

**SOME ISOMORPHIC PREDUALS OF  $\ell_1$   
WHICH ARE ISOMORPHIC TO  $c_0$**

HELMUT KNAUST

ABSTRACT. We introduce property  $(FS)$ , which asserts that a Banach space has many  $c_0$ -“sub-decompositions,” and show that if  $X$  is a Banach space with property  $(FS)$  and  $X^*$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ , then  $X$  itself is isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

**1. Introduction.** For quite some time it has been of interest to find out under what circumstances a separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space is isomorphic to the simplest example of an  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space, namely  $c_0$ .

Ghoussoub and Johnson [3] showed that a separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space, which embeds into an order continuous Banach lattice, is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . (This result is based on earlier results by Johnson and Zippin [6] and Rosenthal [9].)

Using isometric methods, Godenfroy and Li [5] showed that a separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space, which can be renormed into an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual, is also isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

Godofroy, Kalton and Saphar [4, Proposition 7.8] showed that  $c_0$  is the only isometric predual of  $\ell_1$ , which is a  $u$ -ideal.

In [5] the authors pose the question whether an isometric predual of  $\ell_1$ , which has property  $(u)$ , is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . We give a partial result in this direction:

**Definition 1.** A separable Banach space  $X$  has *property  $(FS)$* , if every shrinking finite-dimensional decomposition  $(F_n)$  of  $X$  has the following property: Every increasing sequence  $(m_n)$  of positive integers has a further subsequence  $(k_n)$ , so that  $(F_{k_n})$  is a  $c_0$ -decomposition for its closed linear span  $[F_{k_n}]$ .

We call a sequence  $(F_n)$  of subspaces of a Banach space  $X$  a  $c_0$ -*decomposition for its closed linear span*, if it satisfies the following:

---

Received by the editors on June 10, 1994.  
1991 AMS *Subject Classification*. Primary 46B03, 46B25.

Copyright ©1997 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

There is a constant  $C$  so that for all  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ , for all  $(x_n)_{n=1}^N$  with  $\|x_n\| \leq 1$  and  $x_n \in F_n$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| \leq C.$$

Our main result is

**Theorem 2.** *A Banach space  $X$  with property  $(FS)$ , whose dual  $X^*$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ , is isomorphic to  $c_0$ .*

Property  $(FS)$  is an FDD-version of property  $(S)$ , which was introduced by Knaust and Odell [7]. A Banach space  $X$  has *property  $(S)$* , if every normalized weakly null sequence in  $X$  contains a  $c_0$ -subsequence. It was shown in [7] that property  $(S)$  is equivalent to the following: Every weak Cauchy sequence of elements  $(x_n) \in Ba(X)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{m_i})$ , so that for some constant  $C$ ,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_{m_{i+1}}) - x^*(x_{m_i})| \leq C \quad \text{for all } x^* \in Ba(X^*).$$

Thus property  $(S)$  implies property  $(u)$ , where (1) holds for far out convex combinations of the sequence  $(x_n)$  instead of for a subsequence.

Let us remark that Bourgain and Delbaen [1] constructed an example of a separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space which satisfies the Schur property and thus properties  $(u)$ ,  $(S)$  and  $(FS)$ . Therefore, it is necessary to restrict the investigation from separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces to isomorphic preduals of  $\ell_1$ .

Our notation is standard and can be found, e.g., in [8].

**2. Proof of the main result.** It suffices to show

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space with a shrinking finite dimensional decomposition. Then if  $X$  has property  $(FS)$ ,  $X$  has a finite-dimensional decomposition, which is a  $c_0$ -decomposition (for the whole space).*

We obtain Theorem 2 as follows.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $X$  be an isomorphic predual of  $\ell_1$  with property  $(FS)$ . Since  $\ell_1$ 's standard basis is boundedly complete, it follows that  $X$  itself has a shrinking basis  $(x_n)$  (see [8, pp. 8–10]). Trivially,  $(x_n)$  is a shrinking FDD; thus,  $X$  possesses a  $c_0$ -decomposition  $(G_n)$  by Proposition 3. Consequently,  $X$  is isomorphic to the  $c_0$ -sum of the spaces  $(G_n)$ . An  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space of this form is isomorphic to  $c_0$  (see [9, pp. 102–103]).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.* Let  $(F_n)$  be a shrinking FDD for  $X$ . We will show that an appropriately chosen blocking of the given FDD is a  $c_0$ -decomposition for  $X$ .

Given an increasing sequence  $M = (m_n)$  of positive integers, we let  $(G_n^M)_{n=1}^\infty$  be the shrinking FDD obtained by setting

$$G_n^M = \text{span} \{F_{m_{n-1}+1}, F_{m_{n-1}+2}, \dots, F_{m_n}\}.$$

(Here we let  $m_0 = 0$ .)

We define

$$\mathcal{A} = \{M = (m_n)_{n=1}^\infty \mid (G_{2n-1}^M)_{n=1}^\infty \text{ is a } c_0\text{-decomposition}\}.$$

The set

$$\mathcal{A}(C, N) := \left\{ M = (m_n)_{n=1}^\infty \mid \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N x_{2n-1} \right\| \leq C \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } x_{2n-1} \in Ba(G_{2n-1}^M) \right\}$$

is a closed (and open) set for all  $C, N \in \mathbf{N}$ , when the infinite subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  are endowed with the relative topology, induced by the product topology on  $2^{\mathbf{N}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{C \in \mathbf{N}} \cap_{N \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{A}(C, N)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey set [2, 10].

Consequently, there is a subsequence  $L = (l_n) \subset \mathbf{N}$  so that either  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  for all subsequences  $M \subset L$  or  $M \notin \mathcal{A}$  for all subsequences  $M \subset L$ .

By property  $(FS)$ , the second Ramsey alternative must fail; indeed, applying property  $(FS)$  to the FDD  $(G_n^L)$ , we find a subsequence  $(n_k)$  so

that  $(G_{n_k}^L)$  is a  $c_0$ -decomposition. We may assume that  $n_{k+1} > n_k + 1$ . We let

$$M = \{l_{n_1}, l_{n_2-1}, l_{n_2}, l_{n_3-1}, \dots\},$$

and observe that  $M \subset L$  and  $(G_n^M) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

So the first Ramsey alternative holds. Let  $L' = \{l_2, l_3, \dots\}$ . Both  $L$  and  $L'$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This means that there are constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  so that, for all  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N x_{2n-1} \right\| \leq C_1 \quad \text{for all } x_{2n-1} \in Ba(G_{2n-1}^L),$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N x_{2n-1} \right\| \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } x_{2n-1} \in Ba(G_{2n-1}^{L'}).$$

Since  $G_{2n-1}^{L'} = G_{2n}^L$  for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(G_n^L)$  is a  $c_0$ -decomposition for the whole space  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3. Remarks.

1. Naturally the questions arise, whether  $\ell_1$ -preduals with property  $(S)$  have property  $(FS)$ , and whether property  $(u)$  implies property  $(S)$  among  $\ell_1$ -preduals.

2. It is easy to check that  $c_0$  has property  $(FS)$ .

3. Not every separable Banach space with property  $(S)$  has property  $(FS)$ ; indeed, we have the following example.

**Example 4.** There is a Banach space with a shrinking unconditional basis, which satisfies property  $(S)$ , but fails property  $(FS)$ .

*Proof.* We use a tree-space example constructed by Talagrand [11]. Let  $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^n$  denote a binary tree. An element  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  is called a node. If  $\varphi = (\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^n$  with  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , we say  $\varphi$  has length  $|\varphi| = n$ . As usual, we define a partial order on  $\mathcal{D}$  as follows:  $\varphi \preceq \psi$  if, writing

$\varphi = (\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $\psi = (\delta_i)_{i=1}^m$ ,  $n \leq m$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \delta_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are incomparable, we write  $\varphi \parallel \psi$ .

For  $x \in c_{00}$ , let

$$\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ \left( \sum_{|\varphi|=n} \sup_{\psi \succeq \varphi} |x(\psi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$

The Banach space  $T$  is the completion of  $c_{00}$  under this norm.

Let  $(e_\varphi)$  denote the standard basis in its lexicographical order, defined by  $e_\varphi(\psi) = 1$ , if  $\varphi = \psi$  and  $e_\varphi(\psi) = 0$  otherwise. Obviously,  $(e_\varphi)$  is a 1-unconditional basis. Since  $\ell_1$  does not embed into  $T$  [11], it follows that the basis is shrinking. Talagrand showed that  $T$  has property  $(S)$ .

We claim that  $T$  fails property  $(FS)$ . Consider the finite-dimensional decomposition  $(F_n)$ , defined by

$$F_n = [e_\varphi]_{|\varphi|=n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Clearly  $(F_n)$  is a shrinking decomposition for  $T$ . We show that  $(F_n)$  has no  $c_0$ -sub-decomposition.

Let  $(m_n)$  be a subsequence of  $\mathbf{N}$ . We choose inductively a sequence of nodes  $\varphi_n \in F_{m_n}$ . Let  $\varphi_1$  be such that  $|\varphi_1| = m_1$ .

Once the  $\varphi_n$ 's have been chosen for  $n = 2^{k-1}, \dots, 2^k - 1$ , we proceed as follows: Let  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  denote the two distinct successor nodes of  $\varphi_n$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_n \neq \beta_n$ ,  $\alpha_n \succeq \varphi_n$ ,  $\beta_n \succeq \varphi_n$  and  $|\alpha_n| = |\beta_n| = m_n + 1$ . Then choose  $\varphi_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 2^k, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1$  so that  $|\varphi_\ell| = m_\ell$  for all  $\ell = 2^k, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1$ , and so that  $\varphi_{2n} \succeq \alpha_n$ ,  $\varphi_{2n+1} \succeq \beta_n$  for  $n = 2^{k-1}, \dots, 2^k - 1$ . The construction ensures that  $\varphi_{2n} \parallel \varphi_{2n+1}$  for  $n = 2^{k-1}, \dots, 2^k - 1$ , and that  $\varphi_n = \min(\varphi_{2n}, \varphi_{2n+1})$  for  $n = 2^{k-1}, \dots, 2^k - 1$ , i.e., whenever a node  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$  satisfies  $\varphi_{2n} \succeq \psi$  and  $\varphi_{2n+1} \succeq \psi$ , then  $\varphi_n \succeq \psi$ .

Clearly,  $e_{\varphi_n} \in F_{m_n}$  and  $\|e_{\varphi_n}\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ; on the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} e_{\varphi_n} \right\| \geq 2^{k/2} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus  $(F_{m_n})$  fails to be a  $c_0$ -decomposition.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, *A class of special  $L^\infty$ -spaces*, Acta Math. **145** (1980), 155–176.
2. E.E. Ellentuck, *A new proof that analytic sets are Ramsey*, J. Symbolic Logic **39** (1974), 163–165.
3. N. Ghoussoub and W.B. Johnson, *Factoring operators through Banach lattices not containing  $C(0,1)$* , Math. Z. **194** (1987), 153–171.
4. G. Godefroy, N.J. Kalton and D. Saphar, *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **104** (1993), 13–59.
5. G. Godefroy and D. Li, *Some natural families of  $M$ -ideals*, Math. Scand. **66** (1990), 249–263.
6. W.B. Johnson and M. Zippin, *On subspaces of quotients of  $(\Sigma G_n)_{\ell_p}$  and  $(\Sigma G_n)_{c_0}$* , Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 311–316.
7. H. Knaust and E. Odell, *On  $c_0$  sequences in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **67** (1989), 153–169.
8. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
9. H.P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of  $c_0$  and some remarks concerning the Grothendieck property*, in *Longhorn Notes 1982–83*, The University of Texas, Austin, 95–108.
10. J. Silver, *Every analytic set is Ramsey*, J. Symbolic Logic **35** (1970), 60–64.
11. M. Talagrand, *La propriété de Dunford-Pettis dans  $C(K, E)$  et  $L^1(E)$* , Israel J. Math. **44** (1983), 317–321.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO,  
EL PASO, TX 79968-0514, USA  
E-mail address: [helmut@math.utep.edu](mailto:helmut@math.utep.edu)