

## SUBSPACES WITH NONINVERTIBLE ELEMENTS IN $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$

M.H. SHIRDARREH HAGHIGHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $M$  be a subspace of  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$  consisting only of noninvertible elements. We show that there exist closed sets  $Y \subset X$  such that each element of  $M$  has a zero in  $Y$  and no closed subset of  $Y$  has this property; furthermore, such a  $Y$  is a singleton, or has no isolated points. If  $M$  has finite codimension  $n$  and  $Y$  is not a singleton, then  $Y$  is a union of at most  $n$  nontrivial connected components. We also show that positive functionals exist in  $M^\perp$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper we assume that  $X$  is an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space. Denote by  $C(X)$ , respectively  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$ , the space of all continuous complex, respectively real, functions on  $X$ .

In this section we discuss the motivation and a brief history of studying subspaces with noninvertible elements in  $C(X)$  and  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$ .

Plainly, every ideal of  $C(X)$  or  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$  is a subspace consisting only of noninvertible elements. Let us call a subspace  $M$  of  $C(X)$  or  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$  a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace if  $M$  is consisting only of noninvertible elements. In other words,  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace if for each  $f \in M$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ .

So, every subspace of an ideal in  $C(X)$  or  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace. It is easy to construct  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces in  $\operatorname{Re} C[0, 1]$  which are not contained in maximal ideals. For example, let  $M = \{f : f(0) + f(1) = 0\}$ . Each  $f \in M$  has a zero in  $[0, 1]$ , by the intermediate value theorem, but clearly  $M$  is not contained in an ideal.

The situation for  $C(X)$  is completely different. Studying  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces begins with the following famous result due to Gleason [2] and Kahane and Zelazko [5]:

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46J10.

*Key words and phrases*.  $\operatorname{Re} C(X)$ , subspace with noninvertible elements, positive functional.

Received by the editors on April 12, 2002, and in revised form on November 9, 2003.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of codimension 1 in a unital complex commutative Banach algebra is a maximal ideal.*

What about other codimensions, finite or infinite? Examples ([3, Section 2] and [6, Section 2]) show that in  $C(X)$ , arbitrary  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces are not necessarily contained in maximal ideals. However, the following result of Jarosz [3] is interesting.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Every finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $C(X)$  is contained in a maximal ideal.*

Farnum and Whitley [1, Theorem 1], gave the following characterization of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces with codimension 1 in  $\text{Re } C(X)$ . Recall that the dual space  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  of  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is the space of all regular real Borel measures on  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.3** [1]. *Let  $\varphi$  be a linear functional of norm 1 on  $\text{Re } C(X)$  such that  $\varphi(f) \in \text{Im}(f)$  for all  $f \in \text{Re } C(X)$  (this is equivalent to saying that  $M = \ker \varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace). Then  $\varphi$  is a positive measure supporting on a connected component of  $X$ .*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be totally disconnected, for example, the Cantor set. Then a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of codimension 1 in  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is a maximal ideal.*

The author and Seddighi have shown the following combination of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 ([6, Theorem 3.1]).

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be totally disconnected. Then each finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is contained in a maximal ideal.*

In the following two sections, we represent more general results for  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces in  $\text{Re } C(X)$ .

**2.  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces and  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports.** Let  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re}C(X)$ . We call a closed set  $Y \subseteq X$  a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  if every element of  $M$  has a zero in  $Y$ . In particular,  $X$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . A  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  is called a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support, if  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support and no proper closed subset of  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . In other words,  $Y$  is a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  if and only if for each proper closed set  $F \subset Y$ , there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $f \neq 0$  everywhere on  $F$ . One can easily verify that the uniform closure of a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace, and both have the same  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re}C(X)$ . Then each  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  contains a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support. In particular, since  $X$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support, minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports exist for  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . Consider the class

$$\mathcal{T} = \{S \subseteq Y : S \text{ is a } \mathcal{Z}\text{-support for } M\}.$$

Since  $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{S_\alpha\}$  be a chain in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Compactness of  $Y$  implies that  $\bigcap S_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ . It follows that minimal elements, in the sense of inclusion, exist in  $\mathcal{T}$ . They are minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports for  $M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace  $M$  in  $\text{Re}C(X)$ , where  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are disjoint closed nonvoid sets. Furthermore assume that there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $f$  is constantly zero on  $Y_1$  and  $f \neq 0$  everywhere on  $Y_2$ . Then  $Y_1$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . In particular  $Y$  cannot be a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in M$ . We have to show that  $g$  has a zero in  $Y_1$ . Since  $|f| > 0$  on  $Y_2$ , and  $Y_2$  is compact, we can choose a real scalar  $\beta$  so large that  $|\beta f + g| > 0$  on  $Y_2$ . But  $\beta f + g \in M$  and hence  $(\beta f + g)(x) = 0$ , for some  $x \in Y$ . Clearly,  $x \notin Y_2$ , so that  $x \in Y_1$ . This gives  $g(x) = 0$ ; the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *A minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace in  $\text{Re}C(X)$  is either a singleton, or has no isolated points.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = \{a\} \cup S$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace  $M$ , where  $S$  is closed and  $a \notin S$ . If there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $|f| > 0$  on  $S$ , then  $f(a) = 0$ . Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $a$  is a common zero for all elements of  $M$ . Otherwise, each element of  $M$  has a zero in  $S$ . So, either  $\{a\}$  or  $S$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . Therefore, a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ , if not a singleton, cannot have any isolated points.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re}C(X)$  with finite codimension  $n$  and  $Y$  a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . Then one and only one of the following statements holds:*

1.  $Y$  is a singleton;
2.  $Y$  is a union of at most  $n$  nontrivial connected components.

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.3, it suffices to prove that  $Y$  has not more than  $n$  connected components. On the contrary, suppose  $Y = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{n+1}$ , where  $C_i$ 's are disjoint closed nonvoid sets. For  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , the characteristic function  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $C_i$  is continuous on  $Y$ ; let  $f_i$  be a continuous extension of  $\mathcal{X}_i$  to whole  $X$ . Since  $M$  is of codimension  $n$ , there exist scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}$ , not all zero, such that  $f = c_1 f_1 + \dots + c_{n+1} f_{n+1} \in M$ . Clearly,  $f = c_i$  identically on  $C_i$ . Now we have the decomposition  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , where  $Y_1 = \cup_{c_j=0} C_j$  and  $Y_2 = \cup_{c_j \neq 0} C_j$ . The set  $Y_1$  is closed and not empty, since  $f$  vanishes on  $Y$ . Also  $Y_2$  is closed and not empty, since some scalars  $c_i \neq 0$ . So  $f$  is constantly zero on  $Y_1$  and  $|f| > 0$  on  $Y_2$ . Lemma 2.2 implies that  $Y_1$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $Y$ .  $\square$

We now get Theorem 1.5 as a simple corollary:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be totally disconnected. Then each finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re}C(X)$  is contained in a maximal ideal.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $M$  is a finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace in  $\text{Re}C(X)$ . Let  $Y$  be a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . Since it is not possible to have any nontrivial component for  $Y$ , necessarily it is a singleton. That is,  $Y$  is contained in a maximal ideal.  $\square$

The next theorem shows that the number  $n$  cannot be reduced in case 2 of Theorem 2.4 above. More precisely, if  $X$  has at least  $n$  nontrivial connected components, then there exist a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace  $M$  with codimension  $n$  such that each  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  has at least  $n$  components. It follows that each minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for such an  $M$  has exactly  $n$  components.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n$ , where  $X_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are nontrivial disjoint connected components in  $X$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace  $M$  of codimension  $n$  in  $\text{Re } C(X)$  such that each minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  intersects each  $X_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove; so assume  $n > 1$ . Since each  $X_i$  is nontrivial, we can choose two distinct points  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  in  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define the subspace  $M$  of  $\text{Re } C(X)$  by

$$M = \{f : f(x_i) + f(y_{i+1}) = 0, \text{ if } i \neq n \text{ and} \\ f(x_n) + (-1)^{n+1}f(y_1) = 0\}.$$

We claim that  $M$  has the desired properties. Plainly  $M$  is of codimension  $n$ . Now we show that  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace. To this end, let  $f \in M$  and suppose, to get a contradiction, that  $f$  has no zero in  $X$ . So, by replacing  $f$  with  $-f$ , if necessary, we can assume that  $f$  is strictly positive on  $X_1$ , since  $X_1$  is connected; specially  $f(x_1) > 0$ . We have  $f(x_1) + f(y_2) = 0$ . This gives  $f(y_2) < 0$ . It follows that  $f$  is always negative on  $X_2$ , by connectedness of  $X_2$ . Continuing in this way, and using the equalities  $f(x_i) + f(y_{i+1}) = 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , we conclude that  $f$  is strictly positive on  $X_i$ , if  $i$  is odd, and strictly negative on  $X_i$ , if  $i$  is even.

Now the equality  $f(x_n) + (-1)^{n+1}f(y_1) = 0$  implies that  $f(y_1) < 0$ . But we had  $f > 0$  on  $X_1$ . This contradiction shows that  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace.

Next we show that every  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  contains  $x_1$ . Suppose  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ . If  $x_1 \notin Y$ , the Uryson lemma provides a continuous function  $g$  on  $X_1$  such that  $g(x_1) = 1$  and  $g = -1$  constantly on  $(Y \cap X_1) \cup \{y_1\}$ . Extend  $g$  so that it is equal to  $(-1)^i$  on each  $X_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Evidently,  $g \in M$ , but  $g$  has no zero on  $Y$ . This contradiction shows that  $Y$  must contain  $x_1$ . Similarly  $Y$  contains all

other  $x_i$ 's (and  $y_i$ 's, of course), for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore  $Y$  intersects all  $X_i$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ , and

$$M = \{f \in \text{Re } C(X) : f(0) + f(2) = 0 \text{ and } f(1) = f(3)\}.$$

As in the proof of the above theorem, we see that  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace with codimension 2 and if  $Y$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ , then  $0, 1, 2, 3 \in Y$ . It is now easy to see that the only  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  is  $X$ , which would be of course minimal.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = I^2$ , the closed unit square, and

$$M = \{f \in \text{Re } C(X) : f(0, 0) + f(1, 1) = 0\}.$$

Clearly  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace. The graph of the functions  $y = x^m$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , for positive integers  $m$  are all different minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports for  $M$ . In fact, minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -supports for  $M$  are minimal connected subsets of  $X$  containing  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)$ , and they are the graphs of continuous 1-1 curves inside  $I^2$  which connect  $(0, 0)$  to  $(1, 1)$ .

We can define a maximal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace to be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace  $M$  such that no subspace containing  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace. In this sense, the subspace constructed in Theorem 2.6 is a maximal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace (Examples 2.7 and 2.8 are special cases). The reason for maximality of  $M$  is the following. If  $M'$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace properly containing  $M$ , then  $M'$  has codimension  $< n$ . Let  $Y$  be a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M'$ . Then  $Y$  has at most  $n - 1$  connected components. But  $Y$  is also a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ , and this contradicts the fact that every  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$  has at least  $n$  components.

It is easy to see that every  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace is contained in a, not necessarily unique, maximal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace.

**3.  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces and positive functionals.** In this section we investigate the relationship between the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces and positive functionals (measures).

Note that a regular Borel positive measure  $\mu$  of norm 1 on  $X$  is supported on  $Y \subseteq X$  if and only if  $\mu \in \overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Here  $\tilde{Y}$  denotes the set of Dirac measures  $\tilde{y}$  supported on points  $y \in Y$ , and  $\overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y}$  is the weak\* closure of the convex hull of  $\tilde{Y} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ . The Banach-Alaoglu theorem implies that  $\overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y}$  is weak\* compact. For a subspace  $M$  of  $\text{Re}C(X)$ , denote

$$M^\perp = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \int f d\mu = 0, \text{ for all } f \in M \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $\text{Re}C(X)$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M$ , then  $M^\perp$  contains positive measures supported on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* We have to prove that  $M^\perp \cap \overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $M^\perp \cap \overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y} = \emptyset$ . Since  $M^\perp$  is weak\* closed and  $\overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y}$  is weak\* compact in  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ , there exists  $f \in \text{Re}C(X)$  and  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\int f d\mu < a < \int f ds,$$

for all  $\mu \in M^\perp$  and all  $s \in \overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y}$ . The left side of the above equality is identically zero for all  $\mu$  in  $M^\perp$ , because  $M^\perp$  is a subspace. This shows that  $f$  is an element of  $\overline{M}$ , the uniform closure of  $M$ . So there exists  $s_0 \in Y$  such that  $f(s_0) = \int f d\tilde{s}_0 = 0$ . This is impossible in the above inequality, since then the right side would also be zero for  $s = \tilde{s}_0$ . This contradiction shows that  $M^\perp \cap \overline{\text{co}}\tilde{Y} \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

From Theorems 2.4 and 3.1 we establish Theorem 1.3 of Farnum and Whitley.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $\varphi$  is a linear functional on  $\text{Re}C(X)$  such that  $\varphi(f) \in \text{Im}(f)$  for all  $f \in \text{Re}C(X)$ , then  $\varphi$  is positive of norm one and is supported on a connected component of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\varphi$  is positive with norm one is obvious. If  $Y$  is a minimal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -support for  $M = \ker \varphi$ , then  $Y$  is either a singleton or has only one connected component, by Theorem 2.4, and there exists a positive

functional in  $M^\perp$  of norm one, supported on  $Y$ . This linear functional is necessarily  $\varphi$ , since  $M^\perp$  has dimension 1.  $\square$

Note that the converse of the above corollary is also true, i.e., a positive functional of norm one supported on a connected component of  $X$  has the property  $\varphi(f) \in \text{Im}(f)$  for all  $f \in \text{Re } C(X)$  (in other words its kernel is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace). This fact is an easy consequence of the intermediate value theorem.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be connected. A subspace  $M$  of  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace if and only if there exists a positive functional in  $M^\perp$ .*

*Remarks 3.4.* 1. All the results mentioned above for  $\text{Re } C(X)$  can be slightly modified so that be true for unital real Banach algebras via the Gelfand transformation.

2. Theorems 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 and 3.1 do hold for  $C(X)$ . Theorem 1.2 of Jarosz states that case 2 cannot happen in Theorem 2.4, for a finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of  $C(X)$ . However, Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 3.1 are worth mentioning for  $C(X)$ .

3. Finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspaces in complex Banach algebras are studied by many authors ([4, 6]), and it is not known if every finite codimensional  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace of a complex unital Banach algebra is contained in a maximal ideal, [4, Problem 3].

4. If  $X$  is connected, Corollary 3.3 implies that every maximal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace in  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is of codimension 1. The following conjecture seems to be true:

If  $X$  has  $n < \infty$  connected components, then every maximal  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subspace in  $\text{Re } C(X)$  is of codimension  $\leq n$ .

## REFERENCES

1. N. Farnum and R. Whitely, *Functionals on real  $C(S)$* , *Canad. J. Math.* **30** (1978), 490–498.
2. A.M. Gleason, *A characterization of maximal ideals*, *J. Analyse Math.* **19** (1967), 171–172.

3. K. Jarosz, *Finite codimensional ideals in function algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 725–733.
4. ———, *Generalizations of the Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko theorem*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **21** (1991), 915–921.
5. J.P. Kahane and W. Zelazko, *A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 339–343.
6. K. Seddighi and M.H. Shirdarreh Haghghi, *Sufficient conditions for a linear functional to be multiplicative*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 2385–2393.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VALI-ASR UNIVERSITY, RAFSANDJAN, IRAN  
E-mail address: mhshir@yahoo.com