MONOTONE OPEN IMAGES OF 0-SPACES

BEVERLY DIAMOND

ABSTRACT. A 0-space is a completely regular Hausdorff space possessing a compactification with zero-dimensional remainder. The class of almost rimcompact spaces is intermediate between the class of rimcompact spaces and that of 0spaces.

It is known that rimcompactness is preserved under monotone open maps. In this paper it is shown that the properties of almost rimcompactness and of being a 0-space are preserved under monotone open maps.

1. Introduction and known results. All spaces considered are completely regular and Hausdorff. Recall that a space is rimcompact if it possesses a base of open sets with compact boundaries [8]. Monotone maps, generally with some additional property, have appeared in the investigation of the preservation of rimcompactness. For example, if Y is the image of a rimcompact space under either a monotone open map or a monotone quotient map for which preimages of points have compact boundaries, then Y is rimcompact ([6] and [1, 3.4], respectively). The second result with "rimcompact" replaced either by "almost rimcompact" or "0-space" was proved in [3]. As mentioned in the abstract, the result for monotone open maps with "rimcompact" replaced by either "almost rimcompact" or "0-space" is proved in this paper.

The main results appear in Section 2. In the remainder of this section, we present some terminology and known results. A map is a continuous surjection. A function $f: X \to Y$ is closed (open) if whenever F is closed (open) in X, f[F] is closed (open) in Y, and monotone if $f^{\leftarrow}(y)$ is connected for each $u \in Y$.

The maximum compactification of a space X, the Stone-Cěch compactification of X, is denoted by βX (where the partial ordering on the family of compactifications of X is the usual). If KX is a compactification of X, then $KX\setminus X$ is the remainder of KX. If $f:X\to Y$ is a

Received by the editors on October 4, 1990, and in revised form on April 28, $1991. \\ 1980 \ \textit{Mathematics Subject Classification.} \ 54\text{C}10, \ 54\text{D}40.$

map, the extension of f from βX onto βY will be denoted by f^{β} . The following is a special case of 4.7 of [4].

Theorem 1.1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a monotone quotient map. Then $f^{\beta}: \beta X \to \beta Y$ is monotone.

An open subset U of X is π -open in X if $\mathrm{bd}_X U$ is compact. A subset V of βX is clopen at infinity, denoted by CI, if $V \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$ is clopen (that is, open and closed) in $\beta X \setminus X$. If U is open in X and KX is a compactification of X, the extension of U in KX, denoted by $\mathrm{Ex}_{KX} U$, is defined to be $KX \setminus \mathrm{cl}_{KX} (X \setminus U)$. It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Ex}_{KX} U$ is the largest open set of KX whose intersection with X is U. A compactification KX of X is a perfect compactification of X if for each open subset U of X, $\mathrm{cl}_{KX} \mathrm{bd}_X U = \mathrm{bd}_{KX} \mathrm{Ex}_{KX} U$. It follows from Lemma 1 of $[\mathbf{8}]$ that βX is a perfect compactification of X. Then, for U π -open in X, $\mathrm{bd}_{\beta X} \mathrm{Ex}_{\beta X} U = \mathrm{cl}_{\beta X} \mathrm{bd}_X U = \mathrm{bd}_X U$, hence $\mathrm{Ex}_{\beta X} U \cap (\beta X \setminus X) = \mathrm{cl}_{\beta X} U \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$ and is clopen in $\beta X \setminus X$.

Definition 1.2. The decomposition of βX consisting of $\{\{x\}: x \in X\}$

 $\cup \{C_p : C_p \text{ is the connected component in } \beta X \setminus X \text{ of } p \in \beta X \setminus X\}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{C}(\beta X)$.

A space X is a 0-space, that is, has a compactification with zerodimensional remainder, if and only if each connected component of $\beta X \backslash X$ is compact and a quasicomponent of $\beta X \backslash X$, $\mathbf{C}(\beta X)$ is an upper semicontinuous decomposition of βX , and each element of $\mathbf{C}(\beta X)$ contained in $\beta X \backslash X$ has a base of CI open sets of βX . If X is a 0-space, then X possesses a maximum compactification F_0X having zero-dimensional remainder; $F_0X = \beta X/\mathbf{C}(\beta X)$. (See [7, 8] for a justification of these statements.)

If F is closed in X, U is open in X and $F \subseteq U$, then F is nearly π -contained in U if there is a compact subset K of F so that whenever F' is a closed subset of F and $F' \cap K = \phi$, there is a π -open subset V of X with $F' \subseteq V \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U$. A space X is nearly rimcompact at x if whenever $x \in U$, where U is open in X, there is an open set W of X with $X \in W \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X W \subseteq U$ and $\operatorname{cl}_X W$ nearly π -contained in U;

X is quasi-rimcompact at x if there is a compact set K_x of X so that whenever F is closed in X and $F \cap K_x = \phi$, there is a π -open subset V of X with $x \in V \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq X \backslash F$. Finally, X is almost rimcompact at x, and almost rimcompact if X is almost rimcompact at each point. Each rimcompact space is almost rimcompact, each almost rimcompact space is a 0-space; neither converse holds [2].

2. The main results. The first result will provide the necessary clopen at infinity subsets of βY .

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that X is a θ -space and that $f: X \to Y$ is a monotone open map. If U is a CI open set of βX , then $f^{\beta}[U]$ is a CI open set of βY .

Proof. Suppose that $p \in (\beta Y \setminus Y) \cap f^{\beta}[U]$. Then $f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p) \subseteq \beta X \setminus X$ and $f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p) \cap U \neq \phi$. Since $U \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$ is clopen in $\beta X \setminus X$ and f^{β} is monotone, $f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p) \subseteq U$. Then $f^{\beta \leftarrow}[f^{\beta}[U] \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)] =$

 $U \cap f^{\beta \leftarrow}[\beta Y \setminus Y]$, thus $f^{\beta}[U] \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)$ is clopen in $\beta Y \setminus Y$. Also, since f^{β} is closed, $p \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta Y} f^{\beta}[U]$.

Suppose that $p \in f^{\beta}[U] \cap Y$. We first show that $p \in f[U \cap X]$. If $p \in [f^{\beta}[U] \cap Y] \setminus f[U \cap X]$, then $f^{\leftarrow}(p) \subseteq X \setminus U$ so that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} f^{\leftarrow}(p) \cap U = \phi$. Since $U \cap (\beta X \setminus X)$ is clopen in $\beta X \setminus X$, there is at least one connected component C of $\beta X \setminus X$ such that $C \cap f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p) \neq \phi$ while $C \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} f^{\leftarrow}(p) = \phi$. The map f^{β} is monotone, hence $F[f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p)]$ is a connected subset of $F_0 X$, where $F : \beta X \to F_0 X$ is the natural map. On the other hand, $F[f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p)] \setminus F[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} f^{\leftarrow}(p)]$ is a nonempty open subset of $F[f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p)]$ contained in the zero-dimensional set $F_0 X \setminus X$. This contradiction proves that $p \in f[U \cap X]$.

Choose $x \in f^{\leftarrow}(p) \cap U$ and W open in βX such that $x \in W \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \subseteq U$. Then $f[W \cap X]$ is an open neighborhood of p in Y. It follows that $p \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta Y} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X] \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X] \subseteq f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \subseteq f^{\beta}[U]$. Thus, $p \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta Y} f^{\beta}[U]$ and $f^{\beta}[U]$ is open in βY . \square

For any space X and $p \in \beta X$, let $K_p = \bigcap \{\beta X \setminus U : U \text{ is } CI \text{ open} \}$

in βX , $p \notin U$. The next results provide a useful description of the connected components of the remainder of a 0-space as the sets K_p for $p \in \beta X \setminus X$.

Lemma 2.2. For $p \in \beta X$, K_p is a compact connected subset of βX . If $K_p \subseteq \beta X \setminus X$, then K_p is the quasicomponent of p in $\beta X \setminus X$ and has a base of CI open sets in βX .

Proof. The set K_p is clearly compact. Suppose that K_p is not connected. There are open sets U_1, U_2 of βX such that

 $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} U_1 \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} U_2 = \phi$, $K_p \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2$ and $K_p \cap U_i \neq \phi$, i = 1, 2. Since the finite union of CI open sets is open and CI, by compactness there is a CI open set W of βX with $p \in \beta X \setminus W \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2$. Assume without loss of generality that $p \in U_1$, and consider $W' = W \cup U_2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{bd}_{\beta X \backslash X}[W' \cap (\beta X \backslash X)] \\ &\subseteq \operatorname{bd}_{\beta X \backslash X}[W \cap (\beta X \backslash X)] \cup \operatorname{bd}_{\beta X \backslash X}[U_2 \cap (\beta X \backslash X)] \\ &\subseteq \operatorname{bd}_{\beta X \backslash X}[U_2 \cap (\beta X \backslash X)] \\ &\subseteq W \cap (\beta X \backslash X) \subseteq W' \cap (\beta X \backslash X), \end{aligned}$$

W' is CI in βX . As $p \notin W'$, $K_p \subseteq U_1$, a contradiction.

If $K_p \subseteq \beta X \backslash X$, then

$$\begin{split} K_p &= K_p \cap (\beta X \backslash X) \\ &= \cap \{\beta X \backslash U : U \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, p \notin U\} \cap (\beta X \backslash X) \\ &= \cap \{(\beta X \backslash X) \backslash U : U \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, p \notin U\}; \end{split}$$

that is, K_p is an intersection of clopen sets of $\beta X \setminus X$. Thus, the quasicomponent of p in $\beta X \setminus X$ is contained in the connected set K_p and therefore equals K_p .

Suppose that $K_p \subseteq V$, where V is open in βX . As above, there is a CI open set V' of βX with $K_p \subseteq \beta X \backslash V' \subseteq V$. Let W be an open set of βX with $W \cap (\beta X \backslash X) = (\beta X \backslash X) \backslash V'$. Then W is CI, as is $W' \equiv W \cap V$. Since $K_p \subseteq W' \subseteq V$, W' is the desired open set. \square

The locally compact part of X is denoted by L(X); $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X) = \beta X \setminus L(X)$.

Corollary 2.3. If X is a 0-space, then for $p \in \beta X \setminus X$, K_p is the compact connected quasicomponent of p in $\beta X \setminus X$.

Proof. Suppose that $p \in \beta X \setminus X$ and $z \in X$. According to the previous result, it suffices to show that $x \notin K_p$. Without loss of generality, $x \notin L(X)$. Since the connected component C_p of p in $\beta X \setminus X$ is compact, there is an open set W of βX with $x \in W$ and $C_p \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W = \phi$. Since X is a 0-space, there is a CI open subset V of βX with $C_p \subseteq V$ and $V \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W = \phi$. Then $[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}[V \cap (\beta X \setminus X)]] \cap [\beta X \setminus X] = V$, and $T = \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X) \setminus \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} V$ is an open subset of $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X)$ with $T \cap (\beta X \setminus X) = (\beta X \setminus X) \setminus V$. There is a CI open subset V' of βX with $V' \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X) = T$. Since $x \in W \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X) \subseteq T$, $x \notin K_p$. \square

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is a monotone open map and that X is a 0-space. For $p \in \beta Y \backslash Y$, $K_p \subseteq \beta Y \backslash Y$.

Proof. Choose $p \in \beta Y \setminus Y$ and $y \in Y$. Since f^{β} is monotone, $f^{\beta \leftarrow}(p)$ is a connected compact subset of $\beta X \setminus X$, hence is contained in some compact connected quasicomponent C of $\beta X \setminus X$. Choose $x \in f^{\leftarrow}(y) \cap X$; Corollary 2.3 implies that there is a CI open subset V of βX with $x \in V$ and $C \cap V = \phi$. Then $y \in f^{\beta}[V]$ while $p \notin f^{\beta}[V]$. According to Lemma 2.1, $f^{\beta}[V]$ is CI and open in βX , thus $K_p \subseteq \beta Y \setminus Y$. \square

To complete the proof of the main result, we show that $\mathbf{C}(\beta Y)$ (recall Definition 1.2) is an upper semicontinuous decomposition of βY .

The following definitions will be useful in the proof of this result.

Definitions 2.5. 1) A space X has property (*) if whenever $x \in U \cap X$ (for U open in βX) there is an open set W of βX with $x \in W \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \subseteq U$ and such that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, V \subseteq U\}$ is a compact subset of X.

2) If U is open in βX , let $U^s = \bigcup \{d : d \in \mathbf{C}(\beta X), d \subseteq U\}.$

Note that $U^s \cap X = U \cap X$ and that U^s is open in βX for each open set U of βX if and only if $\mathbf{C}(\beta X)$ is an upper semicontinuous

decomposition of βX .

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that X is a 0-space and that the map $f: X \to Y$ is monotone and open. Then Y is a 0-space.

Proof. As mentioned above, it is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{C}(\beta Y)$ is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of βY , or, equivalently, that for U open in βY , U^s is open in βY . We first show that both X and Y have property (*).

Suppose that $x \in U \cap X$, where U is open in βX . Since U^s is open in βX , there is an open set W of βX with $x \in W \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \subseteq U^s$. For $p \in \mathbf{C}_{\beta X} W \setminus W$, $C_p \subseteq U$. Thus, there is a CI open set V_p of βX with $p \in V_p \subseteq U$. Then $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \setminus \cup \{V : V \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, V \subseteq U\} \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \setminus \cup \{V_p : p \in \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \setminus X\} \subseteq X$ and is a compact subset of X, thus X has property (*).

To show that Y has property (*), suppose that U is open in βY with $y \in U \cap Y$. Choose $x \in f^{\beta \leftarrow}(y) \cap X$; since X has property (*), there is an open set W' of βX with $x \in W' \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W' \subseteq f^{\beta \leftarrow}[U]$ and such that

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W' \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, V \subseteq f^{\beta \leftarrow}[U] \}$$

is a compact subset of X. Since $y \in f[W \cap X]$, which is open in Y, $y \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta Y} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X]$, while $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X] = f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \subseteq U$. Finally,

```
\begin{split} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X] \backslash \cup \{V' : V' \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta Y, V' \subseteq U\} \\ &= f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \backslash \cup \{V' : V' \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta Y, V' \subseteq U\} \\ &\subseteq f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \backslash \cup \{f^{\beta}[V] : V \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, V \subseteq f^{\beta \leftarrow}[U]\} \\ &\subseteq f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W \backslash \cup \{V : V \text{ is } CI \text{ open in } \beta X, V \subseteq f^{\beta \leftarrow}[U]\}] \end{split}
```

and thus is a compact subset of Y. The set $\inf_{\beta Y} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W \cap X]$ is the desired neighborhood of y.

Assume that U is open in βY ; we show that U^s is open in βY . Let $p \in U^s \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)$. According to Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, $K_p \subseteq U$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there is a CI open set V of βY with $p \in K_p \subseteq V \subseteq U$. To show that $p \in \inf_{\beta Y} U^s$, it suffices to show that $V \subseteq U^s$. Since $V \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)$ is clopen in $\beta Y \setminus Y$, if $q \in V \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)$, then $K_q \subseteq V \cap (\beta Y \setminus Y)$, $K_q \subseteq U$ and thus $q \in U^s$. Hence, $V \cap (\beta X \setminus X) \subseteq U^s$. Since $V \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Y \subseteq U^s$, $V \subseteq U^s$.

Choose $y\in U\cap Y$. Since Y has property (*), there is W open in βY with $y\in W\subseteq\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y}W\subseteq U$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y}W\setminus\cup\{V:V\text{ is }CI\text{ open in }\beta Y,V\subseteq U\}$ a compact subset of Y. If $q\in\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y}W\setminus Y,$ $q\in V$ for some CI open set V of βY with $V\subseteq U$, so that $K_q\subseteq V\subseteq U$ and $q\in U^s$. Since $(\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y}W)\cap Y\subseteq U\cap Y\subseteq U^s$, $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y}W\subseteq U^s$, thus U^s is open in βY . \square

A result more general than Theorem 2.6 is possible when working with rimcompactness or almost rimcompactness (2.9). The next two results allow us to work with π -open sets.

Lemma 2.7. If $f: X \to Y$ is a monotone open map and U is π -open in X, then f[U] is π -open in Y and $\operatorname{cl}_Y f[U] = f[\operatorname{cl}_X U]$.

Proof. Since f is monotone quotient, it follows from [8] that $\operatorname{bd}_Y f[U] \subseteq f[\operatorname{bd}_X U]$. Then f[U] is an open subset of Y with compact boundary. Also,

$$\operatorname{cl}_Y f[U] = \operatorname{bd}_Y f[U] \cup f[U] \subseteq f[\operatorname{cl}_X U],$$

while $f[\operatorname{cl}_X U] \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_Y f[U]$ for any continuous function f, so that $\operatorname{cl}_Y f[U] = f[\operatorname{cl}_X U]$.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that F and U are closed and open in X, respectively. The set F is nearly π -contained in U if and only if $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \setminus \bigcup \{\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V : V \text{ π-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U\}$ is a compact subset of X. In this case, $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \subseteq \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} U$; if $f: X \to Y$ is a monotone open map, then $\operatorname{cl}_Y f[F] \subseteq f[U]$.

Proof. Suppose that the compact subset K of F witnesses the fact that F is nearly π -contained in U. For $p \in \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \backslash F$, there is a closed subset F_p of F with $p \in \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F_p$ and $F_p \cap K = \phi$. Choose V_p to be π -open in X with $F_p \subseteq V_p \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V_p \subseteq U$. Then $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F_p \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} V_p$; since $\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V_p \cap (\beta X \backslash X) = \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} V_p \cap (\beta X \backslash X)$, $p \in \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F_p \subseteq \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V_p$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \setminus \bigcup \left\{ \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V : V \pi\text{-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U \right\}$$
$$\subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \setminus \bigcup \left\{ \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V_p : p \in \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \setminus F \right\} \subseteq X,$$

hence is a compact subset of X.

Conversely, suppose that $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \setminus \bigcup \{\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V : V \text{ π-open in X, } \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U\}$ is a compact subset K of X. If F' is closed in F with $F' \cap K = \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F' \subseteq \bigcup \{\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V : V \text{ π-open in X, } \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U\}$. Compactness and the fact that a finite union of π -open sets is π -open yield a π -open set V with $F' \subseteq V \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U$. Since $V \subseteq U$ implies that $\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V \subseteq \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} U$, $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \subseteq \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} U$. If V is π -open in U and $\operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U$, then $f^{\beta}[\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V] \cap Y \subseteq f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} V] \cap Y = (\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[V]) \cap Y = \operatorname{cl}_Y f[V] = \text{(by Lemma 2.7)} f[\operatorname{cl}_X V] \subseteq f[U]$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{cl}_Y f[F] &= (\operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[F]) \cap Y = f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F] \cap Y \\ &= (f[F] \cup f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} F \backslash F]) \cap Y \\ &\subseteq (f[U] \cup f^{\beta}[\cup \{\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V : V \operatorname{\pi-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq U\}]) \\ &\qquad \qquad \cap Y \subseteq f[U]. \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.9. Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is a monotone open map and that, for each $y \in Y$, $f^{\leftarrow}(y)$ contains a point x at which X is almost rimcompact (rimcompact). Then Y is almost rimcompact (rimcompact).

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and choose $x \in f^{\leftarrow}(y)$ at which X is almost rimcompact. If K_x witnesses the fact that X is quasi-rimcompact at x, let $K_y = f[K_x]$. The set K_y is compact. If F is closed in Y and $F \cap f[K_x] = \phi$, then $f^{\leftarrow}[F]$ is a closed subset of X with $f^{\leftarrow}[F] \cap K_x = \phi$. Choose V π -open in X with $x \in V \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq X \setminus f^{\leftarrow}[F]$. Then $y \in f[V] \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_Y f[V] = \text{(by Lemma 2.7)} f[\operatorname{cl}_X V] \subseteq Y \setminus F$. Since f[V] is π -open in Y, K_y witnesses the fact that Y is quasi-rimcompact at y.

If X is rimcompact at x, then we can choose $K_x = \{x\}$, in which case $K_y = \{y\}$ and Y is rimcompact at y.

If $y \in U$ open in Y, and x is as above, $x \in f^{\leftarrow}[U]$. Choose W open in X with $x \in W$ and $\operatorname{cl}_X W$ nearly π -contained in $f^{\leftarrow}[U]$. The set f[W] is an open neighborhood of y; according to Lemma 2.8, $\operatorname{cl}_Y f[W] \subseteq U$. If V is π -open in X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta Y} f[V] &\cap (\beta Y \backslash Y) = \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[V] \cap (\beta Y \backslash Y) \text{ (by 2.7)} \\ &= f^{\beta} [\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} V] \cap (\beta Y \backslash Y) \\ &= f^{\beta} [\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X} V] \cap (\beta Y \backslash Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}W] \backslash \cup & \{f^{\beta}[\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X}V] : V\pi\text{-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_{X}V \subseteq U\} \\ & \subseteq f^{\beta}[\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X}W \backslash \cup \{\operatorname{Ex}_{\beta X}V : V\pi\text{-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_{X}V \subseteq U\}] \\ & \subseteq f^{\beta}[X] \subseteq Y \end{split}$$

(with the second inclusion following from Lemma 2.8),

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{cl}_{\beta Y} f[W] \backslash \cup & \{ \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta Y} V' : V' \pi\text{-open in } Y, \operatorname{cl}_X V' \subseteq U \} \\ &= f^{\beta} [\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \backslash \cup \{ \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta Y} V' : V' \pi\text{-open in } Y, \operatorname{cl}_X V' \subseteq U \} \\ &\subseteq f^{\beta} [\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} W] \backslash \cup \{ \operatorname{Ex}_{\beta Y} f[V] : V \pi\text{-open in } X, \operatorname{cl}_X V \subseteq f^{\leftarrow}[U] \} \\ &\subseteq f^{\beta}[X] = Y, \end{split}$$

and thus is a compact subset of Y. Then $\operatorname{cl}_Y f[W]$ is nearly π -contained in Y, and Y is quasi-rimcompact, thus almost rimcompact at y.

It is reasonably easy to build a nonrimcompact space X and a monotone open map onto a rimcompact space Y satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.9. (For instance, if Z is a connected space which is not rimcompact but has points of rimcompactness and Y is locally compact and zero-dimensional, then the projection map from $Z \times Y$ onto Y will have the desired properties. The space Z can be constructed by taking the square of a connected rimcompact space which is neither locally compact nor nowhere locally compact (see 2.3 of [5]).) Thus, Theorem 2.9 is stronger than the rimcompact version of Theorem 2.6.

Any completely regular space X can be written as the perfect image of a zero-dimensional (in fact, extremally disconnected) space [9], so that the perfect image of a rimcompact space need not be rimcompact. Also, in 3.4 of [3], a rimcompact space X, nonrimcompact space Y and monotone closed map $f: X \to Y$ are constructed. Thus, the hypotheses of monotone open in the above theorems cannot be replaced by either perfect or monotone closed.

REFERENCES

- 1. C.R. Borges, On stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 1–16.
- 2. B. Diamond, Almost rimcompact spaces, Topology Appl. 25 (1987), 81-91.

- 3. , Images and preimages of rimcompact, almost rimcompact and 0-spaces, Houston J. Math. ${\bf 16}$ (1990), 177–186.
- 4. ——, Some properties of almost rimcompact spaces, Pacific J. Math. 118 (1985), 63–77.
- 5. ——, Products of spaces with zero-dimensional remainders, Topology Proc. 9 (1984), 37–50.
- 6. T.A. Kuznetsova, Continuous mappings and bicompact extensions of topological spaces, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I. Mat. Mekh. 28 (1973), 48-53.
- 7. J.R. McCartney, Maximum zero-dimensional compactifications, Proc. Camb. Soc. 68 (1970), 653–661.
- 8. E.G. Sklyarenko, Some questions in the theory of bicompactifications, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. 58 (1966), 216-244.
- 9. D.P. Strauss, Extremally disconnected spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 305-309.

College of Charleston, Department of Mathematics, Charleston, SC $29424\hbox{-}90001$