and L^2 projection of the function u .

(b) If

$$M_1(f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q: x \in Q \\ Q \text{ any cube}}} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-1/d}} \int_Q f(y) dy,$$

then for all cubes Q ,

$$\int_Q M_1^2(\chi_Q v) \leq c \int_Q v.$$

(This is shown to be equivalent to having $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} M_1^2(\chi_Q v)$ on the left hand side by an earlier argument of Sawyer—this seemingly stronger form of inequality (b) is proved below.)

(c) If $\varphi(x)$ is increasingly on $[0, \infty)$ and $\int_1^\infty dx/(x\varphi(x)) < \infty$, then for all cubes

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x) l^2(Q) \varphi(v(x) l^2(Q)) dx \leq c.$$

Obviously a) and c) imply b) using (*). Also see [7] for a direct proof that a) \Rightarrow b) for A^∞ weights¹. Following are direct proofs that (a) and a particular form of (c) imply (b) and thus give a way to obtain (*) without using either A_∞ weights or the Haar-type decomposition of u used in both [3] and [5]. The method of proof in both cases is reduction to the dyadic case, using a Calderon-Zygmund type decomposition (see argument in [2] for this part of the proof) then using Hölder's inequality for (a) \Rightarrow (b) while (c) \Rightarrow (b) requires Orlicz norms. Then the extension to the continuous case is by standard arguments.

Theorem 1. (a) \Rightarrow (b) with (a) and (b) as above.

Theorem 1 will be proved by first replacing M_1 in condition (b) by

$$M_1^d(\chi_Q v)(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q: x \in Q \\ Q \text{ dyadic}}} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-1/d}} \int_Q v(y) dy$$

to get condition (b'), and then proving (a) \Rightarrow (b'), (b') is (b) with M_1^d in place of M_1 .

Lemma 1. *There is a Calderon-Zygmund decomposition of*

$$D_k = \{x \mid M_1^d(\chi_Q v)(x) > R_k\}$$

where $R_k = 2^{k(d+1)}$ such that $D_k = \cup_j Q_j^k$ where Q_j^k are the maximal dyadic cubes for which

$$(A) \quad R_k < \frac{1}{|Q_j^k|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_j^k} (\chi_Q v)(y) dy \leq 2^{d-1} R_k$$

and

$$(B) \quad \left| D_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^l} |Q_j^k| \quad \text{for each } l \geq 0.$$

Proof. (A). Divide \mathbf{R}^d into dyadic cubes $\{Q_m\}$ so large that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_m|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_m} (\chi_Q v) \leq R_k$$

and so that for any dyadic cube $\tilde{Q}_m \supseteq Q_m$,

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_m|^{1-1/d}} \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} \chi_Q v \leq R_k.$$

This is always possible since $\chi_Q v \in L^1$ and has compact support.

Now bisect each Q_m into $\{Q_m^i\}$ and if

$$\frac{1}{|Q_m^i|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_m^i} (\chi_Q v) > R_k,$$

put Q_m^i into a set, call it Ω . If

$$\frac{1}{|Q_m^i|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_m^i} (\chi_Q v) \leq R_k$$

continue to bisect Q_m^i and repeat the selection process. For $Q_j^k \in \Omega$, there exist $Q_m^i, Q_j^k \subseteq Q_m^i$ and $|Q_j^k| = (1/2^d)|Q_m^i|$ and

$$\frac{1}{|Q_m^i|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_m^i} (\chi_Q v) \leq R_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q_j^k|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_j^k} (\chi_Q v) &\leq \left(\frac{|Q_m^i|}{|Q_j^k|} \right)^{1-1/d} \frac{1}{|Q_m^i|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_m^i} (\chi_Q v) \\ &\leq (2^d)^{1-1/d} R_k = 2^{d-1} R_k \end{aligned}$$

since $\chi_Q v \geq 0$.

Then $\Omega = \{M_1^d \chi_Q v > R_k\}$, since clearly $\Omega \subseteq \{M_1^d \chi_Q v > R_k\}$, and conversely if $x \in \{M_1^d \chi_Q v > R_k\}$, there exists a dyadic \tilde{Q} , $x \in \tilde{Q}$, and

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|^{1-1/d}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} \chi_Q v > R_k.$$

So there is such a maximal dyadic cube \tilde{Q} which must belong to Ω by the way \mathbf{R}^d was decomposed. So $\{M_1^d \chi_Q v > R_k\} \subseteq \Omega$.

Note. This decomposition differs from the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in that it is not necessarily true that $\chi_Q v \leq R_k$ on Ω^c .

So $D_k = \cup_j Q_j^k$ where Q_j^k are dyadic, disjoint and

$$(A) \quad R_k < \frac{1}{|Q_j^k|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \leq 2^{d-1} R_k.$$

(B). $D_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k = \cup_m Q_{i_m}^{k+l}$ for some i_m since $D_{k+l} = \cup_i Q_i^{k+l}$ and dyadic cubes are either nested or disjoint.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k| &= \left| \bigcup_m Q_{i_m}^{k+l} \right| = \sum_m |Q_{i_m}^{k+l}| \\ &= \sum_m |Q_{i_m}^{k+l}|^{1-1/d} |Q_{i_m}^{k+l}|^{1/d} \\ &\leq \sum_m |Q_{i_m}^{k+l}|^{1-1/d} |Q_j^k|^{1/d}, \quad \text{since } Q_{i_m}^{k+l} \subseteq Q_j^k, \\ &< |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \sum_m \frac{1}{R_{k+l}} \int_{Q_{i_m}^{k+l}} \chi_Q v \quad \text{by (A)} \\ &= |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \frac{1}{R_{k+l}} \sum_m \int_{Q_{i_m}^{k+l}} \chi_Q v \\ &\leq |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \frac{1}{R_{k+l}} \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \end{aligned}$$

since $\chi_Q v \geq 0$ and $\cup_m Q_{i_m}^{k+l} \subseteq Q_j^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \frac{1}{R_{k+l}} 2^{d-1} R_k |Q_j^k|^{1-1/d} \quad \text{by (A)} \\ &= \frac{2^{d-1} 2^{(d+1)k}}{2^{(d+1)(k+l)}} |Q_j^k| = \frac{2^{d-1}}{2^{(d+1)l}} |Q_j^k| \\ &< \frac{1}{2^l} |Q_j^k| \quad \text{for } l \geq 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

To summarize the notation and facts obtained so far:

$$D_k = \{M_1 \chi_Q v > R_k\} = \bigcup_j Q_j^k$$

$$E_k = D_k \setminus D_{k+1} \Rightarrow D_k = \bigcup_{l \geq 0} E_{k+l}$$

and E_k are disjoint, $\{M_1 \chi_Q v > 0\} = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} D_k$ and

$$(A) \quad R_k < \frac{1}{|Q_j^k|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \leq 2^{d-1} R_k < R_{k+1}$$

$$(B) \quad \left| D_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k \right| < \frac{1}{2^l} |Q_j^k|.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 a) \Rightarrow b'). (See [2] for the following proof.) Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1 \chi_Q v]^2 &\geq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k^2 |D_k \setminus D_{k+1}| \\ &= \sum_k R_k^2 (|D_k| - |D_{k+1}|) = \sum_k (R_k^2 - R_{k-1}^2) |D_k| \\ &= \sum_k (2^{2k(d+1)} - 2^{2(k-1)(d+1)}) |D_k| \\ &= \sum_k 2^{2k(d+1)} (1 - 2^{-2(d+1)}) |D_k| = c_1 \sum_k R_k^2 |D_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1 \chi_Q v]^2 &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{k+1}^2 |D_k \setminus D_{k+1}| \\ &= \sum_k R_{k+1}^2 (|D_k| - |D_{k+1}|) = \sum_k (R_{k+1}^2 - R_k^2) |D_k| \\ &= \sum_k (2^{2(k+1)(d+1)} - 2^{2k(d+1)}) |D_k| \\ &= \sum_k 2^{2k(d+1)} (2^{2(d+1)} - 1) |D_k| \\ &= c_2 \sum_k R_k^2 |D_k|. \end{aligned}$$

So one obtains

$$(+) \quad c_1 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k^2 |D_k| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1(\chi_Q v)]^2 \leq c_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k^2 |D_k|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k^2 |D_k| &= \sum_k R_k^2 \sum_j |Q_j^k| \\ &\leq \sum_k R_k \left(\sum_j \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|^{1-1/d}} \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right) |Q_j^k| \right) \quad \text{by (A)} \\ &= \sum_k R_k \sum_j |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \sum_{l \geq 0} \int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v = (*) \end{aligned}$$

since $Q_j^k = D_k \cap Q_j^k = \cup_{l \geq 0} (E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k)$ and $\{E_{k+l}\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ are disjoint.

Using Hölder's inequality with three exponents $1/2 + 1/(2p) + 1/r = 1$ ($p > 1 \Rightarrow r$ exists),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v &\leq \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} [\chi_Q v]^p \right)^{1/2p} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k}^r \right)^{1/r} \end{aligned}$$

and for p as in condition (a), then

$$\left(\int_{Q_j^k} (\chi_Q v)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c |Q_j^k|^{1/p-2/d} \quad \forall Q_j^k$$

and, using

$$\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} (\chi_Q v)^p \leq \int_{Q_j^k} (\chi_Q v)^p$$

and

$$\left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k}^r \right)^{1/r} = |E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k|^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^l} |Q_j^k| \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{from (B)}$$

then

$$\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \leq \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} c |Q_j^k|^{1/(2p)-1/d} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} |Q_j^k|^{1/r}.$$

So, putting this into (*), one obtains (since $1/(2p) + 1/r = 1/2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1 \chi_Q v]^2 \right) \\ & \leq c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k \sum_j |Q_j^k|^{1/d} \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} |Q_j^k|^{1/2-1/d} \\ & = c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \sum_k R_k \sum_j |Q_j^k|^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Cauchy-Schwarz on the sum over j , the above is

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \sum_k R_k \left(\sum_j |Q_j^k| \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_j \int_{E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \\ & = c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \sum_k R_k |D_k|^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_{k+l}} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

since $|D_k| = \sum_j |Q_j^k|$ and $\cup_j E_{k+l} \cap Q_j^k = E_{k+l}$, $\{Q_j^k\}$ disjoint for k fixed.

Then, using Cauchy-Schwarz again on the sum over k , the above is

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \left(\sum_k R_k^2 |D_k| \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_k \int_{E_{k+l}} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \left(\sum_k R_k^2 |D_k| \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

since E_{k+l} are disjoint for l fixed, $k = -\infty, \dots, +\infty$;

$$\leq c \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2^{l/r}} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d \chi_Q v]^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_Q v \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

by the first inequality in (+).

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d \chi_Q v]^2 &\leq c \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d \chi_Q v]^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_Q v \right)^{1/2} \\ &\Rightarrow \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d \chi_Q v]^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c \left(\int_Q v \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

when $(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d \chi_Q v]^2)^{1/2}$ is finite, which it is for $\chi_Q v$ being of compact support and satisfying either (a) or (c), since

$$\begin{aligned} (M_1 \chi_Q v)^2(x) &= \sup_{x \in \tilde{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|^{1-2/d}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} \chi_Q v \right) \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \int_{\tilde{Q}} \chi_Q v \right) \\ &\leq c \cdot M(\chi_Q v)(x) \end{aligned}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, and the condition for Mf being $L^1(3Q)$ is $|f| \log(2 + |f|) \in L^1(3Q)$ (Stein [10]). Both a) and c) imply

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|^{1-2/d}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} \chi_Q v \right) \leq c.$$

Obviously, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 3Q} (M_1 \chi_Q v)^2$ is bounded, since $M_1(\chi_Q v) \leq I_1(\chi_Q v)$ pointwise almost everywhere where $I_1(\chi_Q v)$ is the Riesz potential of $\chi_Q v$ (Adams [1, p. 15]), and

$$\|I_1(\chi_Q v)\|_2 \leq c \cdot \|\chi_Q v\|_q, \quad 1/q = 1/2 + 1/n$$

(Stein [10]).

Thus, condition (b) holds for the dyadic maximal function.

Using a standard argument for extending from the dyadic to the continuous case, Theorem 1 holds for M_1 [6]. \square

Theorem 2. *If, for all cubes Q ,*

$$(d) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q l^2(Q)v(y) \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1 + l^2(Q)v(y)) dy \leq c$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1(\chi_Q v)(x)]^2 dx \leq c \int_Q v(y) dy.$$

Note. In Theorem 2, condition (d) is the Chang, Wilson, Wolff condition (c) with $\varphi(x) = \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x)$.

To prove Theorem 2, a lemma is needed:

Lemma 2. *Condition (d) in Theorem 2 implies*

$$\left(\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} l^2(Q_j^k)(\chi_Q v)(y) dy \right)^{1/2} \leq c \left(\frac{|Q_j^k|}{\log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+2^l)} \right)^{1/2}$$

where Q_j^k and E_{k+l} are the same sets as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $\Phi(x) = x \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x)$ and $\Psi(y)$ be Youngs' functions, i.e.,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(y) = \int_0^y \psi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi \circ \psi(t) = t$. The function Ψ exists if $\Phi(x)$ is ≥ 0 , convex for $x \geq 0$, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x)/x = \infty$. These conditions hold for $\Phi(x) = x \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x)$ (Zygmund [12, p. 24]).

If X is a given space, then the two norms for Orlicz space $L^*(X, dm)$ are

$$\|x\|_{\Phi} = \sup_{\int_X \Psi(y) \leq 1} \int_X x(t)y(t) dm(t)$$

and

$$\|x\|_{N_{\Phi}} = \inf \left\{ u : \int_X \Phi(x(t)/\mu) dm(t) = \Phi(1) \right\}.$$

The two norms are equivalent; $\|x\|_{\Phi} \leq c \|x\|_{N_{\Phi}}$ where c depends only on $\Phi(1)$ (Zygmund [12, p. 174]).

For $v \geq 0$, $v \in L_{loc}^1(X)$ and $X = Q_j^k$ a cube then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} l^2(Q_j^k) \chi_Q v &= |Q_j^k| \int_{Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}(y) l^2(Q_j^k)(\chi_Q v)(y) dy / |Q_j^k| \\ &\leq c |Q_j^k| \|\chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}\|_{\Psi} \end{aligned}$$

by definition of $\|\cdot\|_\Psi$ since (d) implies

$$c \int_{Q_j^k} \Phi(l^2(Q_j^k)(\chi_{Q^k} v)(y)) dy / |Q_j^k| \leq 1.$$

Here the measure on X is $dx / |Q_j^k| = dm$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}\|_\Psi &\leq c \|\chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}\|_{N\Psi} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \mu : \int_{Q_j^k} \int^{\chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}(x) / \mu} \Psi(t) dt dx / |Q_j^k| \leq \Psi(1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_j^k} \int^{(1/\mu) \cdot \chi_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}}(x)} \Psi(t) dt dx / |Q_j^k| &= \int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \int_0^{1/\mu} \Psi(t) dt dx / |Q_j^k| \\ &= \frac{|Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}|}{|Q_j^k|} \Psi(1/\mu); \end{aligned}$$

so for $\|\cdot\|_{N\Psi}$ one needs

$$\inf \{ \mu : \Psi(1/\mu) \leq c |Q_j^k| / |Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}| \}.$$

This implies

$$\mu \geq (\Psi^{-1}(c |Q_j^k| / |Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}|))^{-1}$$

since Ψ is increasing and Ψ^{-1} is also increasing.

Then, taking the inf of such μ ,

$$(4) \quad \mu \leq [\Psi^{-1}(c \cdot 2^l)]^{-1} \quad \text{since} \quad |Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}| \leq 2^{-l} |Q_j^k|.$$

Sublemma. $\varphi(x) = x \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x) \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(x) \geq c \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x)$ for $x > 1$.

Proof of Sublemma. $\Phi(x) = x \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x)$ and

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t) dt \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) &= \Phi'(x) = \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x) + (2+\varepsilon)(x/(1+x)) \log^{1+\varepsilon}(1+x) \\
&\Rightarrow \varphi(x) > \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x) \\
&\Rightarrow \psi(x) < e^{x^{1/(2+\varepsilon)}} - 1
\end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi \circ \psi(x) = x$ and $\varphi > f \Rightarrow \varphi^{-1} < f^{-1}$.

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(x) &= \int_0^x \psi(t) dt < x e^{x^{1/(2+\varepsilon)}} - 1 \\
&\leq c e^{2x^{1/(2+\varepsilon)}} - 1 \\
&\Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(x) \geq c \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+x). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

So by (4)

$$\mu \leq \frac{1}{c \log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+2^l)}.$$

Finally, one obtains:

$$\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} l^2(Q_j^k) \chi_{Q^k} v \leq |Q_j^k| \frac{c}{\log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+2^l)}.$$

So, using the same argument as given above in the proof of Theorem 1 for showing (a) \Rightarrow (b'), that is, R_k , $D_k = \cup Q_j^k$ and E_{k+l} are all as above, then one has at (*) in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d(\chi_Q(v))]^2 \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k \sum_j \sum_{l \geq 0} l(Q_j^k) \int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \chi_{Q^k} v \\
&= c \sum_k R_k \sum_j \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \chi_{Q^k} v \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} l^2(Q_j^k) \chi_{Q^k} v \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq c \sum_k R_k \sum_j \sum_{l \geq 0} \left(\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \chi_{Q^k} v \right)^{1/2} |Q_j^k|^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{\log^{2+\varepsilon}(1+2^l)} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{\log^{1+(\varepsilon/2)}(1+2^l)} \sum_k R_k \sum_j \left(\int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \chi_{Q^k} v \right)^{1/2} |Q_j^k|^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{\log^{1+(\epsilon/2)}(1+2^l)} \sum_k R_k \left(\sum_j \int_{Q_j^k \cap E_{k+l}} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_j |Q_j^k| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{\log^{1+(\epsilon/2)}(1+2^l)} \sum_k R_k \left(\int_{E_{k+l}} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} |D_k|^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{\log^{1+(\epsilon/2)}(1+2^l)} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_k^2 |D_k| \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \chi_Q v \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d(\chi_Q v)]^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_Q v \right)^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{\log^{1+(\epsilon/2)}(1+2^l)} < \infty.$$

So, dividing by $(\int [M_1^d(\chi_Q v)]^2)^{1/2}$, one obtains

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} [M_1^d(\chi_Q v)(x)]^2 dx \leq c \int_Q v(y) dy.$$

Theorem 2 follows from the dyadic case by standard arguments [6].

□

ENDNOTES

1. Since this paper was written, several improvements and generalizations on conditions a), b) and c) have been obtained by C. Perez [8, 9] and S. Chanillo and E. Sawyer [4]. See also the work of J.M. Wilson [11].

REFERENCES

1. D. Adams, Lectures on L^p -potential theory, Univeristy of Umeä, Sweden, 1981.
2. Carbery, Chang and Garnett, *Weights and $L \log L$* , Pacific J. Math. **120** (1985), 33–45.
3. Chang, Wilson and Wolff, *Some weighted norm inequalities concerning the Schrödinger operators*, Comment. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 217–246.
4. S. Chanillo and E. Sawyer, *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces*, preprint.

5. C. Fefferman, *The uncertainty principle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1983), 129–206.
6. ——— and E. Stein, *Some maximal inequalities*, Amer. J. Math **93** (1971), 107–114.
7. R. Kerman and E. Sawyer, *The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators*, Annales l'Institut Fourier **36** (1986), 207–228.
8. C. Perez, *On a theorem of Muckenaupt and Wheeden and a weighted inequality related to Schrödinger operators*, preprint.
9. ———, *Two weighted norm inequalities, Riesz potentials and uniform L^p -weighted Sobolev inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **39** (1990), 31–43.
10. E. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
11. J.M. Wilson, *Some two-parameter square function inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 419–442.
12. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, LAS CRUCES,
NM 88003