

MULTIPLIERS OF SEQUENCE SPACES

GEORGE BRAUER

0. Introduction. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a triangular nonnegative regular summation matrix, that is, the elements a_{nk} of A satisfy the conditions

$$(1) \quad a_{nk} = 0, \quad k > n,$$

$$(2) \quad a_{nk} \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n; \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} = 1,$$

of [4, p. 43]. We denote by \tilde{m}_A the linear space of sequences $s = \{s_n\}$ such that the A -transform

$$As = \{As\}_n = \left\{ \sum_{h=0}^n a_{nh} s_h \right\}$$

is bounded. We assume also:

$$(5) \quad \text{each column of } A \text{ has at least one nonzero element.}$$

Under the semi-norms p_n, q :

$$p_n = |s_n|, \quad q = \|As\|_\infty = \text{LUB}_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} s_k \right|,$$

Received by the editors on August 8, 1989, and in revised form on October 1, 1991.

AMS *MOS Classification*. 40C05, 46A45.

This research was supported by a grant from NSFG 7686.

Copyright ©1993 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

\tilde{m}_A becomes an $F - K$ space, that is, a locally convex sequence space in which the coordinate functionals are continuous. Let $(c_0)_A$ be the closed subspace of sequences $t = \{t_n\}$ which are evaluated to 0 by A , that is, $(c_0)_A$ is the space of sequence $t = \{t_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} t_k = 0$. Under the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|_A &= \text{GLB} \{q(s+t) \mid t \in (c_0)_A\} \\ &= \text{GLB}_{t \in (c_0)_A} \text{LUB}_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} (s_k + t_k) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{m}_A / (c_0)_A$ is a Banach space which we denote by m_A . We shall not distinguish between a sequence s in \tilde{m}_A and its coset in m_A ; we shall denote both by the symbol s . We will denote the norm of a sequence in m_A by $\|s\|_A$ or simply by $\|s\|$ when the meaning is clear.

Evidently, $\|s\|_A \geq \limsup |As|$. We will show that equality holds. There is an integer n_0 such that for each positive number ε $|(As)_n| < \limsup |As| + \varepsilon$ when $n > n_0$. Let the sequence t be defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= s_n, & n \leq n_0, \\ t_n &= 0, & n > n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since t is a null sequence, t is in $(c_0)_A$. Hence $t = 0$ and $s - t = s$ in m_A . Also

$$\begin{aligned} q(s-t) &= \text{LUB}_{n > n_0} \left| \sum_{k > n_0} a_{nk} (s_k - t_k) \right| \\ &\leq \limsup As + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

(note that $(A(s-t))_n = 0$ for $n \leq n_0$). Since ε is arbitrary $\|s\|_A \leq \limsup |As|$. Thus

$$\|s\|_A = \limsup As.$$

This formula will be used in the sequel.

We study the space $M(A)$ of multipliers of the space m_A into itself, that is, the space of sequences $\mu = \{\mu_n\}$ such that if $s = \{s_n\}$ is a sequence in m_A then the sequence μs is in m_A ; and, moreover, if $s = 0$ in m_A , then $\mu s = 0$ in m_A , that is, whenever the matrix A evaluates the sequence s to 0, then it evaluates the sequence μs to 0. We will assume throughout that the matrix A satisfies conditions (1)–(5).

The space $M(A)$ is a commutative normed ring of operators on m_A with the usual operator norm

$$\|\mu\| = \text{LUB}_{\|s\|_A \leq 1} \|\mu s\|_A.$$

Two operators μ and μ' are identified in $M(A)$ if $\|\mu - \mu'\| = 0$, that is, if the matrix A evaluates each sequence $\{(\mu_n - \mu'_n)s_n\}$ with s in m_A to 0.

It follows from the uniform limitedness theorem that if μ is in $M(A)$, then $\|\mu\| < \infty$.

It will be seen that if μ is in $M(A)$, then the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is bounded. As a bounded continuous function on the discrete space N of natural numbers the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ has a continuous extension to βN , the Stone-Ćech compactification of N . We will say a few words about the Stone-Ćech compactification. A completely regular space X can be imbedded densely in a compact space βX such that every bounded continuous function on X has a continuous extension to βX ; for a description of the Stone-Ćech compactification we refer the reader to [3, pp. 82–93]. If μ is a sequence in $M(A)$, thus a bounded continuous function on N , we denote by μ^β its continuous extension to βN ; if ν is a point in βN , the symbol μ_ν^β will express the fact that the function μ^β is evaluated at ν . If E is a subset of N the symbol E^β will denote the intersection of the closure of the set E in βN with $\beta N - N$.

For many matrices we will find that the condition:

$$(*) \quad \text{if } \mu \text{ is in } M(A) \text{ and } \text{GLB}|\mu_n| > 0, \text{ then } 1/\mu \text{ is in } M(A)$$

holds. If $(*)$ holds, then we can give some information regarding the maximal ideal space $\Delta(A)$ of $M(A)$. We recall that $\Delta(A)$ is defined to be the space of continuous homomorphisms of $M(A)$ into the complex numbers. If h is such a homomorphism and μ is in $M(A)$, we let $\hat{\mu}(h) = h(\mu)$; $\Delta(A)$ is the space of these homomorphisms h with the weakest topology which makes all functions $\hat{\mu}$, with μ in $M(A)$, continuous. In other words, $\Delta(A)$, as a subset of the unit sphere of the dual of $M(A)$, is given the weak $*$ topology. For more information on maximal ideal spaces we refer the reader to [5, pp. 50–51]. If $(*)$ holds, then $\Delta(A) = \beta N - N$ with two points ν_1, ν_2 of $\beta N - N$ identified if and only if $\mu_{\nu_1}^\beta = \mu_{\nu_2}^\beta$ for all μ in $M(A)$ and with $\beta N - N$ given the weakest topology making all functions μ^β continuous.

In case A is a normal matrix, that is, A is triangular with no zero elements on the main diagonal, then A has a reciprocal A^{-1} . In this case the sequence μ is in $M(A)$ if and only if the matrix $T = A\tilde{\mu}A^{-1} = (t_{nk})$ is regular on null sequences, that is, if T evaluates each null sequence to zero, where $\tilde{\mu}$ denotes the diagonal matrix with the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ on its main diagonal. In order that the matrix $T = (t_{nk})$ be regular on null sequences it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n,k} = 0$$

$$\|T\| = \limsup \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |t_{nk}| < \infty.$$

In fact $\|\mu\| = \|T\|$. The elements μ in $M(A)$ such that the matrix $T = A\tilde{\mu}A^{-1}$ is regular form an Abelian semigroup \mathcal{S} . In [1] at the suggestion of Professor George Piranian I studied \mathcal{S} for various Hausdorff matrices A ; here we will rarely deal with \mathcal{S} .

Section 1. In this section we obtain some conditions on sequences μ in $M(A)$ and draw conclusions about the maximal ideal space $\Delta(A)$. We begin with some general remarks about $\Delta(A)$. If $M(A)$ contains idempotents other than the zero and the unit element, then $M(A)$ is not connected. More generally, suppose that condition (*) holds for a matrix A ; for two infinite subsets E_1 and E_2 of N the sets E_1^β and E_2^β are separated in $\Delta(A)$ if and only if $\text{GLB}_{\mu \in M(A), \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \beta N - N} |\mu_{\nu_1}^\beta - \mu_{\nu_2}^\beta| > 0$. If (*) holds for the matrix A and $\Delta\mu_n = o(1)$ for each sequence μ in $M(A)$, then $\Delta(A)$ is connected; if μ_n converges for each $\mu \in M(A)$, then $\Delta(A)$ is a point.

Theorem 1.1. *If μ is in $M(A)$, then the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is bounded and $\|\mu\| \geq \limsup |\mu_n|$.*

Proof. We show first that if μ is a multiplier on \tilde{m}_A , then the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is bounded. For each m let $\delta^{(m)}$ denote the sequence with m th entry 1, all others 0, that is,

$$\delta_m^{(m)} = 1, \quad \delta_n^{(m)} = 0, \quad n \neq m.$$

The collection of sequences $\{\delta^{(m)}/\|A\delta^{(m)}\|_\infty\}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$ is a bounded set in \tilde{m}_A . If μ is a multiplier on \tilde{m}_A , then the collection

of sequences $\{\mu_n(\delta^{(m)})_n/\|A\delta^{(m)}\|_\infty\}$ is bounded in \tilde{m}_A , that is, there is a number M , such that for all m

$$q(\mu_n(\delta^{(m)})_n/\|A\delta^{(m)}\|_\infty) \leq M$$

or

$$\text{LUB}_{m,n}(|\mu_n|a_{nm}/\text{LUB}_j a_{jm}) \leq M.$$

Thus, if μ is a multiplier on \tilde{m}_A , μ is bounded. The same holds if μ is a multiplier on m_A .

To show that $\|\mu\| \geq \limsup |\mu_n|$ it suffices to show that if λ is a cluster value of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$, then λ is an eigenvalue of the operator μ . If λ is a cluster value of $\{\mu_n\}$, then there exists a sequence of integers $\{n_j\}$ increasing to infinity such that μ_{n_j} tends to λ ; moreover, by passing to a subsequence if necessary we may take the numbers $\{n_j\}$ so that $\sum_j |\mu_{n_j} - \lambda| < \infty$. Let $y^{(n_j)}$ denote the sequence $A\delta^{(n_j)}/\|A\delta^{(n_j)}\|_\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. If the numbers n_j are chosen so that, moreover,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{r < j} a_{n,n_r}/\|A\delta^{(n_r)}\|_\infty < 1/j$$

for $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$, then the series $\sum_{j=1}^\infty y^{(n_j)}$ converges elementwise to a sequence y in $m - c_0$, that is, y is a bounded nonnull sequence. The sequence $z = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \delta^{(n_j)}/\|A\delta^{(n_j)}\|_\infty$ is in $m_A - (c_0)_A$. On the other hand, the sequence $(\mu - \lambda)z$ is in $(c_0)_A$; it is equal to the zero element in m_A . This shows that λ is an eigenvalue of the operator μ regarded as an operator on m_A . This completes the proof. \square

Suppose that the matrix A has a reciprocal A^{-1} . If μ is in \mathcal{S} and 0 is a cluster value of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$, then the matrix $A\tilde{\mu}A^{-1}$ evaluates a bounded divergent sequence, namely, the sequence Az for the sequence z of the preceding paragraph. On the other hand, if the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is bounded away from 0 and (*) holds, then $1/\mu$ is in $M(A)$, that is, $\|T^{-1}\| = \|A\tilde{\mu}^{-1}A^{-1}\| < \infty$ and the matrix T transforms no unbounded sequence into a bounded sequence. A theorem of Darevsky [2] asserts that if a regular summation matrix evaluates a divergent sequence, then it evaluates an unbounded sequence. Hence, if (*) holds, μ is in \mathcal{S} and μ_n is bounded away from zero, then the matrix T evaluates precisely the convergent sequences.

Theorem 1.2. *If the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ has a reciprocal and $\mu \in M(A)$, then*

$$\|\mu\| \geq \limsup |\mu_n| + a_{n,n-1} |\Delta\mu_{n-1}| / a_{n-1,n-1}.$$

In particular, if $\mu \in M(A)$, then

$$\Delta\mu_n = 0(a_{n,n}/a_{n+1,n}).$$

Here $\Delta\mu_n$ denotes the difference $\mu_n - \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof. If we denote the matrix A^{-1} by (α_{nk}) , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n,n} &= 1/a_{n,n}, \\ \alpha_{n,n-1} &= -a_{n,n-1}/a_{n,n}a_{n-1,n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the matrix $A\tilde{\mu}A^{-1}$ by $T = (t_{nk})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n,n-1} &= a_{n,n-1}\mu_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1,n-1} + a_{n,n}\mu_n\alpha_{n,n-1} \\ &= a_{n,n-1}\Delta\mu_{n-1}/a_{n-1,n-1} \\ t_{n,n} &= \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\mu\| = \|T\| \geq \limsup |t_{n,n}| + |t_{n,n-1}|$ the result follows. \square

Theorem 1.2 shows that in general not every bounded sequence is in $M(A)$. For example, for the Euler Knopp matrix E_α , where α is a number in $(0, 1)$, with elements l_{nk} given by the equations

$$l_{nk} = \binom{n}{k} \alpha^k (1 - \alpha)^{n-k}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

Theorem 1.2 shows that if $\mu \in M(E_\alpha)$ then $\Delta\mu_n = 0(1/n)$. Consequently, if (*) holds for the Euler Knopp matrix E_α , then $\Delta(E_\alpha)$ is connected. More generally, if A is a Hausdorff matrix with elements a_{nk} given by the equations

$$a_{nk} = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 u^k (1 - u)^{n-k} d\chi(u),$$

where χ is a nondecreasing function on $[0, 1]$ such that $\chi(0) = \chi(0+) = 0$, $\chi(1) = 1$, then A satisfies our hypotheses; if, moreover, $\chi(u) = \chi(1) = 1$ on some interval $1 - \delta \leq u \leq 1$ with $\delta > 0$, then we see that $a_{n,n-1} \geq n\delta a_{n-1,n-1}$. In this case, by Theorem 1.2, $\Delta\mu_n = 0(1/n)$ for all μ in $M(A)$; if (*) holds for A , then $\Delta(A)$ is connected.

If the matrix A evaluates only convergent sequences, then, as is easily seen, $M(A)$ is the set of bounded sequences and $\Delta(A)$ is the totally disconnected space $\beta N - N$. This situation holds for some matrices A which evaluate some (indeed very few) divergent sequences.

For a matrix A , c_A denotes the convergence field of A , that is, the set of sequence s such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} s_k$$

exists.

Theorem 1.3. *In order that $M(A)$ consist of the set of bounded sequences it is necessary and sufficient that*

(a) *every sequence s in m_A satisfy the condition*

$$\limsup \sum_{k=0}^n |a_{nk} s_k| < \infty,$$

and

(b) *every sequence t in c_A satisfy the condition*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} |t_k - \tau| = 0$$

for some complex number τ .

Of course, τ is the number to which the matrix A evaluates the sequence t .

Proof. Let $b_{nk} = a_{nk} s_k$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, where s is a sequence in m_A . The sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k s_k \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} \mu_k \right\}$$

is bounded for each bounded sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^n |b_{nk}|$ is bounded, that is, if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^n |a_{nk}s_k|$ is bounded. Now suppose that $t = 0$ in m_A . We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k t_k = 0$$

for all bounded sequences μ if and only if condition (b) holds for $\tau = 0$. But condition (b) holds if and only if it holds with $\tau = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.4. *Let E be an infinite subset of N . Then E^β is separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$ if and only if for every sequence s in m_A ,*

$$(a) \quad \limsup \left| \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} s_k \right| < \infty,$$

and for every sequence t in $(c_0)_A$

$$(b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} t_k = 0.$$

If (*) holds and there exists a real sequence $u \in m_A$ satisfying the conditions

$$(a') \quad \begin{aligned} &u_n \geq 0 \text{ when } n \text{ is in } E, \\ &u_n < 0 \text{ when } n \text{ is in } N - E, \\ &\limsup \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} u_k = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

or a sequence v in $(c_0)_A$ such that

$$(b') \quad \begin{aligned} &v_n \geq 0 \text{ when } n \text{ is in } E, \\ &v_n < 0 \text{ when } n \text{ is in } N - E, \\ &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} v_k > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then E^β is not separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$.

Proof. If every real sequence s in m_A satisfies condition (a), then $s1_E$ is in m_A whenever s is in m_A . (By 1_E we understand the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ with μ_n equal to 1 or 0 according to whether n is or is not in E .) If every sequence t in $(c_0)_A$ satisfies condition (b), then $t1_E$ is in $(c_0)_A$ whenever t is in $(c_0)_A$. Hence $1_E \in M(A)$ and E^β is separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$.

To show that E^β is not separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ we need only show that $\text{GLB}_{\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \beta N - N, \nu_1 \neq \nu_2} |\mu_{\nu_1}^\beta - \mu_{\nu_2}^\beta| = 0$ for every real sequence μ in $M(A)$. If there is a sequence u in $M(A)$ such that (a') holds, then for every real sequence $\mu = \{\mu_n\}$ such that for some positive number δ , $\mu_n \geq \delta$ when n is in E , $\mu_n < 0$ when n is in $N - E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k u_k \right| &\geq \limsup \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} \mu_k u_k \\ &\geq \delta \limsup \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} u_k = \infty; \end{aligned}$$

hence $\mu \notin M(A)$. If there exists a sequence v , in $(c_0)_A$ which is nonnegative on E , negative on $N - E$, for which (b') holds, then

$$\limsup \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k v_k \right| \geq \delta \limsup \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} v_k > 0$$

by (b'). Thus $v = 0$ in m_A , but $\mu v \neq 0$. Hence $\mu \notin M(A)$. Since δ is an arbitrary positive number E^β is not separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$. \square

Corollary. Suppose that (*) holds. If E is a subset of N such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk}$$

exists and is different from 0 and 1, then E^β is not separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$.

We will say that the summation matrix A includes the summation matrix B if and only if every sequence evaluated by B is evaluated by A to the same value.

Suppose that $(*)$ holds for two matrices A and B satisfying our hypotheses and that A includes B . It is not true, in general, that the identity map of $\beta N - N$ induces a continuous map of $\Delta(B)$ into $\Delta(A)$; for a simple example we take A as the Cesàro matrix of order 1 with elements given by the equations

$$a_{nk} = 1/(n+1), \quad k \leq n, \quad a_{nk} = 0, \quad k > n.$$

The elements of α_{nk} of A^{-1} are given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n,n} &= n+1, & \alpha_{n,n-1} &= -n, \\ \alpha_{nk} &= 0, & k &\neq n, k \neq n-1 \end{aligned}$$

and the elements t_{nk} of the matrix $A\tilde{\mu}A^{-1}$ are given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n,k} &= (k+1)\Delta\mu_k/(n+1), & 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ t_{nn} &= \mu_n, & t_{n,k} = 0, & k > n. \end{aligned}$$

For B we take the Nörlund matrix, with elements b_{nk} given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,n} &= b_{n,n-1} = 1/2, & n \geq 1, \\ b_{n,k} &= 0, & k \neq n, k \neq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

The elements β_{nk} of the matrix B^{-1} are given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{nk} &= 2(-1)^{n-k}, & k \leq n \\ \beta_{n,k} &= 0, & k > n, n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

while the elements (s_{nk}) of the matrix $S = B\tilde{\mu}B^{-1}$ are given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n,k} &= (-1)^{n-k-1}\Delta\mu_{n-1}, & k < n \\ s_{n,n} &= \mu_n \\ s_{n,k} &= 0, & k > n. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix T is regular on null sequence if and only if the quantities $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)|\Delta\mu_k|/(n+1) + |\mu_n|$ are bounded; this condition can

be satisfied by many divergent sequences of zeros and ones. Thus $M(A)$ contains divergent sequences of zeros and ones and $\Delta(A)$ is not connected. On the other hand, if the matrix S is regular on null sequences, then $\Delta\mu_n = O(1/n)$, hence $\Delta(B)$ is connected (in fact $\Delta(B)$ is a nontrivial continuum). Hence, the identity map of $\Delta(B)$ into $\Delta(A)$ is not continuous. On the other hand, AB^{-1} is a regular matrix, that is, the matrix A includes the matrix B .

Conjecture. *Suppose that (*) holds for two matrices A and B satisfying our hypotheses, that A includes B , and that whenever A evaluates a real sequence to its limit superior or to its limit inferior, then B evaluates the sequence to the same number. Then the identity map of $\beta N - N$ into itself induces a continuous map of $\Delta(B)$ into $\Delta(A)$.*

For the conjecture to hold, two points ν_1, ν_2 of $\beta N - N$ which are identified in $\Delta(B)$ must be identified in $\Delta(A)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\{\omega_n\}$ be a sequence which increases to infinity. If the matrix A has the property that all sequences s in m_A satisfy the condition*

$$(7) \quad s_n = O(\omega_n),$$

while all sequences t in $(c_0)_A$ satisfy the condition

$$(8) \quad t_n = o(\omega_n),$$

then all sequences μ such that $\mu_n - L = O(1/\omega_n)$ for some number L are in $M(A)$.

Proof. The constant sequence $\{L, L, \dots\}$ is in $M(A)$. Let $\varepsilon_n = L - \mu_n$; we must show that $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_n\}$ is in $M(A)$. If $s \in m_A$, then $s_n = O(\omega_n)$ and since $\varepsilon_n = O(1/\omega_n)$, the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n s_n\}$ is bounded; hence $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \varepsilon_k s_k$ is bounded. Also if a sequence t is in $(c_0)_A$, then by (8), $t_n = o(\omega_n)$ and hence $\{\varepsilon_n t_n\}$ is a null sequence and consequently in $(c_0)_A$. Consequently, A evaluates $\{\varepsilon_n t_n\}$ to 0. Consequently, ε is in $M(A)$. This completes the proof. \square

We note that if μ_n is bounded away from 0 then $1/\mu_n - 1/L = 0(1/\omega_n)$ and thus $1/\mu \in M(A)$.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that the matrix A has a reciprocal $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{nk})$ with the property that α_{nk} is nonnegative or nonpositive according to whether $n - k$ is even or odd. Then if μ is a real sequence in $M(A)$ which is bounded away from 0 and such that for some number L , μ_n is alternately not less than L and not greater than L , then $1/\mu$ is in $M(A)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_n\}$ denote the sequence $\{\mu_n - L\}$. The numbers ε_n alternate between being nonnegative and being nonpositive. The elements of the matrix $A\tilde{\varepsilon}A^{-1} = S = (s_{nk})$ have the property

$$|s_{nk}| = \left| \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \varepsilon_j \alpha_{jk} \right| = \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} |\varepsilon_j| |\alpha_{jk}|.$$

If $\mu \in M(A)$, then since the constant sequence $\{L, L, \dots\}$ is in $M(A)$, $\varepsilon \in M(A)$, that is, the matrix S is regular on the space of null sequences. Hence

$$(9) \quad \lim s_{nk} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$(10) \quad \|\varepsilon\| = \|S\| = \limsup \sum_{k=0}^n |s_{nk}| < \infty.$$

Since μ_n never vanishes, $1/\mu_n$ exists for all n and it is equal to $1/(L + \varepsilon_n)$; we denote this quantity by δ_n . We denote the matrix $T^{-1} = A(1/\mu)A^{-1} = A\tilde{\delta}A^{-1}$ by (τ_{nk}) ; we have, for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{nk} &= \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \alpha_{jk} / (L + \varepsilon_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \alpha_{jk} (1/L - \varepsilon_j / L(L + \varepsilon_j)) \\ &= - \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \varepsilon_j \alpha_{jk} / L\mu_j \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \alpha_{jk} = 0$ for $k < n$. Let $\text{GLB}|\mu_n|$ be denoted by η ; $\eta > 0$. For $k < n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{nk}| &\leq \left| \sum_{j=k}^n a_{nj} \varepsilon_j \alpha_{jk} \right| / |L| \eta \\ &\leq |s_{nk}| / |L| \eta. \end{aligned}$$

By (9), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{nk} = 0$ for each k . Also

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |\tau_{nk}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |s_{nk}| / |L| \eta + 1 / \eta.$$

Thus $\|T\| \leq \|S\| / |L| \eta < \infty$ and $1/\mu \in M(A)$. \square

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that there exist two infinite disjoint subsets E_1 and E_2 of N and two sequences $\{n_j\}$ and $\{k_j\}$ of integers increasing to infinity such that $n_j \leq k_j < n_{j+1}$ and*

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\max_{n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}, k \in E_1 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} a_{nk} \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \\ &\left| \sum_{\substack{k \in E_1 \\ k_j \leq k < k_{j+1}}} a_{nk} - \sum_{\substack{k \in E_2 \\ k_j \leq k < k_{j+1}}} a_{nk} \right| \leq \varepsilon_j \sum_{\substack{k \in E_1 \\ k_j \leq k < k_{j+1}}} a_{nk}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{k \in E_1 \cup E_2 \\ k_q \leq k < k_{q+1}}} a_{nk} / \max_{n_q \leq m < n_{q+1}} \sum_{\substack{k \in E_1 \cup E_2 \\ k_q \leq k < k_{q+1}}} a_{nk} < \varepsilon'_j$$

for $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ and $\{\varepsilon'_j\}$ are positive null sequences. Then if $\mu \in M(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{k \in E_\alpha \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_k - \min_{k' \in E_\beta \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_{k'} &\geq 0 \\ \alpha, \beta &= 1, 2, \quad \alpha \neq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let A_j denote the quantity $\max \sum_{k \in E_j \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} a_{nk}$, where the maximum is over all n in $[n_j, n_{j+1}]$. We note that all A_j are nonzero.

We define the sequence s by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= 1/A_j && \text{if } k \in E_1, \\ s_k &= -1/A_j && \text{if } k \in E_2, \\ s_k &= 0 && \text{if } k \notin E_1 \cup E_2. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $s \in (c_0)_A$, i.e., $s = 0$ in m_A . For $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k s_k \right| &\geq \left| \sum_{k \in E_1 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} a_{nk} \mu_k / A_j \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \sum_{k' \in E_2 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} a_{nk'} \mu_{k'} / A_j \right| + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

If n is in $[n_j, n_{j+1}]$ and maximizes $\sum_{k \in E_2 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} a_{nk}$ over this interval, then by (11)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k s_k \geq \min_{k \in E_1 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_k - \max_{k' \in E_2 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_{k'} - o(1).$$

If

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\max_{k' \in E_2 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_{k'} - \min_{k \in E_1 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_k \right) < 0,$$

then $|\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k s_k|$ is greater than some positive constant η , for some value of n between n_j and n_{j+1} for arbitrarily large values of j ; thus $\|\mu s\| \geq \eta$ although $s = 0$. This contradicts the fact that μ is in $M(A)$. A similar argument rules out

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\max_{k' \in E_1 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_{k'} - \min_{k \in E_2 \cap [k_j, k_{j+1}]} \mu_k \right) < 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary. *If there exist sequences of integers $\{n_j\}$, $\{k_j\}$, $\{k'_j\}$ with $n_j > k'_j > k_j > n_{j-1}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, each sequence increasing to infinity, and*

$$\max_{n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}} a_{n, k_j} \neq 0, \quad \max_{n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}} a_{n, k'_j} \neq 0$$

$$\sum_{q \leq j-1} a_{n,k_q} / \max_{n_q \leq m < n_{q+1}} a_{m,k_q} + \sum_{q \leq j-1} a_{n,k_{q'}} / \max_{n \leq m < n_{q+1}} a_{m,k_q} = o(1)$$

and

$$|a_{n,k_q} - a_{n,k_{q'}}| \leq \varepsilon_j a_{n,k_q} \quad n_j \leq n < n_{j+1},$$

where $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ is a decreasing null sequence, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{k_j} - \mu_{k_{j'}} = 0$.

Hence the sets $\{k_j\}^\beta, \{k_{j'}\}^{(\beta)}$ coincide in $\Delta(A)$.

Theorem 1.8. Suppose that $E = \{n_j\}$ is a sequence of natural numbers increasing to infinity in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}} a_{n,n_j} \neq 0, \\ & \sum_{n < j} a_{n,n_r} / \max_{n_j \leq m < n_{j+1}} a_{m,n_r} = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$, and that every sequence s in m_A satisfies the condition

$$s_{n_j} = O\left(1 / \max_{n_j \leq m < n_{j+1}} a_{m,n_j}\right)$$

while every sequence t in $(c_0)_A$ satisfies the condition

$$t_{n_j} = o\left(1 / \max_{n_j \leq m < n_{j+1}} a_{m,n_j}\right).$$

Then E^β is separated from $(N - E)^\beta$ in $\Delta(A)$. If $E^\beta \neq \beta N - N$, then $\Delta(A)$ is not connected. If $(*)$ holds, then E^β is open and closed in $\Delta(A)$.

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 1.3 since every sequence s in m_A satisfies

$$\limsup \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk} s_k| < \infty$$

while every sequence t in $(c_0)_A$ satisfies

$$\lim \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} |t_k| = 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that 1_E is in $M(A)$. If $E^\beta \neq \beta N - N$, then $\Delta(A)$ is not connected. Our remarks at the beginning of this section show that if (*) holds E^β is open and closed in $\Delta(A)$.

We apply Theorem 1.8 to show that $\Delta(\overline{N}, p)$ is not connected, where (\overline{N}, p) denotes the weighted means matrix generated by a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of positive numbers. This matrix (\overline{N}, p) has elements a_{nk} given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{nk} &= p_k/P_n, & k \leq n, \\ a_{nk} &= 0, & k > n. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_k/P_n = 0$; then the elements of (\overline{N}, p_n) satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5). Also the reciprocal $(\overline{N}, p_n)^{-1}$ exists. By considering the matrix $(N, p_n)^{-1}$ we see that each sequence s in $m_{(\overline{N}, p_n)}$ satisfies the condition $s_n = O(P_n/p_n)$ while each sequence t in $(c_0)_{(\overline{N}, p_n)}$ satisfies the condition $t_n = o(P_n/p_n)$. Since a set $E = \{n_j\} \neq N$ can be chosen so as to satisfy the conditions stated in Theorem 1.8, we conclude that $\Delta(\overline{N}, p_n)$ is not connected for each weighted means matrix with $p_n > 0$ for all n and $P_n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the case $p_n = 1$ for all n , the weighted means matrix reduces to the Cesàro matrix of order 1, $C^{(1)}$. We have seen earlier that (*) holds for $C^{(1)}$ and that $\Delta(C^{(1)})$ is not connected.

We apply Theorem 1.8 to certain Nörlund matrices (N, p) , where $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers, $p_0 \neq 0$, $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$. The elements of the matrix (N, p) are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} a_{nk} &= p_{n-k}/P_n, & k \leq n, \\ a_{nk} &= 0, & k > n, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k$. We will always assume that p_n/P_n tends to 0 as n tends to infinity; the Nörlund matrix (N, p) then satisfies conditions (1)–(5).

The elements α_{nk} of $(N, p)^{-1}$ are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{nk} &= P_k q_{n-k}, & k \leq n, \\ \alpha_{nk} &= 0, & k > n, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, $q(z) = 1/p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n$. The elements t_{nk} of $(N, p)\tilde{\mu}(N, p)^{-1}$ are given by the formulas

$$t_{nk} = \left(\sum_{j=k}^n p_{n-j} \mu_j q_{j-k} \right) P_k / P_n, \quad \text{if } k \leq n,$$

$$t_{nk} = 0, \quad \text{if } k > n.$$

We first consider Nörlund matrices where $P_n \rightarrow \infty$. Every sequence s in m_A satisfies the condition

$$s_n = O\left(\sum_{k=0}^n P_k |q_{n-k}| \right).$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n-k} = 0$ for each k , then every sequence in $(c_0)_{(N, p)}$ satisfies the condition

$$t_n = o\left(\sum_{k=0}^n P_k |q_{n-k}| \right).$$

These facts can be deduced by observing the matrix $(N, p)^{-1}$. Hence, in the case $P_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n-k} = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, if there exists a sequence of integers $\{n_j\}$ increasing to infinity in such a way that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_j} P_k |q_{n_j-k}| = O(P_{n_j}/p_{n_j})$$

then by Theorem 1.8, $\Delta(N, p_n)$ is not connected.

In the case $p_r = \binom{r+m-1}{r}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$; thus $p(z) = (1-z)^{-m}$ and we obtain the Cesàro matrix of order m . If m is an integer greater than 1, then by calculating the matrix $C_m \tilde{\mu} C_m^{-1}$ we can show that $\Delta \mu_n = O(1/n)$ for all μ in $M(C^{(m)})$, and hence $\Delta(C^{(m)})$ is connected if $m > 1$. \square

We consider Nörlund matrices (N, p) in the case where P_n is bounded; without loss of generality we may take P_n tending to 1. If $p(z)$ has no zeros in or on the boundary of the unit disc D , then, by a famous theorem of Wiener, $q(z) = 1/p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n$ has the property that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |q_n| < \infty$; hence $\|(N, p)^{-1}\| < \infty$. The matrix (N, P) evaluates

no unbounded sequences; by Darevsky's theorem this matrix evaluates no divergent sequences. For this reason we restrict attention to zeros of $p(z)$ in \bar{D} . We note also that if $p(z_0) = 0$ for some point $z_0 \neq 0$, then the matrix (N, p) evaluates the sequence $\{z_0^{-n}\}$ to 0.

Theorem 1.9. *If the polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^r p_j z^j$ has no zero coefficients and all zeros of $p(z)$ are distinct and on the boundary ∂D of the unit disc D and μ is in $M(N, p)$, then $\Delta\mu_n = o(1)$ and consequently $\Delta(N, p)$ is a continuum. If the polynomial $p(z)$ has no zero coefficients all its r zeros are distinct and interior to D and μ is in $\Delta(N, p)$, then $\Delta\mu_n = O(\alpha^n)$, where α is the largest modulus of the zeros of $p(z)$; consequently in this case $\Delta(N, p)$ is a point.*

Proof. Suppose first that $p(z)$ has zeros z_1, \dots, z_r with $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $|z_j| = 1$ for all j . For each j let the sequence $s^{(j)}$ be defined by the equations:

$$s_n^{(j)} = z_j^{-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Each sequence $s^{(j)}$ is in $(c_0)_{(N, p_n)}$. If $\mu \in M(N, p)$, then the numbers μ_{n-m} , $m = 0, 1, \dots, r$ must satisfy the equations

$$(13) \quad p_r z_j^r \mu_{n-r} + \dots + p_1 z_j \mu_{n-1} + p_0 \mu_n = o(1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

and since $p_0 = -p_1 z_j - p_2 z_j^2 + \dots + p_r z_j^r$ for each j , the numbers μ_m must satisfy the conditions

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} p_r z_j^r (\mu_n - \mu_{n-r}) + p_{r-1} z_j^{r-1} (\mu_n - \mu_{n-r-1}) \\ + p_1 z_j (\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The absolute value of the determinant of the equations (14), with the numbers $\mu_n - \mu_{n-m}$ considered as unknowns is $C \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq r, i \neq j} |z_i - z_j|$ for some positive constant C . Hence $\Delta\mu_n = o(1)$ if all points z_j are on ∂D ; consequently, $\Delta(N, p)$ is a continuum which may be a point.

If the zeros of $p(z)$, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r are interior to D and $\mu \in M(A)$, we again obtain (13), and in this case it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_r z_j^r (\mu_n - \mu_{n-r}) + p_{r-1} z_j^{(r-1)} (\mu_n - \mu_{n-r-1}) + p_1 z_j (\mu_n - \mu_{n-r-1}) \\ = o(\alpha^n), \end{aligned}$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\alpha = \max |z_i|$. The determinant of this system, again with the numbers $\mu_n - \mu_{n-m}$ as unknowns, is equal to some positive constant, since the numbers z_i are distinct. It follows that in this case $\Delta \mu_{n-1} = \mu_{n-1} - \mu_n = o(\alpha^n)$, and hence $\{\mu_n\}$ must converge if μ is in $M(A)$. This completes the proof. \square

In the case $p_0 = \alpha, p_1 = 1 - \alpha, p_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2, p(z) = \alpha + (1 - \alpha)z$. If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) are satisfied. The function $p(z)$ has $-\alpha/(1 - \alpha)$ as its only zero. If $\alpha > 1/2, p(z)$ has no zeros in \bar{D} , $M(N, p)$ is l^∞/c_0 and $\Delta(N, p) = \beta N - N$. If $\alpha = 1/2$ we found that $\Delta(N, p)$ is a nontrivial continuum. If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ it follows from Theorem 1.9 that $\Delta(N, p_n)$ is a point.

It is clear that (*) holds for all Nörlund matrices of the form (N, p) with $p(z) = \alpha + (1 - \alpha)z, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

2. Nilpotents in $M(A)$. Only if the hypotheses (a) and (b) in Theorem 1.3 are fulfilled have we been able to show that $M(A)$ is semi-simple. In general, the ring $M(A)$ contains nontrivial nilpotents. For example, if μ is a null sequence in $M(\bar{N}, p)$, where $M(\bar{N}, p)$ is a weighted means matrix satisfying our assumptions, then $\mu^2 = 0$. Since for matrices (\bar{N}, p) of the form that we have considered, $M(\bar{N}, p)$ contains null sequences which are not equal to the zero element, $M(\bar{N}, p)$ is not semi-simple; in particular, this holds for the Cesàro matrix of order 1. Also for each Nörlund matrix (N, p) with $p_0 = \alpha, p_1 = 1 - \alpha, p_n = 0, n \geq 2$, where α is a constant in $(0, 1/2]$, $M(N, p)$ contains elements $\mu \neq 0$ such that $\mu^2 = 0$. Our general result is:

Theorem 2.1. *If $\{\omega_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers increasing to infinity such that each sequence s in m_A satisfies the conditions $s_n = O(\omega_n)$ while each sequence t in $(c_0)_A$ satisfies the condition $t_n = o(\omega_n)$ and there is a sequence u in $m_A, u \neq 0$ such that $|u_n| \geq \eta \omega_n$ when n is in a subset E of N such that*

$$\sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} \geq \lambda,$$

where η and λ are positive constants, then $M(A)$ contains elements μ such that $\mu \neq 0, \mu^2 = 0$.

Proof. Let the sequence μ be defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \operatorname{sgn} u_n / \omega_n, & n \in E, \\ \mu_n &= 0 & n \notin E.\end{aligned}$$

Then μ is in $M(A)$, and for each s in m_A

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \mu_k^2 s_k \right| &\leq \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} |\mu_k^2 s_k| \\ &\leq M \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} (1/\omega_k)^2 \omega_k \\ &\leq M \sum_{k \in E} a_{nk} / \omega_k,\end{aligned}$$

where M is a positive constant. The last sum tends to 0 because $\{1/\omega_n\}$ is a null sequence and the matrix A is regular. Hence $\mu^2 s = 0$ for all s in m_A , thus $\mu^2 = 0$. But $\|\mu u\|_A \geq \lambda \eta$, hence $\mu \neq 0$.

In the case of the Euler Knopp matrix E_α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, we see, by considering the elements of E_α^{-1} that every sequence s in m_{E_α} must satisfy the condition $s_n = O((2 - \alpha)/\alpha)^n$ while every sequence t in $(c_0)_{E_\alpha}$ must satisfy the condition $t_n = o((2 - \alpha)/\alpha)^n$. Also the sequence $\{(\alpha - 2)/\alpha\}^n$ is transformed into the sequence $(-1)^n$ by E_α ; thus, the sequence $\{(\alpha - 2)/\alpha\}^n$ is a nonzero element of m_{E_α} . By Theorem 2.1, $M(E_\alpha)$ contains elements $\mu \neq 0$ such that $\mu^2 = 0$.

In the case of the Nörlund matrix (N, p) with $P_n = 1$ where the polynomial $p(z)$ has only simple zeros $z_1 \cdots z_r$, all on ∂D , we see that the Taylor coefficients of $1/p(z)$ are bounded and hence the elements of $(N, p)^{-1}$ are bounded. We see that every sequence s in $m(N, p)$ must satisfy the condition $s_n = O(n)$ while every sequence t in $(c_0)_{(N, p)}$ must satisfy the condition $t_N = o(n)$. Also the sequence $\{nz_0^{-n}\}$, where z_0 is a zero of $p(z)$, is in $m_{(N, p)} - (c_0)_{(N, p)}$. It follows that $M(N, p)$ contains elements μ such that $\mu \neq 0$, $\mu^2 = 0$. \square

Conjecture. *If $M(A)$ is semi-simple and (*) holds for the matrix A , then $\Delta(A)$ is totally disconnected.*

Acknowledgment. I am indebted to Professor Jesús Gil de La Madrid and Professor Wilhelm Klingenberg for many helpful suggestions. I am indebted also to the referee for his suggestions concerning

the definition of the space m_A and a simplification of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

1. G. Brauer, *Some summation matrices of Hausdorff type*, Math. Z. **67** (1957), 397–403.
2. V.M. Darevsky, *On intrinsically perfect methods of summation*, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Ser. Math. (Izvestia Akad Nauk SSSR) **10** (1946), 97–104.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
4. G.H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford, New York, 1949.
5. L.H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1953.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS,
MINNESOTA 55455