

ON EQUIVALENT CHARACTERIZATIONS OF WEAKLY
COMPACTLY GENERATED BANACH SPACES

M. FABIAN AND J.H.M. WHITFIELD

1. Introduction. Let us consider the following nice

Theorem. *For a Banach space V the following assertions are equivalent*

- (a) *V is weakly compactly generated (w.c.g.),*
- (b) *V is GSG and simultaneously a Vařák (i.e., weakly K -countable determined) space, and*
- (c) *V is GSG and moreover (V^*, w^*) continuously injects into $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ for some set Γ .*

Recall that it has, according to [18, Theorem [S8], 20 and 14, proof of Theorem A, Proposition 4.1], an even nicer, topological counterpart

Theorem'. *The following assertions are equivalent*

- (α) *K is an Eberlein compact,*
- (β) *K is simultaneously a Radon Nikodým compact and a Gul'ko compact, and*
- (γ) *K is simultaneously a Radon Nikodým compact and a Corson compact.*

In the theorem, the implications (a) \rightarrow (b) \rightarrow (c) are not quite new. In fact, according to the interpolation theorem [12, p. 163], every w.c.g. space contains a dense continuous image of a reflexive space and hence is GSG. An observation that every w.c.g. space is Vařák is due to Talagrand [19]. Finally, the fact that the dual of a Vařák space endowed with the weak* topology continuously injects into $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ is due

Received by the editors on August 20, 1992.
The second author's research supported in part by NSERC (Canada).

to Gul'ko [9], see also [13] and [6].

A proof of (c) \rightarrow (a), which also works for the proof of (b) \rightarrow (a), is quite recent and is due to Orihuela, Schachermayer and Valdivia [14] and to Stegall [18]. The proof proceeds in two steps. First, with the help of interpolation [17], an Asplund space Y is constructed in such a way that Y continuously and densely embeds into V and that either Y is Vasak or (Y^*, w^*) continuously injects into $\Sigma(\Delta)$ for some set Δ . Then, secondly, by a result from [3] and [21], respectively, it follows that Y is w.c.g. Therefore, so is V .

A main aim of this paper is to present a more direct proof that (b) or (c) implies (a). We shall avoid interpolation as well as gymnastics involving Gul'ko and Corson compacta that can be found in [14] and [18]. In particular, we shall no longer need a result of Gul'ko [8] that a continuous image of a Corson compact is a Corson compact.

A central concept we shall use in our proof will be a slight variant of a projectional generator introduced recently by Orihuela and Valdivia [15]: A *projectional generator* on a Banach space V is any at most countable valued mapping $\Phi: V^* \rightarrow 2^V$ such that $\Phi(B)^\perp \cap \overline{B}^* = \{0\}$ whenever $B \subset V^*$ and \overline{B} is linear. Using this concept we can even obtain a slightly more general equivalence

Proposition. *A Banach space is w.c.g. if (and only if) it is GSG and each of its complemented subspaces admits a projectional generator.*

Let us recall that a projectional generator on V exists if V is a Vasak space [15, Example after Theorem 1] or if (V^*, w^*) continuously injects into $\Sigma(\Gamma)$, see the proof of [21, Theorem 1]. This means that the theorem is included in the above proposition.

Let us mention a few words about the organization of the paper. Section 2 provides some preliminaries. In Section 3 we carefully construct, for our subsequent needs, “long sequences” of nice projections from a projectional generator. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the proposition. A main idea here is to imitate a proof of the fact that V is w.c.g. if it is Asplund and admits a projectional generator, see [3, 15, 21].

2. Preliminaries. The cardinality for a set M is denoted by $\#M$. Ordinal numbers are denoted by α, β, \dots . The cardinal number corresponding to α is denoted by $\#\alpha$. The letter ω is reserved for the first infinite ordinal. Symbols \aleph_0, \aleph_1 are the first infinite and uncountable cardinals, respectively.

The reals are denoted by \mathbf{R} . The symbol \mathbf{N} means the set of positive integers. $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ is considered with the product topology.

Let V be a Banach space. V^* and V^{**} denote the first and the second dual of V , respectively. The closed unit ball of V is denoted by B_V . For $v \in V$ and $v^* \in V^*$ the symbol $\langle v^*, v \rangle$ means the value of v^* at v . If $M \subset V$ then \overline{M} , $\overline{\text{sp}}M$ and $\text{dens } M$ are used to denote the closure, the closed linear span and the density of M , respectively. Also, for $M \subset Y$ we put $M^0 = \{v^* \in V^* : \sup\langle v^*, M \rangle \leq 1\}$. If $M \subset V^*$, then the weak* closure of M is denoted by \overline{M}^* . If Y is another Banach space such that $Y \subset V$, and $M \subset Y$, then \overline{M}^Y and $\overline{\text{sp}}^Y M$ mean the Y -closure and the Y -closed linear span of M respectively. If $M \subset V$, then M^\perp denotes the annihilator of M in V^* . Similarly, for $M \subset V^*$, the symbol M_\perp is reserved for the annihilator of M in V . Letters w and w^* denote the weak and weak* topologies, respectively.

A Banach space is called *weakly compactly generated* (w.c.g.) if there is a weakly compact set K in V such that $\overline{\text{sp}}K = V$. V is called a *Vařák* space if there exist $\Sigma' \subset \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and a multivalued upper semicontinuous mapping $\varphi : \Sigma' \rightarrow (V, \omega)$ such that $\varphi(\sigma)$ is a nonempty weakly compact set for each $\sigma \in \Sigma'$ and $\cup\{\varphi(\sigma) : \sigma \in \Sigma'\} = V$. A Banach space is called an *Asplund* space if each of its separable subspaces has a separable dual. V is said to be GSG if there exists an Asplund space Y such that $Y \subset V$, $\overline{Y} = V$, and $B_Y \subset B_V$.

For a set Γ we put

$$\Sigma(\Gamma) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^\Gamma : \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0\} \leq \aleph_0\}$$

and we consider on this space the coordinatewise topology that is inherited from the product topology of \mathbf{R}^Γ .

Let K be a compact space. K is called an *Eberlein* compact if K is homeomorphic to a weakly compact set of a Banach space. Recall that K is Eberlein if and only if $C(K)$ is w.c.g. [2, p. 152]. K is called a *Gul'ko* compact if $C(K)$ is Vařák. It is well known that V is Vařák

if and only if (B_{V^*}, w^*) is a Gul'ko compact [20]. K is said to be a *Radon Nikodým* compact if it can be found, up to a homeomorphism, in (V^*, w^*) where V is an Asplund space. Finally, K is called a *Corson* compact if it is homeomorphic to a compact subset of $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ for some set Γ .

3. Long sequences of “nice projections” constructed via a projectional generator.

Lemma 1. *Let V, Y be two Banach spaces such that $Y \subset V$ and $\overline{Y} = V$. Assume we have two at most countable valued mappings $\Phi : V^* \rightarrow 2^V$ and $\Psi : V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$. Finally, let an infinite cardinal \aleph be given and consider two sets $A_0 \subset Y$, $B_0 \subset V^*$, with $\#A_0 \leq \aleph$, $\#B_0 \leq \aleph$.*

Then there exist sets $A_0 \subset A \subset Y$, $B_0 \subset B \subset V^$ such that $\#A \leq \aleph$, $\#B \leq \aleph$, $\overline{A} \supset \Phi(B)$, $B \supset \Psi(A)$, and that $\overline{A}^Y, \overline{B}$ are linear.*

Proof. We shall use an old gluing argument due to Mazur. By induction we shall construct two sequences of sets $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset Y$ and $B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset V^*$ as follows. Since $\#\Phi(B_0) \leq \max(\aleph_0, \#B_0) \leq \aleph$, there is a set $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset Y$, such that $\#A_1 \leq \aleph$, $\overline{A_1} \supset \Phi(B)$, and $\overline{A_1}^Y$ is linear. Similarly, there is a set $B_0 \subset B_1 \subset V^*$ satisfying $\#B_1 \leq \aleph$, $B_1 \supset \Psi(A_0)$ and with $\overline{B_1}$ linear. Further, there are sets $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset Y$, $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset V^*$ such that $\#A_2 \leq \aleph$, $\#B_2 \leq \aleph$, $\overline{A_2} \supset \Phi(B_1)$, $B_2 \supset \Psi(A_1)$ and that $\overline{A_2}^Y, \overline{B_2}$ are linear. Continuing this process, we obtain nondecreasing sequences $\{A_n\}, \{B_n\}$ of sets in Y and V^* , respectively, such that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\#A_n \leq \aleph, \quad \#B_n \leq \aleph, \quad \overline{A_{n+1}} \supset \Phi(B_n), \quad B_{n+1} \supset \Psi(A_n),$$

and with $\overline{A_n}^Y, \overline{B_n}$ linear. Now put $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $B = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. Then $\#A \leq \aleph$, $\#B \leq \aleph$, $\overline{A} \supset \Phi(B)$, $B \supset \Psi(A)$, and it is easy to verify that $\overline{A}^Y, \overline{B}$ are linear.

Lemma 2. *Let $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space having a projectional generator Φ , and let Y be another Banach space such that $Y \subset V$, $\overline{Y} =$*

V , and $B_Y \subset B_V$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let $\|\cdot\|_n$ denote the (equivalent) norm on V whose unit ball is $\overline{B_Y} + (1/n)\overline{B_V}$. Let $\Psi : V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$ be an at most countable valued mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &= \sup\{\langle v^*, v \rangle : v^* \in \Psi(v), \|v^*\| = 1\}, \\ \|v\|_n &= \sup\{\langle v^*, v \rangle : v^* \in \Psi(v), \|v^*\|_n = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. Finally, let \aleph be an infinite cardinal and consider two sets $A_0 \subset Y, B_0 \subset V^*$ with $\#A_0 \leq \aleph, \#B_0 \leq \aleph$.

Then there exist sets $A_0 \subset A \subset Y, B_0 \subset B \subset V^*$ such that $\#A \leq \aleph, \#B \leq \aleph, \overline{A} \supset \Phi(B), B \supset \Psi(A)$, and that $\overline{A}^Y, \overline{B}$ are linear, and moreover, there exists a linear projection $P : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\|P\| = 1, PV = \overline{A}, P^*V^* = \overline{B}^*$, and $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 1 we obtain the sets $A_0 \subset A \subset Y, B_0 \subset B \subset V^*$. It remains to find the projection P . Let us remark that $\overline{A} + B_\perp$ is closed since we have for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B_\perp$

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + b\| &\geq \sup\{\langle v^*, a + b \rangle : v^* \in B, \|v^*\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\langle v^*, a \rangle : v^* \in B, \|v^*\| = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\langle v^*, \alpha \rangle : v^* \in \Psi(a), \|v^*\| = 1\} \\ &= \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Further, if $\overline{A} + B_\perp \neq V$, then by the Hahn Banach theorem there is $0 \neq \xi \in V^*$ which is identically zero on $\overline{A} + B_\perp$. But then $\xi \in A^\perp \cap \overline{B}^*$ whence $\xi \in \Phi(B)^\perp \cap \overline{B}^*$ because $\overline{A} \supset \Phi(B)$. Now Φ is a projectional generator, so $\xi = 0$, a contradiction. We have thus shown that V is a direct sum of \overline{A} and B_\perp .

Define $P : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$P(a + b) = a, \quad a \in \overline{A}, b \in B_\perp.$$

Then P is a linear projection with $\|P\| = 1, PV = \overline{A}$, and $P^{-1}(0) = B_\perp$. We shall show that $P^*V^* = \overline{B}^*$. If $\xi \in B$, then for all $v \in V$ we have $\langle P^*\xi, v \rangle = \langle \xi, Pv \rangle = \langle \xi, v \rangle$ as $Pv - v \in B_\perp$; so $\xi \in P^*V^*$. Hence $\overline{B}^* \subset P^*V^*$. Assume now there is $\xi \in P^*V^* \setminus \overline{B}^*$. Then there exists $v \in V$ with $\langle \xi, v \rangle \neq 0$ and $\sup\langle \overline{B}, v \rangle = 0$ since \overline{B} is linear. It follows that $v \in B_\perp$, and so $Pv = 0$. But $0 \neq \langle \xi, v \rangle = \langle \xi, Pv \rangle$, a contradiction.

It remains to show that $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$. We realize that not only $\|P\| = 1$ but also $\|P\|_n = 1$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{P(\overline{B_Y + (1/n)B_V})} \subset \overline{B_Y + (1/n)B_V} \subset B_Y + (2/n)B_V$ for each n and so $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$. \square

The next improvement of Lemma 2 will be crucial in the proof of our proposition.

Lemma 3. *The inclusion $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$ in Lemma 2 may be replaced by $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{A \cap B_Y}$.*

Proof. We shall proceed by induction. Let A_1, B_1 and P_1 denote, respectively, the A, B , and P found in Lemma 2. Then $\|P_1\| = 1$, $P_1V = \overline{A_1}$, $P_1^*V^* = \overline{B_1}^*$, $\Phi(B_1) \subset \overline{A_1}$, $\Psi(A_1) \subset B_1$ and $P_1(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$. Since $\text{dens } P_1(B_Y) \leq \text{dens } P_1V \leq \#A_1 \leq \aleph$, it follows that there is a set $M \subset B_Y$, with $\#M \leq \aleph$, such that $P_1(B_Y) \subset \overline{M}$. In Lemma 2, set $A_0 := A_1 \cup M$ and $B_0 := B_1$. We obtain, then, new A, B, P , denoted by A_2, B_2, P_2 , respectively. Then, besides the facts stated in Lemma 2, we have $P_1(B_Y) \subset \overline{A_2 \cap B_Y}$. Continuing this process, we can construct sequences of sets

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset Y, \quad B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset V^*$$

and norm one projections $P_n : V \rightarrow V$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that for all n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#A_n \leq \aleph, \quad \#B_n \leq \aleph, \quad \Phi(B_n) \subset \overline{A_n}, \quad \Psi(A_n) \subset B_n, \\ P_nV = \overline{A_n}, \quad P_n^*V^* = \overline{B_n}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad P_n(B_Y) \subset \overline{A_{n+1} \cap B_Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Now put $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. Then all the properties claimed for A and B in Lemma 2 are checked easily. Further, since $\overline{A} \supset \Phi(B)$ and $B \supset \Psi(A)$, we can construct, as in Lemma 2, a linear projection $P : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\|P\| = 1$, $PV = \overline{A}$, and $P^*V^* = \overline{B}^*$. Moreover, as $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset A$, $B_n \subset B_{n+1} \subset B$, we have

$$P_n P_{n+1} = P_{n+1} P_n = P_n, \quad P_n P = P P_n = P_n.$$

From this it easily follows that $\|P_n v - P v\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $v \in V$. Therefore, because $\overline{P_n(B_Y)} \subset \overline{A_{n+1} \cap B_Y} \subset \overline{A \cap B_Y}$, we can conclude that $P(B_Y) \subset \overline{A \cap B_Y}$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let V, W, Φ , and Ψ be as in Lemma 3, and let μ be the first ordinal with cardinality $\text{dens } V$.*

Then there exist long sequences $\{A_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ and $\{B_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ of subsets in Y and V^ , respectively, and a long sequence $\{P_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ of linear projections on V such that $\overline{A_\mu} = V$, $\overline{B_\mu}^* = V^*$, P_μ is the identity mapping and that for all $\omega < \alpha \leq \mu$ the following hold*

- (i) $\#A_\alpha \leq \#\alpha, \#B_\alpha \leq \#\alpha$,
- (ii) $\overline{A_\alpha} \supset \Phi(B_\alpha), B_\alpha \supset \Psi(A_\alpha)$,
- (iii) $\overline{A_\alpha}^Y, \overline{B_\alpha}$ are linear,
- (iv) $\|P_\alpha\| = 1$,
- (v) $P_\alpha P_\beta = P_\beta P_\alpha = P_\beta$, if $\beta < \alpha$,
- (vi) $\text{dens } P_\alpha V \leq \#\alpha$,
- (vii) $P_\alpha V = \overline{\cup_{\beta < \alpha} P_{\beta+1} V}$,
- (viii) $P_\alpha V = \overline{A_\alpha}, P_\alpha^* V^* = \overline{B_\alpha}^*$, and
- (ix) $P_\alpha(B_Y) \subset \overline{A_\alpha \cap B_Y}$.

Proof. Since $\overline{Y} = V$, there is a set $\{y_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha < \mu\}$ in Y which is dense in V . We shall proceed by transfinite induction on α . Let $A_\omega = \emptyset, B_\omega = \emptyset, P_\omega \equiv 0, \omega \leq \gamma \leq \mu$ fixed, and assume we have constructed sets $A_\alpha \subset V, B_\alpha \subset W$ and projections P_α with the properties stated in the lemma for every $\omega \leq \alpha < \gamma$. First, if γ is a limit ordinal, simply put $A_\gamma = \cup_{\alpha < \gamma} A_\alpha, B_\gamma = \cup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_\alpha$. Then $\overline{A_\gamma} \supset \Phi(B_\gamma), B_\gamma \supset \Psi(A_\gamma)$. And, as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can assign to the couple A_γ, B_γ a linear projection $P_\gamma : V \rightarrow V$. Then (i)–(iv) and (vi)–(viii) hold trivially. Conditions (v) and (ix) are also satisfied since it follows from (vii) that the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha v$ is norm continuous at $\alpha = \gamma$. Second, when γ is a nonlimit ordinal, let $A_0 = A_{\gamma-1} \cup \{y_{\gamma-1}\}, B_0 = B_{\gamma-1}$. Applying Lemma 3 we get $A_\gamma, B_\gamma, P_\gamma$, and it is straightforward to verify that (i)–(ix) hold.

Finally, if $\gamma = \mu$, then $P_\mu V = \overline{A_\mu} \supset \{y_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha < \mu\} = V$. So P_μ is the identity and $\overline{B_\mu}^* = P_\mu^* V^* = V^*$. \square

We recall that a “long sequence” $\{P_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ having the properties (iv)–(vii) is called a *projectional resolution of the identity* (P.R.I.) on V .

4. Proof of the proposition. The proof of the proposition will be preceded by two lemmas. The first one is a deep result of Simons [16, Lemma 2] while the second lemma is its consequence.

Lemma 5 (Simons). *Let Γ be a set, $\{f_n\}$ a sequence in the unit ball of $l_\infty(\Gamma)$, and $\Delta \subset \Gamma$. Assume that, for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \geq 0$, with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots = 1$, there is $\gamma \in \Delta$ such that $\lambda_1 f_1(\gamma) + \lambda_2 f_2(\gamma) + \dots = \sup \|\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 + \dots\|$. Then $\sup_{\gamma \in \Delta} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(\gamma) \geq \inf \{\|g\| : g \text{ is in the convex hull of } \{f_i\}\}$.*

Lemma 6. *Let Y, V be two separable Banach spaces such that Y is Asplund, $Y \subset V$, $\overline{Y} = V$, $B_Y \subset B_V$, and let ρ be a metric defined on V^* by $\rho(\xi, \eta) = \sup \langle \xi - \eta, B_Y \rangle$, $\xi, \eta \in V^*$. Let F be any boundary of B_{V^*} , that is, $F \subset B_{V^*}$ and for each $v \in V$ there is a $\xi \in F$ such that $\langle \xi, v \rangle = \|v\|$.*

Then the ρ -closed span of F is equal to V^ .*

Proof. Assume the claim is false. Then there exists $\xi_0 \in B_{V^*}$ and a linear continuous functional φ on (V^*, ρ) such that

$$\varphi(\xi_0) > 0 = \varphi(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in F.$$

As φ is ρ -continuous, we have $\varphi(B_Y^0) < +\infty$. We may and do assume that $\varphi(B_Y^0) \leq 1$. Since $B_Y \subset B_V$, it follows that $B_Y^0 \supset B_V^0 (= B_{V^*})$ and so φ must belong to V^{**} . Define $R : V^* \rightarrow Y^*$ by $R\xi = \xi|_Y$, $\xi \in V^*$ and $\tilde{\varphi} : R(V^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(R\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$, $\xi \in V^*$. This $\tilde{\varphi}$ is well defined since $\overline{Y} = V$. Moreover, if $\xi \in V^*$ is such that $\sup \langle \xi, B_Y \rangle \leq 1$, then $\xi \in B_Y^0$ and so $\varphi(\xi) \leq 1$. Therefore for every $\xi \in V^*$ we have $\varphi(\xi) \leq \sup \langle \xi, B_Y \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(R\xi) \leq |R\xi|.$$

Here, and later, $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm on Y and its dual norm on Y^* . Let $\psi \in B_{Y^{**}}$ be any Hahn Banach extension of $\tilde{\varphi}$ from $R(V^*)$ to Y^* . Recall that, by Goldstine’s theorem, B_Y is weak* dense in $B_{Y^{**}}$. Since

Y is Asplund, Y^* is separable. Hence, there is a sequence $\{y_k\} \subset B_Y$ such that $y_k \rightarrow \psi$ weak* in Y^{**} . Then, especially for each $\xi \in V^*$, we have

$$\langle \xi, y_k \rangle = \langle R\xi, y_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle \psi, R\xi \rangle = \tilde{\varphi}(R\xi) = \varphi(\xi).$$

This means that $y_k \rightarrow \varphi$ weak* in V^{**} . Hence we may assume that $\langle \xi_0, y_k \rangle > (1/2)\varphi(\xi_0)$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Now Lemma 5 applies and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sup\langle \varphi, F \rangle = \sup\{\lim_k \langle \xi, y_k \rangle : \xi \in F\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\|y\| : y \in \text{co}\{y_1, y_2, \dots\}\} \\ &\geq \{\langle \xi_0, y \rangle : y \text{ is in the convex hull of } \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}\} \\ &> (1/2)\varphi(\xi_0) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence the result. \square

Proof of proposition. The proof is divided into several steps.

1⁰. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm on V . Find an Asplund space Y such that $Y \subset V$, $\overline{Y} = V$, and $B_Y \subset B_V$. Define a metric ρ on V^* by

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) = \sup\langle \xi - \eta, B_Y \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in V^*.$$

It is easy to check that ρ fragments the weak* topology of V^* , that is, that for every nonempty bounded set M in V^* and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a weak* open set (even a weak* open half-space) $W \subset V^*$ such that $W \cap M$ is nonempty and has ρ -diameter less than ε . Let us consider a multivalued mapping D from V into B_{V^*} defined by

$$Dv = \{v^* \in B_{V^*} : \langle v^*, v \rangle = \|v\|\}, \quad v \in V.$$

It is well known, and easy to verify, that D is norm to weak* upper semicontinuous and compact valued. Thus, according to a selection theorem of Jayne-Rogers type [7, the desert selection Theorem (A) d)], there are norm to ρ continuous (single-valued) mappings $D_i : V \rightarrow B_{V^*}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that for every $v \in V$ there is $D_0v \in Dv$ such that $\rho(D_iv, D_0v) \rightarrow 0$ (it suffices for our purposes that $\inf\{\rho(D_i, D_0v) : i \in \mathbf{N}\} = 0$.)

2⁰. **Claim.** *Given a separable subspace Z of Y , for every $\xi \in (\overline{Z})^*$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \overline{Z}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$, and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \sup \left\langle \xi - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} v_j |_{\overline{Z}}, B_Z \right\rangle < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Take a separable subspace $Z \subset Y$ and let $\xi \in (\overline{Z})^*$, $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let us remark that the set

$$F = \{D_0 v |_{\overline{Z}} : v \in \overline{Z}\}$$

is a boundary of $B_{(\overline{Z})^*}$. Recalling that Z is Asplund, it then follows, by applying Lemma 6 for $V := \overline{Z}$ and $Y := Z$, that there are $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \overline{Z}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\sup \left\langle \xi - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_0 v_j |_{\overline{Z}}, B_Z \right\rangle < \varepsilon.$$

And, as

$$\inf \{\rho(D_i, D_0 v) : i \in \mathbf{N}\} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

there are $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that (1) holds. \square

3⁰. **Claim.** *The claim from 2⁰ holds for any subspace Z of Y .*

Proof. Let Z be a (nonseparable) subspace of Y and fix some $\xi \in (\overline{Z})^*$, $\varepsilon > 0$. We shall try to convert our situation to the case of a separable subspace of Y and thus 2⁰ will be of use. Let A denote the set of all infinite matrices $a = \{a_{ij} : i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with rational entries and such that $a_{ij} = 0$ for all but finitely many of $(i, j) \in \mathbf{N}^2$. Let $Z_1 \neq \{0\}$ be any separable subspace of Z . By induction we shall construct separable subspaces $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots \subset Z$, sequences $\{z_j^1\}, \{z_j^2\}, \dots$, where $\{z_j^n : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a Z -dense subset of Z_n , and

elements $z(n, a) \in B_Z$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $a \in A$, such that for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $a \in A$

$$\sup \left\langle \xi - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z}}, B_Z \right\rangle < \left\langle \xi - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z}}, z(n, a) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$Z_{n+1} = \overline{\text{sp}}^Z [Z_n \cup \{z(n, a) : a \in A\}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Finally, put

$$Z_0 = \overline{Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \dots}^Z;$$

then, clearly, Z_0 will be separable. By 2^0 , there are v_1, \dots, v_m in $\overline{Z_0}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$, and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\sup \left\langle \xi |_{\overline{Z_0}} - \sum_{k=1}^m b_k D_{i_k} v_k |_{\overline{Z_0}}, B_{Z_0} \right\rangle < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

The continuity of D_{i_k} and the construction of Z_0 ensure that there are $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n > \varepsilon/2$, and $j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|v_k - z_{j_k}^n\|$ are so small that

$$\sup \left\langle \xi |_{\overline{Z_0}} - \sum_{k=1}^m b_k D_{i_k} z_{j_k}^n |_{\overline{Z_0}}, B_{Z_0} \right\rangle < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Also, we may assume here that all the b_k are rational. Now put $a = \{a_{ij}\}$, where $a_{i_1 j_1} = b_1, \dots, a_{i_m j_m} = b_m$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Thus

$$\sup \left\langle \xi |_{\overline{Z_0}} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z_0}}, B_{Z_0} \right\rangle < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left\langle \xi - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z}}, B_Z \right\rangle &< \left\langle \xi - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z}}, z(n, a) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \sup \left\langle \xi |_{\overline{Z_0}} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} D_i z_j^n |_{\overline{Z_0}}, B_{Z_0} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{n} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (1) holds. \square

4⁰. **Claim.** *There exist a P.R.I. $\{P_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ on V and a long sequence $\{Y_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ of subspaces of Y such that for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mu$ the following hold*

$$(2) \quad P_\alpha V = \overline{Y_\alpha}, \quad P_\alpha(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_{Y_\alpha}},$$

$$(3) \quad P_\alpha^* V^* \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n(P_\alpha V).$$

Proof. Define $\Psi_0 : V \rightarrow 2^{V^*}$ by $\Psi_0(v) = \{D_1(v), D_2(v), \dots\}$, $v \in V$, and enlarge each $\Psi_0(v)$ to some countable set $\Psi(v)$ such that the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Let $\{A_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$, $\{B_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$, and $\{P_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ correspond to our V, Y, Φ, Ψ by Lemma 4. For each α put $Y_\alpha = \overline{A_\alpha}^Y$. Then trivially $P_\alpha V = \overline{A_\alpha} = \overline{Y_\alpha}$ and

$$P_\alpha(B_Y) \subset \overline{A_\alpha \cap B_Y} \subset \overline{Y_\alpha \cap B_Y} = \overline{B_{Y_\alpha}}.$$

Further, we know that

$$B_\alpha \supset \Psi(A_\alpha) \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n(A_\alpha).$$

Now the D_n are norm to ρ continuous and $P_\alpha V = \overline{A_\alpha}$. So

$$D_n(P_\alpha V) \subset \overline{D_n(A_\alpha)}^\rho \subset \overline{D_n(A_\alpha)}^* \subset \overline{B_\alpha}^*$$

as $D_n(V) \subset B_{V^*}$. But we know that $\overline{B_\alpha}^* = P_\alpha^* V^*$. This finishes the proof of the claim. \square

5⁰. **Claim.** *For each limit $\omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu$*

$$(4) \quad P_\alpha^* V^* = \overline{\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta V^*}^\rho.$$

Proof. Fix one such α , take any $v^* \in P_\alpha^* V^*$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Recall that, by (2), $P_\alpha V = \overline{Y_\alpha}$. Then using Claim 3⁰ with $Z := Y_\alpha$, there

are $v_1, \dots, v_m \in P_\alpha V$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sup \langle v^* - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} v_j, B_{Y_\alpha} \rangle = \sup \langle v^* |_{P_\alpha V} - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} v_j |_{P_\alpha V}, B_{Y_\alpha} \rangle < \varepsilon$. Since $P_\alpha V = \overline{\cup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta V}$ and D_{i_j} are norm to ρ continuous, we can find $\gamma < \alpha$ and $u_1, \dots, u_m \in P_\gamma V$ so that

$$\sup \left\langle v^* - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} u_j, B_{Y_\alpha} \right\rangle < \varepsilon.$$

Now, $v^* \in P_\alpha^* V^*$ and we know by (3) that $D_{i_j} u_j \in P_\gamma^* V^* \subset P_\alpha^* V^*$. Hence it follows with the help of (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(v^*, \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} u_j \right) &= \sup \left\langle v^* - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} u_j, B_Y \right\rangle \\ &= \sup \left\langle v^* - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} u_j, P_\alpha(B_Y) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup \left\langle v^* - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_{i_j} u_j, B_{Y_\alpha} \right\rangle \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

And, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary and $\gamma < \alpha$, we can conclude that $v^* \in \overline{\cup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta^* V^*} \rho$. \square

6⁰. **Claim.** For each limit $\omega < \alpha \leq \mu$ and each $v^* \in V^*$

$$\rho(P_\beta^* \xi, P_\alpha^* \xi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \uparrow \alpha.$$

Proof. Fix one such α and ξ , and let any $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By 5⁰ there are $\gamma < \alpha$ and $\eta \in V^*$ such that

$$\rho(P_\alpha^* \xi, P_\gamma^* \eta) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then for $\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(P_\beta^*\xi, P_\gamma^*\eta) &= \sup\langle P_\beta^*\xi - P_\gamma^*\eta, B_Y \rangle \\
&= \sup\langle P_\beta^*(P_\alpha^*\xi - P_\gamma^*\eta), B_Y \rangle \\
&= \sup\langle P_\alpha^*\xi - P_\gamma^*\eta, P_\beta(B_Y) \rangle \\
&\leq \sup\langle P_\alpha^*\xi - P_\gamma^*\eta, B_Y \rangle \\
&= \rho(P_\alpha^*\xi, P_\gamma^*\eta) \\
&< \varepsilon/2
\end{aligned}$$

because of (2), $P_\beta(B_Y) \subset \overline{B_Y}$. Hence, for these β

$$\rho(P_\alpha^*\xi, P_\beta^*\xi) \leq \rho(P_\alpha^*\xi, P_\gamma^*\eta) + \rho(P_\gamma^*\eta, P_\beta^*\xi) < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

7⁰. Now we are prepared to conclude the proof of our proposition, that is, to find a weakly compact subset of V which would generate the whole V . We shall proceed by transfinite induction and show the following statement.

If every complemented subspace of a Banach space V admits a projectional generator and Y is an Asplund space such that $Y \subset V$, $\overline{Y} = V$, and $B_Y \subset B_V$, then there exists a weakly compact set K in $\overline{B_Y}$ such that $\overline{\text{sp}}K = V$.

Proof. If V is separable, then there is almost nothing to prove. Otherwise, let an uncountable cardinal \aleph be given and assume the statement holds whenever $\text{dens } V < \aleph$. Now suppose that V has density equal to \aleph . Let $\{P_\alpha : \omega \leq \alpha \leq \mu\}$ be a P.R.I. on V constructed in Claim 4⁰. Then, for every $\omega \leq \alpha < \mu$ we have

$$\text{dens } P_\alpha V \leq \#\alpha < \#\mu = \aleph, \quad P_\alpha V = \overline{Y_\alpha}, \quad B_{Y_\alpha} \subset B_{P_\alpha V},$$

and Y_α is Asplund. So, by the induction assumption, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mu$ there is a weakly compact set $K_{\alpha+1}$ in $\overline{B_{Y_{\alpha+1}}}$ such that $\overline{\text{sp}}K_{\alpha+1} = P_{\alpha+1}V$. Consider the set

$$K = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(K_{\alpha+1}) \cup \{0\}.$$

Then $\overline{\text{sp}}K = V$. In fact, it follows from the properties of P.R.I. that $V = \overline{\text{sp}} \cup_{\alpha < \mu} (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(V)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(V) &= (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(P_{\alpha+1}V) \\ &= (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(\overline{\text{sp}}K_{\alpha+1}) \\ &\subset \overline{\text{sp}}(P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)(K_{\alpha+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shall show that K is weakly compact. So, let there be a sequence $\{a_i\} \subset [\omega, \mu)$ and $k_i \in K_{\alpha_i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\alpha_i = \alpha$ for infinitely many i , then we are done since $K_{\alpha+1}$ is weakly compact. Second, assume $\{\alpha_i\}$ forms an infinite set and, for brevity, suppose that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_i \uparrow \lambda$. Fix $\xi \in V^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi(P_{\alpha_i+1} - P_{\alpha_i})k_i \rangle| &= |\langle (P_{\alpha_i+1}^* - P_{\alpha_i}^*)\xi, k_i \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup \langle (P_{\alpha_i+1}^* - P_{\alpha_i}^*)\xi, B_{Y_{\alpha_i+1}} \rangle \\ &\leq \sup \langle (P_{\alpha_i+1}^* - P_{\alpha_i}^*)\xi, B_Y \rangle \\ &= \rho(P_{\alpha_i+1}^*\xi, P_{\alpha_i}^*\xi) \\ &\leq \rho(P_{\alpha_i+1}^*\xi, P_\lambda^*\xi) \\ &\quad + \rho(P_\lambda^*\xi, P_{\alpha_i}^*\xi) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by 6⁰. This shows that $(P_{\alpha_i+1} - P_{\alpha_i})k_i \rightarrow 0$ weakly and, consequently, K is weakly compact. Moreover, by (2) we know that $K \subset 2\overline{B_Y}$, so $K/2$ is the desired weakly compact set. \square

Acknowledgment. We thank Gilles Godefroy for helpful and encouraging discussions concerning this paper. The first named author also thanks Lakehead University for its hospitality and support, where a part of this work was written.

REFERENCES

1. R. Deville and G. Godefroy, *Some applications of projective resolutions of identity*, preprint.
2. J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces, selected topics*, Lect. Notes in Math. **485** (1975), .
3. M. Fabian, *Each weakly countably determined Asplund space admits Frechet differentiable norm*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **36** (1987), 367–374.

4. ———, *Projectional resolution of the identity sometimes implies weakly compact generating*, Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. **38** (1990), 117–120.
5. M. Fabian and G. Godefroy, *The dual of every Asplund space admits a projectional resolution of the identity*, Studia Math. **91** (1988), 144–151.
6. M. Fabian and S. Troyanski, *A Banach space admits a locally uniformly rotund norm if its dual is a Vařák space*, Israel J. Math. **69** (1990), 214–224.
7. N. Ghoussoub, B. Maurey and W. Schachermayer, *Slicings, selections and their applications*, preprint.
8. S.P. Gul'ko, *On properties of subsets of Σ -products*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR **237** (1977), 505–507.
9. ———, *The structure of spaces of continuous functions and their hereditary paracompactness*, Russian Math. Surveys **34** (1979), 36–44.
10. J.E. Jayne and C.A. Rogers, *Borel selectors for upper semicontinuous set valued mappings*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 41–79.
11. K. John and V. Zizler, *Duals of Banach spaces which admit nontrivial smooth functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **11** (1974), 161–166.
12. ———, *Smoothness and its equivalents in weakly compactly generated Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **15** (1974), 1–11.
13. S. Mercourakis, *On weakly countably determined Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **300** (1987), 307–327.
14. J. Orihuela, W. Schachermayer and M. Valdivia, *Every Radon-Nikodym Corson compact space is Eberlein compact*, Studia Math. **98** (1991), 157–174.
15. J. Orihuela and M. Valdivia, *Projective generators and resolutions of identity in Banach spaces*, Congress on Functional Analysis (Madrid 1988), Rev. Math. Univ. Compl. Madrid **2** (1989), 179–199.
16. S. Simons, *A convergence theorem with boundary*, Pacific J. Math. **40** (1972), 703–708.
17. Ch. Stegall, *The Radon-Nikodym property in conjugate Banach spaces II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **264** (1981), 507–519.
18. ———, *More facts about conjugate Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodym property*, preprint.
19. M. Talagrand, *Sur un conjecture de H.H. Corson*, Bull. Sci. Math. **99** (1975), 211–212.
20. ———, *Espaces de Banach faiblement K -analytiques*, Ann. of Math. **110** (1979), 407–438.
21. M. Valdivia, *Resolutions of the identity in certain Banach spaces*, Collect. Math. **39** (1988), 1–14.
22. L. Vařák, *On one generalization of weakly compactly generated Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **70** (1981), 11–19.

SIBELIOVA 49, 16200 PRAGUE 6, CZECH REPUBLIC

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY, THUNDER BAY, ONTARIO, P7B 5E1 CANADA