BOCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 27, Number 4, Fall 1997

ON THE STRUCTURE OF ROSENTHAL'S SPACE X_{φ} IN ORLICZ FUNCTION SPACES

CÉSAR RUIZ

ABSTRACT. Several kinds of complemented subspaces of Orlicz function spaces $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ are studied. In particular X_{φ} , a natural generalization of Rosenthal's spaces X_p , $1 \le p \le \infty$, is analyzed. Several isomorphic and structural properties of these spaces X_{φ} are given.

0. Introduction. Given an Orlicz function space $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, what do the complemented subspaces look like? In the particular case of $L^p[0,1]$ spaces, 1 , Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [10] havegiven a characterization of their complemented subspaces in terms of \mathcal{L}_p -spaces. But later it was shown that there exist at least uncountable many mutually nonisomorphic \mathcal{L}_p -spaces, 1 [3].

In view of the above, it appears improbable that a complete classification of complemented subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ spaces will be obtained. For this reason, we limit ourselves to study here of several remarkable kinds of complemented subspaces of reflexive $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ spaces. Such spaces will be defined in Section 2, the spaces X_{φ} and $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$. The space X_{φ} was introduced in [18] as a generalization of Rosenthal's space X_p . The space X_p , 1 , was the first example of a complemented subspace of $L^p[0,1]$ nonisomorphic to the trivial subspaces $l_2, l_p, l_2 \oplus l_p$, $L^p[0,1]$ or $(l_2 \oplus l_2 \oplus \cdots)_p$. The space X_p has interesting properties which have been studied in [17, 9, 1].

In [18], the space X_{φ} has been studied in relation with the structure of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, proving that every sequence of independent symmetric random variables in $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ spans a subspace of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ isomorphically embedded in X_{φ} . Nevertheless, here, the structure of these kinds of complemented subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, their isomorphic properties, and

Copyright ©1997 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Received by the editors on April 7, 1993, and in revised form on March 24, 1997. 1991 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30, Secondary 46A45.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz function spaces, complemented subspaces, Rosenthal's space X_{φ} , weighted Orlicz sequence spaces. The author was partially supported by D.G.I.C.Y.T. PB 91-0377.

the relationship between them can be studied using the weight Orlicz sequence spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$ (they have already been used in [5, 7, 16]).

Section 3 is dedicated to investigating the spaces $l^{p}(w)$, in particular when the weight sequence w verifies that $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n} = \infty$. These spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$ are all mutually isomorphic, Theorem 3.5; and they have an unconditional basis. But, in general, they do not have any symmetric basis as we prove in Proposition 3.4. Afterward, isomorphic properties of these spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$ are also given. It is remarkable that some of these properties are always verified for every Banach space with a symmetric basis.

Finally, in Section 4 we show that the complemented subspaces $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n < \infty$, are not isomorphic to any subspace of X_{φ} when $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} > 2$, being $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}$ the lower index of φ at ∞ .

1. Preliminaries. An Orlicz function φ is a convex nondecreasing continuous function defined for $x \geq 0$ so that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $\varphi(x) \xrightarrow[x\to\infty]{} \infty$. We always assume that $\varphi \in \Delta_2$, by which we mean φ verifies the Δ_2 -condition, i.e., there exists a K > 0 such that $\varphi(2x) \leq K\varphi(x)$ for each x > 0. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a positive measure space. The Orlicz space $L^{\varphi}(\mu)$ is defined as the set of equivalence classes of μ -measurable scalar functions f on Ω such that

$$I_{\varphi}(f) = \int \varphi(|f(t)|) \, d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

The space $L^{\varphi}(\mu)$, endowed with Luxemburg norm

$$||f||_{\varphi} = \inf \{r > 0 : I_{\varphi}(f/r) \le 1\},\$$

is a separable Banach space. For $\Omega = [0, 1]$ or $(0, \infty)$ and μ the Lebesgue measure, we denote $L^{\varphi}(\mu)$ by $L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$ or $L^{\varphi}(0, \infty)$, respectively.

For $\Omega = \mathbf{N}$ and $w = (w_n = \mu(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we get the weighted Orlicz sequence spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$. The unit vector sequence $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an unconditional basis in $l^{\varphi}(w)$. When $w_n = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then we denote by l^{φ} the usual Orlicz sequence space. Moreover, in this case the basis (e_n) is symmetric. Two Orlicz functions φ and ψ are said to be equivalent, $\varphi \sim \psi$ if there exists a constant K > 0 such that $K^{-1}\varphi(x) \leq \psi(x) \leq K\varphi(x)$ for every $x \geq 0$; in the same way, it defines the equivalence at 0 or at ∞ .

Recall that an Orlicz function φ is *p*-convex, respectively *q*-concave, if $\varphi(x)/x^p$ is nondecreasing on \mathbf{R}^+ , respectively $\varphi(x)/x^q$ is nonincreasing.

For other properties on Orlicz functions, as the associated indices, as well as our terminology, we refer to the books of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [12, 13], see also [11, 14, 15, 20].

2. Complemented subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$. Let *I* be the interval [0,1] or $(0,\infty)$, and $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of mutually disjoint measurable subsets of *I*. The averaging projection *P*, defined by

(1)
$$P(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{A_n} f(t) \, d\mu(t)}{\mu(A_n)} \chi_{A_n}$$

from $L^{\varphi}(I)$ onto $[\chi_{A_n}]$, shows that $[\chi_{A_n}]$ is complemented in $L^{\varphi}(I)$ [13, Theorem 2.a.4]. Moreover, it is obvious that $[\chi_{A_n}]$ is isometric to the space $l^{\varphi}(w)$ where $w = (w_n = \mu(A_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

For our purposes we need to consider two representations of a reflexive and separable Orlicz function space $L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$:

A) $L^{\varphi}[0,1] \approx L^{\bar{\varphi}}(0,\infty)$ [8, Theorem 8.6], where $\bar{\varphi}(x) = x^2$ if $x \in [0,1]$ and $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ if x > 1.

B) $L^{\varphi}[0,1] \approx L^{\varphi}(l_2)$, where $L^{\varphi}(l_2)$ is the completion of the space of all sequences $(f_1, f_2, ...)$ of functions of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ which are eventually zero, with respect to the norm

$$\|(f_1, f_2, \dots)\|_{L^{\varphi}(l_2)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\varphi}$$

(cf. [13, Theorem 2.d.4]). Of course, $L^{\varphi}(l_2)$ is isometric to the Bochner space $L^{\varphi}(([0,1], \Sigma, \mu), l_2)$.

The above representation A) together with (1) yields that the weighted Orlicz sequence spaces $l^{\bar{\varphi}}(w)$ are isomorphic to complemented subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, for every weight sequence w. It is not hard to recognize the following four cases:

- i) If $\inf_n w_n > 0$, then $l^{\overline{\varphi}}(w) = l_2$.
- ii) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n < \infty$, then $l^{\bar{\varphi}}(w) = l^{\varphi}(w)$.

iii) If $\inf_{n} w_{n} = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n} = \infty$ and for every subsequence $(w_{n_{k}})$ with $w_{n_{k}} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 0$ it holds that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{n_{k}} < \infty$, then a subsequence $(w_{n_{k}})$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{n_{k}} < \infty$ can be found so that $l^{\bar{\varphi}}(w) = l_{2} \oplus l^{\varphi}(w_{n_{k}})$. iv) If $w \in \Lambda$, we mean that there exists a subsequence $(w_{n_{k}})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

(*)
$$w_{n_k} \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{n_k} = \infty,$$

we obtain the class of spaces $l^{\bar{\varphi}}(w)$ which are mutually isomorphic as we will prove in the next section, Theorem 3.5.

In this paper, if $w \in \Lambda$, we may and will assume, without loss of generality, that the whole sequence verifies (*).

Definition 2.1. Let X_{φ} be the class of spaces $l^{\bar{\varphi}}(w)$, where $w \in \Lambda$.

This definition can be found in [18], where it is proved that $X_{\varphi} = X_p$ when $\varphi(x) = x^p$, since X_p is Rosenthal's space. Also in [18, 16] there can be found a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Each subspace of a separable Orlicz function space $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ spanned either by a sequence of mutually disjoint functions or by a sequence of independent symmetric random variables is isomorphic to a subspace of X_{φ} .

We now introduce other complemented subspaces, with unconditional basis, of $L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$, which have the property that they are not isomorphic to a subspace of X_{φ} , as we will see in Section 4.

Using the representation B) and considering the spaces

$$l^{\varphi}(w)(l_{2}) = \left\{ ((x_{n,k})_{k})_{n} \in l_{2}^{\mathbf{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{n,k}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right) w_{n} < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_{n,k})\|_{l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)} = \|(\|(x_{n,k})_k\|_2)_n\|_{\varphi}$$

we have the following result:

Proposition 2.3. Let $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ be a reflexive Orlicz function space. For every weight sequence w with finite sum, the space $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$. Furthermore, when $2 < \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}$ or $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$, $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \leq 1$. Let $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence of mutually disjoint intervals of [0, 1] such that $\mu(A_n) = w_n$ for each n. Consider the elements $(r_{n,k})$ of $L^{\varphi}(l_2)$ defined by

$$r_{n,k} = (0, 0, \dots, \chi_{A_n}^k, 0, \dots).$$

Then $[r_{n,k}]$ is isometric to $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$.

In addition, if $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} > 2$, we can assume that $\varphi(x^{1/2})$ is a convex function, then $[r_{n,k}]$ is complemented in $L^{\varphi}(l_2)$. To see this we define the projection

$$P((f_k)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A_n} f_k(s) \, ds \Big/ \mu(A_n) \right) r_{n,k}.$$

Set $g(x) = x^{1/2}$, so we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \varphi \circ g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A_{n}} f_{k}(s) \, ds \big/ \mu(A_{n})\right) \chi_{A_{n}}(t)\right|^{2}\right) dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n}} \varphi \circ g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|\int_{A_{n}} f_{k}(s) \, ds \big/ \mu(A_{n})\right|^{2}\right) dt,$$

applying the Jensen inequality twice,

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \left(\int_{A_n} \varphi \circ g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(s)|^2 \right) ds / \mu(A_n) \right) dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \varphi \circ g\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(s)|^2 \right) ds$$
$$= \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(s)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) ds.$$

Hence $||P((f_k))||_{L^{\varphi}(l_2)} \le ||(f_k)||_{L^{\varphi}(l_2)}$.

Now the case $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$ follows by duality.

3. Weighted Orlicz sequence spaces. In this section weighted Orlicz sequence spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$, whose weight w belongs to the class Λ , are considered. First, some structural results on $l^{\varphi}(w)$ spaces are given. Afterwards, we will state some isomorphic properties of these spaces.

Drewnowski proved in [4] that, given $w \in \Lambda$, then for every weight sequence $v = (v_n)$ the unit vector basis of $l^{\varphi}(v)$ is equivalent to a block basic sequence with constant coefficients of the unit vector basis of $l^{\varphi}(w)$. Using this, the next result can be deduced from Theorems 1.1, 1.5 and 1.6 of Nielsen [15] (as was done in [16]). Consider $\alpha_{\varphi}^0, \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}, \beta_{\varphi}^0$ and β_{φ}^{∞} , the Matuszewka indices and

$$C_{\varphi}(0,\infty) = \overline{\operatorname{co}}\left\{\frac{\varphi(sx)}{\varphi(x)} : s > 0\right\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $l^{\varphi}(w)$ be a weighted Orlicz sequence space with $w \in \Lambda$ and X be a Banach space with a symmetric basis $(e_n)_n$. a) $X \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$ if and only if $X \approx l^{\psi}$ for some Orlicz function $\psi \in C_{\varphi}(0, \infty)$ and (e_n) is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^{ψ} . b) If $X \approx l^p$, then the statement a) is equivalent to either $p \in [\alpha_{\varphi}^0, \beta_{\varphi}^0] \cup [\alpha_{\varphi}^\infty, \beta_{\varphi}^\infty]$ or $p \in [\alpha_{\varphi}^\infty, \beta_{\varphi}^0]$; the last case holds when $\beta_{\varphi}^\infty \leq \alpha_{\varphi}^0$.

Under some restricted conditions we can give further information on the subspaces of $l^{\varphi}(w)$, which will be useful in Section 4.

Proposition 3.2. Let φ be an Orlicz function such that $\varphi(x) = x^2$ for every $x \in [0,1]$ and $1 \leq \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leq \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leq 2$. Then for every q-convex and 2-concave Orlicz function ψ , with $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < q$, there exists another Orlicz function $\phi \in C_{\varphi}(0,\infty)$ so that $\psi \circ \phi$. Therefore, $l^{\psi} \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$ for every $w \in \Lambda$.

Proof. We may assume that

$$\varphi(x) \le Cx^2$$
 for every $x \in [0, 1]$
 $\varphi(x) \le Cx^r$ for every $x \ge 1$

for some constant C > 0 and for some $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leq r < q < 2$. According to Corollary 2.2 in [20] we may also assume that ψ has continuous second derivative. So, we have

(2)
$$r < q \le x\psi'(x)/\psi(x)$$
 for every $x > 0$

and ψ is not equivalent to either of the functions x^r or x^2 . Of course, $x^2 \in C_{\varphi}(0, \infty)$.

Consider $N(x) = \psi(x^{1/2-r})/x^{r/2-r}$ for every $x \in [0,1]$. The increasing function N satisfies

i) N(x)/x is a decreasing function, $N(x)/x \xrightarrow[x \to 0]{} \infty$, and $N(x) \ge N'(x)x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$,

ii) $N(x) \xrightarrow[x \to 0]{\to} 0$,

iii) there exists s > 0 such that $xN'(x)/N(x) \ge s$ for every $x \in (0, 1]$ (this follows from (2)).

Let

$$K = \int_{1}^{\infty} (r-2)t^{r-5} N''(t^{r-2})\varphi(t) \, dt$$

and

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{K} \int_{1}^{\infty} (r-2)t^{r-5} N''(t^{r-2})\varphi(xt) \, dt$$

for every $x \in [0,1]$. ϕ is an Orlicz function such that $\phi \circ \psi$ and $\phi \in C_{\varphi}(0,\infty)$. Namely,

$$\begin{split} \phi(x) &\leq \frac{Cx^r}{K} \int_{1/x}^{\infty} (r-2) t^{2r-4-1} N''(t^{r-2}) \, dt \\ &\quad + \frac{Cx^2}{K} \int_{1}^{1/x} (r-2) t^{r-3} N''(t^{r-2}) \, dt \\ &\quad = \frac{Cx^r}{K} \int_{(1/x)^{r-2}}^{0} u N''(u) \, du \\ &\quad + \frac{Cx^2}{K} \int_{1}^{(1/x)^{r-2}} N''(u) \, du \end{split}$$

integrating, and using i) and ii) we have

$$= \frac{Cx^r}{K} N\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r-2}\right) - \frac{Cx^2}{K} N'(1)$$
$$\leq \frac{Cx^r}{K} N\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r-2}\right) = \frac{C}{K} \psi(x)$$

for every $x \in [0, 1]$.

On the other hand,

$$\phi(x) \ge \frac{1}{K} \int_{1}^{1/x} (r-2)t^{r-5} N''(t^{r-2})x^{2}t^{2} dt$$

$$= \frac{x^{2}}{K} \int_{1}^{(1/x)^{r-2}} N''(u) du$$

$$= \frac{x^{2}}{K} \left(N' \left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r-2} \right) - N'(1) \right)$$

$$\ge \frac{x^{2}}{K} \left(s \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2-r} N \left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r-2} \right) - N'(1) \right)$$
(3)

where the last inequality holds by iii). In view of i) there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(s/2)(1/x)^{2-r}N((1/x)^{r-2}) - N'(1) > 0$ for every $x \in (0, \delta)$. Hence, we can deduce that

$$(3) \ge \frac{x^2}{K} \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2-r} N\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{r-2}\right) = \frac{s}{2K} \psi(x)$$

for every $x \in (0, \delta)$. Therefore, it holds $\phi \circ \psi$.

To see that $\phi \in C_{\varphi}(0,\infty)$, define

$$F(t) = (1/K)(r-2)t^{r-5}N''(t^{r-2})\varphi(t)$$
 for each $t \ge 1$.

So $\int_{1}^{\infty} F(t) dt = \phi(1) = 1$. Take the measure $\lambda(A) = \int_{A} F(t) dt$ on \sum the σ -algebra of measurable sets of $[1, \infty)$. Let $\overline{\lambda}$ be the probability measure defined on $E_{\varphi,1}^{\infty} = \overline{\{\varphi(sx)/\varphi(x) : s \ge 1\}}$ by $\overline{\lambda}(\cap_{\lambda>0} E_{\varphi,\lambda}^{\infty}) = 0$, and let

$$\bar{\lambda}\left(\left\{\frac{\varphi(sx)}{\varphi(s)}\in E^{\infty}_{\varphi,1}:s\in A\right\}\right)=\lambda(A)$$

for every measurable set $A \subset [1, \infty)$. Since

$$\phi(x) = \int_{E_{\varphi,1}^{\infty}} \frac{\varphi(sx)}{\varphi(s)} \, d\bar{\lambda}(s),$$

using the Krein-Milman theorem, we conclude that

$$\phi \in C^{\infty}_{\varphi,1} = \overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(E^{\infty}_{\varphi,1}\right) \subset C_{\varphi}(0,\infty). \qquad \Box$$

Corollary 3.3. Let φ be an Orlicz function with $1 \leq \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leq \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$. For every Orlicz function ψ with $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < \alpha_{\psi}^{0}$ and two-concave at 0, it holds that $l^{\psi} \subset X_{\varphi}$. In particular, $l_{p} \subset X_{\varphi}$ for every $p \in [\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}, 2]$.

Remark. The theorem above also holds for p > 1 instead of 2.

Now, our aim is to prove some isomorphic properties of the $l^{\varphi}(w)$ spaces where $w \in \Lambda$, which hold for Banach spaces with a symmetric basis. Nevertheless, $l^{\varphi}(w)$ spaces do not have in general such bases, as we prove in the following.

Proposition 3.4. Let φ be an Orlicz function such that $\min\{\alpha_{\varphi}^{0}, \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}\}$ > 1 and $[\alpha_{\varphi}^{0}, \beta_{\varphi}^{0}] \cap [\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}, \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty}] = \emptyset$, and w be a weight sequence belonging to Λ . Then $l^{\varphi}(w)$ does not have a symmetric basis.

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, in [19], it is enough to prove that $l^{\varphi}(w)$ is not isomorphic to any Orlicz sequence space l^{ψ} .

In the case $\beta_{\varphi}^{0} < \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}$, by Proposition 3.1, we know that $l^{p} \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$ if and only if $p \in [\alpha_{\varphi}^{0}, \beta_{\varphi}^{0}] \cup [\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}, \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty}]$. On the other hand, by Theorem 1 in [**11**] if $l^{\varphi}(w) \approx l^{\psi}$, then $p \in [\alpha_{\psi}^{0}, \beta_{\psi}^{0}]$, which is a contradiction.

The case $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < \alpha_{\varphi}^{0}$ follows by duality.

In spite of the fact that there are spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$ without symmetric basis, it holds that every weighted Orlicz sequence space $l^{\varphi}(w)$ has the following property: every block basic sequence with constant coefficients of the unit vector basis (e_n) spans a complemented subspace of $l^{\varphi}(w)$.

Indeed, let $u_i = \sum_{n \in \sigma_i} e_n$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$ be a block basic sequence with constant coefficients of (e_n) . If $s_i = \sum_{n \in \sigma_i} w_n$ for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, then the averaging projection

$$P\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n \in \sigma_i} \frac{x_n w_n}{s_i}\right) u_i$$

is a bounded linear projection from $l^{\varphi}(w)$ onto $[u_i]$.

So, given $w, w' \in \Lambda$, it follows that $l^{\varphi}(w)_c \subset l^{\varphi}(w')$ and $l^{\varphi}(w')_c \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$. The next result answers a natural question:

Theorem 3.5. Let w and w' be two weight sequences belonging to the class Λ , and let φ be an Orlicz function. Then $l^{\varphi}(w) \approx l^{\varphi}(w')$.

In order to prove this theorem and another below, we need some previous results on representations of the spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$.

Fix a weighted Orlicz sequence space $l^{\varphi}(w)$ and consider the vector space

$$l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty} = \{ x = ((x_{n,k})_k)_n \in l^{\varphi}(w)^{\mathbf{N}} \}$$

and the functional

$$\rho: l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty} \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$$
$$\rho((x_{n,k})) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x_{n,k}|) w_k.$$

It is clear that ρ is a convex functional such that $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\rho(-x) = \rho(x)$ for each $x \in l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}$. Thus, ρ is a modular functional in the sense of [14] and, from Theorem 1.5 in [14] the vector subspace

$$l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} = \{x \in l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty} : \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \rho(\lambda x) = 0\}$$

can be endowed with the norm

$$||x||_{\rho} = \inf \{r > 0 : \rho(x/r) \le 1\}.$$

Of course, the space $l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho}$ is isometric to the weighted Orlicz sequence space $l^{\varphi}(v)$ with $v = \{w_1, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_1, \dots\}.$

Now it is straightforward that $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric sum of $l^{\varphi}(w)$ in the sense given by Rosenthal in [17, p. 294]. Hence, in view of Proposition 11 in [17], we have

$$l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \approx l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \oplus l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \approx l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \oplus l^{\varphi}(w).$$

Proposition 3.6. Let w be a weight sequence belonging to Λ . Then $l^{\varphi}(w) \approx l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$. In particular, $l^{\varphi}(w)$ is isomorphic to its own square.

Proof. By Proposition 11 in [17] it is enough to observe that $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty} \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We have already shown that the spaces $l^{\varphi}(w)$ and $l^{\varphi}(w')$ are each isomorphic to their own square. Hence, using the well-known Pełczynski decomposition method, we deduce that $l^{\varphi}(w) \approx l^{\varphi}(w')$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $w \in \Lambda$ and $\varphi(x)$ be an Orlicz function nonequivalent to x at 0. If $l^{\varphi}(w) = H \oplus Y$, then either H or Y contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $l^{\varphi}(w)$.

Proof. It will be enough to prove the theorem for $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$. We consider the sequence $(e_{n,k})_{n,k}$ where $e_{n,k} = (0,0,e_k^n,0,\ldots)$. Setting $d_{n,k} = \varphi^{-1}(1/w_k)e_{n,k}$, we get an unconditional normalized basis of $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$. We point out that the sequence $(e_{n,k})_k$ is the unit vector basis of $l^{\varphi}(w)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let P_Y be the projection onto Y with kernel H (P_H is defined similarly).

Consider $(d_{n,k}^*)_{n,k}$ the biorthogonal functionals of $(d_{n,k})_{n,k}$. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, set

$$A_k = \{n : d_{n,k}^*(P_Y(d_{n,k})) \ge 1/2\}$$

and

$$B_k = \{n : d_{n,k}^*(P_H(d_{n,k})) \ge 1/2\}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $I \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sum_{k \in I} w_k = \infty$ and A_k is infinite for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. In other cases the statement above can be held for the sets B_k . Thus, if $k \in I$ and $n \in A_k$, then

(4)
$$||P_Y|| \ge ||P_Y(d_{n,k})||_{\rho} \ge |d_{n,k}^*(P_Y(d_{n,k}))| \ge 1/2.$$

Moreover, for every $k \in I$ and $d_{i,j}^*$, $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

(5)
$$d_{i,j}^*(P_Y(d_{n,k})) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{n \in A_k} 0$$

In fact, if there exists $k_0 \in I$, $d^*_{i_0,j_0}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $(n_m)_m$ of A_{k_0} such that $d^*_{i_0,j_0}(P_Y(d_{n_m,k_0})) \geq \varepsilon$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$, then we would get

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m|\right) \|P_Y\| \ge \left\|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m d_{n_m,k_0}\right\|_{\rho} \|P_Y\|$$
$$\ge \left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m| d_{i_0,j_0}^*(P_Y(d_{n_m,k_0}))\right|$$
$$\ge \varepsilon \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m|;$$

therefore, the basic sequence $(d_{n_m,k_0})_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$ would be equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_1 . So $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x_m|\varphi^{-1}(1/w_{k_0}))w_{k_0}$ converges if and only if $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m| < \infty$, hence $\varphi(x) \underset{\sim}{\circ} x$, which is a contradiction.

Now denote by Q_N the following linear operator

$$Q_N\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}x_{n,k}d_{n,k}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}x_{n,k}d_{n,k}.$$

Since (4) and (5) hold, we may find a bijection $\sigma : \mathbf{N} \to I$, indices $n_m \in A_{\sigma(m)}$, and an increasing sequence of positive integers $N_1 < N_2 < \cdots < N_m < \cdots$ such that

$$||P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}) - Q_{N_m}(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}))||_{\rho} \le 1/2^{m+1}2$$

and

$$||Q_{N_{m-1}}(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}))||_{\rho} \le 1/2^{m+1}2$$

for every $m \geq 2$.

Let $(u_m)_m$ be the sequence of $l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho}$ defined by

$$\begin{split} u_1 &= Q_{N_1}(P_Y(d_{n_1,\sigma(1)})) \\ u_m &= Q_{N_m}(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)})) - Q_{N_{m-1}}(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)})) \end{split}$$

for every $m \ge 2$. $(u_m)_m$ is an unconditional block basic sequence of $(d_{n,k})$, whose unconditional constant is equal to 1. Now, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}) - u_m\|_{\rho} < 1/2$$

by Proposition 1.a.9 in [12] we obtain that the sequence $(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the block basic sequence $(u_m)_m$.

Note that if K is the basis constant of $(P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}))_{m=1}^{\infty}$, then there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

(6)
$$||P_Y(d_{n_{m+N},\sigma(m+N)}) - u_{m+N}||_{\rho} < \frac{1}{2^m 8 ||P_Y|| 4K}$$

Now the following two statements about $(u_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ hold

i) $(u_m)_m$ is equivalent to $(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)})_m$.

ii) There exists a projection T from $l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho}$ onto $[u_m]$ such that $||T|| \leq 4||P_Y||$.

According to i), $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m u_m$ is convergent in $l^{\varphi}(w)_{\rho}^{\infty}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x_m|\varphi^{-1}(1/w_{\sigma(m)}))w_{\sigma(m)}$$
$$= \sum_{k \in I} \varphi(|x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}|\varphi^{-1}(1/w_k))w_k < \infty.$$

Consider $w' = (w_k)_{k \in I}$ belonging to the class Λ . Then, by Theorem 2.6, we have

$$l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \approx l^{\varphi}(w) \approx l^{\varphi}(w') \approx [d_{n_m,\sigma(m)}] \approx [u_m] \approx [P_Y(d_{n_m,\sigma(m)})].$$

Now, from ii), Proposition 1.a.9 in [12] and (6) we obtain

$$l^{\varphi}(w)^{\infty}_{\rho} \approx [P_Y(d_{k_m,\sigma(m)})]_c \subset Y$$

as we wanted to show. $\hfill \Box$

This property is verified for every Orlicz sequence space l^{φ} , because l^{φ} has a symmetric basis. But, it is actually unknown whether the spaces l^{φ} and $l^{\varphi}(w)$ are primary, for $w \in \Lambda$ and φ arbitrary.

4. Relationship between complemented subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$. Our goal now is to prove that the spaces X_{φ} and $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ are rather different, as subspaces of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ be a reflexive Orlicz function space with either $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} > 2$ or $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$. Then $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ is not isomorphic to $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ for every weight sequence w with finite sum.

Proof. Consider $1 < \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} \leq \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$. It holds that $l_p \subset l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ if and only if p = 2 or $l_p \subset l^{\varphi}(w)$ (see Proposition 3 in [6]). Therefore, either p = 2 or $p \in [\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}, \beta_{\varphi}^{\infty}]$. Since $X_{\varphi c} \subset L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$, from Proposition 3.2, we have that $l_p \subset L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$, for each $p \in (\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty}, 2)$. Therefore $L^{\varphi}[0, 1]$ is not isomorphic to $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$.

The remaining case holds now by duality. $\hfill \Box$

Remark. If $\beta_{\varphi}^{\infty} < 2$, then X_{φ} is not isomorphic to any subspace of $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$, for every weight sequence w with finite sum.

Next we will prove that, if $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} > 2$, then X_{φ} is not isomorphic to any $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$. For that, we need a lemma which is a straightforward extension of Corollary 2 in [11].

Lemma 4.2. Let $l^{\varphi}(w)$ be a weighted Orlicz sequence space such that $\min\{\alpha_{\varphi}^{0}, \alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty}\} = s > 1$. Let ψ be an Orlicz function with $\beta_{\psi}^{0} < s$. Then every bonded linear operator from $l^{\varphi}(w)$ into l^{ψ} is compact.

Proposition 4.3. Let φ be an Orlicz function with $\alpha_{\varphi}^{\infty} > 2$. Then $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ is not isomorphic to any subspace of X_{φ} , for every weight sequence w with finite sum.

Proof. We may suppose that φ is a 2-convex function. Let v be a weight sequence with $v \in \Lambda$. From Proposition 4 in [18], it will be sufficient to prove that $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ is not isomorphic to any subspace of $l^{\varphi}(v) \oplus l_2$.

Now the proof follows as in [17, p. 298], considering Lemma 4.2 and the fact that no subspace of $l^{\varphi}(v)$ or of $l^{\varphi}(w)$ is isomorphic to l_2 (see Proposition 3.1 and [11] Proposition 4).

Under the same hypothesis of the proposition above, the next corollary points out the different nature of the complemented subspaces $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$.

Corollary 4.4. The complemented subspaces $l^{\varphi}(w)(l_2)$ of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$, where w has a finite sum, cannot be spanned either by a sequence of mutually disjoint functions of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$ or by a sequence of independent symmetric random variables of $L^{\varphi}[0,1]$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 4.3.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank F.L. Hernández for his friendly help during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. D.E. Alspach, On the complemented subspaces of X_p , Israel J. Math. **74** (1991), 33–45.

2. D.E. Alspach, P. Enflo and E. Odell, On the structure of separable \mathcal{L}_p spaces (1 , Studia Math.**60**(1977), 79–90.

3. J. Bourgain, H.P. Rosenthal and G. Schechtman, An ordinal L^p -index for Banach spaces, with application to complemented subspaces of L^p , Ann. Math. **114** (1981), 193–228.

4. L. Drewnowski, F-spaces with a basis which is shrinking but not hipershrinking, Studia Math. 64 (1979), 97-104.

5. F. Fuentes and F.L. Hernández, On weighted Orlicz sequence spaces and their subspaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 18 (1988), 585–599.

6. F.L. Hernández and V. Peirats, A remark on sequence F-spaces $\lambda(E)$ containing a copy of l^p , Bull. Pol. Acad. Scien. **34** (1986), 295–299.

7. F.L. Hernández and B. Rodriguez-Salinas, On l^p -complemented copies in Orlicz spaces, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), 37–55.

8. W.B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri, Symmetric structures in Banach spaces, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 217 (1979).

9. W.B. Johnson and E. Odell, Subspaces and quotients of $l_p \oplus l_2$ and X_p , Acta Math. **147** (1981), 117–147.

10. J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, The \mathcal{L}_p spaces, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325–349.

11. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces III, Israel J. Math. 14 (1973), 368–389.

12. — , Classical Banach spaces I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

13. — , Classical Banach spaces II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

14. J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1034 (1983).

15. N.J. Nielsen, On the Orlicz function spaces $L_M(0,\infty)$, Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 237–259.

16. V. Peirats and C. Ruiz, On $l^p{\text -}copies$ in Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces, Arch. Math. 58 (1992), 164–173.

17. H. Rosenthal, On the subspaces of L^p , (p > 2), spanned by a sequence of independent random variables, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970), 273–303.

18. C. Ruiz, On subspaces of Orlicz function spaces spanned by sequences of independent symmetric random variables, Proc. of Second International Conference Function Spaces, Poznan 1989, Teubner-Texte, **120** 1991, 41–48.

19. J.Y.T. Woo, On modular sequence spaces, Studia Math. 48 (1973), 271–289.

20. _____, On a class of universal modular sequence spaces, Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 193–215.

DTO. ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COM-PLUTENSE, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN *E-mail address:* cruizb@sunam1.mat.ucm.es