ON THE STRUCTURE OF SHIFT-INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF $L^2(T^2, \mu)$

R. CHENG

- **0. Introduction.** Suppose that V_1 and V_2 are commuting isometries on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . In [3] and [6], conditions are given for \mathcal{H} to have four-fold Wold and Halmos decompositions with respect to V_1 and V_2 . These notions are used in [4, 5] to characterize invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ which are generated by an inner function. Such \mathcal{M} are shown to be those invariant subspaces on which V_1 and V_2 doubly commute, where V_j is now multiplication by the coordinate variable z_j , j=1,2. More generally, [1] describes the invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ on which these V_1 and V_2 doubly commute. In this article we explore these ideas in the case \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace of the weighted space $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2, \mu)$.
- 1. The univariate case. We begin by considering the univariate analogue. This will shed light on the main problem.

Let μ be a finite nonnegative Borel measure on the unit circle \mathbf{T} . Define the isometry V on $L^2(\mu)$ by (Vf)(z)=zf(z). A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ is invariant (for V) if $V\mathcal{M}\subseteq\mathcal{M}$. Following [2], we describe all of the invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mu)$. This will require the Lebesgue decomposition

$$d\mu = 1_{\Gamma} w \, d\sigma + 1_{\Gamma^c} \, d\lambda$$

where σ is normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{T} , w is a weight function, and $0 = \lambda(\Gamma) = \sigma(\Gamma^c)$.

Theorem 1.1. A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ satisfies the condition $V\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} = 1_{\Omega}L^2(\mu)$ for some Borel set Ω .

The proof is very similar to that of [2, Theorem 2].

Theorem 1.2. A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ satisfies the condition

Received by the editors on August 23, 1991.

Copyright ©1994 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

1308

 $VM \subseteq M$ if and only if w is positive almost everywhere $[\sigma]$, and M is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z^m h \right\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

where $|h|^2 = 1_{\Gamma} w^{-1}$ almost every $[\sigma]$, and $\sigma(\Omega \cap \Gamma) = 0$. In this case, h is unique up to a unimodular constant factor.

Proof. Suppose that $V\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$. Let h be a unit vector in $\mathcal{M} \ominus V\mathcal{M}$. Then $\int h(z^m h) d\mu = \int |h|^2 z^{-m} d\mu = 0$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Taking complex conjugates, we find that $\int |h|^2 z^{-m} d\mu = 0$ for all nonzero m. Hence $1_{\Gamma^c} h = 0$ and $|h|^2 w = 1_{\Gamma}$, almost everywhere $[\sigma]$. This forces w to be positive, almost everywhere $[\sigma]$.

Now the orthonormal set $\{z^m h\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ spans a doubly invariant subspace \mathcal{N} . By Theorem 1.1, \mathcal{N} has the structure $1_{\Xi}L^2(\mu)$ for some Borel set Ξ . Since h in \mathcal{N} is nonvanishing for $z \in \Gamma$, we can take Ξ to be Γ itself. Thus, sp $\{z^m h\}_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} = 1_{\Gamma}L^2(\mu)$. Note also that sp $\{z^m h\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and sp $\{z^m h\}_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \perp \mathcal{M}$.

Next, suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M} \ominus V\mathcal{M}$. Then $1_{\Gamma^c}g = 0$, and $|g|^2w$ is constant almost everywhere $[\sigma]$. Furthermore, $\int h\bar{g}z^m d\mu = 0$ for all nonzero m. This shows that g is a constant multiple of h. That is, $\mathcal{M} \ominus V\mathcal{M} = (h)$.

Finally, observe that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} V^m \mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{M}_0 = \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \oplus V^m (\mathcal{M} \ominus V \mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{sp} \{z^m h\}_{m=0}^{\infty} = 1_{\Gamma} L^2(\mu)$. Since \mathcal{M}_{∞} is doubly invariant, there exists a Borel set Ω such that $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} = 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu)$. Choose any $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$. Then f is orthogonal to each $z^m h$, for the latter is in \mathcal{M}_0 if $m \geq 0$, or in \mathcal{M}^{\perp} if m < 0. It follows that $\sigma(\Omega \cap \Gamma) = 0$. This demonstrates the necessity assertion and the uniqueness of h.

Conversely, if w > 0, almost everywhere $[\sigma]$, and $|h|^2 = 1_{\Gamma} w^{-1}$, almost everywhere $[\sigma]$, then $h \in L^2(\mu)$. With that, and some Borel subset Ω of Γ^c , the subspace \mathcal{M} given by sp $\{z^m h\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu)$ is invariant (since $\Omega \subset \Gamma^c$, the sum is indeed orthogonal). For each

 $m=1,2,\ldots,$

$$\int h\overline{(z^m h)} d\mu = \int |h|^2 z^{-m} w d\sigma$$
$$= \int z^{-m} d\sigma$$
$$= 0.$$

Also, h is orthogonal to $1_{\Omega}L^{2}(\mu)$. Thus $h \perp V\mathcal{M}$, and \mathcal{M} must be simply invariant. The sufficiency part is proved.

Thus an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} has a Wold decomposition which is mirrored by the structure of the measure μ . We therefore expect four-fold a decomposition in the two-variable case, also mirrored by the measure.

2. Preliminaries. Now take μ to be a finite nonnegative Borel measure on the torus, \mathbf{T}^2 . Henceforth, we adopt the following notation. The torus \mathbf{T}^2 is parameterized by (z_1,z_2) . For j=1,2, let V_j be the isometry $f(z_1,z_2) \to z_j f(z_1,z_2)$ on $L^2(\mu)$. As suggested in Section 1, we will need to use the explicit structure of μ . First, define Borel measures μ_1 and μ_2 on \mathbf{T} by

$$\mu_1(S) = \mu(S \times \mathbf{T}), \qquad \mu_2(S) = \mu(\mathbf{T} \times S).$$

Establish the Lebesgue decompositions

$$d\mu = 1_{\Gamma} w_R d(\sigma \times \mu_2) + 1_{\Gamma^c} d\lambda_R$$

$$d\mu = 1_{\Delta} w_T d(\mu_1 \times \sigma) + 1_{\Delta^c} d\lambda_T$$

$$d\mu = 1_E w d\sigma^2 + 1_{E^c} d\lambda.$$

Let A be the collection of $z_2 \in \mathbf{T}$ for which $w_R(z_1, z_2) > 0$, almost everywhere $[\sigma(z_1)]$; B, the collection of z_1 for which $w_T(z_1, z_2) > 0$ almost everywhere $[\sigma(z_2)]$. Throughout, we identify subsets of \mathbf{T}^2 whose symmetric difference is μ -null.

A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ is invariant if $V_1\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $V_2\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. We would like to describe these invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} in terms of the structure of μ .

1310

3. Two special cases. We are now equipped to extend the results of Section 1 to two special cases in the bivariate picture.

Theorem 3.1. A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ satisfies

$$V_1 \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \,, \qquad V_2 \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$$

if and only if $\mathcal{M} = 1_{\Omega}L^2(\mu)$ for some Borel set Ω .

Again, the proof follows [2, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.2. A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ satisfies

$$V_1 \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}, \qquad V_2 \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$$

if and only if the set A is μ_2 -nonnull, and \mathcal{M} is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \sup \{ z_1^m z_2^n h : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \} \oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

where, for some μ_2 -nonnull Borel subset K of A, the function h satisfies $|h| = 1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)} w_R^{-1/2}$, and Ω is a Borel subset of $\Gamma^c \cup \{\mathbf{T} \times K^c\}$.

In this case, h is unique up to a unimodular factor depending only on z_2 .

Proof. Let $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{M} \ominus V_1\mathcal{M}$. Put $f_0 = v_0$, and let $Q_0 = \operatorname{sp}\{z_2^n f_0\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Having defined $\{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_k\}$ and $\{Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_k\}$, let n_{k+1} be the smallest index such that $v_{n_{k+1}}$ does not lie in $Q_0 \vee Q_1 \vee \cdots \vee Q_k$. Let f_{k+1} be the component of $v_{n_{k+1}}$ in $(\mathcal{M} \ominus V_1\mathcal{M}) \ominus (Q_0 \vee Q_1 \vee \cdots \vee Q_k)$, and let $Q_{k+1} = \operatorname{sp}\{z_2^n f_{k+1}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Now put $\mathcal{L}_k = \operatorname{sp}\{z_1^m z_2^n f_k : m \geq 0, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Note that the spaces \mathcal{L}_k are subspaces of \mathcal{M} , they are pairwise orthogonal, and their direct sum includes $V_1^m \mathcal{M} \ominus V_1^{m+1} \mathcal{M}$ for each $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Moreover, each \mathcal{L}_k is orthogonal to \mathcal{R}_∞ , where $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty V_1^m \mathcal{M}$. Hence \mathcal{M} has the decomposition $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}_\infty$, with $\mathcal{R}_0 = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \oplus \mathcal{L}_k$.

Suppose that j and k are distinct nonnegative integers. Then $\int f_j \bar{f}_k z_1^m z_2^n d\mu = 0$ for all m and n. Hence, if Λ_k is exactly the set

on which f_k is nonvanishing, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, then the family $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is pairwise disjoint. Let the f_k be rescaled so that $||f_k||^2 = \mu(\Lambda_k)$. We can now define $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$, where the convergence is in $L^2(\mu)$.

Fix a nonnegative integer j. Check that if $m \neq 0$ and $n \in Z$, then $\int f_j \bar{f} z_1^m z_2^n d\mu = 0$. Then Lemma 3.3 below asserts that $1_{\Gamma} c |f_j|^2 d\lambda = 0$, and $1_{\Gamma} |f_j|^2 w_R d(\sigma \times \mu_2) = 1_{\Gamma} d(\sigma \times \xi)$, where ξ is the Borel measure on \mathbf{T} defined by $\xi(S) = \int_{\mathbf{T} \times S} |f_j|^2 d\mu$. Now $\xi \ll \mu_2$, so for some nonnegative μ_2 -integrable function $\Phi_j(z_2)$, we have $|f_j|^2 w_R = \Phi_j$. This forces the set A to be μ_2 -nonnull, and Λ_j to be of the form $(\mathbf{T} \times K_j) \cap \Gamma$, where K_j is a μ_2 -nonnull subset of A. Since this is true for all j, we conclude that $\mathcal{R}_0 \perp L^2(d\lambda)$, $|f|^2 w_R$ is a nonnegative μ_2 -integrable function Φ of z_2 only, and f is nonvanishing exactly on $(\mathbf{T} \times K) \cap \Gamma$, K being the disjoint union $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} K_j$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_0 = \sup\{z_1^m z_2^n f : m \geq 0, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. This remains true with f replaced by h, where $h = f\Phi^{-1/2} 1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$. Note that $|h|^2 w_R = 1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$ as well.

The space sp $\{z_1^m z_2^n h : m, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ must be exactly $1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)} L^2(\mu)$. Furthermore, $z_1^m z_2^n h \perp \mathcal{M}$ whenever m < 0. Hence $R_{\infty} = 1_{\Omega} L_2(\mu)$ for some Borel set $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)$. This proves the necessity of the representation. Its sufficiency is immediate. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let ν be a finite nonnegative Borel measure on \mathbf{T}^2 . Define the Borel measure ν_2 on \mathbf{T} by $\nu_2(S) = \nu(\mathbf{T} \times S)$. If $\int z_1^m z_2^n d\nu = 0$ for all $m \neq 0$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\nu = \sigma \times \nu_2$.

Proof. Let E and F be open arcs of \mathbf{T} . Since $1_F(z_2)$ can be estimated boundedly and pointwise by a polynomial in z_2 and \bar{z}_2 , we have, for all nonzero m, $\int z_1^m 1_F(z_2) d\nu = 0$. Now if $p(z_1)$ is a finite sum $\sum a_m z_1^m$, then $\int_{\mathbf{T} \times F} p(z_1) d\nu = a_0 \nu(\mathbf{T} \times F) = a_0 \nu_2(F)$. But $1_E(z_1)$ can be estimated boundedly and pointwise by such sums. Thus

$$\nu(E \times F) = \int_{\mathbf{T} \times F} 1_E(z_1) \, d\nu$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{T}} 1_E(z_1) \, d\sigma(z_1) \cdot \nu_2(z_2)$$
$$= \sigma(E) \cdot \nu_2(F).$$

The equality $\nu = \sigma \times \nu_2$ extends to the Borel sets of \mathbf{T}^2 .

4. The four-fold Halmos decomposition. We turn our attention to a wider class of invariant subspaces. As in [3], we say that \mathcal{M} has a four-fold Halmos decomposition if it is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$$

where each component space is invariant (for V_1 and V_2); the operators $V_1 \mid \mathcal{M}_0$, $V_2 \mid \mathcal{M}_0$, $V_1 \mid \mathcal{M}_1$ and $V_2 \mid \mathcal{M}_2$ are pure shifts; and the operators $V_2 \mid \mathcal{M}_1$, $V_1 \mid \mathcal{M}_2$, $V_1 \mid \mathcal{M}_\infty$ and $V_2 \mid \mathcal{M}_\infty$ are unitary.

If \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace of $L^2(\mu)$, then we can construct a candidate for this decomposition as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} V_1^m \mathcal{M}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_2^n \mathcal{M}$$
 $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{R}_{\infty}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}.$

Now consider the orthosum

$$\mathcal{M}' = (\mathcal{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_0) \oplus (\mathcal{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_\infty) \oplus (\mathcal{R}_\infty \cap \mathcal{T}_0) \oplus (\mathcal{R}_\infty \cap \mathcal{T}_\infty).$$

As observed in [3], \mathcal{M} has a four-fold Halmos decomposition only if \mathcal{M}' is all of \mathcal{M} , and its component spaces are invariant. Let us examine these issues more closely. Take $P(\mathcal{N})$ to denote the projection of $L^2(\mu)$ onto the subspace \mathcal{N} .

Proposition 4.1. The following are equivalent.

- (i) The orthosum for \mathcal{M}' spans all of \mathcal{M} .
- (ii) The spaces $\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \ominus (\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\infty} \ominus (\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$ are orthogonal.
 - (iii) The projections $P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})$ and $P(\mathcal{T}_{\infty})$ commute.

Proof. Assume (i). Then $\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = (\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{0}) \oplus (\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$, and $\mathcal{T}_{\infty} = (\mathcal{R}_{0} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty}) \oplus (\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$. But $(\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{0}) \perp (\mathcal{R}_{0} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$, giving (ii). If (ii) holds, then $[P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}) - P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})][P(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}) - P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})] = 0$, yielding $P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty})P(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}) = P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$. Similarly, $P(\mathcal{T}_{\infty})P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty}) = P(\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty})$, and (iii) follows. That (iii) implies (i) is [3, Theorem 1.1]. □

This result can be made more descriptive by exhibiting the structure of \mathcal{R}_{∞} and \mathcal{T}_{∞} . (The symbols defined in Section 2 persist.)

Proposition 4.2. There exist subsets K of A, Λ of B, and Ω of $[\Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)] \cap [\Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})]$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_T : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \right\} \oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_R : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

where $|h_T| = w_T^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbf{T})}$ and $|h_R| = w_R^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega} L^2(\mu)$.

Proof. The results of Section 3 show that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\infty} = \operatorname{sp} \{ z_1^m z_2^n h_T : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \} \oplus 1_{\Omega_T} L^2(\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \operatorname{sp} \{ z_1^m z_2^n h_R : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \} \oplus 1_{\Omega_R} L^2(\mu)$$

with h_R and h_T as claimed, $\Omega_T \subset \Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})$, and $\Omega_R \subset \Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)$. We check that sp $\{z_1^m z_2^n h_T : m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 0\} \perp 1_{\Omega_R} L^2(\mu)$, and sp $\{z_1^m z_2^n h_R : m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 0\} \perp 1_{\Omega_T} L^2(\mu)$. For if $g \in 1_{\Omega_T} L^2(\mu)$ and $m \geq 0$, then

$$\int z_1^{-m-1} z_2^n h_R \bar{g} \, d\mu = \int h_R \overline{(z_1^{-m-1} z_2^{-n} g)} \, d\mu$$

which vanishes since $z_1^{-m-1}z_2^{-n}g \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h_R \perp z_1\mathcal{M}$. Next, note that R_{∞} includes $\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} V_1^m \mathcal{T}_{\infty} = 1_{\Omega_R} L^2(\mu)$, and likewise \mathcal{T}_{∞} includes $1_{\Omega_T} L^2(\mu)$. This forces $\Omega_R = \Omega_T$. Dropping the subscripts, we see that $\mathcal{R}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\infty} = 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu)$. This verifies the claims. \square

Lemma 4.3. The orthosum for \mathcal{M}' spans all of \mathcal{M} if and only if $\sigma(K) = 0$ or $\sigma(\Lambda) = 0$, where K and Λ are as in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. This follows from the equivalence of (i) and (ii) in Proposition 4.1. For (ii) holds if and only if $\int (z_1^m z_2^n h_R) \overline{(z_1^s z_2^t h_T)} d\mu = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $t \geq 0$. This, in turn, is equivalent to the condition $1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)} \cdot 1_{\Delta \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbf{T})} = 0$, almost everywhere $[\mu]$; or $1_{\Lambda \times K} = 0$, almost everywhere $[\sigma^2]$.

With that, the following representations hold.

Theorem 4.4. The invariant subspace \mathcal{M} has a four-fold Halmos decomposition with $\mathcal{M}_0 = (0)$ if and only if there exist subsets K of A, Λ of B and Ω of $[\Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)] \cap [\Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})]$, such that $\sigma(K) \cdot \sigma(\Lambda) = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ h_R z_1^m z_2^n : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$
$$\oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ h_T z_1^m z_2^n : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$
$$\oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

where $|h_R| = w_R^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$, and $|h_T| = w_T^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbf{T})}$.

Proof. It remains only to check that the component spaces are invariant, and this is obvious. \Box

Theorem 4.5. The invariant subspace \mathcal{M} has a four-fold Halmos decomposition with $\mathcal{M}_0 \neq (0)$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the weight function w is positive, almost everywhere $[\sigma^2]$;
- (ii) \mathcal{M}_0 is a nontrivial proper subspace of $L^2(w d\sigma^2)$ on which V_1 and V_2 are pure shifts;
- (iii) There exist subsets K of A, Λ of B, and Ω of $[\Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)] \cap [\Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})]$, such that $\sigma(K) = \sigma(A) = \sigma^2(\Omega) = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_R : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$
$$\oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_T : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$
$$\oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

where $|h_R| = w_R^{-1/2} 1_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$, and $|h_T| = w_T^{-1/2} 1_{\Delta \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbf{T})}$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{M} has a four-fold Halmos decomposition, and $\mathcal{M}_0 \neq (0)$. Since $\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} V_1^m \mathcal{M}_0 = (0) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_2^n \mathcal{M}_0$, it must be that $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq 1_E L^2(\mu) = L^2(w \, d\sigma^2)$. Choose a nonzero f in $\mathcal{M}_0 \ominus V_1 \mathcal{M}_0$. Observe that $\int |f|^2 z_1^m z_2^m w \, d\sigma^2 = 0$ whenever $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, and whenever $m \leq 1$ and $n \leq 0$. Hence there is an F in the Hardy

space $H^1(\mathbf{T}^2)$ such that $|f(z_1, z_2)|^2 w(z_1, z_2) = \text{Re } F(z_1, \bar{z}_2)$, almost everywhere $[\sigma^2]$. Since this is nonnegative, and not identically zero, it cannot vanish on a set of σ^2 -positive measure. This verifies conditions (i) and (ii). The pairwise orthogonality of the component spaces forces $\sigma(K) = \sigma(\Lambda) = \sigma^2(\Omega) = 0$. The rest of (iii) follows as in Theorem 4.4.

The sufficiency part can be checked by inspection.

The condition (ii) in Theorem 4.5 is not very satisfying. However, it seems that such \mathcal{M}_0 do not admit a simple characterization. Hence, in the next section, we consider invariant subspaces with an additional property.

5. Invariant subspaces of Beurling type. Extending the terminology of [1] and [4], we say that an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ is of Beurling type if $V_1 \mid \mathcal{M}$ commutes with $(V_2 \mid \mathcal{M})^*$, i.e., if V_1 and V_2 are doubly commuting on \mathcal{M} . We wish to describe all such invariant subspaces. This was done for $\mu = \sigma^2$ in [1], and for $\mathcal{M} \subseteq H^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ in [4, 5].

Let an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\mu)$ be given, and let \mathcal{H} be the subspace $(\mathcal{M} \ominus V_1, \mathcal{M}) \cap (\mathcal{M} \ominus V_2 \mathcal{M})$.

Theorem 5.1. The invariant subspace \mathcal{M} is of Beurling type and \mathcal{H} is trivial, if and only if there exist Borel subsets K of A, Λ of B, and Ω of $[\Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)] \cap [\Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})]$, such that $\sigma(K)\sigma(\Lambda) = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ h_R z_1^m z_2^n : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

$$\oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ h_T z_1^m z_2^n : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$

$$\oplus 1_{\Omega} \mathbf{L}^2(\mu),$$

where $|h_R| = w_R^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma \cap (\mathbf{T} \times K)}$, and $|h_T| = w_T^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta \cap (\Lambda \times \mathbf{T})}$.

Proof. Sufficiency is established by noting that at least one of V_1 and V_2 is unitary on each component space. Hence, V_1 and V_2 doubly commute on \mathcal{M} . Necessity is immediate from (b) \Rightarrow (c) in [3, Theorem 4.2], followed by Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 5.2. The invariant subspace \mathcal{M} is of Beurling type, and

1316

 ${\cal H}$ is nontrivial, if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) the weight function w is positive, almost everywhere $[\sigma^2]$;
- (ii) there exist subsets K of A, Λ of B and Ω of $[\Gamma^c \cup (\mathbf{T} \times K^c)] \cap [\Delta^c \cup (\Lambda^c \times \mathbf{T})]$, such that $\sigma(K) = \sigma(\Lambda) = \sigma^2(\Omega) = 0$, and such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h : m, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$

$$\oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_R : m \ge 0, \ n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

$$\oplus \operatorname{sp} \left\{ z_1^m z_2^n h_T : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$

$$\oplus 1_{\Omega} L^2(\mu),$$

Proof. For sufficiency, use unitarity of V_1 or V_2 on the last three component spaces; cite (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) of [6, Theorem 1] for the first.

To prove necessity, apply (b) \Rightarrow (c) in [3, Theorem 4.2], then Theorem 4.4. This verifies the assertions concerning the last three component spaces, and gives

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus V_1^m V_2^n \mathcal{H}.$$

As in the proof of [4, Theorem 2], we have that \mathcal{H} is spanned by a single unit vector h, and $\int |h|^2 z_1^m z_2^n w \, d\sigma = 0$ unless m = n = 0. This shows that $|h| = w^{-1/2} 1_E$.

Remark 5.3. Take $\mu = \sigma^2$.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{M} = \sup \{z_1^m z_2^n : m \geq 0 \text{ or } n \geq 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$. However, $\mathcal{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{R}_\infty \cap \mathcal{T}_0$ fail to be invariant (see [3, p. 187]).
- (b) Let $\mathcal{M}=\sup\{z_1^mz_2^n:m+n\geq 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\infty}=(0)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}=(0)$. Yet, $\mathcal{H}=\sup\{z_1^mz_2^{-m}:m\in\mathbf{Z}\}$, which is infinite dimensional.

So complications arise even in the Lebesgue case.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Ghatage and V. Mandrekar, On Beurling type invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and their equivalence, J. Operator Theory 20 (1988), 83–89.
 - 2. H. Helson, Lectures on invariant subspaces, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- 3. G. Kallianpur and V. Mandrekar, Non-deterministic random fields and Wold and Halmos decompositions for commuting isometries, in Prediction Theory and Harmonic Analysis (V. Mandrekar and H. Salehi, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- 4. V. Mandrekar, On the validity of Beurling theorems in polydiscs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 145–148.
- 5. J. Radlow, *Ideals of square summable power series in several variables*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 293–297.
- 6. M. Slocinski, On Wold-type decomposition of a pair of commuting isometries, Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), 255–262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, LOUISVILLE, KY 40292